BRACTON'S NOTE BOOK.

London: C. J. CLAY AND SONS,
CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS WAREHOUSE,
AVE MARIA LANE.

Cambridge: DEIGHTON, BELL, AND CO.
Leipzig: F. A. BROCKHAUS.

BRACTON'S NOTE BOOK.

A

COLLECTION OF CASES

DECIDED IN THE KING'S COURTS

DURING THE REIGN OF HENRY THE THIRD,

ANNOTATED BY A LAWYER OF THAT TIME,

SEEMINGLY BY

HENRY OF BRATTON.

EDITED BY

F. W. MAITLAND,

OF LINCOLN'S INN, BARRISTER AT LAW,

READER OF ENGLISH LAW IN THE UNIVERSITY OF CAMBRIDGE.

VOL. I. APPARATUS.

LONDON: C. J. CLAY & SONS,

CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS WAREHOUSE,

AVE MARIA LANE.

1887

Cambridge:

PRINTED BY C. J. CLAY, M.A. AND SONS,

AT THE UNIVERSITY PRESS.

TO THE FOUNDER

OF THE READERSHIP OF ENGLISH LAW

IN THE UNIVERSITY OF CAMBRIDGE,

TO

HENRY SIDGWICK,

DOCTOR OF LITERATURE

AND PROFESSOR OF MORAL PHILOSOPHY

IN THE UNIVERSITY AFORESAID,

THIS BOOK

IS REVERENTLY DEDICATED.

PREFACE.

MY best thanks are due, in the first place, to my friend Mr George Barnes of the Inner Temple, and when I say that he laboriously made and generously gave me that index of personal names which will add much to the value of this book, it will be seen that I have good cause to be grateful :—also to Mr J. M. Rigg of Lincoln's Inn for having copied (very carefully as I afterwards found) some thirty pages of the manuscript at a time when I was otherwise engaged :—also to Mr James Greenstreet, who has long known the manuscript, for some useful suggestions :—to the gentlemen who have charge of the Public Record Office, more especially to Mr Walford Selby, for many courtesies which have made my days among the rolls very pleasant :—to Mr Hampshire the Librarian of Exeter Cathedral for a copy of a deed relating to Bracton's place of burial, which he kindly sent to me :—to Mr Melville Bigelow of Boston and Professor Thayer of Harvard for the encouragement given me by friendly letters

from a land where Bracton is at least as well known and at least as highly honoured as he is in England:—and lastly to my friend Mr Frederick Pollock who has been ready always to listen to and generally to answer my questions, and from whom I first learnt to find an interest in the history of law. That the idea of connecting this book with Bracton was due to Professor Vinogradoff of Moscow, I shall explain below, and indeed this will be plain enough from the letter of his, which (by the permission of the editor of the *Athenaeum*) is here reprinted: but I must add that in 1884 and again in 1886 I had the happiness of talking over his discovery with him.

When I say that I am not satisfied with the form in which the Note Book is here made public, this is no conventional protestation. Down to the last moment I have found so many faults in my own work, that I cannot but believe that there are many yet to be found. Down to the last moment I have been learning many things about the law of the thirteenth century which I ought to have known at the outset. For sins of commission no excuse shall be offered, for none should be accepted; but before I am blamed for having done less than might have been done in the way of collating rolls, giving various readings, making indexes and notes, it will I hope be remembered that this has been a private enterprise. I have often had to count the cost; also to reflect that another day in the Record Office or the British Museum would mean another

hundred miles in the train. So the reader gets no facsimiles of the manuscripts; he gets an index where he should have had a digest, perhaps a translation; the luxury of cancelling sheets instead of confessing some stupid blunders, has been denied me, and I am sure that there must be more to be learned about Bracton's life than I have been able to discover: at this eleventh, nay thirteenth, hour I find what I believe to be his marks on a roll of King John's reign (Coram Rege Roll, No 18). But as his treatise had lately been edited at the expense of the nation, and as there was no learned society whose business it was to encourage the study of English legal history (for the Selden Society was not yet born nor even thought of), it seemed likely that the Note Book would remain unprinted for many years, unless some one would make such an edition of it as could be made at his own cost and without giving to it all his time. Perhaps I was not the man for the work; but I have liked it well.

F. W. M.

BROOKSIDE, CAMBRIDGE.
Sept., 1887.

TABLE OF CONTENTS.

VOL. I. APPARATUS.

	PAGES
Preface	vii
Table of Contents	xi
Errata	xv
Vinogradoff's Letter to the *Athenaeum*	xvii
Note on the Classification of the Plea Rolls	xxiv
List of MSS. of Bracton's Treatise to which reference has been made	xxiv
Introduction	
§ 1. Of Bracton, his Times and his Work. Generalities	1
§ 2. Of Vinogradoff's Discovery	12
§ 3. Of Bracton's Life	13
§ 4. Of Bracton's Text	26
§ 5. Of the Date of Bracton's Treatise	34
§ 6. Of Bracton's Selection of Authorities	45
§ 7. Of the Note Book	61
§ 8. Of the Relation between the Note Book and Bracton's Treatise	71
§ 8*. Of Fitzherbert's Use of the Note Book	117
§ 9. Of the making of this Edition	121
§ 10. Of some noteworthy Cases in the Note Book	128
Table I. Names of the Justices who sat at the Bench during the Reign of Henry III.	139
Table II. Bracton's Citations in Chronological Order	146
Table III. Fitzherbert's Cases from the Reign of Henry III.	172
Index of Actions	177
Index of Things	188
Index of Places	202
Index of Persons	243

VOL. II. TEXT.

Pleas in the Bench.

PAGE

2[1]. Trinity, A.R. 2, A.D. 1218 1
3. Easter and Trinity, A.R. 3, A.D. 1219 14
4. Michaelmas, A.R. 3—4, A.D. 1219 42
5. Hilary and Easter, A.R. 4, A.D. 1220 72
9. Hilary, A.R. 6, A.D. 1222. 109
10. Easter, A.R. 6, A.D. 1222 139
11. Trinity, A.R. 6, A.D. 1222 150
16. Hilary, A.R. 8, A.D. 1224 175
26. Easter A.R. 11, A.D. 1227 193
27. Trinity, A.R. 11, A.D. 1227 213
28. Hilary and Easter, A.R. 12, A.D. 1228 219
29. Michaelmas, A.R. 12—13, A.D. 1228 240
7. Michaelmas, A.R. 4—5, A.D. 1220 249
30. Hilary, A.R. 13, A.D. 1229 260
31. Easter, A.R. 13, A.D. 1229 272
32. Trinity (Middlesex Eyre), A.R. 13, A.D. 1229 279
33. Michaelmas, A.R. 13—14, A.D. 1229 288
34. Hilary, A.R. 14, A.D. 1230 309
35. Easter, A.R. 14, A.D. 1230 325
36. Trinity, A.R. 14, A.D. 1230 334
37. Michaelmas, A.R. 14—15, A.D. 1230 348
38. Hilary, A.R. 15, A.D. 1231 378
39. Easter, A.R. 15, A.D. 1231 403
40. Trinity, A.R. 15, A.D. 1231 442
41. Michaelmas, A.R. 15—16, A.D. 1231 475
42. Easter, A.R. 16, A.D. 1232 515
22. Trinity, A.R. 9, A.D. 1225 540
44. Hilary, A.R. 17, A.D. 1233 555
45. Trinity, A.R. 17, A.D. 1233 582
46. Michaelmas, A.R. 17—18, A.D. 1233 603
47. Hilary, A.R. 18, A.D. 1234 636
48. Easter, A.R. 18, A.D. 1234 652
43. Michaelmas, A.R. 16—17, A.D. 1232 668
19. Michaelmas, A.R. 8—9, A.D. 1224 687
APPENDIX. Case 791 corrected 720

[1] The numbers in the first column of this Table show the chronological order of the Pleas in Bench.

VOL. III. TEXT.

Pleas in the Bench.

PAGE

17. Easter, A.R. 8, A.D. 1224 1
18. Trinity, A.R. 8, A.D. 1224 33
20. Hilary, A.R. 9, A.D. 1225 66
21. Easter, A.R. 9, A.D. 1225 95

Pleas before the King.

A.R. 18—19, A.D. 1234—5 123
A.R. 20, A.D. 1235—6 152
A.R. 21, A.D. 1236—7 188
A.R. 22, A.D. 1237—8 231
A.R. 23, A.D. 1238—9 255
A.R. 24, A.D. 1239—40 285
Miscellaneous Cases and Notes 297

Pleas in the Bench.

1. Michaelmas, A.R. 1—2, A.D. 1217 305
6. Trinity, A.R. 4, A.D. 1220 333
8. Hilary and Easter, A.R. 5, A.D. 1221 407
12. Michaelmas, A.R. 6—7, A.D. 1222 441
13. Hilary, A.R. 7, A.D. 1223. 453
14. Easter, A.R. 7, A.D. 1223. 474
15. Michaelmas, A.R. 7—8, A.D. 1223 485
23. Michaelmas, A.R. 9—10, A.D. 1225 512
24. Hilary, A.R. 10, A.D. 1226 538
25. Easter, A.R. 10. A.D. 1226 568

Pleas from the Eyres.

Eyre in Kent, A.R. 11, A.D. 1227 591
Eyre in Norfolk, A.R. 6, A.D. 1222 610
Eyre in Norfolk, A.R. 12, A.D. 1227 618
Eyre in Yorkshire, A.R. 10—11, A.D. 1226 642
Eyre in Suffolk, A.R. 12, A.D. 1227 667
Eyre in Leicestershire, A.R. 5, A.D. 1221 698
Eyre in Staffordshire, A.R. 5, A.D. 1221 712
APPENDIX. A Lost Page Restored 717

ERRATA.

VOL. I.

p. 74, note 1. For *26th Jan.* 19 read *26th Jan.* 1219.

p. 93, in the heading. For *The Third Argument* read *The Fourth Argument.*

VOL. II.

p. 22, l. 6. The nunnery is that of Sinningthwaite in Yorkshire. *Monast.* vol. 5, p. 463.

p. 25, l. 2. The reading was originally *per breue Regis J.* (by a writ of King John); the annotator turned *J* into *ingressu*; seemingly in so doing he made a mistake.

p. 25, Case 30. I misunderstood this case. Jacob, the deforciant in the assize, vouches Benedict, but the voucher is not allowed because Simon, the plaintiff, claims to hold of Jacob. Andrew, Jacob's father, 'incumbered' the tenement by enfeoffing Swanild, Simon's mother; so Benedict, Jacob's lord, is not bound to warrant Jacob against a claim arising out of this 'incumbramentum'. Bracton, f. 261, deals with just this point.

pp. 39, 40, Case 43. I misunderstood this case. In a mort d'ancestor the parol does not demur for the nonage of the defendant, if his ancestor entered as guardian in chivalry. This seems admitted. The case however apparently decides that it is otherwise when the land is held in socage; here the lord can have no business to enter on his tenant's death; if he enters he is a mere intruder, and there is no fiduciary relationship between him and the socager; therefore if he enters and then dies seised, the parol shall demur for his heir's nonage. This provokes from the annotator the exclamation 'Nota, mirum propter socagium!' He thinks it strange that in any case the parol should demur for the nonage of a person who has entered *claiming* (though not really entitled to) guardianship. In the marginal note for *quam petit iniuste* read *quamuis iniuste.*

p. 49, l. 8. For *fuerunt* read *fuerint.*

p. 52, l. 18. The MS. has *quo*, but it should be *quod.*

p. 121, l. 15. *ceperunt uadia sua*; this merely means that the bailiffs took distresses (gages) from them. I thought that in connection with cloth *uadia* might mean *woad.*

p. 138, l. 5. The name must be *Cruues.*

p. 297, l. 19. For *Thome* read *Thomam.*

p. 340, Case 420. The Longueville in question is in Normandy between Isigny and Bayeux.

p. 341, l. 16. For *qui* read *que*.

p. 369, Case 469. The *paruum breue* in question was the vicontiel writ of nuisance, as to which see F. N. B. 184.

p. 373, l. 7. For *Reginaldi* read *Reg'*, which probably stands for *Regis*; see Case 1764.

p. 404, Case 516. *Cuwyc* is not Southwick, but Cowick near Exeter, where was a cell of Bec. *Monast.* vol. 6, p. 1043.

p. 490, l. 17. By *ipse* Peter means himself. He asserts that his own son has better right than William.

p. 506, note 4. Seemingly I was wrong in supposing that the marriage was consummated before the gift was made.

p. 533, note 4. For *defendant* read *demandant*.

p. 534, note 8. The point is this:—A father gives the marriage of his son to *X*; *X* must get the son married during the father's life, for otherwise the father's lord will be entitled to the marriage.

p. 656, note 4. This must mean, not 'until the land shall be at peace', but 'until the land shall have acquitted itself', i.e. probably, until the land shall have repaid a sum of money for which it has been made security.

p. 666, l. 16. *nisi iudicium illum incumbaret* (*corr. incumbraret*). I have given a very bad explanation of this. The king insisted that each of the rebellious barons should come to the court alone, and should go home alone, unless the judgment of the court should incumber, impede, him. Of course in case judgment should go against him there could be no talk of his going home; he would be 'incumbered' by the judgment. Bracton has a similar passage on f. 119. An accused person who is willing to answer the charge 'libere debet recedere, nisi iudicium ei incumberet'.

VOL. III.

p. 16, line 25. The first *pre* in this line should be *pro*.

p. 33. In the heading, for FILIUS read FILII.

p. 132, Case 1115. Selden refers to this case in his Notes on Fortescue; Note 8; I owe this remark to Prof. Thayer. In line 9 on page 133 the words on the roll, which the copyist of the Note Book reads as *et verbis*, should be *in omnibus*.

p. 305, note 1. I withdraw the remark that the name *Munegedene* seems a corruption of *Muntbegun*.

p. 427, note 1. For *Alice* read *Agnes*.

p. 450, line 6. For *reognoscendum* read *recognoscendum*.

p. 468, line 1. For *producati psa* read *producat ipsa*.

p. 566, note 2. More probably this means that if any one gave judgment in the wapentake *the king* would hear of it.

A LETTER OF PAUL VINOGRADOFF PRINTED IN THE ATHENAEUM FOR 19 JULY, 1884[1].

It is well known that the chief importance of Bracton's work on the laws of England is derived from the fact that it is based on a most extensive and careful study of the judicial practice of the thirteenth century. Building on this firm foundation, Bracton was able to produce a treatise which in arrangement, connecting theories, and even in many a particular point, testifies to the influence of Roman jurisprudence and of its mediæval exponents, but at the same time remains a statement of genuine English law, a statement so detailed and accurate that there is nothing to match it in the whole legal literature of the Middle Ages.

The great English judge did not content himself with setting forth in a general way what he held to be the law of his country; he used systematically the rolls of Martin of Pateshull and William of Raleigh, and gives no fewer than 450 references to cases decided by his predecessors and teachers. This being so, it is surely not devoid of interest to inspect rather closely that groundwork of Bracton's treatise, and to trace as far as possible his way of selecting and handling his records. Now I think that a British Museum MS., numbered Add. 12,269, can help us very materially in this direction. It is a collection of cases written about the middle of the thirteenth century, with a good many notes on the margin. The first leaves and the last quires are missing, and there is no direct evidence as to the person who compiled and used the book, but the contents make it very

[1] The Editor of the *Athenaeum* has very kindly consented to this letter being here reprinted.

probable indeed, if not certain, that it was drawn up for Bracton and annotated by him or under his dictation.

If we leave aside the comparatively few instances when Bracton's treatise gives only general references, and take the quotations specifying court and year of the trial, we shall see at once that they may be classed under three heads[1]: 1. Cases tried in the King's Bench, ranging from Michaelmas, 2 Henry III., to Easter, 18 Henry III.; 2. Cases before the King's Council, from 19 to 24 Henry III.; 3. Cases tried in the Eyres of Martin of Pateshull and William of Raleigh during the first half of Henry III.'s reign. There remains a certain, very small, number of stray quotations which do not come under this classification; as, for instance, casual mentions of trials Coram Rege in 31, 32, 38 Henry III. They probably did not belong to the original text of the treatise; but even if they did, their occurrence does not alter the general arrangement.

Now the Add. MS. contains: 1. Cases tried in the King's Bench ranging from Michaelmas, 2 Henry III., to Easter, 18 Henry III.; 2. Cases before the King's Council, from 19 to 24 Henry III.; 3. Cases tried in some of the Eyres of Martin of Pateshull. Unfortunately the MS. breaks off right in the middle of a Staffordshire Eyre, so that we cannot judge how far the other circuits of Martin and those of William of Raleigh had been used. But even what is left is quite sufficient, as I take it, to establish a remarkable coincidence between the book and the Add. MS. (A Patent Roll[2] of 42 Henry III., quoted by Madox, 'History of the Exchequer,' ii. 257, enjoins Henry of Bracton to surrender the rolls of M. de Pateshull and W. de Raleigh which he had been using.)

The extracts, I ought to mention, are made in a very irregular fashion as regards the order of rolls and terms. The compiler did not go by strict chronology, probably because he had not the whole set of records at his disposal at the same time. So we find that after a series of King's Bench terms of the second, fourth, sixth, seventh, and ninth years, earlier rolls come on again. What is more, there are occasions when a roll from which extracts had been made was taken up again, and some new cases

[1] See below, p. 53.

[2] Not a Patent Roll, but a Roll of Exchequer Memoranda; see below, p. 25.

copied out from it[1]. The last is a very important feature because it explains a fact which at first sight seems to tell against the supposed connexion of our MS. with Bracton, namely, that not *all* the cases mentioned in the treatise are to be found under their respective years in the note-book. As a considerable part of this seems to be lost, we cannot expect book and treatise to fit completely.

Passing from a general survey to a closer examination of the contents, we must, of course, advert principally to the subject-matter of the marginal notes in the MS. Are there any striking analogies between their wording and the text in Bracton's treatise? Most of the notes give only in a few short words the substance of the transcribed cases or call attention to particular points in them. But not seldom the annotator criticizes the decision or supplements it by reflections of his own, and then the close relation between note-book and treatise becomes apparent.

An instance is afforded by the passage on the right of a widow to bequeath crops growing on the land she held in dower. Previous to the statute of Merton, 20 Henry III., such a bequest would not have been valid. Bracton, 'De Legibus,' folio 96 *b*, says: "Nova superveniente gracia et prouisione......poterit uxor de fructibus et bladis siue a solo separata fuerint, siue non, testari et pro uoluntate sua disponere." The Add. MS., folio 209 *a*, has: "Modo mutatum est de noua gracia quod potest testamentum facere de blado firmo in terra[2]."

On 169 *b* of the note-book we find the following peculiar illustration: "Terminus terminans set indeterminatus et incertus, et ideo liberum tenementum sicut ad vitam hominis, quia nihil certius morte, nihil incertius hora mortis." The same diction occurs in the treatise, folio 27 *b*: "Si autem fiat donatio ad terminum annorum, quamvis longissimum, qui excedat vitas hominum, tamen et hoc non *habebit donatorius liberum tenementum*, cum *terminus annorum certus sit et determinatus*, et terminus vitæ incertus, et quia *licet nihil certius sit morte, nihil tamen incertius est hora mortis*[3]."

[1] This happens but once and only one new case is copied (Case 1293). I do not think it probable that all the cases cited by Bracton were once in this book. See below, pp. 77—80.

[2] See below, p. 89. [3] See below, p. 89.

The whole disquisition about the natural and artificial, the common and the leap year, given in the treatise, is set out at greater length, but with a literal repetition of some characteristic sentences, in the note-book (195 *b*). The quaint comparisons of the year of 365 days to a bird without a tail and of that of 365 days and six hours to a bird holding its tail in its mouth occur equally in both texts[1].

Speaking about the marginal remarks and insertions of the Add. MS., I must not omit to notice a very important feature in their composition: it is evident that they were written at very different periods. After the collection had been compiled the person for whom it was made seems to have gone through it two or three times. Two observations lead me to this conclusion. Some of the entries, especially where additional matter has been inserted on blank pages, are in distinctly later handwriting (for instance, 196 *a*). A note has been added sometimes where originally there was only a "N^a^" to show that the passage deserved special attention. This peculiarity explains the presence of one or two writs which do not occur in Bracton's treatise (195 *b*), and seem to have been especially inserted *because* they did not occur there[2].

Another point requires careful consideration: among the marginal notes of the Add. MS. there is a certain number of references to cases, mostly very incomplete, jotted down by the annotator from general recollection, without any attempt at definite quotation. One can fancy that in reading through the report of a trial of 1227 Bracton was struck by its similarity with a case which had come under his own personal knowledge, and wrote down in the margin: "fere casus Cole" (40 *b*)[3]. A very good instance is given on 185 *b*. The text recites interesting pleadings of some peasants trying to vindicate their right of ancient demesne tenantry against their lord. The note says: "N^a^ de villanis Henrici de Tracy de Tawystok qui numquam fuerunt in manu Domini Regis nec antecessorum suorum et loquebantur de tempore Regis Edwardi coram Willelmo de

[1] See below, p. 91. The year is compared to a snake, not to a bird.

[2] This refers to Case 1290, in which some hypothetical pleadings in a mort d'ancestor are discussed. Bracton does not deal with the exact point which they raise. See below, p. 91.

[3] See below, p. 100.

Wilton." The entry seems to refer to a trial of 47 Henry III., which, however, took place not before W. de Wilton, but before Middleton and Brewes (transcribed in a Coram Rege Roll of Michaelmas, 7—8 Edward I.; cf. Placitor. Abbrev. 270)[1]. Unfortunately so many of the original rolls have been lost and the indications of the British Museum MS. are so general that it was impossible to trace out most cases in the way of such direct comparison.

But there were other channels. The Patent Rolls give the appointment of justiciaries to try particular assizes, and the Fine Rolls record payments received for such appointments by the Exchequer. These documents give the approximate time of another marginal case. Fol. 276 *a* has the following entry opposite a Yorkshire assize of Martin of Pateshull: "N^a casum Hugonis filii Wymund de Ralegh primogenitum et postnatum qui fuit infra etatem de concordia inter eos facta, *coram H. de Bratton*." Wymund of Ralegh was still alive in 1256, as is shown by a final concord between him and Warin of Ralegh in the King's Bench (Feet of Fines, Devon, Henry III., N. 492). In 1259 Bracton is appointed to try an assize of *mort d'ancestor* between Hugh and Warin of Ralegh, and that is most probably the case hinted at in our MS. (Patent Roll, 43 Henry III., membr. 13, dorso)[2]. In most instances the connexion could not be so clearly ascertained. Still, the examination of the Patent Rolls is instructive even where it does not lead to the absolute identification of particular cases, because it narrows the range of possible identification to a very small area in space and time. To put it briefly, they point to Somerset, Devon, and Cornwall as the counties, to Henry of Bratton as the judge, and to the years 42—46 of Henry III. as the time with which the cases of Ralph of Arundell, Corbyn, and the heirs of Hokesham, mentioned in the note-book, are connected. Of course, there is evidence to show that the earlier parts of Bracton's treatise were composed before 42 Henry III., but the work as it presents itself does not look at all like a completed one, and nothing shows that it was not in process of elaboration in some of its parts as late as 46 Henry III., and even later.

Last, but not least, there is a definite trace left by the marginal cases of the note-book in one of the most important

[1] See below, p. 102. [2] See below, p. 101.

MSS. of Bracton's treatise. It may have struck many students of the author that parallel to the carefully arranged quotations from early rolls there runs a string of irregular references to later cases. A trial is mentioned, for instance, to which the heirs of John of Munmuth were parties. Now the said John died immediately before 1259[1] (Roberts, 'Calendarium Genealogicum,' i. 73), and so the casual illustration has been taken from a case fresh in the remembrance of the author when he composed the corresponding part of the treatise. The case Roger de Regni *v.* Robert de Shute, entered as a heading to one of the paragraphs in the editions, is nothing but a similar side reference to a trial which may be still read at the Record Office on an assize roll of Bracton for 1254 (Coram Rege, Henry III., N. 96, m. 4). And so in the work itself we have the like marginal illustrations as in the note-book, and a careful collation of the MSS. of Bracton would bring them easily out in their original character of side-notes. Now, one of the most interesting among the Bracton MSS., Digby 222 in the Bodleian Library, of which that wondrous production called Sir Travers Twiss's edition of Bracton does not take the slightest notice, gives as marginal references two of the most conspicuous illustrative instances of the note-book, the Corbyn case on the subject of warranty and the Ralph of Arundell case.

Summarizing briefly the evidence in respect of Bracton's connexion with the note-book in the British Museum, I lay again stress on the following points: 1. The abstracts from rolls in the Add. MS. and the rolls which served as material for the drawing up of the treatise are substantially the same. 2. There are passages in the treatise which even in their wording connect themselves with notes in the Add. MS. 3. The illustrative references in the Add. MS. can be traced in some instances to Bracton's own practice, and in two cases are found to recur in MSS. of Bracton's work.

My paper has grown to such an inordinate length already that I do not venture to hint at the importance of the matter collected in the British Museum MS. It seems sufficient for the present to say that the note-book gives a copious and careful selection of cases from the early practice of Henry III.'s time, and that many of the rolls from which it was compiled have been

[1] Corr. 1257. See below, p. 38, note 7.

lost since. I intend to discuss some of the material questions arising from the study of these abstracts in the new quarterly which the Oxford Law School is going to start next year[1]; but even now I think it may be said without fear of going wrong that the integral publication of the MS. would afford the most fitting sequel to Palgrave's editions of Richard I.'s and John's rolls.

I must not omit before concluding to thank Mr W. Selby, of the Record Office, for the kind and valuable help which I had from him on several occasions during my inquiry.

PAUL VINOGRADOFF.

[1] *Law Quarterly Review*, vol. I. p. 189.

NOTE ON THE CLASSIFICATION OF THE EXTANT PLEA ROLLS.

The yet extant Plea Rolls of Henry the Third's reign are arranged at the Public Record Office in three classes, (1) Coram Rege Rolls, (2) Assize Rolls, (3) Tower Assize Rolls or Tower Coram Rege Rolls. This arrangement has been determined partly by the fact that in time past some of the rolls were preserved in the Tower and some at Westminster. That a roll is now found in a particular class, is by no means a sure indication of its real nature, for instance, it may happen that one of two duplicate rolls will be among the Coram Rege Rolls and the other among the Assize Rolls. Therefore in citing a roll as Coram Rege Roll No. 91, Assize Roll M. 2, 3, 1, or Tower Roll No. 4, I imply nothing as to the character of the roll, but merely give the reader a name whereby he may obtain the document to which I refer. In citing a particular membrane of a roll, I refer to the figures which have been set upon its membranes by a modern pencil.

MSS. OF BRACTON'S TREATISE.

NOTE :—The following MSS. of Bracton's treatise I have occasionally consulted, and some of them are referred to in my Introduction by means of the letters here assigned to them. It should be understood however that the order in which they are here mentioned, is not an order of merit or of date, also that at least twelve other MSS. are known to exist, at seven of which, those in Lincoln's Inn, Gray's Inn, the Temple and Trinity College, Cambridge, I have glanced.

In the British Museum.

MA = Royal 9 E. xv.	MH = Harl. 817.
MB = Add. 11,353.	MI = Harl. 1,242.
MC = Add. 21,614.	MK = Harl. 3,416.
MD = Add. 24,067.	ML = Harl. 3,422.
ME = Harl. 653.	MM = Add. 32,340.
MF = Harl. 656.	MN = Stowe 722.
MG = Harl. 763.	

In the Bodleian Library.

OA = Digby 222.
OB = Rawlinson C. 160.
OC = Rawlinson C. 159.

In the Cambridge University Library.

CA = Dd. vii. 6.
CB = Dd. vii. 14.
CC = Ee. iv. 4.

INTRODUCTION.

§ 1. *Of Bracton his times and his work. Generalities.*

THAT Bracton's book on the laws of England is a good and a great book very worthy of careful study, is no novel opinion, but rather an old tradition which has stood the test and received the sanction of modern scholarship. Bracton's book marks and makes an epoch.

In truth that book both marks and makes a critical moment in the history of English law, and therefore in the essential history of the English people. About the middle of the thirteenth century, the time when Bracton was at work, our common law, the law which was to be common to England and vast lands of which he never dreamt, was rapidly and definitely assuming the shape that it was to keep but little changed for long ages. Yet a little while and Parliament would have come into being as the one proper organ of all legislation, hampering by its masterful but fragmentary and intermittent statutes any further development of unenacted law, jealous of the royal power, jealous lest new writs, new forms of procedure, should mean new laws made without its approval. At latest before the death of Edward the First the main outlines of the common law would, we may say, be drawn once and for all; an intricate superstructure might yet be reared, the ground plan could no longer be changed. But during the first part of the reign of Edward's father, the king's judges must have enjoyed such an opportunity of moulding a powerful, practical scheme of law as has rarely been given to men. Past history, the Norman conquest, the vigour of king after king, the ill success of Power and freedom of king's court under Henry III.

every revolt, had decided that we should have one common law for the whole of England, that it should be the law of one great central court and that court the king's. Very truly had the king become the fountain of justice. Other springs there had been and were, communal, seignorial, ecclesiastical, the ancient courts of the shire and the hundred, the manorial courts, the Courts Christian. These, had our history been not quite what it was, might have become effective and independent sources of English law, or of manifold local customs. As it is, we, accustomed for centuries to our centralized royal justice, are apt to make too light of these old courts, to think of them only as they were in the last stage of their decay. In Bracton's day they yet flourished. The feudal jurisdictions were dearly prized and obstinately defended; the Great Charter had but lately protected them against royal invasion. Attendance at the county court was a burden, but a burden that knights of the shire would willingly bear if thereby they could check the proceedings of the king's professional judges. The tribunals of the church were zealous and ambitious, eager for work. Still by one means and another, by royal writs invented as circumstances required, the king was getting into his hands a monopoly of justice, was holding himself out as ready to intervene at any stage of any action and to draw the matter into his own court. We can not quite say of him in the well-known words that he was "over all persons and in all causes "ecclesiastical as well as civil within his dominions supreme." That was not the theory of the time. The ecclesiastical courts were not his courts, nor had he power in spiritual matters. That those courts obtained and retained exclusive cognizance of such (as they seem to us) purely temporal affairs as testamentary causes, is enough to show, were other proof wanting, that royal justice had at least one active rival. There were two swords; the king grasped but one. Still he had succeeded in setting to the ecclesiastical jurisdiction definite bounds. These bounds if we think them wide, were none the less thought far too narrow by the clergy of the day and were only maintained by the unremitting

vigilance of the royal judges. But, for all this, the king's justice had a large field and behind it was power not to be withstood.

Royal power limited by law.

If however history had decided that the English kingship should be a very strong kingship and in particular that the king should have an active control over all the justice in his realm, still a strong kingship is no absolute monarchy, and history had decided also that the king must judge according to law. Whatever danger there may have been that his court would be merely a machine for enforcing his personal will and pleasure was at an end, at least for a time. Happily, as it now may seem to us, the vast power of Henry the Second had come to the hands of John. Tyranny had provoked revolt and the charter was won. Happily again the revolt was not too successful, and happily the crown passed from John to a boy but nine years old. The minority of Henry the Third made it possible to distinguish between the impersonal 'Crown' and the little crowned head. Law could not be just what pleased this child. Quod principi placuit legis habet vigorem:—without much untruth this phrase might be applied to his mighty grandfather, though rather perhaps as a statement of plain matter of fact, than as a theory of the English kingship. Under Henry the Second, whose will had a way of making itself law, the writer whom we call Glanvill could at least hint that these famous and to a mediaeval lawyer almost sacred words were literally true in England[1]. Bracton plays with them and turns their edge. Alongside the king, indeed above the king stands the royal law that makes him king[2]. This let the king obey; so doing he loses no whit of majesty or power, becomes subject to none but himself. Our Blessed Lady, even our Blessed Lord were thus obedient to the law for man[3]. A constitutional theory not yet embodied in definite institutions found expression for a while in the glittering paradox that submission to self-imposed law is the supreme feat of God's Omnipotence—

> Non est inpotentia sed summa potestas,
> Magna Dei gloria, magnaque maiestas[4].

[1] Glanvill, Prologus.
[2] Br. f. 107.
[3] Br. f. 5 b.
[4] Wright, Political Songs (Camden Society), p. 106.

A little later, perhaps before Bracton had done writing, something less mystical had become received, or at least probable, doctrine. The shiftless policy of the self-willed king set men thinking:—the king has peers and he who has peers has superiors[1]. But from the time when John, forced into a solemn covenant to deny right and justice to none, had set his will against his word and died a miserable death, (and it was just to this moment that Bracton carried back his search for precedents,) above the king there was evidently law[2].

...e judges ...e learned.

From the same time it is that we first hear of judges in the king's court who are learned, professionally learned in the law of the land. It was still the part of all who aspired to be aught in church or state to do a good deal of judging. Not only in their own manorial courts but as *justitiarii Domini Regis* assigned to take assizes or hear pleas of the crown, earls, barons and knights, bishops and abbots decided causes and passed judgment. But by slow degrees the king's court (*curia*) was becoming distinct from the king's council (*concilium*); the work of hearing lawsuits was being separated from the general business of governing or helping to govern the country, and it was felt that study and book-learning, something more special than an ordinary experience of public

[1] Br. f. 34.

[2] The passages in which Glanvill (Prologus) and Bracton (f. 107) introduce the *Quod principi placuit* have often been discussed. It has been supposed that Bracton mistranslated the words. They, it will be remembered, run thus:—sed et quod principi placuit, legis habet vigorem, cum lege regia, quae de imperio eius lata est, populus ei et in eum omne suum imperium et potestatem concessit (Inst. I. 2. 6). Bracton is arguing that the king ought to rule according to law. This, he urges, is not contrary to the famous text, quod principi placuit legis habet vigorem, because that text goes on to say, cum lege regia quae de imperio eius lata est. Here he stops his citation, and some think that he takes *cum* to be a preposition. The state of his text is at present so bad that the loss of a few words may perhaps be suspected; but I have looked fruitlessly at many MSS. in hope of finding a variant. If however, as seems likely, he does take *cum* as a preposition it does not necessarily follow that he is guilty of a stupendous blunder. It is incredible that he should not have known and been able to construe the last words of the passage. I see here rather a playful perversity than a mistake. Similar dealings with the text of a book yet more sacred than the Institutes were not uncommon, half-sportive half-serious twistings of holy writ. See Selden, Diss. ad Fletam, cap. 3. sec. 2, and Hallam, *Middle Ages*, ed. 1837, vol. 2, p. 459.

life, were needful for those who term after term were to sit in a certain place, in aliquo loco certo, and declare the law. Let us indeed remember, that Englishmen have never admitted in theory or in fact that none but a lawyer is fit to judge his fellows. Theoretically our highest court of law is an assembly of lords spiritual and temporal, while very practically at quarter sessions and petty sessions is much justice done by those whom lawyers call lay-men. Throughout the middle ages the unprofessional element in our judicial constitution was strong and healthy, keeping common law at one with common opinion, preventing any wholesale adoption of an alien jurisprudence. But still from the beginning of Henry the Third's reign there were in the royal court learned judges, most of them ecclesiastics, who were making for themselves fame merely as great judges. Foremost among them there was Martin Pateshull, 'a man of 'wondrous wisdom and very learned in the law of the land[1].'

Law a subject for study.

And 'the gladsome light of jurisprudence' (to use Coke's fine phrase[2]) had dawned in England as elsewhere, an idea of law as of a reasonable system of connected principles, providing in advance for all possible cases, a proper subject for doubt, disputation, proof,—and yet no mere ideal existing only in the speculations of doctors and scholars, but the very law of the land, of which ordinances, charters, writs, decisions, ancient custom, wonted procedure, were authoritative though partial manifestations. Practice and theory had grown side by side reacting on each other. The concentration of justice in the king's court, the evolution of common law, were but one process. That the development of legal doctrine was rapid, we may easily see as we pass from that strange dark book the Leges Henrici Primi, through Glanvill to Bracton. But theory did not outgrow practice. Much had been and was being learned from civilians and canonists. The canon law was of vital importance to all Englishmen, to the laity as well as the clergy. The king's professional judges were, as already said, ecclesiastics deeply interested in the church's

[1] Mat. Par. Chron. Maj. (ed. Luard) vol. 3, p. 190.

[2] Co. Lit. 395 a.

law. Appeals to Rome were not uncommon and the English suitor might have to secure the services of the best Italian jurists, of Azo himself. But cosmopolitan tendencies were held in check by practical necessities. The king's justice was conquering and to conquer, but a regard, at least an outward regard, for ancient tradition, an adherence to settled forms and precedents, were conditions of its success; nor could it always succeed without concession and compromise. Our English lawyers seem from the outset to treat the Roman law much as our church treats the Apocrypha; it is instructive but not authoritative; in other countries these leges scriptae prevail; our leges are non scriptae; English law is English.

Growth of law not hampered by procedure.

On the other hand the common law was not yet a struggling captive netted in the meshes of procedure. What in after times made it the most elaborate of labyrinths was the closed cycle of original writs, the catalogue of forms of action to which naught but statute could make addition. Now during the earlier part of the thirteenth century the king's general power to make new writs seems unquestioned, though protest, armed protest, may be made against a particular use of that power, specially if it interferes with the feudal jurisdictions. And many new writs must have been made. Of some we know the history. This was made by William Raleigh[1], that by Walter of Merton[2]. But as the struggle for a parliament drew near, as King Henry forced on that struggle by attempting to govern without chancellor, treasurer, or justiciar, complaints of new and illegal writs became loud and the general principle was drawn into debate[3]. Bracton, writing some few years before the open outbreak, has left us a transitional doctrine. New original writs can be made as occasion may require, for wrong must not be without remedy; in strictness such new

New writs.

[1] Br. f. 438 b.

[2] The Old Natura Brevium (f. 122 b) ascribes to him the *Quare eiecit infra terminum* as to which see Br. f. 220. See also the entry in Rot. Cl. vol. 1, p. 32 b, which makes the writ of *entry sur disseisin* a writ of course. The whole system of writs of entry was rapidly developed without legislation.

[3] Mat. Par. vol. 6, p. 363; Ann. Burton. (Ann. Monast. vol. 1), p. 448.

writs should be approved by the whole kingdom, that is, by the magnates; but the consent of the magnates may be taken for granted; they consent if they do not expressly dissent, and it behoves the king to give remedy for every wrong[1]. A fiction of this kind could not be permanent and events decided that the requisite consent of the common council of the realm should be a real consent. Thenceforward the common law was dammed and forced to flow in unnatural, artificial channels. The supremacy of Parliament may have been worth the price paid for it; none the less the price was high.

The state of things at which we have glanced, the powerful court, the yet flexible law soon to lose its flexibility, will, if duly considered, seem very worthy to be the theme, very likely to be the cause, of a great book, one which in declaring law will make law for ages, which will leave a distinct mark on national history, which will be read with interest when six centuries have passed away. *The time for a great book.*

And the man who came to the work was able, very able we must say if we compare him with his successors. We look back at them and him and he stands a head and shoulders taller than them all. Just so long as his influence was powerful lawyers could produce such fairly readable books as those which we call Britton and Fleta; serviceable epitomes, what is good in them is Bracton's. One fact about them let us note. Bracton, we may safely say, did not fulfil the whole of his splendid plan. In the middle of an account of the writ of right his book stops short and then we have what looks like a brief fragment on the personal actions. Britton and Fleta carry their accounts of the writ of right to the same point, and then they too stop short. No one could finish that book: there was no one to bend the bow fallen from the master's hand. There come things which hardly may be called books, the Henghams, Fet Assavoir, the Old Natura Brevium, the Novae Narrationes, useful things in their day for practitioners, but showing no interest in legal principle, no grasp of law as a whole, merely a care for the *The praises of Bracton.* *Compared with his successors.*

[1] Br. f. 414 b; compare Fleta, p. 76, 77.

details of practice, for the tithes of mint and cummin. In its last hours the fifteenth century is redeemed by Littleton's Tenures. Against "the most perfect and absolute "work that ever was written in any human science[1]" nothing shall here be said, for it is a masterpiece; but still it is a small thing to set beside the heroic work of Bracton. Littleton, again, had neither rivals nor imitators. English lawyers could make abridgements; write books they could not or would not. As to the chaos of Coke it is bad chaos as it stands; what it would have been but for Littleton and Bracton one does not like to think. It is strictly true what Lord Campbell says, and Lord Campbell cannot be charged with mediaevalism: Bracton "was rivalled by no English juri-"dical writer till Blackstone arose five centuries afterwards[2]." Twice in the history of England has an Englishman had the motive, the courage, the power to write a great readable, reasonable book about English law as a whole[3].

Comparison with foreign writers unprofitable.

We may more easily and more profitably compare Englishman with Englishman, than Englishman with foreigner. In the thirteenth century the task for the writer on law was very different in different countries. Bracton was placed in favourable circumstances. He had to describe the most vigorous system that Europe could show. As an engine of masterful justice for the government of a large land, the court of our king had not its equal. Nor was the Englishman hampered by dead texts. He had but to describe what was really being done day by day and done on a large scale. It is almost useless therefore to attempt a weighing of his merits against those of his French and German contemporaries, Philip Beaumanoir, for example, or Eike of Repkow, or against those of the Italian doctors. Still we may take this from foreigners, that when we set our legal literature beside that of continental Europe it is not of Bracton that we need be ashamed.

[1] Coke's Preface.

[2] *Lives of the Chief Justices*, vol. 2, p. 62.

[3] If to any one what is here said of Bracton seems extravagance, he may be asked to think of some of Bracton's contemporaries, of Edward the First, Simon de Montfort, Robert Grosseteste, Roger Bacon, Matthew Paris; are they not greater than their successors?

If for one moment we set his book beside the Customs of Beauvais and the Saxon Mirror one fact worthy of note stares us in the face. The Englishman's work both in its general structure and in many details has been influenced by Roman jurisprudence. Really if we place ourselves in the thirteenth century and look only at the surface of things, it must seem very likely that England will soon adopt Roman law as a whole, while into Northern France and Germany it will make its way but slowly or never. After the event we can see why such a prediction would be foolish. The development in England of a centralized royal justice was rapid, precocious. Before the end of the thirteenth century the system with its stubborn writs and formulas had become too osseous to be much modified by new outlandish learning. And looking closer we see that Bracton had no intention of supplanting English by Roman law. It is Rationalism rather than Romanism that he learnt from Azo's book, and this fact that at an early date English law was rationalized by an able man, is not the least among the causes which protected us against Romanism in the following centuries. Bracton and Roman Law.

Trying to state in general terms, (this is no place for particulars,) what was Bracton's debt to the civilians we may put it thus:—First he had learned certain wide principles of jurisprudence, had found some of the highest premisses of all civilized law expressed in neat and accurate phrases. For these, at least for some of these, the England of his time was ripe. They are not, he might argue, specifically Roman; the Romans themselves regarded them as common to all mankind; they are dictates of reason implicit in all law. His debt to the civilians estimated.

> Justinian's Pandects only make precise
> What simply sparkled in men's eyes before,
> Twitched in their brow, or quivered on their lip,
> Waited the speech they called but would not come[1].

Then there are instances in which rules that are less general and more specifically Roman are adopted, or rather proposed, as solutions for concrete cases. For the more part

[1] Browning, *The Ring and the Book*.

this is done very modestly and by way of suggestion. There being no English authority in point, why,

> Imperious Caesar dead and turned to clay
> Might stop a hole to keep the wind away.

But his main debt is less palpable, for what he has converted to his use is spirit rather than substance, not these or those rules, but a method of reasoning about law, of perceiving the interdependence of rules, of making them take their places as members of a body. He is at his very worst when he copies matter from Azo, as he does very freely in those parts of his book, which, being the first, are unfortunately the best known. He is there dealing with high generalities about things and persons; to these English law had not yet ascended, and (even when allowance has been made for the blunders of mediaeval copyists and the negligence of editors) we are forced to say that his copyings from Azo are not always very intelligent; he fails to take point after point made by the Italian master. Only when he comes to more concrete matters do we see the best that he has learned from abroad. There is no more copying; he has ample raw material of home growth; it is to be found in the rolls of the king's court; but by means of ideas and distinctions which have come to him from beyond seas he gets principle out of precedent and weaves a rational text. A parallel as good as most historical parallels is ready to hand. Within our own century a great foreign civilian has left his mark on some of the very best of our English text-books, not making them any the less English, only making them more reasonable by having placed their writers at a standpoint outside the English system, a standpoint whence a wood might be seen, not merely a quantity of trees. Azo was the Savigny of the thirteenth century[1].

Bracton's English authorities. It is to be regretted that no one has yet printed a good text of Bracton alongside a good text of Azo. Only when this is done, shall we fully understand the influence of Roman upon English law. But it is much more to be regretted that

[1] When I wrote the above I had not yet read Mr Scrutton's careful estimate of Bracton's debt to the civilians (*Roman Law in England*, pp. 79—121), which seems to me very just.

no one has printed Bracton's English authorities, those five hundred cases which he cited from the rolls. Many of those rolls are yet in existence and surely this matter was worth some pains. Nothing is more remarkable in Bracton's book than his profuse references to decisions. His law is case law. Now this is remarkable. It is very seldom indeed that any other mediaeval writer, Fleta, Britton, Hengham, Littleton, ever cites a case, and citations in the Year Books are out of the common: seldom is there anything more definite than a vague "It is so in our books." Shall we say that Bracton foresaw what after the lapse of centuries would become the most distinctive characteristic of English law? It would be folly seriously to attribute to him any such marvellous power of prediction; but the fact remains, his law is case law. In dealing with concrete matters he appeals not to Azo, nor to Ulpian, nor again to Reason or Nature, but to this and that case adjudged by Martin Pateshull or William Raleigh. The rolls of the king's court, therefore, and in particular the rolls of Pateshull and Raleigh should have an interest for us. To say nothing of the light they throw upon every detail of mediaeval life, they contain the authorities, and it well may be, ultimate authorities for many a rule of the common law which hitherto has been traced no further than Bracton's unverified assertion. However to print these rolls in full would be too large, too costly a task for private enterprise. We have been embarrassed by our riches, our untold riches. The nation put its hand to the work and turned back fainthearted. Foreigners print their records; we, it must be supposed, have too many records to be worth printing; so there they lie these invaluable materials for the history of the English people, unread, unknown, almost untouched save by the makers of pedigrees. Now to select important cases from these rolls would be difficult. The endeavour, likely enough would fail, for so much of our old law has been utterly forgotten that perhaps there is no one now competent to say what are the important, the leading cases. A false measure, an unfounded theory might well make the selection unfair and give us the anomalous instead

His law is case law.

of the normal. What then would we not give, such of us as really care for the history of our law, could we find the selection made for us by some thirteenth-century lawyer, could we find some note book in which such a lawyer had copied the cases which were the most interesting to him and the men of his time, some book in which he jotted down his own remarks on those cases? What would we not give could we indulge the hope that the maker of that book was Bracton?

This Note Book wanted.

Such a book chance has preserved.

§ 2. *Of Vinogradoff's discovery.*

This Note Book found.

In the summer of 1884 Paul Vinogradoff, Professor of History in the University of Moscow, was in England seeking materials for mediaeval history. A study of the English manor led him to a study of Bracton's text and he went behind that text to Bracton's authorities. He then heard, I believe from Mr Selby of the Public Record Office, of a MS. at the British Museum known as MS. Additional 12,269. Carefully reading it he came to the conclusion that it was closely connected with Bracton's work and indeed was probably Bracton's own note book. This discovery he published to the world in *The Athenaeum* for 19 July, 1884; some weeks earlier I had the pleasure of hearing about it from his own lips. His letter to *The Athenaeum* is printed at the beginning of this book. Hereafter I must repeat its arguments at greater length. But at once I will say that so far as I am aware though the MS. had been used by others, the credit of perceiving its value in the history of law was wholly due to Vinogradoff. At least it should be understood that I claim no credit. I have but worked on the lines indicated by him in the letter which he published.

Valuable even if it be not Bracton's.

As I am about to begin an argument, or rather a statement of evidence, which must needs be long and intricate, tending to prove that the MS. in question is what on my title page it is called, namely Bracton's Note Book, I should like to say at once that in my own opinion the value

of this book does not depend wholly or even chiefly on the success of my argument. It seemed to me that Bracton's or not Bracton's, the Note Book ought certainly to be printed; this will hardly be denied by any, and if the version of it now published be a fairly accurate and useful version, then I have not failed in what was my main endeavour. If it be Bracton's so much the better. The evidence as to this is all of an indirect kind, consisting of many small details and minute coincidences. Before we can weigh it we ought to know some particulars about Bracton himself, about his selection of authorities, we ought to form some idea as to what would have been in his note book if a note book he had[1].

§ 3. *Of Bracton's life*[2].

Little known of his life.

Of the man himself there is seemingly little to be known. We might indeed collect a large number of small facts about him, for his name occurs very frequently during some twenty years on the Fine, Close and Patent Rolls. But with few exceptions these facts would be all of one not very interesting kind; he is commissioned to take this, that and the other assize of mort d'ancestor or novel disseisin. He can have played no leading part in the exciting history of his time. It was a time of great chroniclers; the greatest of our mediaeval lawyers, the greatest of our mediaeval historians, were contemporaries; Matthew Paris died a few years before Bracton; yet of Bracton Paris has nothing to tell; Paris was writing the history of the present, Bracton was making the history of the future.

[1] It will be necessary to deal in dates and, as the original documents usually refer to the regnal years, it will be well to remember that Henry III. was crowned on 28 Oct. 1216. Thus Easter Term A. R. 3 = Easter Term 1219, and a case de Termino S. Michaelis A. R. 10° incipiente 11° is a case from Michaelmas Term A.D. 1226.

[2] I must acknowledge in a general way my debt to Dugdale, Selden, Madox, Güterbock and Foss, to the prefaces of Sir Travers Twiss, to the article on Bracton by Mr J. M. Rigg in the Dictionary of National Biography, to the Excerpts from the Fine Rolls edited by Mr Roberts, and above all to the MS. calendars of the Patent and Close Rolls in the Public Record Office, on which I have often relied.

His name not Bracton but Henry of Bratton.

One thing is clear. His name was not Bracton but Henry of Bratton. It is written a very large number of times upon contemporary Rolls and Feet of Fines and the only variant for Bratton that is at all common is Bretton. Certainly most of the MSS. of his treatise that I have seen give a clear Bracton; but their readings of proper names are extremely corrupt; one has, for instance, to recognize the English villages of Hatfield, Swanscombe and Itteringham under such monstrosities as Hecfenur, Snanthanis and Judlibam[1]. There is no room for doubt that the text writer was the judge whose name appears on roll after roll, or that the judge's name if not Bratton was Bretton. However Bracton he has been for centuries, and so let him be to the end.

A Devonshire man.

He has been claimed by two Devonshire villages, Bratton Fleming near Exmoor, Bratton Clovelly near Dartmoor, also by Bratton Court in the parish of Minehead on the Somersetshire side of Exmoor. There is but little evidence in favour of any of these claims; there is another Bratton in Somersetshire, Bratton Seymour near Wincanton, there are Brattons in Shropshire and Wiltshire, Brettons in Yorkshire and Wales; but there are good reasons for connecting him with Devonshire. In 1212 a William Raleigh was presented by the king to the church of Bratton Fleming[2]; he may have been the William Raleigh whose judgments Bracton has made immortal and Bracton may have been his pupil. As proof of Bracton's connection with Bratton Court, a tomb in the church of Minehead has been shown as his, but it seems beyond doubt that he was buried in the nave of Exeter Cathedral where long afterwards Bratton's altar stood, Bratton's bell was rung, and Bratton's mass was chanted. We are thus absolved from believing that he had, like the

[1] OA and a few other MSS. of the treatise give the name as Bratton. I refer to f. 188 b where he takes his own name as an illustration. As to the passage on f. 1, almost all MSS. make him speak of himself simply as *Ego talis*. The Devonshire Assize Rolls known as Coram Rege Rolls 90 and 96 must have come under his own hand, and the corrections on them are very likely in his handwriting; his name throughout is Bratton. There was a contemporary judge, William le Breton; his name however is invariably spelt with a single *t*, while Henry always has *tt*.

[2] Rot. Pat. vol. 1, p. 93 b.

skeleton in the Minehead tomb, an abnormal num
teeth[1].

The best proof of his burial in the Cathedral is give
two interesting deeds relating to the manor of Thorve
That manor lies near the Exe half-way between Exeter and Tiverton. Henry the Second had given it to the monks of Marmoutier[2]. In 1272 they conveyed it to John Wiger, (probably a member of the family whose name is borne by Broadwood Wiger a village hard by Bratton Clovelly,) subject to a charge of six pounds a year for maintaining two chaplains to celebrate masses in Exeter Cathedral for the soul of Henry of Bratton late chancellor of that church. Five years afterwards Wiger conveyed the manor to the Dean and Chapter, to provide at the altar in the nave of their church before which Henry of Bratton was buried, masses for the souls of the kings of England, of Henry of Bratton and of John Wiger the grantor[3]. Edward the First seized the manor as an escheat on Wiger's death, but, the conveyances being proved, the Chapter recovered possession of it[4]. The conveyance by the monks of Marmoutier was made in consideration of a sum of 392 marks described as paid to the monks out of the goods of the late chancellor and the goods of John Wiger by the hands of the said John Wiger. From this it would seem very likely that Wiger was the executor of Bracton's will, and that in pursuance of his will the chantry was endowed[5].

His last will.

It is in the west country, more especially in Devonshire, that we find him active both as judge and as churchman, and the little that we can hear about his worldly possessions bears out the supposition that there was his home. That we should hear but little is to his credit. His earnest denunciations of judges who make a profit of their office are not vague generalities[6]; they have point enough when read in the light of contemporary history. Some of his fellows became very rich,

His worldly possessions.

[1] See the account of Minehead in Murray's Guide to Somersetshire; Collinson, History of Somersetshire, vol. 2, p. 31; *Notes and Queries*, 3rd Series, vol. 9, p. 298.

[2] Monasticon, vol. 6, p. 1097.

[3] Twiss, vol. 2, p. lxviii.

[4] Rot. Parl. vol. 1, p. 3.

[5] By the kindness of Mr Hampshire the Librarian of the Cathedral I have been allowed a copy of the conveyance which is still among the title deeds of the Chapter.

[6] Br. f. 2, 106.

scandalously rich if we may trust Matthew Paris; Thomas Multon[1] for example and Robert Lexington[2]. Henry of Bath, the judge with whom Bracton is most commonly associated, amassed vast wealth by discreditable means; one of his companions, (perhaps it was Bracton,) charged him openly with taking bribes; he could afford to pay a fine of two thousand marks[3]. Still there are some signs that Bracton had other means of livelihood besides the judicial salary of forty pounds, though such signs are of uncertain value since he may have had a namesake. Thus when in 1254 John of Munedene confesses that he owes eighty-four marks to Henry of Bratton, apparently the price of crops grown on land at Clopton in Suffolk, we cannot be quite sure that the creditor is our Bracton[4]. On the other hand we may well see him in the Henry of Bretton to whom in

His connection with the Raleighs.

1261 Walter Raleigh and Isabella his wife grant for life the manor of Tykenbrede in Cornwall[5]. This manor we may perhaps identify with a spot called Tuckenbury which lies between Linkinhorne and Liskeard[6]. Again we may see him in the Henry of Bratton against whom as tenant of the manor of Saunton in Devon, William of Punchardon and Ermengard his wife bring an action in 1253 for the dower whereof she was endowed by her former husband Thomas of Saunton[7]. The manor of Saunton Court lies in the parish of Braunton, a little south of Morthoe and the wild north coast, a few miles from the village which still bears the name of the family of Ermengard's second husband, the village of Heanton Punchardon. Will the reader remember this lady's

Ermengard of Punchardon.

not very common name—Ermengard wife of William of Punchardon? She will be of use to us hereafter.

With many of the Devonshire landowners Bracton must have been familiar. Year by year for twenty years he went

[1] Mat. Par. vol. 4, p. 49.
[2] Mat. Par. vol. 5, p. 138.
[3] Mat. Par. vol. 5, pp. 213, 223, 240.
[4] Tower Assize Rolls, No. 21, m. 26. The debt is secured elaborately by sureties and penalties.
[5] Feet of Fines, Cornwall, A. R. 45, No. 3. This is a noteworthy example of careful conveyancing.
[6] I owe this suggestion to Mr Leslie Stephen.
[7] Coram Rege Roll, No. 93, m. 27. I have not been able to trace this action further, but the manor seems to have long remained in the family of Saunton. Risdon, *Description of Devon*, ed. 1714, vol. 1, p. 111.

among them as a judge of assize, heard their causes, associated them with himself as justices. Raleighs and Punchardons, Traceys and Beaupels sat with him on the bench at Exeter, Morchard, Moulton, Torrington, Chulmleigh, Barnstaple, Umberleigh, for assizes were taken at many places[1]; no wonder then if some of them were his friends and he had his home among them. Wife or child he can not have had for a reason now to be given.

Like most of the great judges of his age he was an ecclesiastic, though it is only in the last years of his life that, to our knowledge, he had any benefice. In the thirteenth century the chapter of Exeter had among its members more than one famous lawyer. At one time the great William Raleigh was its treasurer[2], at another Ralph Hengham was its chancellor[3]. Bracton became archdeacon of Barnstaple on 21st Jan. 1264[4]; after a few months he resigned the archdeaconry for the chancellorship of the cathedral which was conferred upon him on the 18th May 1264[5]. In the autumn of 1268 that office was given to another and new appointments were made to prebends in the cathedral of Exeter and the collegiate church of Bosham which are described as those of Henry of Bratton[6]. We may conclude from this and some other evidence that he had but lately died. Already in February 1272 the manor of Thorverton was subject to the charge for maintaining masses for his soul.

His career as an ecclesiastic.

His death.

That he studied law at Oxford, was professor, doctor utriusque juris and what not, has been repeated many times with much confidence. The sole foundation for the whole story seems to be the bare assertion of Bishop Bale, a flimsy foundation indeed[7]. That there was already a flourishing law school at Oxford is certain[8], that Bracton may have been of

Did he study at Oxford?

[1] See Bracton's two Assize Rolls, known as Coram Rege Rolls, No. 90 and 96.

[2] Le Neve's *Fasti*, ed. Hardy, vol. 1, p. 414.

[3] Ibid. p. 417, 409.

[4] Ibid. p. 405.

[5] Ibid. p. 417.

[6] Ibid. p. 417; Twiss, vol. 2, pp. ii.—xiii.; Oliver, *Lives of Bishops of Exeter*, p. 281.

[7] See as to this myth the article on Bracton by Mr J. M. Rigg in the Dictionary of National Biography and the authorities there cited.

[8] See Chronicle of Evesham (Rolls Series), p. 267.

...at he may well have
...mporaries got theirs,
... the king's chancery.
...by "practising at the
...sm.

...ore his death he was a
...art of that time he held
... evidence of this matter
... know something of the
The king, who was now at
...by his side a few professional
... followed the king. Other
...erm time on 'the Bench' at
...acita de Banco. We ought
... judges as forming two such
His
tion
Ral
...ater days; a judge who was at
...at another be on the Bench at
...seems generally to have chosen
...dges of experience, and probably
...en a judge was selected to hear
... were litigated coram ipso rege.
...six, seven years an eyre for all
...d be instituted in the counties.
...hree of the professional judges
... with some prelate or baron and
...esides these general commissions,
...ssions for the possessory assizes;
...essional judges was empowered to
... other assize of mort d'ancestor or
...trusted to choose his own associates;
... these special commissions was issued
... work of delivering the gaols was done
... less regularity, but the professional
...ed with this.

...es of the judges who go on an eyre, or
...sizes, there is no lack of information;
...ent, Close and Fine Rolls. Also there
...iscovering who sat on the Bench at

Westminster in any given term. 'Feet of fines' exist by the thousand, and the judges before whom the concord was made are always named in them. But as to the judges who were with the king, the task is harder. Whether as yet they were appointed by any enrolled document seems very doubtful; the Plea Rolls seldom name them; and it is very rare to find the record of a fine levied coram ipso rege. This last fact will not surprise us, for in after days the Court of Common Pleas (and this 'the Bench' was coming to be) was the proper place for real actions and consequently for fines. Still such records do exist, just in sufficient number to prove, were other proof wanting, that while certain judges were at the Bench certain others were holding pleas before the king himself[1].

Now the first known fact in Bracton's judicial career is that in 1245 he visited the counties of Lincoln, Nottingham and Derby as a justice in eyre along with Roger Thurkelby, Gilbert Preston and others; he was at Lincoln on the morrow of the Ascension, at Nottingham on the 30th of June[2]. Seemingly he was never sent on any other eyre of the common kind; but late in 1259 he was sent by the baronial council then in power on an eyre of a very special character for the redress of grievances[3]. In 1248 however there begins a long series of entries on the Patent Rolls which shows that from that time until his death he was constantly commissioned to take assizes in the south-western counties, Cornwall, Devon, Somerset, sometimes Dorset and Wiltshire; rarely was he sent elsewhere[4]. This series goes on with hardly any break until the end of 1267; the last entry that I have found is dated the 26th of December in that year[5]; we have seen

Employment as justice in eyre.

As justice of assize.

[1] Out of several thousand fines I have seen less than a dozen levied coram ipso rege.

[2] Rot. Cl. 29 H. 3, m. 8 d; Rot. Cl. 30 H. 3, m. 8 d; Feet of Fines for Derbyshire; Feet of Fines for Yorkshire, 25 to 30 Hen. 3, No. 251; see also Tower Assize Roll, No. 10.

[3] Rot. Cl. 44 H. 3, m. 18 d.

[4] The first entry that I have seen is dated 12 Feb. 1248, Rot. Pat. 32 H. 3, m. 10 d; this seems the only one in this year; in two years time they become common. The first entry from the Fine Roll in the Excerpta is from 1250 (vol. 2. p. 82). The Coram Rege Rolls 90 and 96 are rolls of assizes taken by him. They should be printed.

[5] Rot. Pat. 52 H. 3, m. 33 d. The last entry in the Excerpta e Rot. Fin. is from 1267 (vol. 2, p. 458).

other reason for believing that he died in 1268. Hence we might infer with certainty that he was one of the regular permanent judges. But further there is an entry on the Close Roll under date 7th Aug. 1259[1], which declares that henceforth special justiciaries (*speciales justiciarie*) are only to be committed to the following persons, namely, Roger of Thurkelby, Henry of Bath, Henry of Bretton, Giles of Erdington, Gilbert of Preston, William of Wilton, John of Wyville. This seems to mean that a commission to take this particular assize or to hear that particular action is only to be granted to one of the judges here named. It looks like an attempt of the baronial council to limit the king's power of appointing any one whom he pleases, to act as justice for this occasion only, an attempt prophetic of future statutes[2]. Now with the exception of Bracton all these judges at one time or another sat on the Bench at Westminster and fines were there levied before them. But Bracton seems never to have sat on the Bench. I have examined several hundred feet of fines and thus constructed the table of justices who sat on the Bench, which will be found at the end of this Introduction; my results agree fairly well with those obtained by Dugdale, and so it appears certain that Bracton never held the placita de banco[3].

Not as justice of the Bench.

He holds the pleas which follow the king.

That at times he held the placita coram ipso rege there is evidence, sparse indeed, but yet sufficient. In a cartulary of Waltham Abbey is found a copy of the chirograph of a fine levied as early as the autumn of 1248 coram ipso rege; the justices named in it are Henry of Bath, Jeremiah of Caxton

[1] Rot. Cl. 43 H. 3, m. 7 d.

[2] The justices of assize actually commissioned in the next year are all the above and Peter Percy, John Cave and Nicholas de la Tour. The number during the previous years had been considerably larger, fifteen or yet more, including some, e.g. Robert Walerand, who may have been regarded as royal partizans. After this the number again increases slightly, and Walerand among others was again commissioned. (MS. Index to Patent Rolls.)

[3] I looked at the fines for Bedford, Berks, Bucks, Devon, Derby, York and 'Divers Counties' until I obtained several concurrent authorities for the judges of each term. An examination of the fines for other counties might lead to some modification of the list, but the search seemed quite sufficient to show that Bracton never was one of the regular occupants of the Bench. In Rot. Hund. vol. 1, p. 14, jurors speak of a case as decided by Henry of Bath and Henry of Bretton justices of the bench; but this is but a verdict and refers to a past time.

and Henry of Bratton[1]. The foot of another fine levied between 1246 and 1256 gives us as the judges who are with the king, Henry of Bath and Henry of Braston[2]. In another from the summer of 1257 Henry of Bath, Henry of Bretton, and Nicholas de la Tour (de Turri) are the judges[3]. Just at this date we find Bracton in receipt of £40 a year from the Exchequer, the usual judicial salary[4]. A Plea Roll of 1253 speaks of a past time when Jeremiah of Caxton and Henry of Bretton held the pleas coram rege[5]. In 1255 a case is to be heard by Henry of Bath, Henry of Bratton, Henry de la Mare and Nicholas de la Tour and others of the king's council in the king's court[6]. In 1254 the king provided Bracton with a house in London[7], in 1253 and again in 1256 with royal venison[8]. Lastly Matthew Paris has preserved the record of a suit between the Abbot of St Albans and the Bishop of Durham. It followed the king; the writs commanded appearance coram nobis ubicunque fuerimus. In November 1256 it came before Henry of Bretton and Nicholas de la Tour at Winchester; a judicial writ was issued and tested at Clarendon by Henry of Bretton; the next year it came before the same two judges at Westminster and the judicial writ was again tested by Henry of Bretton[9]. At this moment Bracton seems to have been the premier of those judges whom the king had with him. From all this it may be inferred that from 1249 to 1259 or thereabouts, he was a judge constantly employed in hearing pleas before the king. That he should not either have been sent on eyre or have held the placita de banco is rather curious; but the same is true of Jeremiah Caxton with whom he is more than once associated, and of the more famous or notorious Robert Walerand; Nicholas de la Tour again does not appear on the Bench until many years after he has been holding pleas

[1] MS. Harl. 391, f. 71.

[2] Feet of Fines, Divers Counties, No. 208. A hole after the word *tricesimo* makes the exact date doubtful.

[3] Divers Counties, No. 332.

[4] Issue Rolls of the Exchequer (Record Commission), p. 33.

[5] Placitorum Abbreviatio, p. 131.

[6] Rot. Pat. 39 Hen. 3, m. 3 d; Foed. vol. 1, p. 320.

[7] Rot. Pat. 38 H. 3, m. 2. (MS. Ind.)

[8] Rot. Cl. 37 H. 3, m. 3; Rot. Cl. 40 H. 3, m. 6. (MS. Ind.)

[9] Mat. Par. (ed. Luard), vol. 6, pp. 330, 331, 347, 348.

before the king, and Henry de la Mare and William of Wilton, both distinguished judges, appear there but very casually. The natural inference is that the king found Bracton a useful man to have about him.

His last years.

For the years after 1259 there is less evidence. Bracton steadily took assizes in the south west, but that he was with the king I have seen no proof. However when the time of storm and strife is over he again appears in high place. In the spring of 1267 he was appointed member of a commission of prelates, judges, barons and knights to hear the claims of 'the disinherited,' of those, that is, who had forfeited their lands by siding with de Montfort[1]. He and another royal judge, Richard of Middleton, are named between a bishop and an abbot and before all others, and he is named before Middleton. It seems likely then that all along and until his death he held pleas before the king and some have conjectured that he may be called chief justice. A thorough search among existing records would probably reveal a few more facts[2].

Statement that he was killed at Lewes untrue.

It must not be dissembled, however, that as to his death a certain difficulty is created by an entry on the Fine Roll, which seems to have escaped the notice of his biographers, though it has long been in print. The roll for A. R. 49 (A.D. 1264—5) has several writs which direct that the interest, fees and penalties due to the Jews in respect of the debts owed by certain favoured persons shall be forgiven. One such writ, dated 8th March, 1265, is made in favour of Adam le Despenser. Then comes the following entry:—

[1] Rot. Cl. 51 H. 3, m. 10 d. Isti assignati sunt ad querelas exhereditatorum audiendas. Bishop of St Davids, Henry of Bratton, Richard of Middleton, Abbot of Tintern, Robert Neville, Eustace Baliol, Roger Sumery, Alan de la Zouche, William of S. Adomar, Adam of Gesemuth, Simon of Crey.

[2] It has been thought that he may have borne the title of chief justice during the interval between the death of the Barons' Justiciar Hugh le Despenser at the battle of Evesham, 4 Aug. 1265, and the appointment, 8 March, 1268 (Rot. Pat. 52 H. 3, m. 23), of Robert Bruce as chief justice to hold pleas before the king himself. This last date must be very near that of Bracton's death. But the claims of Robert Walerand deserve consideration, for it seems that he pronounced the sentence of Winchester, Sept. 1265; such at least seems the meaning of the following lines from an ancient poem (Chronicle of Rishanger, Camd. Soc. p. 145):—

Exhaeredati proceres sunt rege jubente
Et male tractati, Waleran R. dicta ferente.

'Consimilem literam habet Johanna soror et heres Henrici de 'Brattona qui interfectus fuit in conflictu habito apud Lewes[1].' Less than a year after the battle this entry was made. However it is absolutely certain that Henry of Bratton the judge was not slain at Lewes; many entries on this very roll and other later rolls set this beyond doubt and debar us from the interesting question under which banner he was fighting on the fatal day. We must suppose either that he had a namesake, or that the clerk who wrote the Fine Roll made a blunder. The latter alternative seems the more acceptable. Of a second Henry of Bratton no trace has been found, and the writ in question would hardly have been made in favour of a nobody. Two of the king's justices were killed at Lewes, William of Wilton fell by the sword, Fulk Fitz Warin was drowned[2]. It may be that the name of one of them should have appeared in this writ instead of that of their illustrious colleague.

Great events of his time.

But the mention of Lewes must remind us that the ten last years of Bracton's life were great years in English history, years of discontent and strife, of dissolution and reformation, of constitutional growth and civil war. The Mad Parliament, the Provisions of Oxford, the Provisions of Westminster, the paper constitutions, the fruitless negotiations and projects and compromises, the Mise of Amiens, the battle of Lewes, the Parliament of 1265, the battle of Evesham, the sentence of disherison, the dictum of Kenilworth—these are still famous. The question then is natural, what part did Bracton play in them? On the answer to this may depend our opinion as to the authenticity of the most celebrated of the words attributed to his pen.

He played no prominent part in politics.

The answer must be that he played no prominent, no picturesque, part, did nothing that any chronicler would note. A little more may be said. He became a judge at a time when Henry was already provoking the storm, when parliaments were already clamorous for reform, when year by year the complaint went up that despite oaths and confirma-

[1] Rot. Fin. 49 H. 3, m. 7. (Excerpta, vol. 2, pp. 421—2.)

[2] Rishanger's Chronicle (Rolls Series), p. 28.

tions the charters were not kept, that writs were issued contrary to the law of the land. Such complaints, if they were complaints against the king, were complaints against his judges also. A royal judge of the time may have felt with the discontented barons, the discontented nation; Roger Thurkelby could confide to Matthew Paris his dislike of the *non obstante* clause, his dread of the Poitevin favourites[1]; but an open attachment to what we are wont to think the patriotic and constitutional party would hardly have been possible. Bracton however grew in favour with the king, held the specially royal pleas before the king himself. Still when the storm burst he did not lose his place. On the contrary the entry on the Close Roll dated 7th Aug. 1259, of which we have already spoken, shows that he was trusted by the then dominant barons. He is one of seven judges who may be commissioned to take assizes. Again in the same year, directly after the publication of the Provisions of Westminster, the ruling barons sent out commissioners to inquire into and redress the popular grievances, especially the misdoings of the sheriffs and royal bailiffs. The work was to be done by the judges associated with trusted members of the baronial party. Bracton was commissioned for Gloucester, Worcester and Hereford along with Humfrey of Bohun Earl of Hereford. This seems clear proof that he was not regarded as a royal partizan[2].

He was trusted by all parties.

During all that follows Bracton is still commissioned to take the Devonshire assizes; men may come and go, constitutions may be established and annulled, king or earl may be victorious, but Bracton takes the Devonshire assizes.

[1] Mat. Par. vol. 5, pp. 211, 317.

[2] Rot. Cl. 44 H. 3, m. 18 d. 28th Nov. This commission is enrolled very near the celebrated Provisions. It is for an eyre of a very special character and gives valuable information as to the causes of the great crisis. Rishanger (Rolls Series, p. 5) reports that in 1260 the justices in eyre were repulsed from Hereford, because, according to the great men of the county, the eyre was contrary to the Provisions of Oxford. This should be read in connection with the statement of the Worcester annalist (Ann. Monast. vol. 4, p. 446) that the justices who came to Worcester on 1 July, 1261, were repulsed, because seven years had not elapsed since the last eyre. These statements do not refer to Bracton's journey with the Earl of Hereford; but they are important illustrations of the national grievances. The king oppresses the nation; the judges are the instruments of oppression.

He is commissioned before and after the day of Lewes, before and after the day of Evesham. What we say of him might be said of at least some other of the judges. William of Wilton, who was layman and knight, fought and died for the king; but on the whole the judges seem to be gaining that position outside party politics which we in this day think should naturally be theirs. Almost the last that we read of Bracton is that he is appointed to hear the complaints of the Disinherited[1]. It would seem then that he must have been a moderate, fair-minded man, whom all could trust and regard as a great lawyer and a righteous judge, that they could say of him as he wished, Justus es, domine, et rectum judicium tuum[2].

One small fact of considerable value was revealed by the diligence of Madox[3]. In 1258 the barons of the Exchequer were ordered to procure that the various plea rolls of Henry's reign which were in the hands of divers persons should be restored to the Treasury, the proper place for them; an order was made that Henry of Bratton should bring in the rolls of Martin of Pateshull and William of Raleigh; a similar order was made for the restoration of the rolls of Stephen of Segrave which were in the hands of the Abbot of Leicester and the Prior of Kenilworth[4]. The order may have been a very proper order; it was only right that the records of the king's court should be deposited in the custody of public officers; and yet we may be the losers, for who shall say how much more perfect Bracton's book might not have been, had he not been deprived of the rolls whence he drew his law? At all events here is a fact to be remembered in the course of our investigation.

The order for the return of the rolls.

1 See above p. 22.
2 Br. f. 108.
3 Hist. Exch. vol. 2, p. 257.
4 Exchequer Memoranda, Lord Treasurer's Remembrancer, 42 Hen. 3, m. 12 d. Segrave died in the Abbey of Leicester, that is, the Abbey of S. Mary des Prés. Mat. Par. vol. 4, p. 169.

§ 4. *Of Bracton's Text.*

The editions of the treatise.

We must now turn from the man to his text. But where are we to find that text? In 1569 an edition was published by Richard Tottell. A reprint of this was published in 1640, and what in substance was hardly better than another reprint was lately published under the authority of the Master of the Rolls. The text of 1569 was in its day a not discreditable product. The most serious fault to be laid to the charge of its anonymous author 'T. N.' is that to all seeming (though in his preface he shows himself aware that the manuscripts teem with interpolations) he wished to give as ample a text as possible and chose for his guide the manuscripts which would give the most. About forty variants, many of them trivial, were all that he found worthy of note; though he says that twelve manuscripts were examined. But though not discreditable in its day, the text of 1569 should satisfy no one in this more critical age, when the collation of MSS. has become a comparatively easy task. I have myself seen in London, Oxford and Cambridge near thirty MSS.; there may well be fifty in existence, some from the thirteenth, most from the fourteenth century. The differences between them are very numerous and very important, and the making of a good edition will some day demand several years of hard labour. Not that the true reading of any given sentence is often seriously doubtful; Bracton's language is simple and straightforward. Allowance being made for misprints and for the obvious blunders of copyists, many of which an intelligent reader can rectify by conjecture, the text of 1569 will generally give each sentence pretty correctly; the proper names have suffered worst and this makes the verification of Bracton's citations a somewhat difficult task; also the passages of Roman Law unfamiliar to the transcribers have suffered very badly. But when the question is what sentences should be in the book and in what order they should come, then there is often grave cause for doubt; the manuscripts

The manuscripts.

disagree, and the printed text is a thoroughly bad guide. Not unfrequently one will find in a MS. a passage which certainly does not come from Bracton. Two plain instances may be seen in Tottell's text; reference is made to a case before John of Metingham, a judge of Edward the First's day, a case which has been recently printed in one of Mr Horwood's Year Books[1]; also we read how the period of limitation for an assize of mort d'ancestor was changed in king Edward's day by the Statute (1275) of Westminster the First[2]. But in some manuscripts one will find worse things than these; sometimes a large selection from the Edwardian statutes is incorporated[3], or again occasion is taken of Bracton's mention of the tree of consanguinity to foist in a whole treatise of this or that learned canonist on the subject of consanguinity and affinity[4]; in one case may be found embedded in the middle of Bracton's text what openly proclaims itself to be the work of the Spanish priest Johannes de Deo[5]. Interpolations in the MSS.

Against such obvious interpolations it is possible to guard oneself; but there are subtler sources of error. A reader of the printed book, who reads with the persuasion that his author was a sensible, orderly-minded man, will note many passages which seem to be dislocated and some at least of these he will suspect of being marginal notes which in the process of transcription have forced their way into the text. Occasionally what has all the appearance of being a note of this kind has lodged itself in the very middle of a sentence[6]. A glance, however superficial, at the MSS. will strengthen the suspicion. It is rare I believe to find a MS. which has in its margin notes, which are as old or nearly as old as Addiciones.

[1] Br. f. 26. This seems clearly the case from 1294 in Y. B. 21 and 22 Edw. I. p. 449. I have not yet seen this case in any MS.

[2] Br. f. 253 b. Most of the MSS. give the new rule and seem to have as their common original some MS. into which that rule was interpolated; MB, MM, OA, OB, however give the old law fixing the return of John from Ireland as the period of limitation.

[3] ME.

[4] ME, OB.

[5] ME. I have given some account of this in the *Law Quarterly Review*, vol. 2, p. 278.

[6] I have given one instance of this in the *Law Quarterly Review*, vol. 1, p. 340. See generally as to the state of the MSS. the article in *L. Q. R.* vol. 1, p. 189, by Vinogradoff, who in a few weeks learned, as it seems to me, more about Bracton's text than any Englishman has known since Selden died.

Bracton's day; marginal notes which are clearly of a later time are not very uncommon and a few of these have become part of the printed text[1]; but in most of our MSS. the older notes or glosses have already got themselves out of the margin. Often enough however their true nature is revealed by the fact that they are to be found only in some of the MSS., while in others they appear now at this point now at that of the text; having once left their proper home they have wandered about in search of a convenient resting place. Then again there are MSS. in the margin of which one occasionally finds the word *Ad-di-cio* so written as to stamp as an addition the passage over against which it is set. This points to some older MS., the head of the family, which had these passages not in its text but in its margin. In one MS. there are at least nineteen passages thus marked. In more than one there are traces of these *Addiciones* having been numbered, thus *Addicio Prima, Addicio Tercia, Addicio Undecima.* In another MS. the word *Plus* has been used for the same purpose, and it may be suspected that the word *Nota*, which is very often found, has sometimes though not always a similar meaning[2].

The Digby MS.

There is, again, one MS. in the Bodleian Library[3] which is of exceptional importance. Here a large part of what we have been wont to regard as Bracton's text stands in the margin. Two scribes at least were employed on the work; the parts written by one of them are rich with marginalia in his handwriting; the other either left marginalia uncopied or else incorporated them in the text. Now the matter which is still in the margin comprises many, if not all, of the passages which in corresponding parts of other MSS. are stamped as *Addiciones* and many other passages as well; in all they make a large mass, perhaps a thirtieth part of the printed book. The great majority of them are found as part of the text in the printed version of the treatise. They

[1] The most elaborately annotated versions that I have seen are the two Cambridge MSS. CA, CB.

[2] MH and OC are good specimens of MSS. which show *Addiciones*; but one or more may be seen also in MB, MC, MD, MI, MM and CB, while MA has several passages marked as *Plus*, *Plus usque huc* and the like.

[3] Digby, 222, my OA.

consist mainly of explanations, illustrations, qualifications, of the text; but sometimes new topics are introduced and discussed at length. A decided opinion would be premature, but they look as if they might well have come from Bracton himself. The MS. has the semblance rather of a book which is still in the making, than of a book which was written by one man and afterwards glossed by another. Among the marginalia are citations of cases from very early years of Henry's reign, and I have not noticed any citations which might not have been made by Bracton. These marginal matters are not the rough notes of a practising lawyer made merely for his own behoof; some are elaborate and learned disquisitions. The passage for instance in which the Bracton of the printed Vulgate makes his greatest parade of Roman law with numerous citations from Code and Digest, stands in the margin[1]. But of course it were dangerous to dogmatize until a real effort has been made to settle the text by a detailed comparison of all existing MSS.

Variances between the MSS.

The best example of the problems which lie in wait for an editor of Bracton is afforded by what is perhaps the most remarkable passage in the whole book. Seldom have more momentous words been written in a lawyer's text, for this is the famous passage about the king so often quoted in the political trials of the seventeenth century, quoted by the President of a High Court of Justice when an English king was to be sent to the scaffold[2], repeated over and over again by legal theorists and historians as giving the opinion of the great mediaeval judge. A brief digression about this matter will serve the purpose of showing how little trust should be put in the text of the printed book. Other illustrations might easily be chosen, but this should be of interest to others besides lawyers and antiquaries[3].

Bracton[4] in his orderly manner has come to speak of

[1] The whole of De Actionibus, cap. 12, § 5 (f. 114). CB also has this in the margin and in some other MSS. it is altogether wanting.

[2] *State Trials*, ed. 1809, vol. 4, col. 1009.

[3] See Vinogradoff's article in *Law Quarterly Review*, vol. 1, p. 189. I can add a little to what he there said, but the discovery, such as it is, is due to him.

[4] Br. f. 34.

charters, charters of feoffment and so forth. He distinguishes royal from other charters. Concerning royal charters and the deeds of kings, he says, neither the justices nor private persons can nor ought to dispute, nor can they interpret them should any doubt arise. If the words are dubious, obscure or ambiguous, the king's interpretation and the king's pleasure must be awaited, for whose it is to grant, his it is to interpret; even if the charter be altogether false because of an erasure or a forged seal, still it is better to proceed to judgment before the king himself. Thus far the undoubted Bracton. Then comes the following:—

The passage about the king and his masters.

Item nec factum Regis nec cartam potest quis iudicare, ita quod factum domini Regis irritetur[1]. Sed dicere poterit quis quod Rex iusticiam fecerit, et bene, et si hoc, eadem ratione quod male, et ita imponere ei quod iniuriam emendet, ne incidat Rex et iusticiarii in iudicium viventis Dei propter iniuriam. Rex autem habet superiorem Deum scilicet. Item legem per quam factus est Rex. Item curiam suam videlicet comites, barones, quia comites dicuntur quasi socii Regis, et qui habet socium, habet magistrum, et ideo si Rex fuerit sine fraeno, i. e. sine lege, debent ei fraenum ponere.

If, (the book continues,) the king is left unbridled by his barons, his subjects will cry to Our Lord Jesus Christ to bridle him; to whom the Lord will answer, 'Behold I will 'call upon them from afar a mighty nation and an unknown, 'whose tongue they shall not understand, which shall tear up 'their roots from the earth, and by such shall they be judged 'for they would not judge their subjects justly'; and in the end bound hand and foot He will send them into the fiery furnace and outer darkness, there shall be wailing and gnashing of teeth.

Jeremiah, v. 15.

The reader will feel a strange shock as he passes from these glowing words to the icy calmness of the next sentence, 'If a private person make a gift of a certain

[1] Observe that the judges are not to pronounce the king's charter void. This seems directed against the use of the *non obstante* clause, one of the great grievances of the time. The text which hitherto has been very submissive to the king, allowing him to say that his charter means anything or nothing, now begins to turn against him. The following sentence is obscure, and I have not found an acceptable variant. It seems to mean this—It is allowable to say that the king has done justice and right; this being so, it must be allowable also to say, when such is the truth, that he has done ill, and thus to impose on him the duty of making amends.

bound by law, that God would exact of him a very strict account. The king who does well is God's vicar; the king who does ill is the devil's vicar (f. 107 b). He is very much in earnest about this; doubtless he thought that the king was doing ill; many sentences in his book can have been no pleasant reading for Henry. But between this hint that the baronage and the incorporate realm may perhaps restrain an erring king and the dogmatic statement that the king is below his court, that the barons are his equals and his masters, that if they do not restrain him they will be damned, there is a vast gulf.

ontra-
n soon
ved.

The discrepancies between these various passages were brought to light in the great trials of the seventeenth century. Every one cited, every one could legitimately cite Bracton. But long before they had been apparent to at least one reader. In the Cambridge library there is a sumptuous manuscript comprising Bracton's treatise and divers other books of law. Bracton's text has been elaborately glossed by one whom there is some reason for calling John of Longueville, a justice of the time of Edward II. Against the passage in which his author expressly treats of the kingship and says that the king has no peers (f. 5 b), he sets down an argument drawn from the more questionable passage (f. 34), and proves with syllogistic parade that the king has equals, superiors, masters[1]. But indeed the contradiction lies on the surface. Conceivably the same man at different times wrote all these sentences; but he can not have intended that all of them should appear as parts of one book; one of them looks very much as if it had been written with the very object of explicitly contradicting the others. Nor even were there no manuscripts extant, could there be much doubt as to which passage should be regarded as the afterthought or interpolation. The statement that the king has fellows and masters is contradicted by at least five statements found in all parts of the book.

[1] MS. Dd. vii. 6, folio 4 dors. according to a pencil pagination of the Bracton. This is the MS. used by Mr Nichols in his edition of Britton and described by him, vol. 1, p. lx. fol.

Now in the Digby MS. this passage is not to be found. Unfortunately however it is in a part of the treatise which was copied by that one of the two scribes who did not copy marginalia. In six other MSS. I have found no trace of it[1]. In an eighth it is not to be found but *Addicio de Cartis* appears in the margin[2]. In another good Oxford MS. it is comprised in an *Addi-cio*[3]. Lastly the MSS. which give it differ among themselves as to where it shall come; very often it is made to precede the paragraph which in the printed book it follows[4]. The witness of the MSS.

The conclusion then to which we are led is that this famous passage is no part of the original text. Certainly it is of ancient date; it is found in the Fleta[5], a book which is believed to have been written in 1290 or very shortly afterwards[6]. Its vehemence savours strongly of the time of revolution which ended with the battle of Evesham. Bracton may have written it in the margin of his manuscript, having learned and unlearned many things since he wrote the body of the treatise. On the other hand it seems unlikely that one who steadily acted as judge after the death of de Montfort, who was selected to hear the claims of the Disinherited, had written what must have been an earnest, almost violent, defence of the barons' cause. Of course however this interesting question cannot be solved by *a priori* speculations[7]. These famous words not part of the original text.

[1] MA (the Royal MS.), MI, MM, MN, CC, and the Cholmely MS. at Lincoln's Inn.

[2] MB.

[3] OC.

[4] In MF, MH, MK, OC, CA, and the Hobhouse at Lincoln's Inn the passage *De cartis vero regiis et factis regum non debent.........procedatur ad judicium*, comes after *Item nec factum regis......stridor dentium*.

[5] Fleta, f. 17.

[6] Nichols, Britton, vol. 1, p. xxvj.

[7] Attention may here be called to Case 1108. A strong statement of the principle that the king cannot be sued is enrolled as part of the judgment of the court; Dominus Rex non potest summoneri nec preceptum sumere ab aliquo cum non habeat superiorem se in regno suo. This is very contrary to the old fable, as Bacon called it (Works, ed. Spedding, vol. 7, p. 694), about *Praecipe Henrico Regi*, in which perhaps some still believe. See Allen, *Royal Prerogative*, Authorities, p. xxxij and Stubbs, *Const. Hist.* vol. 2, p. 238.

§ 5. *Of the Date of Bracton's Treatise.*

Conclusions as to date must be provisional.

Now I have made no effort to settle Bracton's text; this could not be done as a bye work; some day it will, we may hope, be the serious task of a competent scholar. It seemed to me that I should best be furthering that task by publishing this Note Book. So though I have occasionally examined such MSS. of the treatise as lay readiest to hand, I have not gone very far behind the printed text. Enough has been said however to show that the printed text is very untrustworthy, that it contains many things which were better in the margin or in an appendix; and, this being so, the problem of assigning a date to the treatise, is obviously difficult. In particular we may be induced to fix too late a date by some few passages which will turn out to be no part of the original work. Still if this danger be had in mind a few cautious conclusions may be attained which will be valuable in the course of our argument. The first conclusion, as I think, is this that the book is unfinished, and therefore, in a certain sense, has no precise date.

The treatise unfinished.

That it is an unfinished book seems most likely. The last part of it consists of an elaborate treatise on the writ of right. We naturally expect that this will end with some account of the trial, the duel and the grand assize. About this much might have been written which would have been of great use to practitioners; it is the consummation of the whole procedure; all that has gone before touching the forms of writ and count, the summonses, defaults, essoins, warranties, exceptions, should be preliminary. But we are disappointed; instead of that for which we look we get three brief chapters about the mesne and final process in personal actions; and so ends the book. This unsatisfactory ending is enough to rouse suspicion and the suspicion is confirmed if we remember that, when dealing with the trial by battle of criminal cases, Bracton told us that the reason for a certain rule would be given below when the wager of battle in a

civil action was described[1]. This is a distinct case of a promise never fulfilled; the wager of battle in a civil action is never described.

Other cases there are of unfulfilled promises, though these are less distinct. Thus there is a promise to treat of the action for recovering a villein (*placitum de nativis*)[2], of the action of debt[3], of the action of trespass[4], and of fines[5]. Now though the writer does touch these topics incidentally, still such casual treatment is not what he has led us to expect. What is more, if we look at the contemporary plea rolls, or at the cases in this Note Book, we shall see that an exposition of these matters is just what is required in order to make the treatise a very complete account of the law administered in the king's court. Bracton had Glanvill's work before him and Glanvill had devoted a book to the *de nativo habendo*, another to the *placitum de debito*, another to the *finalis concordia*. Fines were matters of daily importance; the action of debt was becoming common in Bracton's time and by the end of that time the action of trespass was by no means rare. The constant mention that he makes of villein status as a matter which may come into debate in the course of various actions sets us on hoping, but hoping in vain, that he will tell us about the one action in which the question of status can be directly raised and decided. The promises he gives us are promises which we have a right to expect and they are unfulfilled. Unfulfilled promises.

Unfulfilled, that is, in the printed book. The supposition that we have lost something should not be altogether excluded; and a diligent examination of all the MSS. might possibly bring to light some as yet unprinted chapters. But unfortunately there is much to make us think that we have

[1] Br. f. 141 b; et est ratio assignata infra de civilibus actionibus de duello vadiando pro terra. See also f. 331; sicut infra de duellis.

[2] Br. f. 7; infra plus de hac materia de placito de nativis et fugitivis, qualiter revocantur in servitutem qui sunt extra potestatem dominorum et in fuga.

[3] Br. f. 60; sed quia succuritur agentibus et jus suum prosequentibus in eisdem per breve de debito vel quasi, ideo inferius de debito plenius dicetur.

[4] Br. f. 164; ut infra de transgressionibus ubi plus de hac materia.

[5] Br. f. 33 b; et de hac materia inveniri poterit infra, de finali concordia.

already the whole of what was written. The fact that Fleta and Britton break off where Bracton breaks off is an almost conclusive proof that the trial of the writ of right was never described; if Bracton's description of it has been lost, it was lost within a few years after his death.

Self-contradictions.

That he at one time intended to perfect his account of the great ultimate remedy for the recovery of land seems to me certain, and I think it probable, though less certain, that his scheme comprehended some treatment of final concords, of actions of debt and of trespass and the action for reducing a fugitive serf into villeinage. Were this not so it would be rash to regard as evidence of incompleteness the occasional occurrence of self-contradictions; even in these days of print it is not unknown that a very good writer may contradict himself. When however we scan Bracton's work with the belief that it is unfinished, this belief will find fresh sustenance in many quarters. Passages which we should otherwise reject as interpolated by a later hand may be admitted as the author's afterthoughts though they do not harmonize with their context. In a few instances we find contradictions so glaring that we cannot ascribe them to confused thought or insufficient analysis. One striking example may be enough:—the question is three times raised, (surely a very notable question when regard is had to the "common law" of later days,) whether land can be made devisable *per formam doni*, that is to say, if there be a feoffment to *A* and "his heirs, assigns or devisees" (*tibi et heredibus tuis, vel cui dare vel assignare in vita, vel in morte legare volueris*) can *A* devise the land?—twice is this question answered in the affirmative, the devisee can recover from the heir; once the form of writ applicable for the purpose is given[1]; once it is said that such a writ, though as yet unheard of, may well be made[2]; but in a third passage it is denied after argument that the devise will be valid[3]. Now were we sure that Bracton finished his book we should be strongly tempted to reject one or two of these three passages; but as it is, they

[1] Br. f. 412 b.
[2] Br. f. 18 b.
[3] Br. f. 49.

may all well be his, representing the fluctuations of his own opinion or the fluctuations of judicial practice. So again, to refer once more to the ever famous words[1], it is conceivable that Bracton wrote that the king has as superior to him his court, to wit his earls and barons, though the same Bracton repeatedly and emphatically denied that the king has any superior save God and the law, or even any equal[2]; just in his day such theories as these were being rapidly moulded and remoulded by the course of events.

The book an organic book, not a collection of tracts.

On the other hand the opinion that we have here a mere collection of various tracts written at different times and loosely strung together seems unfounded. It is an organic book, it has a definite intelligible plan, the parts are closely interdependent, references are made and in general correctly made to what has gone before and what is coming afterwards; some parts are more highly finished than others, but the whole hangs together very tightly and resists any attempt to cut it up into independent sections.

Most of the cases he cites are earlier than 1240.

Finding a book left unfinished by one who died in 1268 it were a natural first guess that he was actively engaged upon it when death stayed his hand. Now it well may be that to the very end of his life he still from time to time added here a gloss and there a note to a manuscript which was yet in his possession; but the text as a whole must have an earlier date, indeed the next piece of evidence that we have to consider would incline us to give it a much earlier date. This consists of the cases which are cited from the rolls. His manner of citing will be described more fully below; here it is enough to say that as a general rule he gives the date of the case, or else information which enables us easily to fix the date. A glance at the table printed at the end of this Introduction will show that at least nine-tenths of his cases come from the years between 1216 and 1240.

Very few later cases.

With A.R. 24 (A.D. 1239—40) the continuous stream of citations ceases. I believe that only nine cases are vouched as being of later date than this, one from an eyre of A.R. 29

[1] Br. f. 34.

[2] Br. f. 5 b, 52, 107, 171 b, 368 b, 412.

Self-con-
diction

from A.R. 31[2], one
from A.R. 33[5], one
42 (the printed text
Only nine cases from
ry much to say that
must seem to us very
prefer old cases to new.
ast two, I have not found
these citations otherwise
The case from A.R. 38 is
ses suspicion, though A.R. 37
commonly assigned. The
een interpolated[7], and there
tion from A.R. 46 was inter-
ing to show that the inter-
and so far as MS. authority
he same footing as much that
There are, again, cases which are
mentioned. Their number is
if some vague references be
I have been able to trace to the
has drawn most of his lore, the
ars of the reign. Others seem to
ater time; one of them was tracked

MSS. give
114 b,
some 31.
This is m
This is
This is
case to which.

according to the printed text, S. Archbishop of Canterbury, was party. The year or the initial letter must be wrong; but OA and several other MSS. give B., which stands for Boniface and is right. There is a case on the roll for Mich. A.R. 37–38 (Coram Rege Roll, No. 93, m. 10 d) which looks like the case in question.

7 Br. f. 277 b. *The heirs of John of Monmouth.* OA and other MSS. have a plain *xlij*, which would easily be turned into *xiij*. See as to this case Roberts, Calendarium Genealogicum, vol. 1, p. 73; I have found one stage of it in 1258, Coram Rege Roll, No. 106, m. 2.

8 Br. f. 159. OA has this in the margin; it is not in MI or CA, or (according to Sir T. Twiss) the Parisian MS.; still it is commonly found.

by Vinogradoff to A.R. 1254[1]; this and a good many others of its fellows seem not to have formed part of the text as originally written[2]. Inferences founded on these imperfect citations would he hazardous. Of all passages open to the suspicion of having been marginal notes these are the most open. A note of a case which mentions no date might be very useful to the person making it; it would in general be very useless to others. Bracton writing for the world gives chapter and verse with laborious precision; Bracton, or any one else, scribbling in the margin for his own use would have no occasion to be so particular. On the whole then though the copious stream of citations ceases with A.R. 24 (A.D. 1239—40) I have not found authority for rejecting the few scattered cases which come from a time as late as A.R. 37 or 38 (A.D. 1252—4) or even for supposing that yet later cases were not cited by Bracton himself. An ordinance made on the occasion of the dedication of the Abbey of Hayles, which took place on 5th Nov. 1251 is mentioned and I have seen no reason for consigning it to the margin.

Some of the latest citations due to Bracton himself.

That some of the interpolations come from Bracton himself seems very probable. The printed text gives a subtle exposition of the doctrine of possession as applied to a case in which a donee obtains physical control of part of the thing given, while the donor remains in possession of the other part. There are several good MSS. in which this is not found[3]. In the middle of it we read, 'Male actum fuit in 'contrarium inter Rogerum de Reyne et Robertum de Shute 'de terra de Vulverton.' Now this case (it concerned the hun-

[1] *Reyne* v. *Shute*, Br. f. 49 b; Coram Rege Roll, No. 96, m. 3.

[2] Thus the case of Godfrey of Crawcombe, Br. f. 29, is omitted in many MSS.; the case before Lexington at Clarendon, Br. f. 45, is not in OA or MI; Henry Tracy's case, Br. f. 88 b, is in the margin of OA and OB and is not in MI; the case of the man of Cookham, Br. f. 144 b, is in the margin of OA and is not in MF or MI; the case of Thomas de Vipont, Br. f. 194 b, is omitted in several MSS.; so is the case of S. Mary, Oxford, Br. f. 285 b, which is in the margin of OA, and comes I believe from Mich. 1253. On the other hand the case of the Abbot of S. Albans and Geoffry of Childwick, Br. f. 56 b, seems part of the original text and must probably be later than 1250; for compare Mat. Par. vol. 5, p. 129.

[3] See f. 49 b. The interpolation begins with *Item si in carta donationis* and ends in the same folio with *per usum suum nihil acquirit*. It is not in OA, OB, MH, MI.

dred of Dulverton) may be seen on the precious roll of assizes taken by Bracton in the year 1254[1]. He held that a would-be donee had not obtained possession either of the land or of the hundred court which pertained to the land. The case was taken to the court above by the process of certificatio; as to the land Bracton's decision was affirmed, but as to the hundred it was reversed; this appears from a little strip of parchment annexed to the roll which well may be in Bracton's handwriting. "Male actum est in contrarium," then, is most likely the complaint of a judge over-ruled but not convinced. On the same roll there is a case between William Montacute and Andrew Wake[2]; in the margin of the Digby MS. of the treatise I have seen without any context the words *Wake Munt Agu.* That Bracton annotated his own work as late as 1254 seems plain, and unless some MS. will enable us to remove into the margin a yet larger mass of matter than that on which the Digby MS. casts suspicion, we shall have to hold that he was at work on his original text up to that date or a few years earlier.

Bracton at work as late as 1254.

His preference for old cases deliberate.

This may surprise us when we remember that the vast majority of the cases on which he relied were already twenty years old. Now in this context we should note a passage which stands at the outset of his book. Stating the scope and purpose of his work, he tells us that he had given his mind to ancient judgments of the just[3]. The judges of to-day, this seems his opinion, are perverting the law, they are too often ignorant and partial; we must go back to the wisdom of the men of old time. Now if due weight be given to this declaration it seems to follow that the judges whom Bracton revered, Pateshull and Raleigh, already belonged to a past age; their decisions were *vetera judicia.* Another passage may serve to show that by this phrase he did not necessarily refer to a very remote period; he tells us how on a certain point there was a difference of opinion among the

[1] Coram Rege Roll, No. 96, m. 3.
[2] Ibid. m. 10.
[3] Ego talis animum erexi ad vetera judicia justorum perscrutanda diligenter non sine vigiliis et labore, Br. f. 1. This is the reading of the printed text; but the MSS. generally give *perscrutando* and require a different punctuation of what follows.

ancients (*contentio inter veteres*) and solves the question by decisions cited from the fourth and sixteenth years of Henry the Third[1]. Still it was to old judgments that he went for his law. This may seem strange to us brought up in the belief that the latest decision of a court is of more value than any previous determination. But we have Bracton's word for it; he deliberately chose old judgments, judgments of judges no longer on the bench, as the best authorities.

Work substantially finished before 1259.

Thus much as to the earlier of the two limits within which the book must be placed. As to the later; Bracton did not die until 1268; but he cannot have written the main part of his book or have revised it during the few last years of his life. In the first place he repeatedly uses a phrase (*donec terrae fuerint communes*) which seems to imply that England and Normandy ought to be and (please God) will some day be, under the same ruler[2]. This phrase must have lost its meaning when in 1259 Henry resigned his claim to Normandy. Again it seems fairly certain that Bracton when he was writing did not know of the Provisions of Westminster, that he wrote therefore before October 1259. In some cases he states law inconsistent with those Provisions[3]; in others he ought to notice them if they be law. This would be a more thoroughly convincing argument were it not necessary to remember that there may have been moments at which some at least of Henry's judges treated the Provisions as null and void, the outcome of usurped power. Most of them were incorporated in and reenacted by the Statute of Marlborough in 1267; this would hardly have been done had they then been regarded as of indisputable authority. But Bracton would certainly have liked some of them to be law; would if he could have made them law. Thus he says that a murder fine should not be exacted in case of accidental death, but that a custom to the contrary prevails in some places[4]; the

[1] Br. f. 367.

[2] Br. f. 298, 415 b, 427 b, 428 b.

[3] Thus Prov. West. c. 14, prohibiting gifts in mortmain without the lord's consent is inconsistent with much that Bracton says; see especially f. 169 b. Prov. West. c. 15 declaring that the essoinee need not warrant the essoin by oath is contrary to what is said on f. 352.

[4] Br. f. 135.

Provisions ordain that in such a case no fine shall be due[1]. Again it was one of the flagrant grievances of the time that no damages could be had in the assize of mort d'ancestor; this in many cases enabled a lord to keep out the heir for a considerable while without making compensation; this grave abuse was redressed by the Provisions[2]: Bracton uses strong language about it, thinks that damages should be given ad reprimendam malitiam dominorum capitalium, suggests (as it seems to me) that damages may be given, but confesses that hitherto (hucusque) they have not been given[3]. This is hardly the language of one who knew of the Provisions but held them invalid[4].

No revision after 1256.

But another date can be fixed with greater certainty. I think it most unlikely that Bracton wrote a certain part of his book or ever revised the whole of it after the 9th of May 1256. The part in question relates to the essoin de malo lecti. The essoinee is given a period of a year and a day for his sickness; at the end of that time he must appear. But how is the year to be reckoned; in particular what is to be done about leap year? Bracton argues this question at length in a very curious passage[5]. The point, he admits, is disputed, but his own opinion is that in every case a year for this purpose means 365 days and 6 hours, so that the essoinee will always have precisely the same space of time no matter whether a 29th of February occurs or no. A closely similar passage is found in the Note Book, and there what Bracton regards as the true doctrine is ascribed to Martin, that is, doubtless, to Martin of Pateshull[6]. Now it has long been known that the law on this point was fixed by an ordinance[7]. The Record Commissioners when printing that ordinance in their edition of the Statutes seem to have thought that the best authority for it was a copy in the Red Book of the Exchequer, where it

[1] Prov. West. c. 22.

[2] Prov. West. c. 9, 10.

[3] Br. f. 285.

[4] This is of interest as showing some sympathy with the smaller landowners who procured the Provisions. The same leaning is betrayed by the vigorous argument in favour of free alienation without the lord's consent; see f. 45 b.

[5] Br. f. 359–60; see also f. 344 b.

[6] *Note Book*, Case 1291.

[7] The Statutum de Bissextili in the common editions of the Statutes.

is dated 9th May 1256, but that this date was questionable[1]. There is much higher authority; for the ordinance, as one would naturally expect from its form, is on the Close Roll with the date just given[2]. This fixes that date as certain. It is a close writ directed to the justices of the bench reciting that there have been differences of opinion and providing that for the future the 29th of Feb. shall be reckoned as making one day with the 28th. This decides the dispute against Bracton. But this is not all; Bracton himself was present at the making of this ordinance. The enrolment ends with these words, "This writ was provided and framed in the "presence of the king, Richard Earl of Cornwall, Richard "Earl of Gloucester, Henry of Bath, Henry de la Mare, "Henry of Bratton, Walter of Merton and others of the "King's Council." So of course Bracton knew of the writ; we may well suppose that he said all that could be said against the making of it, for it ran counter to what seems to have been a pet theory of his; but after this there can have been no doubt as to what was law.

Part not written after 1256.

Another indication of date must be mentioned; much has been made of it by Dr Güterbock and rightly. As an example of a gift dependent on a contingency Bracton takes "I give you this land if Earl Richard shall be made King of "Germany[3]." Now Richard was elected king in January and crowned in May 1257[4] and it is natural to argue that he cannot have been elected, at least cannot have been crowned, when Bracton wrote this passage; it would be somewhat pointless to take as illustration of a contingency an already accomplished fact. But it is further urged that the words cannot have been written before the death of Richard's immediate predecessor, William of Holland, who did not die until January 1256. This argument though often repeated seems unsound. There was at least one earlier time at which it was probable, or (which is equally to the point) was thought probable in England, that Richard would be King or Emperor.

[1] *Statutes of the Realm*, vol. 1, p. 7.

[2] Rot. Cl. 40 H. 3, m. 12 d.

[3] Br. f. 47.

[4] Mat. Par. vol. 5, p. 601, 640; vol. 6, p. 341.

Already in 1250 during the lifetime of Frederick the Second the Pope having entertained Richard with marked honour, the rumour got abroad in England that Innocent would make an Emperor of the Earl[1]. At the end of the year Frederick died. In his account of the next year Paris says that the Pope offered the Empire to Richard, and that Richard refused it, whereupon the Pope turned to William of Holland[2]. For some years however he pressed the kingdom of Sicily upon the unwilling Earl; Richard he wished to have as an ally, for Richard was very wealthy[3]. Of course the kingdom of the Romans was not the Pope's to give, either de jure or de facto. Still just at that time to be the papal candidate or nominee was to have a good chance. It seems certain then that in 1251 Englishmen were speculating as to Richard's chance, and at any time between 1250 and the coronation in 1257 wagers may have been laid that the crafty Earl of Cornwall would despite his protestations some day wear the imperial crown. Still the year 1256 is certainly the year to which the allusion most naturally points.

Conclusions as to date.

To sum up the whole matter. The book seems to be the unfinished book of one who died in 1268, who for anything we know never published what he had written[4], who perhaps to the hour of his death hoped to resume his task and sometimes jotted down a new note in the margin of his manuscript, who in 1258 was deprived of the rolls that he had been using, who never revised his work as a whole after 1256, who was seriously engaged on it after 1250, who relied for his law chiefly on cases decided before 1240, who regarded such cases as *vetera judicia.* Why he left it unfinished we cannot say; perhaps the loss of the rolls was irreparable; perhaps his duties as a hard-worked judge left him no leisure; perhaps the voice of law was silenced by the clash of arms; perhaps sweeping innovations, such as the Provisions of Westminster, demanded changes in his text which he had not the energy

[1] Mat. Par. vol. 5, pp. 111, 112.

[2] Ibid. p. 201.

[3] Ibid. pp. 347, 432, 457.

[4] As before noted (p. 27) the great mass of MS. is descended from one into which an interpolation had been made after 1275.

to make; at present an answer could be no better than a hazardous guess.

§ 6. *Of Bracton's Selection of Authorities.*

Selection of authorities very remarkable.

The later the date to which the book is assigned the more remarkable is Bracton's selection of authorities; but any way this is remarkable enough. We are almost justified in saying that what he writes is a treatise on the law of England as administered by two judges, Martin Pateshull and William Raleigh. Stress must be laid on this point, for it will be of much importance hereafter; therefore of Pateshull and Raleigh a little should be said.

Martin Pateshull.

Martin Pateshull was from the beginning of Henry's reign the foremost, perhaps in an untechnical sense we may say the chief, of the king's professional judges. He was not chief justiciar; that title belonged to Hubert de Burgh, who of course was no lawyer; the chief justiciarship was not a post for a lawyer, at all events for a mere lawyer. But in any list of the regular justices Pateshull's name so constantly precedes all others that he must have enjoyed some pre-eminence, though perhaps not of a very definite kind. He was a churchman, archdeacon of Norfolk, dean of St Paul's[1]. He seems to have acquired a high reputation for learning and industry. It was hard work to go circuit with him, so strenuous and zealous a judge was he[2]. Rolls extant, and for the more part in print, enable us to trace his movements in eyre after eyre; from other sources we can discover but very little about him. He is nearly the first, if not the very first, Englishman, who becomes famous as a learned industrious judge and no more. He died on the 14th of Nov. 1229[3].

[1] Hardy's *Le Neve*, vol. 2, pp. 308, 482.

[2] *Royal Letters*, Henry III. vol. 1, p. 342.

[3] That he died in 1229 is beyond all doubt. It is a fact attested by several first-rate chronicles. See Mat. Par. Chron. Maj. vol. 3, p. 190; Annales Monastici, vol. 1, p. 73 (Tewkesbury); vol. 3, p. 115 (Dunstaple); vol. 4, p. 421 (Worcester); Annales Londonienses, vol. 1, p. 28. But Sir Travers Twiss (vol. 1, pp. xiv–xv) has attempted to keep him

William Raleigh.

William Raleigh did not die until 1250, but his career as a judge came to an end some ten years earlier. Of him there is more to be said, since he becomes for a few years a striking figure in the history of England. He had already been for some time a judge in the royal court when the troubles of 1234 raised him to the highest place. In 1232 the king broke with Hubert de Burgh and in his stead appointed Stephen Segrave chief justiciar of the realm[1]. On this followed the rising of the Earl Marshall and the delivery of Hubert from his prison at Devizes. The king was forced to dismiss his alien councillors and Segrave along with them. At Ascensiontide in 1234 the reversal of Hubert's outlawry was pronounced in a great assembly of prelates and barons by the mouth of William Raleigh. Henry did not fill up the vacant justiciarship, but it seems plain that during the few next years Raleigh was the premier judge, travelling about with the king and hearing those pleas which followed the king. He stood well with the king, was his trusted servant and councillor. We read how in 1237 the king deputed him to demand an aid from the barons[2], how in the same year he went on the king's behalf to watch the legatine council at St Paul's, how he sat there in his surplice and canon's cope[3], for besides being treasurer of Exeter he was a canon of St Paul's[4]. But he did not long enjoy the royal favour. In 1238 the see of Winchester became empty. The king was bent on obtaining it for his wife's kinsman William of Savoy, bishop elect of Valence; but the monks wished for Raleigh, objecting to the king's candidate that he was a man of blood. Henry's angry retort alluded to Raleigh's judicial career—"He has killed more men with his tongue than the Elect of

alive until 1232, on the ground that in Bracton's text (f. 50 b) a case is cited from an eyre of Pateshull in A.R. 16. The case is given in the Note Book (Case 1294) as coming from the eyre of A.R. 10–11. Of sixteen MSS. of Bracton at which I looked fourteen referred in the plainest figures to A.R. 11; only two, OB and MN, gave A.R. 16. Finally, if all known MSS. mentioned A.R. 16, this evidence would be absolutely worthless when compared with the express testimony of the chronicles and the silence of the rolls and the feet of fines.

[1] Mat. Par. vol. 3, p. 220.

[2] Mat. Par. vol. 3, p. 380.

[3] Mat. Par. vol. 3, pp. 416, 417.

[4] Hardy's *Le Neve*, vol. 1, p. 414; vol. 2, p. 403.

"Valence has with his sword[1]." The monks for a time gave up Raleigh and elected Ralph Neville the king's chancellor; but the king induced the pope to quash the election. Meanwhile other chapters looked to Raleigh as to one who would make a good bishop, one to whom the king could not possibly object. In February 1239 he was elected bishop of Coventry and Lichfield, in April bishop of Norwich. He chose Norwich and was consecrated in September. But Winchester was still vacant; the monks would not have William of Savoy; nor when he died would they have Boniface of Savoy; in September 1242 they again chose Raleigh and despite the king's opposition the pope confirmed their choice.

The king's quarrel with Raleigh.

Henry then set himself to persecute Raleigh persistently and vindictively. No principle, so far as we can see, was involved in the persecution; the royal will had been crossed and the king was obstinate and spiteful. Raleigh would not give way; he was driven from the country. Not until 1244 could the king be reduced to reason. In the spring of that year peace was made and Raleigh returned to England. All Englishmen, says Paris, save only some of the king's clerks who had fomented the discord, were glad at his return; *Benedictus qui venit in nomine Domini* was on their lips, for they had the highest hopes that good would come to king and kingdom from his ability and sound sense[2]. Very soon after this we find him taking a somewhat leading part in a parliament which resisted the king's demands for money and propounded a large scheme of reforms[3]. But we do not learn that he became an active politician, and he seems to have lived on fairly good terms with the king[4]. In 1249 he left for France to live there frugally; he was sadly in debt, the struggle with Henry having cost him dear. He never returned to England and died at Tours in September 1250[5].

Was Bracton's attachment to Raleigh political partizanship?

It has been necessary to notice these things because the question may for a moment arise whether Bracton in choosing as one of his two highest authorities a judge who became

[1] Mat. Par. vol. 3, p. 494.
[2] Mat. Par. vol. 4, pp. 359, 360.
[3] Mat. Par. vol. 4, p. 362; Stubbs, *Const. Hist.* vol. 2, p. 62.
[4] Mat. Par. vol. 4, p. 590; vol. 5, pp. 1, 58, 94.
[5] Mat. Par. vol. 5, pp. 96, 117, 179.

famous for his resistance to the king, may not be betraying some political partizanship. But this seems improbable. It is true that Raleigh came to be regarded as a champion of national and ecclesiastical rights; men compared him even to Becket and to Anselm; Paris dilates on his wrongs. But there is no reason to believe that either Henry or Raleigh was contending for a theory of church or state; the quarrel was personal; the bishop had got what the king wanted. Besides (and this seems decisive) it was Raleigh the bishop, not Raleigh the judge, who withstood the king. Raleigh the judge, whose judgments Bracton cites, was the king's confidential minister. Paris, on the other hand, though he speaks highly of Raleigh's legal knowledge, ability and general character, evidently did not regard his judicial career as matter for much praise. Raleigh was a Matthew called to the apostolate from the receipt of custom; at his consecration there was joy in the presence of the angels of God over a repentant sinner[1]. It can hardly then be a respect for constitutional government, a wish to curb the king, or indeed any political thought or feeling, which makes Bracton single out this judge from among all his fellows to be a father of law for all generations[2].

Bracton's neglect of all judges except Pateshull and Raleigh.

Now in Bracton's pages we may count the occurrences of the names of these two judges, Pateshull and Raleigh, by the dozen; of any other judges he hardly ever speaks. The sum total of what he has to say of them is I believe this:—He mentions one case[3] or perhaps two cases[4] which came before Simon Pateshull, the great judge of John's reign, possibly a kinsman of Martin. Certain distinguished persons get named, because they accompanied Martin in some of his eyres and being of high rank were named before him in the commission, e.g. the Bishop of Durham and the Abbot of Reading; they however were not professional judges. William of York who

[1] Mat. Par. vol. 3, p. 617.
[2] For an estimate of Raleigh's character, see Stubbs, *Const. Hist.* vol. 2, p. 302.
[3] Br. f. 422 b.
[4] Br. f. 50. Most MSS. have here *Simonis* or *S.* but *M.* occasionally appears and may be the right reading.

became bishop of Salisbury is mentioned thrice[1], Roger Thurkelby perhaps once[2]; both of them were among the foremost judges of their time. Of Robert Lexington whose judicial career was very long we hear merely that on two occasions he erred[3]; of his brother John of Lexington, who at one time kept the king's seal, we hear that he also erred, and we hear no more[4]. The name of Simon of Ropelay, who occasionally took assizes, occurs once[5]; and there is notice of an attaint taken by Engelard of Cigogné and others; but Engelard the keeper of Windsor Castle was most certainly no lawyer[6]. All this is insignificantly little; or rather significantly little, for it makes Bracton's selection of authorities an extremely well marked, distinctive, selection. He is silent about his own colleagues, the men who sat with him on the bench, and of their immediate predecessors, though among them there were several who became famous as judges, in particular Gilbert Preston, Roger Thurkelby, Henry of Bath, William of York, Robert Lexington. But this is not all; having gone back to a past time he apparently picks and chooses among the judges of that time. In particular he says hardly anything of a judge who was a contemporary of Pateshull and Raleigh, who rose to a more exalted place than was attained by either of them, who certainly was an able lawyer if he was an unscrupulous politician. He hardly mentions Stephen Segrave, about whom some words are necessary since a statement directly at variance with that which has just been made is found in a book of high authority.

Bracton's treatment of Segrave.

From the beginning of the reign Stephen Segrave has a place among the royal judges second only to that of Pateshull, and before Pateshull's death he was already high in the king's favour[7]. He joined in the plot of those who schemed for the fall of Hubert de Burgh, and when that fall was

[1] Br. f. 130 b, 183, 374. Consecrated in 1247. See as to his reputation as a judge, Mat. Par. vol. 5, pp. 374, 534, 545.

[2] Br. f. 413, comp. Twiss, vol. 6, p. 258. The MSS. are about equally divided between Thurkelby and Raleigh; but if it be Raleigh then the date is wrong.

[3] Br. f. 286 b, 418.

[4] Br. f. 45.

[5] Br. f. 292 b.

[6] Br. f. 293 b. As to Engelard's adventures see *Pleas of the Crown, Gloucester*, 1221, Introduction, p. xiij.

[7] Mat. Par. vol. 3, p. 187.

compassed (29 July 1232) he received the justiciarship and was associated with Des Roches, Passelew and Rievaulx in the government of the realm[1]. Within two years (April 1234) the counter revolution threw him from power. He seems to have made himself very detestable to the insurgent barons and they ravaged his lands[2]. He fled to the abbey of St Mary des Près near Leicester and found it convenient to revive a tonsure which had been long neglected[3]. The king called him to a strict account and for a while he remained in disgrace. He paid a heavy fine and was received back again into the royal favour. He once more became a member of the royal council[4] and we find him taking part in its judicial proceedings; but seemingly he never served again as one of the regular judges. He died in 1241. Now certainly he was a great lawyer. A man of what was reckoned humble birth[5], he had made his way to the very highest station, had been chief justiciar of England. Why did Bracton neglect him?

But did Bracton neglect him? It here becomes necessary to join issue humbly and respectfully with a great historian, the highest authority on such a question, except only Bracton himself. Dr Stubbs has written thus:—"It is a curious point......that Bracton, although himself clearly a constitutional thinker, gives the preference in almost all cases to the decisions of Stephen Segrave, the justiciar of Henry III, who supplanted Hubert de Burgh, and was practically a tool of the foreign party. It is clear that Segrave, though a bad minister, was a first-rate lawyer[6]." Now the facts are these. Bracton mentions Segrave but eight times. Only on three occasions does he notice a difference of opinion between Segrave and any other judge. Twice the difference is between Segrave and Raleigh; Bracton does not state very

[1] Mat. Par. vol. 3, p. 220, 240.
[2] Ibid. p. 292.
[3] Ibid. 293.
[4] Ibid. p. 368, 404, 524.
[5] Mat. Par. vol. 4, p. 169.
[6] *Const. Hist.* vol. 2, p. 190, note 3. Bracton's respect for Segrave seems to have struck Dr Stubbs so forcibly that again on p. 294 he says, "Some of his [Henry's] bad ministers were among the best lawyers of the age. Stephen Segrave, the successor of Hubert de Burgh, was regarded by Bracton as a judge of consummate authority."

clearly whose opinion he prefers: but once, as it seems to me, he agrees with Segrave[1], once with Raleigh[2]. Once again Segrave differs from Pateshull and Bracton takes Pateshull's side[3]. The 'almost all cases' therefore in which Bracton gives the preference to Segrave resolve themselves into one case or possibly two cases. This is almost all the notice that is taken of a famous judge and chief justiciar, one who undoubtedly was, as Dr Stubbs calls him, a first-rate lawyer. On five other occasions his name is barely mentioned[4]. There is no one citation from the roll of any eyre on which Segrave was sent without Pateshull, though of such rolls there can have been no lack. On the other hand citations from the eyre rolls of Pateshull and Raleigh occur in great abundance. This point, of infinitesimal importance in a history of the English constitution, must be of much importance to any one who professes that he is editing Bracton's Note-Book and therefore I am constrained to insist upon it.

Various explanations may be offered, though this can be but guess-work. The apparent preference of Pateshull and Raleigh may really be the result of mere chance; Bracton by some means or another got possession of Pateshull's rolls and Raleigh's rolls; Segrave's he had not got, they were at Leicester or Kenilworth; all rolls should by rights have been in the Treasury; Bracton could only use habitually such as had come to his hands by happy accident. More interesting would it be to detect some political inclination; Bracton "himself clearly a constitutional thinker" may have slighted the authority of "the tool of the foreign party". But Bracton's claim to be counted among those who sought

Explanations of Bracton's choice of authorities.

1 Br. f. 35 b; about petty serjeanty; the passage seems to be an interpolation, and the author's own opinion is left in much doubt.

2 Br. f. 438; curtesy of second husband. See Case 1182.

3 Br. f. 130 b; forfeiture of wife's inheritance by husband's felony.

4 On f. 16 b a case is cited from an eyre of Segrave in Kent, but the judgment was given at Westminster, so this is the citation of a De Banco Roll. On f. 357 Segrave and Raleigh give a *responsum* to that inquisitive person Richard Ducket. On f. 369 b it is noticed that a certain case on the De Banco Roll, (it is Case 397 in the note-book,) was decided in the presence of Segrave and the Chancellor. On f. 293 b and 377 b two Coram Rege cases are cited as heard by Segrave.

to limit the kingly power rests mainly on a passage the authenticity of which is extremely doubtful, and William Raleigh whatever he may have become as bishop, was as judge distinctly a king's friend. More probable does it seem that the bias was not political, but juristic, that Bracton regarded Pateshull and Raleigh as the heads of a school of law and of lawyers. Of rival schools of lawyers Englishmen know little. For centuries past our scheme of justice has been so concentrated that rival schools have been impossible. Every lawyer has belonged to the one orthodox school of Westminster, or has been simply 'no lawyer'. Blackburn, Mansfield, Hale, Coke, Littleton do not found sects; Bracton himself, so far as we know, founded none. But in the first half of the thirteenth century it may well have been otherwise and it was otherwise abroad. The rapid influx of civil litigation into the royal court must have demanded a rapid development of common law: and there well may have been strong and permanent differences of opinion among judges and lawyers even about fundamentals. There may have been Proculians and Sabinians. In particular the respect to be paid to Roman law may have been a hotly contested point. We do not know how this was; perhaps we hear only one side of the case; the school of Pateshull and Raleigh still lives and is eloquent; its rivals, if rivals it had, perished for they had no spokesman to match against Bracton. Lastly we may not forget that when Bracton visits Devonshire, he chooses a Raleigh to sit with him on the bench, and that he holds land of the Raleighs. Possibly he was the pupil, the clerk, the friend of Bishop William.

At any rate the fact remains—the apparent preference of two judges of a past time above all other judges past or present. But in order to duly weigh this fact we must descend to particulars, and consider whence it was that Bracton obtained his lore of cases.

Particulars of his citations.

He cites as I reckon 494 cases; this includes some vague allusions to matters of uncertain date. The nature of his citations may be seen from the following table which is approximately correct.

Pleas in the Bench, A.D. 1217—1234	271
Pleas which followed the king, A.D. 1234—1240	15
Pleas from eyres of Pateshull	117
Pleas from eyres of Raleigh	34
Later cases expressly dated	9
Undated cases	48
	494

His method of vouching cases, when once it is mastered, will seem very orderly and intelligible. The citations fall into three great classes; a few specimens of each shall be given[1].

Three classes of rolls used by him.

1. Citations of De Banco Rolls, the rolls of the Bench. A complete citation of this kind will name no judges and no court, but will mention the names of the parties, the county, the year, and the term: thus—

De Banco Rolls.

Item ad hunc ultimum casum facit expresse de termino S. Hillarii anno Regis Henrici sexto in comitatu Staffordiae de Rannulfo Comite Cestriae et Priore de Kenelworth de ecclesia de Stoke. (f. 246 *b.*)

...probatur de termino Paschae anno Regis Henrici xv°. in comitatu Essexiae de Geruasio de Aldermanbury. (f. 407 *b.*)

Ad idem facit quod habetis de termino Paschae anno Regis Henrici xvj°. in comitatu Suthantoniae de Engelardo de Cygoiny. (f. 407 *b.*)

Et ad hoc facit de termino S. Michaelis anno Regis Henrici xiiij°. incipiente xv°. in comitatibus Suffolkiae et Essexiae de Emma quae fuit uxor Rogeri filii Swani. (f. 312.)

2. Citations of Coram Rege Rolls. These are much rarer. Bracton will say of a case vouched from such a roll that it is among the pleas which follow the king and will give the year, but no term; thus—

Coram Rege Rolls.

...ut inter placita quae sequuntur Regem, anno regni Regis Henrici xix°., assisa ultimae presentacionis inter Priorem de Wallingford et Rogerum de Quincy et Simonem de Thennore. (f. 16 *b.*)

[1] It is the more necessary to explain this matter at length because the person who made indexes for Sir Travers Twiss seems to have thought that every case belonged to some eyre.

...ut inter placita quae sequuntur Regem anno xx°. assisa nouae disseisinae de Waltero de Emdene et Alicia filia Ernaldi. (f. 195.)

Eyre Rolls.

3. Citations of Eyre Rolls. In making these Bracton names the county and almost always the judge. Often he specifies no year, because to do this is needless. Pateshull, for instance, visited Yorkshire more than once; therefore it will not do to speak merely of his Yorkshire eyre; one must be more particular, must say his last eyre, or his eyre of such a year. On the other hand it is quite sufficient to speak of Raleigh's eyre in Bedford, or Leicester, or Buckingham, for (at least as principal judge) he visited those counties but once, so there can be no confusion. Here are specimens:—

...ut de Itinere Episcopi Dunholmensis et M. de P. in com. Ebor. anno Regis Henrici tertio, assisa nouae disseisinae, Si Rogerus de Halgheton. (f. 50.)

...in Itinere M. de P. anno Regis Henrici decimo in com. Ebor. de Emma quae fuit uxor Raymeri le Franceys. (f. 304 *b.*)

...ut de ultimo Itinere M. de P. in com. Ebor. anno Regis Henrici x°. de quadam Juliana. (f. 298.)

Et de hac materia inueniatur in Itinere M. de Pateshulla ad assisas nouae disseisinae capiendas et gaolas deliberandas in com. North., assisa nouae disseisinae, Si Rogerus de Deneford. (f. 169.)

...ut de Itinere W. de Ralegha in com. Bedf., assisa nouae disseisinae, Si Milo. (f. 170.)

...ut de Itinere W. de Ralegha in com. Bedf., de quadam Emma Bouastra. (f. 312.)

Explanation of this classification.

That the most important of the plea rolls would fall into these three classes is just what we ought to expect if we have read Bracton's account of the judicial organization of his time. There are justices travelling about under various commissions; sometimes they are sent on a general eyre *ad omnia placita,* sometimes their power is more limited, they are to deliver the gaols and take the assizes, sometimes they are specially authorized to take just this, that and the other particular assize. In a classification of plea rolls, the rolls of cases heard under these special commissions should form a separate class as Assize Rolls. A few exist, notably two of

Bracton's and several of Preston's. But Bracton does not cite rolls of this class; they would not be first-rate authority, such assizes being taken by a single professional judge with lay associates, or sometimes by four laymen. Then there are justices *residentes in banco*. Lastly there are others who go about with the king, who are at the king's side. The yet extant rolls at the Public Record Office will fulfil this expectation; we find rolls of these three great classes.

No eyre rolls used but those of Pateshull and Raleigh.

Now with one exception[1] Bracton, I believe, cites no Eyre Roll that is not a roll of Pateshull or of Raleigh. On the other hand he has more than a hundred cases from Pateshull's rolls, more than thirty from Raleigh's. Perhaps this fact will not seem so significant to the reader as it does to the writer of this. Therefore be it said that there must have been a very large number of other Eyre Rolls; many exist at this day; many have perished. Thus, for example, take the first eyre of the reign; judges were sent into all the counties of England except eight[2]; every county would have its roll; Bracton cites but one of these rolls; from the roll for Yorkshire, which county was visited by Pateshull and the Bishop of Durham, he vouches a dozen cases. Again in 1227 commissions were issued for most of the counties[3]; Pateshull's journey in Kent, Essex, Hertford, Norfolk and Suffolk supplies Bracton with a profuse crop of cases; Segrave was sent into six counties, other judges were sent elsewhere; Bracton culls no one case from their rolls. By 1250 the number of Eyre Rolls of Henry's reign must have amounted to a hundred and more. Of course the very fact that there were so many rolls would have obliged a text writer, even if he had access to them all, to make some choice, to study and cite just a few. What I am at present concerned to urge, is that any other text writer or student than Bracton, would very possibly have thought it best or found it convenient, to read and to cite an entirely different set of rolls; to all seeming there must have been a vast supply.

[1] Br. f. 413; as to this case from an eyre of Thurkelby see above p. 49.

[2] Rot. Cl. vol. 1, p. 380 b.

[3] Rot. Cl. vol. 2, p. 205 b, 213.

His choice of De Banco and Coram Rege Rolls.

When Bracton cites the De Banco Rolls and the Coram Rege Rolls, he does not as a general rule name the judges who heard the case. This is very natural for without their names the reference is complete and verifiable, and but seldom do their names appear upon the roll. These citations therefore do not explicitly set before us Pateshull and Raleigh as the two judges whose decisions are really sound law. Nevertheless the same principle or partizanship, caprice or accident, which governed the citation of Eyre Rolls, seems to have been at work.

Differentiation of the Courts.

We are here tempted towards the slight anachronism of speaking of a Court of King's Bench and a Court of Common Pleas. It would be but slight for the differentiation of these two courts was almost accomplished; still it is best to adhere to the terminology of the time and we do not yet read of a Common Bench and a King's Bench. To judge from the extant plea rolls it would seem that at latest from the year 1234 onwards the state of affairs was this:—Regularly every term judges sat on the Bench (*in Banco*) at Westminster, and the process which brought suitors before them was process compelling attendance *coram justiciariis nostris apud Westmonasterium.* At the same time the king was going about the country attended by judges; the process compelled attendance *coram nobis ubicunque fuerimus in Anglia.* What is more, there was an incipient differentiation of business; we find defendants who have been ordered to follow the king pleading to the jurisdiction and relying on the well known words of the Charter about *communia placita*[1]; but as yet the special competence of each court is only vaguely defined. Again, we find that errors committed by the justices in the Bench can be corrected *coram ipso rege*[2]. Lastly there are two independent sets of rolls and between them there is this difference, (this will explain Bracton's method of citation,) that the rolls of pleas in the Bench are terminal rolls, while the rolls of pleas which follow the king are annual rolls[3]; it may be that

[1] See Cases 1213, 1220.

[2] See Cases 1166, 1189, 1190.

[3] I am here speaking only of a few years immediately following 1234; I believe that this distinction soon disappears.

cases might be heard *coram rege* out of term as well as in term. In short we may fairly say that there were two different courts, provided that we do not take this phrase to necessarily connote two permanently distinct bodies of judges[1]. The judges were the king's own servants dismissible at pleasure, and seemingly he moved them about as pleased him best. With this explanation, we may say that a Court of Common Pleas had by this time become a distinct court. There is more difficulty about saying that the pleas which followed the king were pleas in the Court of King's Bench, for the germs of what came to be three distinct jurisdictions are hardly yet to be distinguished, namely (1) the jurisdiction of the King's Bench, (2) that of the King in Council, (3) that of the King in Parliament. As a rule the cases found on the rolls of *placita quae sequuntur regem* seem to have been heard by some of the professional judges whom the king kept by his side, occasionally by the king himself with, or possibly without, their assistance, for the notion that the king himself may not act as judge is not of Bracton's time[2]. But sometimes we read that the case was adjudged *coram consilio domini regis*, and sometimes the presence of many prelates and barons, named or unnamed, is noticed and the court seems a parliament[3]. As yet however all these cases are enrolled on the same rolls. Whether by anticipation we call the adjudicating body Parliament, Council, or King's Bench, these cases are *placita quae sequuntur regem*. Even distinctly legislative acts are recorded among these pleas[4].

I have described this as being the state of affairs from 1234 onwards. It seems possible that a definite stage in the development of the Courts should be assigned to that year. I believe that the first of the series of rolls of pleas which follow the king, is a roll extending from the summer of 1234 Two Courts after 1234.

[1] It may be also that a case begun before the king might be sent before the Bench and vice versa, see Cases 1107, 1116.

[2] See especially Br. f. 108. Also Abbrev. Placit. p. 107 (Surrey), p. 119 (Kent), cases stayed because the king was not present; also Case 1182.

[3] See Cases 1108, 1133, 1172, 1189, 1190, 1220, 1221, 1227, 1235, 1273.

[4] See Cases 1117, 1215, 1217.

to the summer of 1235 (A.R. 18—19). This I mean is the first of that series which is now extant. On this fact by itself little stress should be laid, for the series after that date is very imperfect. But this is also the earliest roll of 'king-following pleas' that Bracton cites[1], while for cases in the Bench he goes back as far as 1217. From the rolls yet preserved it may be gathered, that from the very beginning of Henry's reign there were justices sitting in the Bench every term, except when an eyre in many counties took them all away from Westminster. A large number of their rolls we have, though not a perfect set. Now the court that they held seems, in Bentham's phrase, omnicompetent. On the same roll, on the same membrane, one may find appeals of felony, writs of right, actions of debt, the peculiar commodities of the King's Bench and those of the Common Pleas. Further, especially during the King's minority, one may sometimes find it said about a case on one of these rolls, that it was adjudged *coram consilio domini regis*; such a case is generally one which touches royal rights[2]. The Council certainly sat as a judicial body, but seems to have had no roll of its own; its judgments are recorded on the rolls of the Bench. So when the minority is over, on these same rolls one reads of judgments delivered *coram ipso rege*[3]. Doubtless the king when he came of age did justice in person; the doubt is whether he did it so usually and systematically that there was a separate and continuous set of rolls for cases which came before him and such judges as he might choose to have by his side. Occasionally important cases were evoked or adjourned before him, but seemingly he did not as yet make regular judicial progresses through the country, or only did so occasionally[4].

[1] The printed text, f. 241 b, has one such case from A. R. 16; but I have seen thirteen MSS. which give A. R. 18, not one which gives any other date.

[2] See Cases 12, 67, 73, 81, 167, 354, 741, 743, 857, 1306.

[3] See Cases 258, 268, 339, 393, 986, 1551.

[4] Already in 1226 he ordered that the assizes of mort d'ancestor and novel disseisin for five particular counties should be summoned before him, Rot. Cl. vol. 2, p. 154. I have seen a fine levied before him at Leominster on what seems to have been this occasion. The Justiciar, the Chancellor, Robert Lexington, William Fitz Warin and William of London were with him.

Now here it should be remarked that this roll of A.R. 18—19 begins exactly at a memorable date, Whitsuntide 1234. From the beginning of the reign until 1232 Hubert de Burgh was the chief justiciar. In that year, as already said, he fell, was supplanted by Stephen Segrave. The formidable revolt of the Earl Marshall, the threats and persuasions of the bishops compelled the king to another change of mind. Just before Whitsuntide 1234 he turned against Segrave and the foreigners: Hubert and the insurgent barons were inlawed, or rather their outlawry was proclaimed a nullity. No justiciar was appointed; the king as it seems intended to be for the future his own first minister. Now it is just at this moment that begins the first yet extant roll of pleas which follow king Henry, and the first roll of that class which Bracton has cited, a roll full of reminiscences of the recent insurrection, of the misrule of Segrave and Des Roches. It looks as if the king had determined to get all the highest justice of the realm done under his own eye by professional judges who would not be too powerful, whom he could trust, whom at all events he could watch. It was a recurrence to an old practice; Henry would do what his forefathers had done; but the consequence was that thenceforth there were what we can not but call two separate tribunals, each with its own record[1].

The Rolls de Banco and Coram Rege that Bracton used were those of Pateshull and Raleigh.

Now Bracton cites the rolls of the Bench for almost every term from the beginning of the reign up to and including that for Trinity 1233. I think that he certainly has a case from Hilary 1234[2] and one from Easter 1234[3]. Here he quits this series of rolls, though many later members of it there must have been when he wrote and not a few remain to this day. He turns to the rolls of pleas which followed the king and cites cases from the rolls for A. R. 19, 20, 21, 22,

[1] I find that, without knowing it, I have come to the same conclusion as Dugdale, who about this time begins to divide the judges into two classes. Mr Foss (vol. 2, p. 182), who does not appear to have looked at any plea rolls, dissents, and rightly if he only means to deny that there were two permanently constituted bodies of judges with different titles. But from this time at latest there were pleas before the king and pleas in the Bench, judges with the king and judges at the Bench, and the same judge was not in two places at once.

[2] *Note Book*, Case 836.

[3] Br. f. 230 b.

23, 24; in all fifteen cases. Here his continuous chain of citations is broken. After a considerable interval there come six cases from A.R. 29—33. If now we look to the list of judges who sat on 'the Bench', we find that from the beginning of the reign until the early part of 1229 Pateshull was evidently the foremost judge of the court; he sits below no one unless it chances that an Earl or the Justiciar himself, Hubert de Burgh, is present. In 1229 Pateshull died. Within a year Raleigh is on the Bench, but not as premier judge. He sits below Thomas Multon and below Stephen Segrave, but Segrave is very seldom present and Raleigh generally has the second place; at length even Multon gives way to him. Raleigh sits on the Bench until the summer of 1234, until the exact moment when Bracton ceases to cite the rolls of the Bench. Raleigh then becomes the principal judge attendant on the king's person and such he continues to be until he is made a bishop in 1239. It is almost exactly, if not quite exactly, from this period that Bracton cites pleas which followed the king; records of later date than the time when Raleigh was consecrated, these Bracton could not obtain or else he thought them of comparatively little value[1].

We have been long in coming to the Note Book. The reason for the delay was this. It was necessary to establish that Bracton's selection of rolls was very distinctive, perhaps determined by accident and necessity (for all rolls should have been in the Treasury) perhaps determined by political partizanship, juristic theories, personal friendships, but at any rate distinctive. His is a treatise on English law as administered by Pateshull and Raleigh. Not every lawyer's

[1] Mr Foss (*Judges*, vol. 2, p. 449) says that there is no evidence of Raleigh having acted as a judge after A. R. 19 (A. D. 1234–5). This is a mistake due I think to the fact that, as Raleigh was going about with the king, he did not usually sit on the Bench or take part in the ordinary assize work. But see Br. f. 169 b; a case before Raleigh in A. R. 23. See also this Note Book vol. 2, pp. 165, 167, 208, 228, 255. He seems to have been doing justice until very shortly before his consecration in Septr. 1239. Bracton cites but a single case from A. R. 24. Raleigh's consecration took place just before the beginning of that regnal year. But some at least of these Coram Rege Rolls do not exactly cover a regnal year; they begin some months earlier. The roll for A. R. 24 I have not found.

note book would be like his note book; not every student would have had access to just the same rolls; there were many other rolls; there had been and were other great judges.

§ 7. *Of the Note Book.*

And now to the Note Book. It is a stout volume, about 11 inches high by 9 broad, wears a handsome binding and carries on its back three labels thus lettered:— | Placita et Assisae 1—24 Hen. III. | Mus. Brit. Jure Emptionis. | 12,269 Plut. CLXXII. C. | The two lowest labels have been placed there since the book was acquired for the national collection, but the binding is of older date and so I am informed is the legend on the topmost label. Description of the Note Book. Externals.

A vellum fly leaf at the beginning has on its front in ink— *Purch[d] of Cochran* 12 *Feb.* 1842 (*from Holmes's Library of East Retford*[1]). On the back of this fly-leaf is written in pencil—*The first two folios of the first quaternion are wanting. Each quaternion contains* 12 *leaves.* Fly-leaf.

This last statement is not quite true. The book is now made up of 24 quires or quaternions of parchment; but of these two are imperfect and one is abnormally long. Each perfect quire should consist of six pieces of parchment laid one inside the other and bound together by their middles, so as to present twelve leaves and twenty-four sides. The first quire has lost its outside sheet, so that, had the pages been numbered back and front in the modern fashion, pp. 1, 2, 23, 24 would be wanting. Undoubtedly this sheet once existed and bore writing. The matter which stood on what, but for the loss, would have been the twelfth leaf (pp. 23, 24), I have been able to supply with some certainty in a manner explained below. What now is the outside sheet of this first quire is sadly damaged. Seemingly this quire was at one Number of quires. Loss of a sheet.

[1] John Holmes of East Retford published at intervals between 1828 and 1840 a catalogue of his large collection of printed books; but this does not comprise MSS. His library was, as I gather from his Preface, the outcome of purchases made by him.

time lying loose from the rest of the book and suffered ill usage. The rest of the book is well preserved.

Other losses (if any) occurred long ago.

At the beginning of the second quire (top margin of what now is f. 11), there is an important legend written in what seems to me a hand of the fifteenth century. This however, most unfortunately, has been in part erased. Apparently the erasure is due to some person who, perhaps in the same century, numbered the first 34 leaves of the book. He scratched out part of the legend in question in order to make room for "fo. xj°". Possibly a skilled palaeographer might still read the whole. What I can read is this:—

Md quod iste liber continet in se viginti quatuor quarterna et constat......... ex dimissione...............

Number of leaves.

The writer therefore seems to have known the book as containing four and twenty quires; hence we may infer that it ended then where it ends now, that nothing has been lost since his day.

The ninth quire has but eleven leaves. The extracts from a certain roll come to an end half-way down the front of the eleventh leaf; the rest of the front and the whole of the back of that leaf are blank; the tenth quire begins with a new title and extracts from a new roll. I infer that here there has been no loss, merely an economizing of parchment. The tenth quire again is ampler than the rest; it has fourteen leaves. The leaves have been recently numbered in pencil from first to last. Altogether there are 287 leaves (first quire 10 leaves, ninth quire 11 leaves, tenth quire 14 leaves, twenty-one quires of 12 leaves each, total 287 leaves). The quires begin with the leaves numbered 1, 11, 23, 35, 47, 59, 71, 83, 95, 106, 120, 132, 144, 156, 168, 180, 192, 204, 216, 228, 240, 252, 264, 276.

Seemingly when the book was originally made the quires were numbered consecutively, the number being placed in the bottom margin of the first leaf of each quire and catchwords were put at the end of each quire. These have suffered much from the binder's shears; but I see no reason to believe that anything has perished out of the middle of the book save

the last leaf of the first quire. In most cases it is plain that the quires still follow each other in right order.

Probably the end of the book is lost.

But the end of the book has in all probability disappeared. The text now breaks off at the very end of a quire and at what may or may not be the end of a case. From the book itself we cannot learn whether anything has been lost. Some information on this point I shall presently supply from another quarter. That the loss is not of recent date we may gather from the already ancient statement that there were four-and-twenty quires.

The front and back of almost every leaf are covered with writing. The number of lines on a page varies considerably decreasing as we go through the book from 53 to 40. This makes the end much handsomer and more legible than the beginning.

The contents how written.

The contents may be briefly described as transcripts of entries on the judicial rolls of the first twenty-four years of Henry III. These transcripts have been made by several different scribes. Certainly there were as many as four; I think that there were five. I believe that the hand-writing of all of them may be safely ascribed to the middle of the thirteenth century or thereabouts. The extracts from the rolls do not follow each other in strict order of date. The arrangement, we may say, looks as if chronology had been tempered by catastrophes. We proceed with some regularity from earlier to later rolls and then leap back to earlier rolls which have been omitted. In some cases as we turn over the MS. we can see reasons for this procedure. A clerk, for example, has been copying pleas from the sixteenth year, and brings his work to an end in the middle of a quire; another clerk has begun another quire with pleas of the seventeenth year; here then there were three or four blank leaves which would serve for pleas from the ninth year. Having observed many small indications of this kind, it seems to me that the collection was rapidly made by some one who could command the services of several clerks. He set them to work transcribing from different rolls and pieced the book together as best he could. And there are signs of haste; in writing one

part of the book two clerks constantly relieved each other at very short intervals. The work of these various copyists could not always be quite neatly fitted together; hence a few blank pages. In one case a blank thus left was afterwards utilized. Extracts from a roll for the twenty-fourth year (the latest roll used) end on f. 195 b; extracts from a roll of the second year (the earliest roll used) begin on f. 197. The interval contains in several different hands (1) the discussion of a hypothetical case, (2) a disquisition on leap-year, (3) the assize of bread, (4) two decided cases, one from the fourth, the other from the tenth year. The assize of bread is given in French, (this is the one piece of French that occurs,) and is written in what seems a later hand than any other employed upon the book. With the exception of these apparently interpolated entries, the whole collection looks as if it had been made at one time. About the middle of the thirteenth century some man had this book made for him.

The notes how written.

That man's writing may yet be seen. The margins are rich with notes. These notes are due to two different persons. One of them has written but very few. For the sake of distinction I call him "the occasional annotator", and he seems to me to have been one of the copyists. The other I call "the usual annotator". His handwriting has a strongly marked character of its own, very upright and in general very distinct, but I believe that it may be safely described as a legal hand of the middle of the 13th century. That he was a contemporary of the copyists and had the note book made for him there can be little doubt, for in a few instances he has written pieces of the text. He has written the heading which announces a new term and also the first word or two of the first entry under that heading, thus starting the transcriber on his task[1]. The book then was made for him and under his eye.

A glance at my printed text will show that the marginal notes are capriciously distributed. On page after page there will be nothing in the margin, and then again for a while

[1] See f. 3, 35, 45. I think that he also wrote the first few words of the second case in the book.

almost every entry will have its note. The annotator seems to have returned to the work at several different times and made notes by fits and starts, taking up now one part of the book and now another. In some instances a case has two notes apparently made at different times. Again the comparatively few notes made by him whom I call the occasional annotator, occur in batches, chiefly in one batch (f. 50—54). They are of the same kind as the other notes, but rather less hastily written. I take him to have been an amanuensis of the usual annotator. Of the import of these notes much must be said hereafter; at present we are still concerned with externals.

Later marginalia.

There are a few hasty marginal scribblings in what I take to be a hand of the sixteenth or fifteenth century, just single words such as *Corona* and the like, showing the title under which the case would fall in an Abridgement or Digest. The person who wrote these or some contemporary of his has numbered some of the quires. About the same time too some one with the book upside down scrawled a precedent of a writ of entry on the margin of f. 285 b. At a quite recent date some one has observed in the middle of f. 145 b, that in the beginning God made man in his own image. His offence is venial compared with that of one who must be charged with having made some wanton and purposeless erasures near the beginning of the book (f. 2, 2 b, 11).

Relation of the Note Book to the Rolls.

We must now pass for a moment from the British Museum to the Public Record Office. For about half of the terms from the rolls for which this book has extracts, there are no extant rolls; for about half there are extant rolls. But fortune has been capricious; in many cases there are two still extant rolls for the same term; for one term there are three. It is, I may observe, a common thing to find what we may call duplicate or triplicate rolls. These will be rolls for the same term, recording the same cases in almost the same words; yet it will be plain that one is not a copy of the other. The same cases will be found on the two, but not in the same order. In general under each heading denoting one of the days for the return of writs, e.g. the Octave

Duplicate Rolls.

of S. Hilary, there will be found on both rolls the same cases; but not in the same order, and no rearrangement of membranes would bring the cases into the same order. Further, on a closer examination it will appear, that though the two records of one case will agree in substance, still there will often be many small verbal differences, variances such as copyists do not make. And more important differences are occasionally found; in particular when both rolls agree that the parties pleaded to issue or prayed judgment, one roll will and the other will not record how at a later day a judgment was given or a verdict found. Sometimes this judgment will have been given or this verdict found at a time considerably later than the term with which the roll deals, and it will be recorded on the roll by way of postscript. In short, to put the matter technically, one of the duplicates will give a *Postea* not to be found on the other. The origin of these duplicates seems this, that besides the principal roll kept by the protonotary, each judge had his roll kept for him by a clerk, and these rolls were used to check each other. Some cases referring to this practice occur in our Note Book and Bracton discusses what is to be done when there is discord between several rolls[1]. How it comes about that the cases occur in different, often very different, order, on the different rolls, I can not here discuss. My present point is that when there is a roll extant for a certain term, we can not at once say that this roll was used by the maker of the Note Book. Most fortunately however we have other means of telling very surely which of the rolls now forthcoming were in his hands.

Marks on the margin of the Rolls connecting them with the Note Book.

When having copied some pages of the Note Book, I took my transcript to the Record Office, in the hope of finding the original records, I expected that the work of hunting for my cases would be tedious. To my surprise and delight on taking up the first roll I discovered that the work was done for me. Every case that I wanted had against it a mark of an obvious, unmistakeable kind. In the margin of the roll down the whole length of the case someone had drawn a firm

[1] Br. f. 352 b; Cases, 70, 149, 1411, 1455.

heavy line, in colour a dark rusty brown; to look at, it was much such a line as might have been drawn by the old fashioned red-lead pencil. I soon learnt to know that this 'scoring,' as I call it, was the work of the man who had the Note Book made for him[1].

Whenever there was a scored roll, the cases in the Note Book agreed perfectly with the cases on that roll, saving the immaterial omissions, of which hereafter, and saving mere clerical blunders. When there was a roll not scored, the cases in the Note Book did not agree perfectly with the cases on that roll; the cases did not always occur in the same order; the Note Book occasionally gave *Posteas* which were not on the roll. I found that the copyists who wrote the Note Book had very faithfully obeyed the direction to copy implied in the scoring. Very rarely indeed did I find any case in the Note Book which had not been scored; so rarely, that it seemed fair to attribute the few instances to mere inadvertence or accident. More frequently a scored case had not been copied. As regards the majority of the rolls this happened so seldom that one might properly set it down to the clerk having scamped his work; only as regards two or three rolls should I say that the number of cases scored but not copied, was too considerable to be accounted for by this supposition; and about these we may perhaps hold, that the maker of the Note Book changed his mind after he had marked out the work for his scribes. In some instances the copyist has apparently obeyed what he took to be his instructions, with a slavish obedience; he has left out the important end of a case, because the mark on the roll did not go far enough, or has copied just the first lines of the next case, because the mark went a little too far[2].

Proof of the connection.

The person who scored the rolls did not content himself with this. In some instances he has numbered the membranes

Words written on the rolls by the compiler of the Note Book.

[1] In many cases the middle of the line springs out of what seems a rudely drawn capital N, standing perhaps for Nota. Marks of a similar kind are, I believe, often found in MSS. from which transcripts have been made, and are the work of the director of a scriptorium. The marks on the rolls are, I take it, not indelible, but of course I have not attempted to prove this.

[2] See my notes to Cases 75, 320, 710, 711, 935.

at their tops, while at their bottoms he has scrawled the words *Visus est*, as much as to say, 'I have examined this 'sheet.' Then over against the scored cases he has sometimes written a word or two. These words are such as to show that he was collecting cases and had various categories in his mind. Thus he writes—*De recto* (A Writ of Right), *De dote* (An Action for Dower), *Ass' no'* (An Assize of Novel Disseisin), *Quis aduoc'* (An Assize of Darrein Presentment), *De sum' et attach'* (Summonses and Attachments, Mesne Process), *De communibus* (Common Form). Occasionally he even writes *Error* on the roll. A more important note occurs over against an action between the Prior of Merton and the men of Ewell[1]. A long particularization of the villein services demanded by the Prior is on the roll. We find that this has been omitted in the Note Book where it is merely said that the Prior claimed 'certain' services. Looking again at the roll we see scribbled in big letters *Loquatur mecum de hoc capitulo;* the meaning seems plain—He must have a talk with me before he copies this entry and I will tell him what to leave out[2].

The cases are copied with omissions.

As a general rule when a case is taken from the roll it is copied into the Note Book word for word; but certain omissions, which were considered immaterial, are habitually made. The most common omission is that of the names of attorneys, jurors and the like; occasionally too when there are numerous defendants, the name of the first of them only will be copied. Such omissions are usually indicated by the use of the words *talis* and *et infra*. Thus if an assize of novel disseisin be brought against ten persons, the Note Book will say that the assize comes to recognize whether the Prior of Merton *et tales*, or whether the Prior of Merton *et infra*, disseised William Smith; *et infra* means that there is more upon the roll. Dates again are frequently omitted, the omission being indicated by *tali die;* so are the formal parts of charters and the ends of some common formulas; but in general an *etc.* in the Note Book represents an *etc.* on the

[1] Case 1661.

[2] Some cases are marked with the word *Volo*; but I have not been able to connect this with the Note Book.

roll. Only in very few instances have I noticed anything that could be called an abridging or abstracting of the entry on the roll; as a rule the entry is copied verbatim with such omissions as I have just mentioned.

The copyists have done their work fairly well, though, as it seems to me, quite mechanically. They are guilty of omissions and repetitions of the kind usual with those who are paid to copy what they do not care to understand. Sometimes they leave blanks to represent words which were ill written on the roll; they deal very roughly with proper names; occasionally they are guilty of very stupid blunders, for instance, one of them habitually writes *sic'*, or even *sicut* in full, when he finds *Ric'* (*Ricardus*) on the roll, to the great detriment of good sense; they distribute stops in a way which shows that they do not think about the meaning of what they write. The writer of the last half of the book was, I should say, much more careful and intelligent than his fellows; his work is in general very legible and trustworthy.

The copying is fairly accurate

Having observed the manner in which the rolls had been marked, it seemed to me possible to supply the matter which stood on one of the two lost leaves of the Note Book. As already said the outside sheet of the first quire has perished. What stood on the half of this which would have made the first leaf, I cannot say, for the Note Book now begins with extracts from a term for which no roll has been found. But the gap occasioned by the loss of the other half of this sheet, occurs in the middle of extracts from a term for which there are two rolls, and one of these is scored. The hiatus in the Note Book occurs between the middle of Case 67 and the middle of Case 68. Between these two cases I found on the scored roll eight cases which were scored. These I have copied and printed as an Appendix to my third volume. The mass of matter thus printed is considerably too long to have stood in the Note Book between Cases 67 and 68. Something must be allowed for the omissions of immaterial particulars which the copyist of the Note Book would have made; but enough cannot be allowed to bring down my

The missing page supplied from the roll.

Appendix to the requisite size; perhaps then the copyist omitted one of the eight cases. That one of them was in the Note Book is made the more probable by this, that the same case at a later stage appears in another part of the Note Book, and the annotator has there written in the margin *alibi supra*, meaning that the same case was to be found above, which would only be true if it stood on the page now lost[1].

[. . .]t of the [. . .]ding end [. . .]e Note [. . .]k might [. . .]estored.

The method employed for restoring this lost page might, I thought, be also used for determining the question, whether anything and, if anything, what, had been lost from the end of the Note Book. The process could only be applied in a one-sided fashion; it might give positive but could not give negative results. The fact that a roll was not scored, would prove nothing, for the maker of the Note Book might have had a duplicate roll. The fact that a roll was scored, would go to prove that extracts from it were once in the book; though this would not be quite certain, for the direction to copy might never have been obeyed, or the copy might have been made in some other book. A search, (I dare not say an exhaustive, but still a diligent search,) through the plea rolls of the first forty years of Henry's reign, (I must gratefully acknowledge the help given me by Mr W. F. Noble,) resulted in the discovery of but two rolls, from which there were no extracts in the Note Book, and which yet were scored in the to me familiar fashion. This result if small was satisfactory. One of these two rolls was a roll for the eyre of 1221, that eyre of Martin Pateshull and the Abbot of Reading in the mid-western counties which Bracton has made famous; the county was Worcester[2]. Now cases from this eyre, Leicestershire and Staffordshire cases, are the last things now in the Note Book. The probability therefore seems very strong that the marks on this Worcester roll were obeyed; extracts from that roll are just what we might expect to follow cases heard in other counties during the same eyre. This Worcester roll, again, has one inscription

[1] Appendix to vol. 3, Case 8; Case 1411.

[2] Assize Rolls, M. 6, 31. 1.

which deserves note. There is a case on it which has been disordered by the intrusion of a postscript; one ought to read what comes below before what stands above. Against this he who scored the roll has scribbled (the words are faint but legible)—*primo scribatur ibi postea supra;* this is a direction to the copyist to transpose two sentences. We may then conclude with some certainty that the Note Book once had extracts from this roll. The second of the two rolls now in question, was the record of the eyre of A.R. 3 in Lincolnshire, an eyre in which Pateshull apparently took part and from which Bracton cites a case[1].

What else, if anything, may have been lost from the end of the book we cannot decide; but the fact that no scored roll has been found for any year from A.R. 22 to A.R. 40 both inclusive, a period from which very many rolls are still preserved, tends towards proof that there cannot have been many extracts in the Note Book of later date than the latest of those which now appear there. Beyond A.R. 40 my search has not been systematically prosecuted, and it must be confessed that the discovery of any rolls of considerably later date, bearing marks of just the kind that has here been described, would bring some of my inferences to the ground; but having compared roll after roll, case by case, with my transcript of the Note Book, it seems to me quite certain that the marks on the rolls were put there by the compiler of the Note Book.

§ 8. *Of the Relation between the Note Book and Bracton's Treatise.*

It is now to be considered what reasons there are for supposing the Note Book to be Bracton's. Why Bracton's Note Book?

The comparison of handwritings is not one of the tests that can be applied, for we have no manuscript of Bracton's treatise, (at least I have seen none,) that can claim to be the No proof from comparison of handwritings.

[1] Tower Roll, No. 1. See Br. f. 298; this case is on the roll.

autograph. On the two rolls which record his labours in Devonshire as a justice of assize, there are some corrections and interpolations, which very likely were made by his hand; they seem to be just of the kind that would be made by the judge himself. There does seem to me to be much likeness between them and the notes in the Note Book; but they are too brief to be trustworthy material; the inference that Bracton made them is but an inference; I have no skill in comparing hands; therefore no stress whatever is laid upon the resemblance. As to the few words occasionally written on the margin of rolls by the person who 'scored' them, these are hasty scrawls made with some blunt instrument, and cannot be profitably compared with the notes in the Note Book. We must look then beneath the external form to the matter which our book contains, and our first argument will be founded on the choice of rolls whence extracts have been made.

The First Argument: The Selection of Rolls.

The selection of Rolls. Now speaking largely we may say that the compiler of the Note Book has used just the set of rolls that Bracton used. Such is the general result, but the comparison must descend to details, and the three classes of rolls may be taken separately.

1. Rolls of the Bench.

Rolls of the Bench. Some difficulty is occasioned at starting by the loss of the earliest rolls of this class and the loss of the Note Book's first page. Henry III. was crowned on the 28th Oct. 1216. **Detailed comparison.** Almost the whole of his first year was taken up by the civil war, which was ended by the treaty of Lambeth on the 11th Sept. 1217. Thereupon a court began to sit at Westminster, and the first pleas after the war were of Michaelmas term 1217 (A.R. 1—2). Bracton had a roll for this term and the Note Book has extracts from it. But it is not for another two years, namely until Michaelmas 1219 (A.R. 3—4), that we find an extant roll. The Note Book has extracts

from the roll for Easter and Trinity 1219 (A.R. 3). These are preceded by fifteen cases, the first now in the book; they have no heading because what was once the first page has disappeared. They certainly belong to the interval between Michaelmas term 1217 (A.R. 1—2) and Michaelmas term 1219 (A.R. 3—4) and they do not belong to Easter or Trinity 1219 (A.R. 3). The terms left open for them are Hilary, Easter, Trinity, Michaelmas 1218, and Hilary 1219. Their date should be fixed.

The earliest rolls.

The adjournments seem to point to a Trinity term; this among other reasons led me when I had them printed to ascribe them to Trinity 1218 (A.R. 2). Bracton cites several of them; he cites Case 5 from Trinity A.R. 2; Case 8 from *term. S. Mich.* A.R. 2 *post guerram*, that is probably from Michaelmas 1217 (A.R. 1—2); Case 12 as *inter prima placita post guerram* (a rather vague phrase); for what seems to be a later stage of Case 11, he vouches the roll of Michaelmas A.R. 2—3 (1218), while Case 9 is spoken of as having come before Pateshull in A.R. 2 but no term is mentioned. This evidence is somewhat perplexing; but we must remember that the same case often appears in different stages on several different rolls. Bracton cites five cases from Trinity 1218 (A.R. 2) and one from Michaelmas 1218 (A.R. 2—3), none of which are in the Note Book; he cites no case, at least expressly, from either Hilary or Easter 1218 (A.R. 2). Again on one occasion he cites a case (f. 302) *in secundo rotulo post guerram de termino S. Trinitatis.* This led me at one time to believe that the Court did not sit in Hilary or Easter 1218 (A.R. 2); but on examining the feet of fines I had to abandon this notion; the Court sat in all four terms of 1218. I now think that there was but one roll for the three first terms of that year. In the early years of the reign it is not uncommon to find a roll covering more than one term. This would explain the citation "in the second "roll after the war, from Trinity term." Also it will explain a note in the margin of the Note Book over against Case 9, namely, *De term. S. Trin. ann. eodem ij°.* The annotator has probably put this somewhere about the place where the

transactions of the Easter term end and those of the Trinity term begin. If that be its meaning I do not think that it is quite in the right place, for in Cases 5, 6, and 7 we read of what was done three weeks after S. John's day i.e. Midsummer. On the whole I still think that the fifteen extracts in question, or all but the first two or three, belong to Trinity A.D. 1218 (A.R. 2), but that they may well have been preceded in the Note Book by a few extracts from the Hilary and Easter terms.

Detailed comparison continued. In that case the maker of the Note Book had the rolls for all terms from the beginning of the reign down to and including Trinity 1218. From Michaelmas 1218 (A.R. 2—3) there are no extracts. The Court sat in that term and Bracton cites a solitary case. So this we must account an instance of Bracton having had a roll which the maker of the Note Book is not proved to have had. From Hilary 1219 (A.R. 3) we get no extracts; but Bracton cites no case; no fines have been found; an eyre on a large scale was going on, and we may conclude that the Bench at Westminster was unoccupied[1].

From the next nine terms the Note Book has extracts and Bracton has citations. We pass therefore unchecked to Trinity 1221 (A.R. 5). From this the Note Book has nothing. Bracton apparently has one case. But I have found no roll and no fines and think it very doubtful whether the Court sat. On the morrow of Trinity, Pateshull, Hareng and Lexington, three of the usual judges of the Bench, began an eyre in the west which seems to have kept them away throughout the summer and far into the autumn[2].

From the next term again, Michaelmas 1221 (A.R. 5—6) there is nothing in the Note Book. Bracton does not cite any cases distinctly from Mich. A.R. 5—6; but he cites eight from Mich. A.R. 6. This seems at first sight ambiguous; but six out of the eight are essoin cases which would, I suppose, be adjudged on the first days of the term, and I infer therefore

[1] See the letters of 26th Jan. 19 in Foedera, vol. 1. p. 154.

[2] I have spoken of this eyre in *Pleas of the Crown for the County of Gloucester*, A.D. 1221.

that the term to which they belong is Michaelmas 6—7; the essoins of that term would be taken before the coronation day.

The next term for which the Note Book has nothing is Trinity 1223 (A.R. 7) and from this Bracton vouches two cases. No roll has been found and no fines; but Bracton's citations are perhaps correct.

Term now follows term in the Note Book until Trinity A.R. 10 is reached. That and the next two terms are unrepresented. So they are in the treatise also. No roll is extant and I have seen no fines. Probably the Court did not sit; a great eyre[1] was taxing the judicial resources. In the Note Book the pleas for the next term, Easter 1227 (A.R. 11) are described as '*Placita...post reditum iusticiariorum de itinere*[2]'. On these follow cases from Trinity term of the same year, but it seems clear[3] that after these the Note Book proceeds to give without any new heading, (the omission may be due to mere carelessness,) cases from the Hilary and Easter terms of 1228 (A.R. 12). Thus a leap is made over Michaelmas 1227 (A.R. 11—12). From that term Bracton draws no case; I have found neither roll nor fine; an eyre in many counties was begun in September[4]; probably the Bench was without an occupant. Trinity 1228 (A.R. 12) is unrepresented in the Note Book, the treatise, the rolls, the fines.

No check now occurs until Hilary 1232 (A.R. 16). Bracton has one citation; the Note Book no extract; no roll is found, but there were judges taking fines at Westminster and at times Raleigh was of them. As to the Trinity term of the same year we are everywhere met by negatives; no extracts; no citations; no roll; no fines. This was the time when Raleigh made that tour in the midlands which supplied Bracton with many decisions. The Note Book has nothing from Easter 1233; but Bracton has nothing from this term; apparently a Court was sitting at Westminster, but Raleigh was not there. Without any break the Note Book has

[1] Rot. Cl. vol. 2, p. 151.
[2] Note Book, vol. 1, p. 193.
[3] Ibid. p. 219.
[4] Rot. Cl. vol. 2, p. 213.

extracts from the four next terms, ending with Easter 1234 (A.D. 18). This is the last roll from which it gives extracts and with it Bracton's continuous series of citations from the rolls of the Bench comes to an end.

Summary as to the Rolls of the Bench. To sum up then, the Note Book has extracts from all the rolls from which Bracton took his cases, except four viz. that for Michaelmas 1218 (A.R. 2—3) from which one case is vouched, that for Trinity 1221 (A.R. 5) from which one case is vouched, that for Trinity 1223 (A.R. 7) from which there are two citations, that for Hilary 1232 (A.R. 16) which yields a solitary case. This list of exceptions might perhaps be yet further reduced, for it seems doubtful whether the Court sat in Trinity 1221 or Trinity 1232, and very possible that the apparent mention of those terms in the treatise is due to some mistake of the author, his copyists or editors. But taking things as they stand, it is plain that if Bracton had rolls from these four terms he has used them very sparingly.

2. Rolls of pleas which followed the King.

Pleas which followed the king. As regards the rolls of pleas which followed the King the case is very simple; Bracton and the maker of the Note Book had just the same six consecutive rolls.

3. Eyre Rolls.

Eyre Rolls. The Eyre Rolls cannot be so briefly dismissed. Bracton had about twenty eyre rolls of Pateshull and five of Raleigh. The Note Book gives selections from but eight rolls, all of them are rolls of Pateshull, all save one of them are rolls used by Bracton. These selections from eyre rolls are the last things in the Note Book. We have above seen reason for believing that the end of that book has perished, also for believing that the part that has perished comprised extracts from the Worcester eyre roll of 1221 and the Lincoln eyre roll of 1219. To both of these Bracton has appealed for cases. There is nothing, as it seems to me, to be said against the supposition that, were the Note Book as complete as once it was, it would give us extracts from all the eyre rolls cited by Bracton. But then there is nothing to be said for

that supposition. Such rolls as are extant give us no aid, for unfortunately, with I believe five exceptions, just those rolls which we would gladly examine are not to be had; not one of Raleigh's eyre rolls is forthcoming. The exceptions consist of five rolls from the memorable eyre of 1221, one from Shropshire, two from Warwick, two from Gloucester. None of them is scored; but no inference can be drawn from this, for as already said, there were often duplicate and triplicate rolls.

Summary as to the selection of rolls.

But now reviewing our comparison as a whole, the result though by no means conclusive must arouse the belief that Bracton and the maker of the Note Book were but one person. Both begin collecting cases from the rolls of the Bench at the same point. Both at the same point cease taking cases from the rolls of the Bench and begin taking cases from the rolls of pleas which follow the king. Of rolls of the latter kind both use precisely the same six, the six which just cover the time when William Raleigh held the placita coram rege. Both, working years after Pateshull was in his grave, seem either to treat the rolls of his eyres as the best of all eyre rolls, or to happen to have those particular rolls ready to hand. But we must pass to another argument.

The Second Argument: The Selection of Cases.

The selection of cases.

If all or almost all the cases cited by Bracton were found in our manuscript, we might say with some confidence that this was not the result of chance. It should here be explained that only a small part of the matter which appears on any roll has been copied into the Note Book; only the cases which were thought of more than usual interest have been taken. The proportion borne by the selected cases to the whole mass of matter on the rolls varies greatly from roll to roll. It cannot be estimated very accurately, but taking one roll with another I should say that not more than a tenth part has been copied. Now that so small a selection should

comprise all Bracton's cases and yet that Bracton should have had nothing to do with the choice, would be most unlikely. A calculation of chances is indeed impossible for some cases were doubtless more interesting than others, and a certain agreement between different lawyers as to what cases were of most value might be expected; still the coincidence would be so remarkable that we might reasonably infer that it was not fortuitous.

[Difficulty in identifying cases.] But our case is by no means so strong as that here supposed. As already explained, the Note Book has no selections from a considerable number of rolls, mostly eyre rolls, used by Bracton. But considering only those rolls from which there are extracts in the Note Book, the result to which I have come is, that among these extracts there are rather more than half the cases which Bracton vouches from the same rolls[1]. Some allowance must be made for mistakes of mine. I may well have failed to identify some of Bracton's cases. The proper names which occur in his text have been horribly distorted by copyists, for example an Archdeacon of Totness (Archidiaconus de Tottona) has become Alfridus de Cottone and Viel D'Engaine (Vitalis de Engaine) has become the Vicar of Gaine; this throws difficulties in one's way. Again a good many cases Bracton cites anonymously, that is to say, he merely states that a case about this or that matter will be found on a particular roll, but does not name the parties. It is sometimes hard for a modern to be certain whether he has found such a case; and if any mistake has been made by copyist or printer in giving the date, the case will hardly be found. That such mistakes have occurred is certain; still I would not attribute to them any weighty influence on the general result now under our consideration. Certainly not a few of the cases, which Bracton has cited and which yet are not in the Note Book, may be found just where they ought to be, on the rolls whence they are cited by the Bracton of the printed book; others I have not found; but on the whole considering how badly proper names have

[1] For details see the Table of Bracton's citations at the end of this Introduction.

fared, I have been surprised at the safety with which dates have passed through the hands of transcribers.

Altogether Bracton cites just about 500 cases and I believe that just about 200 of them will be found in this book, which contains in all just about 2000 cases, so that every tenth case in it or thereabouts has been cited by Bracton. This result, though it may not strengthen, will not I think weaken the argument founded on the selection of rolls made alike by Bracton and by the owner of the Note Book. Of course we cannot argue that when writing his treatise Bracton was wholly dependent on this book for his authorities. But we have known all along that this could hardly be true. Not until 1258 was he bidden to surrender the rolls which were in his possession, while probably he had finished the main part of his text two or three years before that date. We may guess perhaps that he had another note book with other cases in it. At the beginning of one set of extracts in our MS. there is written in the margin *De hoc termino nichil alibi*, and this suggests that the owner had somewhere else another collection of authorities. Results of the comparison.

But the reader may ask, What need had Bracton of any transcripts of cases if the very rolls themselves were in his hands? The answer is, that, even with the aid of a note book, his feat of citing some five hundred cases scattered about in some fifty rolls was a gigantic feat of patience, industry, memory, and that without some such aid the feat would have been impossible. Imagine fifty rolls, each composed of twenty or thirty membranes, each membrane as long as one's arm, as broad as one's span, each membrane covered back and front with writing, whereon are no head-notes, no catch-words, nothing to guide the eye save the names of counties in the margin. Such was the raw material; to have transplanted five hundred cases directly out of this disorderly mass into their proper places in a systematic exposition of the law, would have been beyond the power of any man. Bracton, we may surely say, though he had the rolls themselves would have wanted a note book or several note books. Of course however we may not leap from this Why did Bracton want a Note Book if he had the Rolls?

inference to the assertion that his note book or one of his note books has come to our hands, and it must be frankly admitted that had we no other evidence than what has already come before us, any such assertion would be rash; we might speak of 'curious coincidences,' say that 'not 'impossibly' this book was Bracton's, but beyond this we could not go.

Treatise and Note Book are alike unique.

Still the uniqueness of the treatise, the uniqueness of the Note Book should be had in mind. It is not as though we argued—'Here is a note book containing very many of the 'cases cited in "Sugden on Powers"; it must have belonged to 'Lord St Leonards.' Bracton's treatise with its five hundred citations stands quite alone. He is the one and only man of his time whom we know to have collected cases from the records. His successors, Britton and Fleta, abridging his work to meet the demands of lawyers, omit the citations. Of the uniqueness of the Note Book we may not speak quite so positively; still nothing of the same sort has yet been made public. We are dealing with rarities; with an unique treatise, with a collection of cases which, so far as we know, is unique; one in every ten of the cases in the latter is cited in the former; this is not an insignificant result.

The Third Argument: The Relation between Passages in the Treatise and Marginal Notes in the Note Book.

Marginalia in the Note Book.

We pass now to evidence of a more interesting kind, that offered by the notes in the margin of our book, and, leaving Bracton out of sight for a while, something should first be said of their nature and their date.

One part of the annotator's work no printed version could adequately represent. Many of the cases he must have studied carefully, pen in hand. In the manuscript one may see how he has touched up badly formed letters, corrected here and there a false concord, and 'expuncted' redundant words. When he has interpolated anything into the text attention will be drawn to the fact by a foot-note. Such

interpolations are not very uncommon. Sometimes a word which obviously was missing, for instance an all-important *non*, has been supplied; sometimes a proper name is inserted so as to explain an ambiguous *ipse, ille, suum:* sometimes a link of reasoning is introduced; but generally if there is much to be said, this is said in the margin.

His marginalia fall into three main classes. The largest consists of brief statements in general terms of the point decided by the case; statements not unlike the head notes of our modern reports. Occasionally we find mere catchwords which refer either to the matter of the case or the names of the parties, thus, '*Bastardia*,' '*De fine facto*,' '*De Bello Monte*,' '*Gorges*.' A much smaller but more valuable class is made up of criticisms and speculations; thus the word '*Error*' marks the decision as bad law; sometimes a reason is given for its condemnation, sometimes not; praise is bestowed by '*Bonum*,' '*Optimum*,' '*Magnum et bonum recordum*,' and the like; it is noted that since the case was decided the Statute of Merton has altered the law; or again the annotator speculates as to what would have been the judgment had the facts been rather different. In a third and smallest class we must place what seem to be references to other cases; a name is written which is not the name of any of the parties to the case against which the note is made, thus '*Nota Whitcherche*,' '*Nota Corbyn*,' '*Casus Radulphi de Arundelle similis isti*,' '*Fere casus Cole*.' Such allusions as these are enigmas to be discussed hereafter. The meaning of the other notes will in general be plain to a reader who has first studied the cases, but hardly to one who has not, for the notes are mere notes, intended for no eye but the annotator's and often have little or no grammatical structure. **Nature of the Marginalia.**

The date when the book was compiled we cannot fix with perfect accuracy; but we cannot go far wrong. It cannot have been earlier than the year 1240; a plea roll of A.R. 24 is the last of the series of rolls whence excerpts have been taken. On the other hand it can hardly have been later than 1256. There are two passages which to all appearance were copied on a blank page of the book after that book had **Date of the Note Book.**

come into existence. The first of these is a disquisition on leap year; and this is followed immediately by the second, which is an assize of bread[1]. The former is an elaborate argument in favour of what we know to have been Bracton's contention as to the true method of reckoning 'year and day,' when an essoinee is privileged to keep his bed for that period. We know also that on the 9th of May 1256, in Bracton's presence, the king and council over-ruled this contention, ordained that a 29th of February should be reckoned as forming but one day with the 28th[2]. It is improbable that after this any lawyer was at pains to set down in writing a long reasoned statement of a doctrine which had been thus exploded. The tariff known as the assize of bread there is reason for attributing to this same year, 1256; under that date the Annals of Burton relate that the justices who were sent throughout England to correct the weights and measures, published this assize[3]. The Annals give the document in Latin, the Note Book in French; but the tariff is the same. The appearance of a French document may cause a momentary suspicion as to whether this part of the Note Book was written so early as 1256; but this suspicion will be allayed when it is remembered that in 1258 the king's adhesion to the Provisions of Oxford was proclaimed in French as well as in English and Latin; indeed this is just the moment when French is taking its place beside Latin as a language for laws. Now the occurrence of these two documents one after the other, must suggest that they were copied into the Note Book in 1256 or thereabouts. The assize of bread was just being published and the problem of the bissextile was a warmly debated question pressing for an authoritative answer. The rest of the Note Book's text may have been transcribed some years earlier; but not before 1240.

Date of the marginal notes.

The date of the marginal notes is of course a different matter. They may have been written at intervals during a space of several years; but they cannot well be of much later date than the text. In the first place, it seems clear

[1] Cases 1291, 1292.
[2] See above p. 42.
[3] Ann. Monast. vol. 1, p. 375.

from evidence already mentioned that the annotator himself presided over and interfered with the work of the copyists[1]. In the second place, his writing would I believe be attributed by any expert much rather to the reign of Henry III. than to that of any Edward. In the third place, he thrice refers to changes in the law made by the Statute of Merton (1236) which once he calls 'noua gracia[2],' while he never expressly refers to any later legislation. To say that he never betrays knowledge of any more recent statute or ordinance, would perhaps be an assertion which no man now living is entitled to make; I dare say no more than that such betrayal, if any there be, has escaped me; but by means of footnotes referring to Bracton's text, I have tried to ease the task of any reader who will be at pains to consider this matter for himself. Now it seems improbable that any lawyer of a later time would have written all these notes without alluding, and that clearly, to such comprehensive enactments as the Statute of Marlborough (1267) or the Provisions of Westminster (1259), highly improbable that a lawyer of Edward's day would not have noticed that sweeping code which we know as the Statute of Westminster the First. Lastly, indications of date should be given by the names of cases noted in the margin, by such allusions as '*fere casus Cole*' and the like. Such success as I have had in deciphering these indications shall be described below: nothing has been found inconsistent with the conclusion for which I am now arguing. In only two instances has the annotator given us any easy means of settling a date. Twice and no more he names the judge who decided the case to which he alludes; in the one he names William of Wilton who was slain at Lewes (1264) and who first appears as a judge in 1247; in the other he names 'H. de Bratton,' a judge of whose history we have already said something, and to whom we now return.

Coincidences of the notes with Bracton's text.

Now the resemblances between the notes and passages in Bracton's text are many and striking. But let us not make too much of this. Having come to the conclusion that Bracton and the annotator are contemporaries we shall

[1] See above p. 64. [2] Cases 1409, 1881, 1975.

expect that they will in many instances say much the same things; for both are English lawyers, both are dealing with the same subjects, both are often discussing the very same decisions. The minds of all lawyers ought, it may be said, to be very much alike, for there is a strict legal orthodoxy, from which he who departeth doth surely err; even verbal agreement will be frequent for the legal vocabulary is small. Perhaps there was much less agreement among the good lawyers of the thirteenth century about elements of the law than there would be among good lawyers of our own day; still coincidences if they are to prove anything must not consist merely in the statement of the same rules of law in the same or very similar words. Consequently no attempt will be made to collect here the numerous instances in which Bracton and the annotator say the same things; when this happens attention is drawn to it by a foot-note; but a few examples will now be noticed in which the agreement is of such a kind, that, when they are taken all together and in conjunction with the evidence already discussed, they make a strong case for holding that Bracton and the annotator were but one man.

Examples.

(1) Bracton[1] treating of the cases in which a donor is not bound to warrant a donee, says that the terms of the gift may except certain particular persons from the scope of the warranty, as e.g. if I say "I and my heirs will warrant against all the world except so and so." To prove that such an exception will be effectual, he cites from the Warwick eyre (A. D. 1221) of the Abbot of Reading and Martin Pateshull an assize of mort d'ancestor, *Si Willelmus Trussell.* He immediately adds that the donor may not be bound to warranty even though he has received the donee's homage; warranty may be excluded by the terms of the gift, as e.g. if the charter says, that in case the donee be impleaded, the donor shall not be bound to warrant or give an exchange, "sicut de Willelmo de Bruere in multis cartis suis." These last words refer doubtless to the William Briwere, who when he died an old man in 1226, had for some forty years been a

Trussel's Case and William Briwere.

[1] Br. f. 390 b.

trusted royal servant, had amassed great wealth and liberally endowed religious houses, especially in the west country[1].

Now in the Note Book[2] we find the assize *Si Willelmus Trussel*, not indeed at the stage at which Bracton cites it, but at a later stage, when it came before the Bench. The case had nothing to do with William Briwere. The charter then in question was one granted by Amicia mother of William Basset to Hugh of Chawcombe with an express saving of the rights of the heirs of William Trussel. It was decided that under this deed Basset was not bound to warrant Chawcombe against Trussel's heirs. The annotator states in the margin the rule involved in this decision. He then adds, "It will be the same if homage be taken 'saving the right of "every one,' or with an express exclusion of warranty secun-"dum W. Briwerre."

This connection then of Trussel's case with Briwere's opinion or practice as to the receipt of homage, we find both in the treatise and in the Note Book, and the coincidence is of a very striking kind, particularly when we reflect that Briwere died some twenty years before either book can have been compiled. With Briwere's transactions Bracton may well have been familiar; his son, William Briwere the younger, was bishop of Exeter from 1224 to 1244, and a judge who took the Devonshire assizes would often have seen the charters of the founder of Dunkeswell, Torre and Polslo. Still the number of lawyers living between 1250 and 1260 to whom Trussel's case would have recalled Briwere's name cannot, one would suppose, have been very large, even if it was a very uncommon thing for a donor to expressly stipulate that the receipt of homage should imply no warranty.

Juste propter jus sed iniuste propter iniuriam.

(2) The formula of novel disseisin inquires of the recognitors whether the plaintiff has been disseised *iniuste et sine judicio*. This might suggest that it is possible to disseise a person *sine judicio* and yet not *iniuste*. Such however is not, as it seems to me, Bracton's doctrine. Whenever a person is

[1] In my note vol. 1, p. 159 I have called him Brewer. I must beg his pardon. He was of Norman family and the full form of his name was Brieguerre. See Stubbs' Hoveden, Vol. 3, p. lxxii.

[2] Case 196.

disseised without a judgment, he is disseised unjustly. The strictly limited cases in which a disseisor may be lawfully ejected by the true owner *flagrante disseisina*, are met by the theory that in such cases the true owner has not yet lost seisin in law (*possessio civilis*), though he has lost seisin in deed (*possessio naturalis*); so that here the disseisor is not even disseised, much less unjustly disseised. Suppose then that the true owner be thoroughly dispossessed, that the time for self-help is past; he disseises his disseisor; shall we say that he has done this *iniuste*? Bracton gives his answer in these words[1], "Juste facie prima propter jus, sed iniuste propter iniuriam, quia sine judicio" (Justly if we regard only the right of property, but unjustly because of the injury to possession). This jingling gloss we find in the Note Book also and in the same context[2]:—"Juste propter jus, set iniuste quia sine judicio." Justices are convicted of false judgment, jurors of perjury, because they do not observe the true theory of possessory actions, and think that a man may be disseised without a judgment and yet not unjustly.

Montacute v. Bestenore.

(3) Careful readers of Bracton's treatise will perhaps remember his citation of *Montacute* v. *Bestenore*[3], first because it brings out clearly the difference between villein status and villein tenure, secondly because it suggests that the judges of 1219 considered that a tenant in villeinage holding by services of the most 'villeinous' kind, including merchet and uncertain tallage, could not lawfully be ejected by the lord so long as he performed the villein services. This very interesting case is in the Note Book[4]. The judges held that Bestenore was a free man though he held in villeinage. Now both Bracton and the annotator commenting on this case find the distinction between villein tenure and villein status in the fact that the free man who holds in villeinage can leave his tenement if he pleases; "quia potest relinquere tenementum," says the annotator; "quia poterit relinquere villenagium et ut liber homo

[1] Br. f. 205.
[2] Case 530.
[3] Br. f. 199 b.
[4] Cases 70, 88.

recedere," says Bracton. About leaving the land, the record itself says nothing.

Ripton v. Abbot of Ramsey.

(4) This case occurs in the Note Book[1]:—The Abbot of Ramsey disseises Richard of Ripton; Richard brings an assize, but before the case is heard has recourse to self-help and disseises the Abbot. The Abbot pleads no special plea; the assize proceeds and the facts are found. The judgment is that Richard has recovered his seisin and that the Abbot be amerced, but that Richard also be amerced for the usurpation. Commenting on this case the annotator speculates as to what would have happened if the Abbot had brought an assize:—"I believe," he says, "that the latter "assize (the Abbot's) shall proceed, and the former assize "(Richard's) is extinguished and annulled as a penalty for "having taken the law into his own hands when he should "have recovered by judgment." Bracton[2] cites this case and indulges in a similar speculation; he even thinks that in Richard's assize it was open to the Abbot to counterclaim (*agere de reconuentione*) and so recover seisin. The case itself, we may notice, does not prove that the Abbot could, either by cross action or by counterclaim, have reobtained possession; but it suggested the same speculation to the annotator and to Bracton.

A tenement bound by warranty.

(5) Still more to our point is the following. Bracton[3] says that the obligation of the donor to warrant the donee may not be a merely personal obligation, it may bind some tenement, that is to say, the burden of the contract may 'run with' land of the donor and bind that land in the hands of a purchaser. "A tenement may be thus bound expressly or tacitly; expressly, as if it be said in the deed of gift 'I and my heirs will warrant this gift out of such and such 'a tenement into whosesoever hands it may come,' in which case the thing is expressly bound to warranty, as is shown by the case concerning R. de Renge, Pasch. A. R. 16 com. Mid.; tacitly, as if the feoffor has at the time of the gift assets for the warranty, in which case, even without express

[1] Case 360.
[2] Br. f. 226, 226 b.
[3] Br. f. 382.

words, what he then has is bound; for a personal obligation is of no avail unless the person bound has property out of which he can make the exchange in case of need." Now I understand Bracton to mean by this, that on principle the warranty should always be treated as binding not only the warrantor's person but also all the lands that he has when he makes the contract, whether anything be expressly said on this point or no; for a warranty would be a useless thing if the warrantor could for all practical purposes destroy it by conveying away his property to others. But Bracton has not got a case that goes nearly this length; his authority goes no further than the point that by express bargain the burden of the warranty may be imposed upon a specific tenement.

The case that he cites is easily recognizable in the Note Book[1]; it shows how a house in London was expressly bound to warrant land at Stepney. It interested the annotator; on two occasions has he marked it as *Optimum;* meditating over it pen in hand he has drawn a helmeted head. The result of his meditation is a note to the effect that 'from this case it would seem that as the property that 'a donor or vendor has on the day of the sale may be 'expressly bound by the warranty, so it may be tacitly 'bound, for a warranty is of no avail unless the warrantor 'has property whence he can make the warranty and the 'exchange.' Nay, the two writers (if two they were) use almost the same words:—

Bracton—quia non valet obligatio personae nisi habeat si opus fuerit unde possit excambium facere.

The Annotator—quia non valet warantia nisi habeat unde possit warantiam facere et escambium.

Now this piece of reasoning makes what must seem to us a very rash transition from 'This can be done by express bargain,' to 'This always is done by tacit bargain.' Both writers give it; both argue in the same way, in the same

[1] Case 748. The *Alicia de Warr* of Bracton's text should be *Alicia le Ailer*. The mistake is one easy to make.

phrase, that this must be so otherwise warranties will be of little worth. Further though there are a very few traces in later books of the rule that specific land might by express words be subjected to the burden of warranty, the much wider doctrine as to the tacit obligation, never became, so far as we know, part of the common law[1].

(6) Next we may note a coincidence which caught Vinogradoff's eye. Bracton[2] says that formerly the doweress had no power to bequeath the growing crop. The change in the law made by the Statute or Provisions of Merton he then states thus:—"sed noua superueniente gratia et proui-"sione......poterit uxor de fructibus et bladis......testari et "pro voluntate sua disponere." Against a case[3] decided under the old law the annotator has written thus:—"Modo "mutatum est de noua gracia quod potest testamentum "facere de blado firmo in terra." This coincidence in the use of the phrase *noua gracia,* which lies a little outside the lawyer's everyday stock of words, will seem the more striking when it is said that both Bracton and the annotator speak of the Provisions on other occasions without using this or any similar phrase. The Statute of Merton.

(7) On f. 26 b. Bracton speaks of a particular class of gifts, namely gifts made to the donee until (*quousque vel donec*) some other provision shall be made for him. He points out the distinctions which will arise according as the heirs of the donor, and again the heirs of the donee, are or are not mentioned. Four cases are possible (1) 'until I 'provide for you,' (2) 'until I or my heirs provide for you,' (3) 'until I provide for you or your heirs,' (4) 'until I or my 'heirs provide for you or your heirs.' He then passes on to speak about estates *pur autre vie,* gifts to the donee for the life of the donor, and then touches on gifts for terms of years. And here he has this phrase:—"Si autem fiat donatio ad Terminus terminans set indeterminatus.

[1] See Holmes, *Common Law*, p. 394 and the cases there cited, viz. Y. B. 20. Ed. i. 360; Y. B. 32 and 33. Ed. i. 516. See also Fitz. *Voucher*, 292 (28 Ed. i.) and Co. Lit. 102 b: "If a "man give lands in fee and bind "certaine lands specially to warranty, "the person of the feoffor is hereby "bound, and not the land, unlesse "he hath it at the time of the "voucher."

[2] Br. f. 96.

[3] Case 1409.

"terminum annorum, quamuis longissimum, qui excedit "vitas hominum, tamen ex hoc non habebit donatorius "liberum tenementum, cum terminus annorum certus sit et "determinatus, et terminus vitae incertus, et quia licet "nihil certius sit morte, nihil tamen incertius est hora "mortis." All the matter here described occurs in the space of a single page.

In the Note Book there is a case[1] which interested the annotator a great deal. It concerns Stephen Segrave. The fallen favourite was sued by the king for certain manors. The case seems to have been that King John had given these manors to David Earl of Huntingdon until (*quousque*) King John should make other provision for Earl David, and that Segrave derived such title as he had from Earl John heir of Earl David. Judgment, it seems from a note which the annotator himself has interpolated, was given for Segrave. The report is not so full as might be wished; but the annotator suggests that the case turned on this, that the king had never made another provision for Earl David himself and that nothing had been said about any provision being made for Earl David's heirs; "prouisio non tetigit "haeredes." He thinks with Bracton that if the clause be 'until I provide for you,' then after your death your heir will have a fee in the land not to be defeated by my tendering him other lands. Incidentally too he notices, as Bracton does, that *quousque* and *donec* are equivalent terms. But he adds another note which must be quoted in full—"Terminus "terminans set indeterminatus et incertus, et ideo liberum "tenementum sicut ad vitam hominis (a gift to you until I "otherwise provide for you, gives you the freehold, for though "a term is set to your estate, it is an uncertain term, such as "is set to the estate of every tenant for life), quia nichil "certius morte, nichil incertius hora mortis."

These are Bracton's very words, and the coincidence seems particularly remarkable, for Bracton introduces this phrase about the certainty of death the uncertainty of the hour of death, immediately after he has been discussing,

[1] Case 1124.

what the annotator thought was the point of Segrave's case, namely the effect of such words as 'until I otherwise provide 'for you.'

(8) The two books contain two very similar disquisitions as to the meaning of 'a year and a day[1].' Both writers maintain that the 'year' is always 365 days and 6 hours; that a leap year makes no difference; both argue against the contrary opinion; it is a mistake caused by the interpolation of an additional day in every fourth year; that day is interpolated to prevent the absurdity of keeping Christmas in the summer and the Nativity of the Baptist in the winter; no such absurdity would be caused by holding that the essoinee's 'year' is always the same; both compare the year of 365 days to a snake without a tail, the year of 365 days and 6 hours to a snake with its tail in its mouth; both think it necessary to preface their argument with some general considerations about the length of years, months, weeks, days, hours and moments. In short, it is not too much to say that the two disquisitions are substantially the same; both maintain by the same arguments, in the same phrases, a doctrine, which even if it ever was uncontroverted law, was abolished in 1256. **Year and day.**

(9) In the Note Book the argument about leap year is immediately preceded by the discussion of a hypothetical case[2]. I will ask any reader who is familiar with Bracton's mode of thought and strain of language, and who is also familiar with the terminology of the rolls, whether this discussion is not extremely like Bracton's work. It concerns a matter which seems to have given him a great deal of trouble, namely the dual possession, or dual seisin, of freeholder and termor. For each of them has a legally protected possession or seisin, the one protected by the assize, the other by the *quare ejecit infra terminum*. How can one represent this in theory; how can one do so in the reasonable Roman terms that one finds in Azo's book? The discussion in the Note Book deals with a problem which **The dual seisin.**

[1] Br. f. 359; Case 1291; see also Br. f. 344 b.

[2] Case 1290.

raises this question. The writer introduces the doctrine of two concurrent possessions

> quia simul *stare possunt* seisina proprietarii et firmarii, unius quantum ad liberum tenementum et alterius quantum ad usumfructum.

Bracton more than once states this theory

> quia bene sese compatiuntur de eadem re duae possessiones, dum tamen ex diuersis causis......quia ususfructus per se *stare potest* in persona unius, et liberum tenementum per se in persona alterius (f. 44 b.).
>
> quia sese compatiuntur terminus et feoffamentum de eadem terra, quia ibi sunt diuersa jura; ad feoffatum vero pertinet proprietas feodi et liberum tenementum, firmarius vero nihil sibi vendicare poterit nisi usum fructuum (f. 27).
>
> poterit enim quilibet illorum sine praeiudicio alterius in seisina esse eiusdem tenementi, unus ut de termino et alius ut de feodo et libero tenemento (f. 220 b.).

Further it will be observed that the writer of the passage in the Note Book uses the words *proprietarius* and *ususfructus*. Now it may, I believe, be denied with much confidence that these were terms of English law. I have copied near two thousand cases without having once had to write either of them. The tenant for years never has a *usufruct*, the freeholder never is a *proprietor*. Such terms belong not to the language in which lawyers plead, in which justices deliver judgment, they belong to the language of the rationalistic jurist who has come under romanesque influence, who will expound English law according to the best modern ideas. To say that no man but one could have written this passage, would be absurd enough; still we may say that of the only two passages in the Note Book in which there is anything that can be called a sustained argument, this one about the double seisin, states a theory which Bracton held in the terms which Bracton used, which were not the technical terms of English law, while the other is the dissertation on leap-year, the relation of which to a passage in Bracton's text is curiously intimate.

It will probably be allowed that these nine examples (which of course have been chosen as being the best) bring the work of the annotator very near to the work of Bracton. Still it might be rash to infer that the annotator and Bracton were one. They may have been two close friends, two members of the same school, perhaps pupil and master, interested in just the same problems of jurisprudence, solving such problems in the same way, in the same terms; they may have discussed together the bearing of Trussel's case on the charters of William Briwere, have talked over the binding of land by warranty, have heard Martin Pateshull expound the true theory of 'year and day,' have learned from each other or from their common preceptor the 'Juste 'propter jus sed iniuste propter iniuriam,' the 'Nihil certius 'morte, nihil incertius hora mortis;' the theory of the dual seisin, the terms 'proprietor' and 'usufruct' may have belonged to a school, a school of speculative lawyers who strove to reconcile English law with Rome and Reason. Less theoretic, more purely personal, links must be found between these two writers, before the connection will have become so close that we must acknowledge them to be not two, but one Bracton. Summary.

The Third Argument: The Cases 'noted up' in the margin of the Note Book.

We turn now to the most enigmatical class of notes, those namely which seem to be allusions to cases which are not in the Note Book. Often such notes consist of just a proper name and no more. All the notes of this class shall here be collected, and we will inquire whether there are any reasons for connecting Bracton with the cases, which have thus been 'noted up.' The cases noted up.

(1) Against an action for dower[1] we find this note:—

Ermeiard et herede de Hokesham.

Now on the 13th December 1255, as we may learn from Ermengard and the heir of Huxham.

[1] Case 1843.

an inquest post mortem[1], died William of Hockesham or Hoggesham, that is of Huxham near Exeter, leaving a son four years old. The wardship, however, of this child was worth nothing, because the father shortly before his death gave his land at Huxham to William "de Punchard," as the jurors call one whom we may easily identify with William of Punchardon. Punchardon lies in the parish of Kentisbere some ten miles as the crow flies from Huxham[2]. The reader may possibly remember that William of Punchardon married Ermengard widow of Thomas of Saunton, and with his wife brought an action for her dower against one Henry of Bratton[3]. That Bracton had dealings with Ermengard is established, that Ermengard had trouble with the heir of Huxham whose father had enfeoffed her husband, is very probable. This is how I would explain the note ***Ermeiard et herede de Hokesham.*** It is a note about a case affecting a lady whom the annotator knew so well that he did not give her a surname.

Apart from this very conclusive evidence, it may be shown that Bracton had cause to know something of both the families concerned in this case. A case concerning William of Punchardon, Henry Tracey and the heir of Roger Beaupel is cited without date in the printed treatise, and the MSS. prove that this citation was originally a marginal note[4]. William of Punchardon sat with Bracton as a justice of assize[5]. In 1257 Bracton was appointed to take an assize concerning land at Huxham, to which William of Punchardon was party[6]. Ermengard was convicted before Bracton of a disseisin perpetrated at Cheriton[7]. In 1262 Bracton was commissioned for an assize between Thomas Brother and Emma widow of William of Huxham touching common of

[1] *Calend. Geneal.* vol. 1, p. 73.

[2] See Lysons, Britannia, vol. 6, p. 85, where it is said that Robert de Hokesham conveyed the manor of West Buckland to Sir William Punchardon, whose heiress brought it to the Raleighs. The entry which enabled me to identify Hokesham or Hoggesham with Huxham is in Rot. Hund. vol. 1, p. 66, which showed that the Hokesham family held the hundred of Budleigh; see also ibid. p. 86, 91.

[3] See above p. 16.

[4] Br. f. 88 b. It is in the margin of OA and OB.

[5] Coram Rege Roll No. 96.

[6] Rot. Pat. 42 Hen. 3. m. 17 d. (MS. Ind.)

[7] Coram Rege Roll No. 90. m. 11 d.

pasture in Huxham[1]. In 1267 this same Emma paid a half-mark to have an assize before Bracton[2].

Corbyn's case.

(2) No cases seem to have interested the annotator more than those which concern the demurrer of the parol. Be it then explained, that very often when an action for land is brought against an infant, the action will remain in suspense until the infant is of age; in technical phrase the parol (*loquela*) demurs (*remanet*); or to use another term of art, the infant *habet etatem suam*, has or is allowed his age, that is, he need not answer until he has attained majority[3]. Such is the case if the infant has come to the land as the heir of an ancestor who died seised as of fee. But according to Bracton stress must be laid on these words *as of fee;* for if, e.g. the ancestor came to the land as guardian in chivalry, then his heir though under age will have to answer at once to the suit of the ward who is being kept out of his inheritance. Again the parol may demur because a person who has been vouched to warranty is under age. As may well be imagined many difficulties occur in working out this general principle, for lords are given to dealing in unauthorized modes with the lands which come to them by way of wardship; the lord, for example, who has A's land will enfeoff X, then X will die and his infant heir Y will enter, and when A sues Y, then Y will assert that the parol should demur. Or again the feoffee of the lord, or that feoffee's heir, will, when sued, vouch the lord's heir, who will be an infant.

Cases in the Note Book which raised such points as these are freely annotated, and it seems plain that the annotator thought that some of them were wrongly decided. Apparently his inclination was to confine the privilege of infant tenants and vouchees within as narrow bounds as possible. Now against several of these cases he writes the name *Corbyn*. The following are the instances in which this name occurs.

Case 30. Assize of mort d'ancestor brought by Simon on

[1] Rot. Pat. 46. Hen. 3. m. 5 d. (MS. Ind.)

[2] Excerpt. Rot. Fin. vol. 2. p. 458.

[3] The demurrer of the parol for nonage was abolished in 1830 by 11 Geo. 4 and 1 Will. 4, cap. 47, sec. 10.

the death of his mother Swanill against Margaret and Jacob. Margaret as doweress vouches Jacob who is an infant. Simon asserts that Swanill held of Jacob's father who on Swanill's death entered by intrusion ("by intrusion," says the annotator "for the tenement was held in socage"). *Held* that the assize should proceed. Against this case stands the name *Corbyn.*

Case 1722. Assize of mort d'ancestor brought by Richard Montacute on the death of his father William Montacute against Gilbert of Say, who vouches his own wife, who vouches Matthew of Clevedon, who vouches the infant heir of Drogo Montacute. Matthew claims under the deed of Drogo's father. Richard however alleges that Drogo's father obtained possession of the land in the character of lord and guardian on the death of William Montacute, Richard's father. *Held* that the assize must proceed without waiting for the majority of Matthew's vouchee. Against this the note is, *Corbin de Ricardo de Monte Acuto.*

Case 1827. Assize of mort d'ancestor brought by Eudo Fitz Walter on the death of his father against Randolph Braham who vouches Roger son of Earl Hugh the Bigod; Roger is an infant. Eudo alleges that his father Walter died seised in fee, and that under an agreement with William of Toftes who was Eudo's guardian, Earl Hugh intruded and kept the land. Randolph denies that Walter died seised in fee. *Held* that the assize must proceed. Against this case stands the note, *Nota pro Corbyn quod etas non expectatur.* Also the annotator has added this note—'To the same effect 'you have a case in the Suffolk Eyre of Martin Pateshull, 'A.R. 12. Ass. mort. antec. *If Roger of Gloucester.* There 'the age is not awaited of the heir of a chief lord, who made 'a feoffment while the very heir [of his tenant] was within 'age, but the assize was taken, saving to the feoffee the right 'to recover in exchange when the [lord's] heir should have 'attained full age. And the same ought of rights (*de jure*) to 'be observed when an alienation is made after a writ has 'been purchased by the very heir whether the very heir be 'under age or no.' These last words, it will be remarked,

introduce a somewhat different topic, namely the effect of alienation pendente lite.

Case 1898. On the margin of a later page the case of Roger of Gloucester is copied from the Suffolk Eyre Roll. Assize of mort d'ancestor brought by John son of Roger of Gloucester against Richard Paide, who vouches William infant son of William de Ros. John alleges that Roger died seised and William de Ros the elder entered as guardian. No reply is made and the action is compromised. It would seem therefore that the annotator was mistaken when he said (in commenting on the case of Eudo Fitz Walter) that the assize was taken. This case is prefaced by the words, *Corbyn. De warrantia ipsius qui est infra etatem.*

We have then four allusions to 'Corbyn' and the context into which this mysterious word is introduced is always much the same. We turn to the places in which Bracton discusses the demurrer of the parol.

On f. 275 he cites the cases of Eudo Fitz Walter and Roger of Gloucester, and, like the annotator, treats the latter as an authority against the demurrer of the parol. But also on f. 269 b, he gives Eudo's case at length in such a context as to show that in his mind, as in the annotator's, the rule that the parol does not demur for the nonage of a vouchee whose ancestor entered merely as guardian, was closely connected with the rule about alienation pendente lite, the rule *qui dolo desiit possidere pro possessore habebitur*. Now in the Digby MS. the whole of this passage (twenty-four lines of the printed book[1]) is in the margin, and has above it the words *Casus Corbin*. It would seem therefore that in Bracton's mind, as in the annotator's, this topic was connected with Corbyn's Case.

On the 10th of April 1260, Bracton was appointed to take an assize of mort d'ancestor between Richard Corbyn and Adam le Bel for land in the township of Montacute in the county of Somerset[2], on the 3rd of June in the same year to

[1] The passage begins on f. 269 b., line 24, with '*Et ideo cum per talem*' and ends on f. 270, line 2, with '*Rogerum de warantia.*'

[2] Rot. Pat. 44 Hen. 3, m. 12 d. (MS. Ind.)

take a similar assize for land in the same township between Richard Corbyn and Ralph le Bel[1]. Is not this the explanation of the four notes in the Note Book and of the note in the Digby MS.? I had hoped to make the answer more certain by finding Corbyn's case on a plea roll; in this I have failed; but it will not escape remark that all the cases in connection with which we have found Corbyn's name are assizes of mort d'ancestor[2].

Whitchurch. (3) Against a case[3] from 1219 we find *Nota Whitcherche.* The name which assumes the various forms of *de Albo Monasterio, Blancmoustier, Blanchminster, Whitminster* and *Whitchurch*, was not uncommon in the thirteenth century: but in that century a family of 'Blanchminster or De Albo Monasterio in some records called Whitminster' was seated in the parish of Stratton in Cornwall[4], near the Bristol Channel and near the boundary of Devon. In 1261 Bracton was appointed to take an assize between Rannulf de Albo Monasterio and the Prior of Launceston for the church of Stratton[5]; from the next year we have a fine whereby Rannulf recognized the Prior's right to the church[6].

Ralph of Arundell. (4) The Note Book has a case[7] in which a lord claims wardship of the heir of a female tenant against the infant's father. The father pleads that he is tenant by the curtesy and that therefore no wardship is due. On this plea a day is given for judgment. Against this case stands a note:—

Casus Radulphi de Arundelle similis isti in Cornubia.

That the allusion is to a Cornish case needs no proof. A Ralph Arundell, who is regarded as the founder of the great Cornish house Arundell of Lanherne, was sheriff of Cornwall

[1] Ibid. m. 10 d.

[2] This same Richard Corbyn, or perhaps it was another, seems about this time to hold a good deal of land in Devonshire, the manors of Lampford, Uppacot, Parkham and Belstone, Feet of Fines, Devon, No. 613. A.R. 54 (MS. Ind.) In 1253 Bracton heard an assize for common of pasture at Litlemore in Somerset in which one Walter Corbyn was plaintiff. Coram Rege Roll, No 90, m. 16.

[3] Case 25.

[4] Lysons, vol. 3, p. cxxiii.

[5] Rot. Pat. 45 Hen. 3, m. 9 d.

[6] Feet of Fines, Cornwall, 46 Hen. 3, No. 7. In 1259 Rannulf and his wife were parties to another fine. Cornwall, 43 Hen. 3, No. 1.

[7] Case 266.

in 1260[1]. He held the manor of Trembleth in St Ervan and in 1259 and 1262 was party to real or simulated litigation ending in fines[2]. Moreover in 1254 and 1257 three different assizes in which he was engaged, all touching tenements in Cornwall, were brought before Bracton[3].

We turn to that Bodleian treasure, the Digby MS. At the bottom of one of its pages we find without context the note *Memorandum de casu R. de Arundelle.* On this page there is nothing that we can connect with the case in the Note Book; but on the page next before it there occurs a statement that tenant by the curtesy is seised of the freehold, and so can bring an assize, though he is not seised as of fee: possibly then the note in the Digby MS. has wandered a little way from its proper place[4]. It seems the general opinion of Cornish antiquaries that Ralph Arundell owed his estates in Cornwall to his having married an heiress, the heiress of Trembleth. At any rate here again we find a link between the Digby MS. and the Note Book.

But further, at the very bottom of the first leaf of each of the five first quires of the Digby MS. may be seen the words *Dominus Radulphus* (in some cases *Randulphus*) *de Ardell'.* Then at the end of a later quire[5] there stands a more eloquent legend, which I read thus:—

Mittuntur J. de bello prato septem peciae et dimidia subsequentes rubricam istam viz. quod non est capienda conuictio super conuictionem, et de illis tenetur respondere domino (a blurred word which may I think be *Rad'*) *de Arundell'.*

The meaning of this seems plainly to be that the seven and a half quires following the rubric *Quod non est capienda etc.*[6], are lent to J. de Beaupré, (probably in order that he may have them copied,) and that he is bound to answer for them to Sir Arundell. We may infer then that this very MS. belonged to a Sir Ralph Arundell. Beaupré

[1] Lysons, vol. 3, p. cxix.

[2] Feet of Fines, Cornwall, 44 Hen. 3, No. 2; 46 Hen. 3, No. 5.

[3] Coram Rege Roll, No. 96, m. 1; Rot. Pat. 41 Hen. 3, m. 7 d., 42 Hen. 3, m. 2 d. (MS. Ind.)

[4] MS. Digby, 222, f. 98. This folio begins at *talis* in the last line of f. 206 b. of the printed book, and ends with *liberum* in the first line of f. 208.

[5] f. 129 b.

[6] Br. f. 295 b.

again was a good Cornish name. In the fourteenth century Arundells and Beauprés marry into the same family, their souls are prayed for in the same church[1]. What here concerns us is the connection between the Note Book and this MS. of the treatise; still it is interesting to guess that the latter, a MS. which gives us the treatise in what seems an exceptionally pure form, may be traced to the house of a man who was sheriff of Cornwall when Bracton took the Cornish assizes; but this trail must be followed by others.

Gorges.

(5) There is an appeal of mayhem[2]; the appellee did not appear and the sheriff returned that he was not to be found; thereupon the sheriff was directed to exact him in three county courts and inform the justices of the result. Against this is written

Casus ipsius qui desponsauerat uxorem Radulfi de Gorges antequam dictus Radulfus.

This I believe refers to a case found elsewhere in the Note Book[3]. One Thomas of Bayeux carried off the king's ward Ellen daughter of Ivo of Morville and married her: the king had intended to give her to Ralph Gorges; steps seem to have been taken to outlaw Thomas and his accomplices (this is the point that the annotator takes;) when Thomas appeared it was adjudged that Ellen being an infant was not bound by the marriage; they were separated and she afterwards married Ralph[4]. This allusion therefore seems sufficiently explained by the Note Book itself; but it may be added that the family of Gorges gave its name to the manor of Braunton Gorges which lies in the same parish as that manor of Saunton which was once in the hands of Henry of Bratton, also that in 1258 and 1262 Bracton was appointed to hear assizes in which Ralph Gorges was concerned[5].

Cole's case.

(6) Cole was, as it is, a common name, therefore when we find against a case *fere casus Cole*[6] we learn little.

[1] See Maclean, *History of Trigg Minor*, vol. 1, p. 189, vol. 2, p. 158, and the index of that valuable book.
[2] Case 346.
[3] Cases 1263, 1267.
[4] Excerpta e Rot. Fin. vol. 2, p. 577.
[5] Rot. Pat. 42 Hen. 3, m. 15 d; 46 Hen. 3, m. 16 d. (MS. Ind.)
[6] Case 269.

However in 1238 a Richard Cole held land in Cornwall. A fine is preserved which was levied between him and the Prior of Launceston touching the church of St Juliotts; another levied between him and William atte Hasse[1]. In 1254 or thereabouts a Richard Cole was charged before Bracton with a disseisin done at Kadekeber' (Cadbury?) in Devonshire[2]; on another occasion a Richard Cole of Sebrittescot' comes before Bracton as a juror along with a Raleigh, a Tracey and others[3]. In 1262 one of the assizes which Bracton was commissioned to hear was brought against Martin Cole for land at Coombe in Devonshire[4].

(7) *Casus de Cornw*[5]. A family which bore the name De Cornu was seated at Horwood a few miles east of Bideford[6]. Ralph de Cornu was sheriff of Devon in 1250[7]. In the same year Roger Le Cornu and Fina his wife paid a mark for an assize before Henry of Bratton[8]. Cornu.

(8) Against a case[9] illustrating the rules of descent, we have *Nota Wynescot*. There is a place called Winscot in the parish of St Giles in the Wood near Torrington, which gave its name to a family of landowners[10]. A Johannes de Wynescote appears several times on Bracton's two Assize Rolls, once as engaged in litigation touching common at Morchard Bishop[11]; a Walterus de Wynescote is also mentioned[12]; but the case to which allusion is made in the Note Book has not been found. Winscot.

(9) Against a case[13] deciding that an infant is not bound by his fine, we have *Nota casum Hug' fil' Wymundi de Ralegha primogenitum et postnatum qui fuit infra etatem de concordia inter eos facta coram H. de Brattona*. There is no need here to prove that Bracton was concerned with the case to which reference is thus made. There is extant the foot of Raleigh.

[1] Feet of Fines, Cornwall, 22 Hen. 3, No. 3 and 7.

[2] Coram Rege Roll, No. 96, m. 8.

[3] Coram Rege Roll, No. 90, m. 5 d.

[4] Rot. Pat. 46 Hen. 3, m. 12 d. (MS. Ind.)

[5] Case 1904.

[6] Polwhele, *Devonshire*, vol. 2, p. 409 note.

[7] Risdon, *Devonshire*, vol. 1, p. 117.

[8] Excerpt. Rot. Fin. vol. 2, p. 83.

[9] Case 833.

[10] Lysons, vol. 6, p. 246.

[11] Coram Rege Roll, No. 96, m. 1d, 6, 10 d, 13 d.

[12] Coram Rege Roll, No. 90, m. 10 d.

[13] Case 1884.

a fine[1] levied in A.R. 40, before the justices in eyre at Exeter between Wymund of Raleigh and Warin of Raleigh, whereby, (this early specimen of elaborate conveyancing is worth notice) Wymund recognizes land at Bolleham to be the right of Warin, to hold of Wymund and his heirs to Warin and the heirs of his body, and after his death if he die without issue, to Wymund younger brother of Warin and the heirs of his body, and after his death if he die without issue, to Reginald brother of Wymund and the heirs of his body, and after his death if he die without issue, to Richard brother of Reginald and the heirs of his body, and if Warin, Wymund, Reginald and Richard die without issue, then the land is to revert to Wymund of Raleigh and his heirs. In 1259 Bracton was commissioned to take an assize of mort d'ancestor between Hugh and Warin of Raleigh for land at Belham[2]. This may well be the case to which the annotator refers. Again in 1265 Warin of Raleigh and Hawisia his wife pay a half-mark for an assize before Bracton[3]. That Bracton himself held land of a Raleigh has been shown above[4].

(10) *Nota de villanis Henrici de Tracy de Tawystocke qui nunquam fuerunt in manu Domini Regis nec antecessorum suorum et loquebantur de tempore Regis Eadwardi coram W. de Wiltona*[5]. This must allude to a case from Bracton's time: William of Wilton was just his contemporary; Tavistock, it were needless to say, is in Devon; Henry of Tracey sat as justice of assize along with Bracton, and was often appointed to deliver the gaol at Exeter. In 1279, as appears from a case in the Placitorum Abbreviatio[6] the men of Tavistock were again disputing with their lord as to whether they were entitled to the protection given to tenants of the ancient demesne. Two records, as it seems, were produced against them, the earlier of which was a case before Bruce and Middleton justices of assize in the 47th year of King Henry (1262-3). I should suppose that the case before

[1] Feet of Fines, Devon, Hen. 3, No. 492.
[2] Rot. Pat. 43 Hen. 3, m. 13 d. (MS. Index.)
[3] Excerpt. Rot. Fin. vol. 2, p. 423.
[4] See above p. 16.
[5] Case 1237.
[6] Plac. Abbrev. p. 270.

Wilton was yet earlier than this; much later it cannot have been, for Wilton was killed at Lewes on 14th May, 1264.

Even in imagination it is pleasant to walk in Devon. We take the train to Barnstaple; Bracton was archdeacon of Barnstaple. The next morning we may stroll easily to Raleigh, the cradle of the great house, and so on through Heanton Punchardon and Braunton Gorges to Saunton the manor which Bracton held: we have left Bratton Fleming some six miles to our right. Crossing the Braunton Burrows, we may be ferried over the estuary of the Taw and Torridge to Appledore; and so to Bideford; there will yet be time to visit Horwood where the Cornus lived. If we would see Winscot we must go south to Torrington, and then a third day's walk will take us over the Cornish border to Stratton, the home of Blanchminsters or Whitchurches: the church there claims our notice; Rannulf Blanchminster and the Prior of Launceston fell out about it and Bracton heard the cause. Then our way will lie in pleasant places; one day along the shore to St Juliott's, pretending if we can that we are interested in the Prior of Launceston, for this church also he acquired from one Richard Cole. We are not far now from the home of Ralph Arundell. But let us cross the Bodmin moors; on their south-eastern verge near the Cheese Wring we find Tuckenbury, and we remember how the Raleighs gave Bracton the manor of Tykenbrede for his life. On to Tavistock, where the villeins of Henry Tracey quarrelled with their lord and relied in vain on Doomsday Book. Richard Corbyn's manor of Belstone we can reach next day; the way lies straight across Dartmoor; it is a wild way, but (teste meipso) there is none pleasanter in England. Of course we can go round by the high-road, and a detour, none too long, will take us through Broadwood Wiger and Bratton Clovelly. To Exeter will be no long walk from Belstone; we must enter the cathedral, seek the spot where they laid the body of Henry Bratton, where they prayed for his soul and the soul of John Wiger. At his tomb our pilgrimage might end; but if one day more can be spared, we will go through Huxham and Thorverton, find Punchardon in

Geography of the cases.

the parish of Kentisbere and catch the train at Tiverton. Montacute we might visit on our way back through Somersetshire, if we cared to see the scene of the 'Casus Corbyn'. Not far out of our track have lain Ash Reigney, Buckland Brewer, Bovey Tracey, Newton Tracey, Nymet Tracey, Colaton Raleigh, Combe Raleigh, Withycombe Rawleigh. Many questions are solved by walking; *Beati omnes qui ambulant.*

Summary. These ten cases 'noted up' in the margin of the Note Book seem to supply a link of just the kind that was wanted. Our two writers not only select the same rolls, rolls of Pateshull and Raleigh, ponder over the same cases, hold the same juristic theories, use the same unusual phrases, but also are interested in the same counties, in the same set of people, Arundells, Punchardons, Traceys, Raleighs; they have personal as well as professional interests in common. If more be needed to make them one, perhaps it is that both should have been guilty of the same astonishing blunder.

The fifth Argument. The Baronial Nolumus.

Special Bastardy. The last point which can be discussed is of some curiosity, for it concerns the legitimation of bastards and that famous baronial *Nolumus* of which all have heard; but we must proceed cautiously and patiently, for we have to deal with an intricate question. The reader may be asked to keep two dates steadily before his mind, the 12th of October 1234, and the 23rd of January 1236.

The Statute of Merton. (1) The Statute of Merton as printed by the Record Commissioners[1] professes to have been made on the 23rd Jan. 1236, the morrow of S. Vincent in A.R. 20. It contains eleven chapters the subject matters whereof are as follows:—

cap. 1. Damages in actions for dower.
2. Widows may bequeath the crop on their dower lands.
3. Procedure and punishment in case of redisseisin.

[1] *Statutes of the Realm*, vol. I, p. 1.

4. Approvement of common
5. Usury shall not run against infant heirs.
6. and 7. Ravishment of ward; valor maritagii.
8. Periods of limitation for divers writs.
9. Special bastardy.
10. Suit of Court by attorney.
11. Imprisonment of those who trespass in parks.

How much of it made at Merton?

(2) There is no doubt that a parliament was held at Merton on the day just mentioned and that it enacted laws. On the other hand the evidence for the full form of the statute printed by the Record Commissioners is not first rate. This full form has not been found on any extant roll. Whether there was at this time or for many years afterwards a Statute Roll, is very doubtful. Legislative acts are often found on the Patent, Close, and Coram Rege Rolls. Thus the Provisions of Westminster are on the Close Roll; the ordinance touching leap year is on the Close Roll; instances of legislative acts found on Coram Rege Rolls will be given hereafter. The best evidence for the full form of the Statute is a comparatively modern MS. which professes to be a copy of a Statute Roll not now forthcoming; but substantially the same form is found in other old private MSS.

Only part made at Merton.

(3) The evidence against this full form is briefly this:—

(*a*) Writs directed to the sheriffs announcing the laws made at the Parliament of Merton are enrolled on the Close Roll under date 30th Jan. 1236[1]. They mention chapters 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, but not the other chapters.

(*b*) Matthew Paris under the year 1236 gives chapters 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 and 11, but not the other chapters[2].

(*c*) The excellent Annals of Burton give as the laws made at Merton on the 23rd Jan. 1236, chapters 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 and 11, but not the other chapters[3]. Since chapter 11 does but report an abortive discussion about the right to imprison those found trespassing in parks, and declare that

[1] *Statutes of the Realm*, vol. 1, p. 4, from Rot. Cl. 20 Hen. 3, m. 18 d.

[2] Mat. Par. vol. 3, p. 341.

[3] Ann. Mon. vol. 1, p. 249.

for the present there is to be no change in the law, the omission of this in the writ to the sheriffs is explicable.

(*d*) Almost certainly chapter 8 changing the periods of limitation was not enacted at Merton. It is not mentioned in the writ of 30th Jan. 1236. On the other hand a writ announcing this change to the people of Ireland is enrolled on the Patent Roll under date 20th March, 1237[1]. Also the Annals of Burton give it as having been made on 5th Feb. 1237[2]. Lastly our Note Book gives it among extracts from the Coram Rege Roll of A.R. 21 (A.D. 1237-8), which roll is not now forthcoming, and describes it as having been made at a general council held at Westminster in that year[3].

The story of the Nolumus.

(4) The chapter (cap. 9) then with which we are concerned, the celebrated chapter about the legitimation of bastards, stands in suspicious company so far as regards its date. But let us note the substance of it:—the bishops said that they would not answer the inquiry whether a person was born before or after the marriage of his parents, for this would be against the common order (*contra communem formam*) of the church; they then in their turn asked the barons to consent that children born before marriage should inherit; this request the barons met with the *Nolumus*. The discussion therefore was sterile; no law was made; therefore there was nothing to be published to and by the sheriffs; therefore the silence of the Close Roll, of Paris, of the Burton Annalist is not unnatural. For the sake of brevity I shall speak of the transaction described in this chapter as 'the Nolumus.'

The Ordinance of 1234.

(5) It is an indubitable fact that about bastardy there had been legislation some fifteen months before the parliament of Merton. This is proved by the Coram Rege Roll for A.R. 18-19, a roll still extant and extremely well dated. Under the heading *Die Jovis proxima post festum S. Dionisii anno Regis Hen. fil. Reg. J. xviij*, (St Denis is Oct. 9, a Monday in 1234, so this date is 12 Oct., 1234,) stands a provision made by the king, Archbishop Edmund, ten named

[1] *Statutes of the Realm*, vol. 1, p. 4, from Rot. Pat. 21 Hen. 3, m. 10.

[2] p. 252.

[3] Case 1217.

bishops, eleven named earls, and many barons named and unnamed. It is to this effect—When in the king's court it is objected to any that he is a bastard because born before the marriage of his parents, the plea is to be sent to the bishop to inquire whether he was born before marriage, or no. This in substance is all that is said; the terms in which the bishop must make his return to the writ are not expressly prescribed; there follows a provision against appeals, and a clause making the rule applicable to suits already pending as well as to suits not yet begun. I shall refer to this as 'the ordinance of 1234'.

(6) Supposing for a moment that the date of the *Nolumus* is uncertain, what we may ask is its relation to the ordinance of 1234? Now had we nothing but internal evidence to guide us, we might for a moment be inclined to regard the ordinance as a settlement of the dispute disclosed by the story about the *Nolumus*; the bishops gave way and consented to return a direct answer to the king's writ. But this doctrine would leave inexplicable the later practice of the king's courts, which did not send the issue of special bastardy to the ordinary; it could hardly be squared with certain letters which Robert Grosseteste bishop of Lincoln wrote to William Raleigh; it is flatly contradicted by an unquestionable authority. A few months after the Parliament at Merton an entry dated 9th May, 1236, was made on the Close Roll. This entry has been printed by Blackstone[1]. It consists of a writ addressed to the Archbishop of Dublin and the Justiciar of Ireland. They have asked how to proceed in case of special bastardy. They are told that there was a question as to where such an issue should be decided; that in the year past (*anno preterito*) it had been ordained that the issue should be sent to the Court Christian; that afterwards however (*postea vero processu temporis*) the bishops finding that they were required to state specifically whether the person was born before or after marriage, (and not generally whether he was legitimate or no,) had protested that they could not do this; that consequently the issue was

Relation of the Nolumus to the Ordinance.

[1] *The Great Charter*, Introduction, p. lvii., from Rot. Cl. 20 Hen. 3, m. 13 d.

for the future to be tried in the king's Court; but that whether it was to be tried by jury or by other proof was not yet determined. This seems to settle decisively the sequence of events. The ordinance of 1234 said that the question was to be sent to the ordinary; the bishops perhaps had hoped that they would be allowed to say merely 'This man is legitimate'; the king's Court would not be put off by this, would press the question 'Born in wedlock or no?'; then (almost certainly at the Merton Parliament) the bishops made an attempt to get the law altered; in this they failed; still they succeeded in establishing their refusal to answer the obnoxious question.

Grosseteste's letter.

All this agrees with the later practice and with Grosseteste's letters to Raleigh and to Archbishop Edmund. In one of his letters to the Archbishop there is a passage to the following effect:—'The king and his council are attempting 'to compel me to answer the question, whether a person was 'born before the marriage of his parents or no; I have 'refused to do this and have been cited before the council. 'Also the king and his council say that you along with the 'bishops, earls and barons of England, have consented to this 'form of question and answer. I beg of you to tell me 'whether you did so consent. If you did, then what am I to 'do? If I answer the question, I fear to fall into the hands 'of the living God; if on the other hand I refuse to answer 'and you have consented to the form in which the question 'is put, I shall hardly escape from falling into the hands 'of men.' This letter was written after Grosseteste had been consecrated bishop of Lincoln, that is, after the 3rd of June, 1235; Dr Luard has assigned it to the year 1236 but it can not well have been written after the *Nolumus*. From it we may gather that the question had lately been debated and that, according to the contention of the royal judges, the bishops had then consented to answer the king's writ word for word, but that whether, in their own opinion, they had really consented to this, was not very clear, was at all events not well known to the newly consecrated bishop of Lincoln[1].

[1] See Grosseteste's *Letters*, (ed. Luard), pp. xxxvii. ciii. civ. 76—97, 104.

(7) Hitherto we have left Bracton out of account; when we turn to him our real difficulties begin. What he does is this:—In the first place he tells the story of the *Nolumus*. His version is an expanded version of that which is in the Statute Book. He agrees with the Statute Book as to place and date. The debate took place at Merton on the morrow of S. Vincent in A.R. 20, that is 23rd Jan. 1236. So far all is well; but he immediately proceeds to say that *afterwards* on the Thursday next after S. Denis *in the same year* a provision was made by the king, archbishop, bishops, earls and barons. This provision we find to be an expanded version of the ordinance of 12th Oct. 1234. The day is rightly described as the Thursday next after S. Denis: but the year is wrong, wrong by two years. There can be no doubt that it is the ordinance of 1234 to which he refers; he gives a long list of the prelates and magnates who assisted at its making; this agrees with the list on the Coram Rege Roll; and though Bracton has handled his text very freely, still it is plain beyond doubt that the provision the substance of which he professes to give, is the ordinance of 1234.

Bracton inverts the dates.

(8) Clearly then as Selden pointed out there is a blunder in Bracton's text[1]. The suggestion is ready to hand that this is a blunder of copyists or editors, and, though I have looked at many MSS. for a variant and none has appeared, one would be very willing to make a small conjectural emendation if this would mend the matter. But no little change would suffice, nothing short of the rewriting of a chapter. The text distinctly represents the ordinance as a settlement of the debate which had provoked the *Nolumus*. To make this the clearer the words of the ordinance have been tampered with, (as I shall show hereafter), so as to represent the bishops as definitely and expressly consenting to answer the question, 'Born before marriage or no?' Then, says Bracton's text, by reason of this common consent it is in the king's election whether to address this inquiry to the ordinary or to determine it in his own court. According to the authentic text of the ordinance the bishops did not

The mistake is Bracton's.

[1] Selden, *Titles of Honour*, Part. 2, chap. 5, § 23.

consent definitely and expressly to answer word by word an inquiry so framed. Bracton's text is wrong and seemingly no small verbal change will set it right.

One other fact will however suggest that his memory (or his note book) had served him some trick about the proceedings of the parliament at Merton. One of the changes in the law made then and there was the change which enabled a dowager to bequeath the growing crop; this clause of the Statute is beyond suspicion. Bracton alludes to it but cites the Coram Rege Roll for A.R. 18—*sicut patet de prouisionibus apud Merton inter placita quae sequuntur Regem Henricum anno regni sui decimo octauo*[1]. At times, then, he may have thought that the Merton Parliament took place in Jan. 1234, and therefore before the making of the ordinance about special bastardy.

The Note Book inverts the dates.

(9) Having seen what Bracton did, let us now see how the maker of our Note Book dealt with this same matter. He had the Coram Rege Roll for A.R. 18—19 in his hands. His marks may be seen upon it at this moment. He extracted many cases from it. We have in the Note Book a legislative provision touching the assize of darrein presentment which is taken from the front of one of its membranes. On the back of that membrane stands the ordinance of 12th Oct. 1234. This also is copied into the Note Book though in an expanded form. But before this stands the story of the *Nolumus* in almost precisely the expanded form in which Bracton gives it. For this the roll whence extracts are being made gives no warrant whatever. In the Note Book no date is assigned to the story, but the transaction is described as having taken place at Merton. Then the ordinance is introduced with *Postea vero alio die.* The maker of the Note Book therefore believed as Bracton believed that the ordinance came after the *Nolumus;* he ascribed both to 1234; Bracton ascribes both to 1236. This matter of bastardy finished, we have then in the Note Book

[1] Br. f. 96. I have not found the right date A.R. 20 in any single MS., and I have examined eleven; some give 15, some 18, some '15 alias 18'.

more extracts from the same roll; the cases which are scored on the roll are duly copied.

(10) Let us now suppose that Bracton and the maker of the Note Book were but one person. That person believed, for some reason or another, that the famous discussion between bishops and barons took place before the publication of the ordinance. When his clerk under his eye was copying from the roll of 1234 and had come to the entry about bastardy, then to make matters clearer, he from some other source furnished that clerk with an account of the *Nolumus*. This was inserted to explain the ordinance which was then to be copied, and which was copied though not (as we shall see) without interpolations. At a later time this same person was using the materials that he had collected, was writing a treatise on the laws of England; his own Note Book puzzled him; he remembered that the parliament of Merton, at which the barons said *Nolumus*, was held on 23rd Jan. A.R. 20; how then could the subsequent ordinance have been made in A.R. 18? There was nothing for it but to change the latter date and to give the ordinance to A.R. 20. Unfortunately however A.R. 18 was the right date. Conjectural account of the mistake.

This of course is conjecture, the conjectural history of a muddle; the man who antedated the parliament of Merton, had afterwards to postdate the ordinance. He was persuaded erroneously, that the ordinance came after the fruitless debate at Merton; this being so, he could not get the dates straight though he tried first one expedient and then another.

(11) But the matter does not rest here. I have said that Bracton gives an expanded form of the ordinance and an expanded form of the story told in the Statute Book about the *Nolumus*. So does the Note Book, and in each case the version in the Note Book seems intermediate between Bracton's version and the original text. This may best be manifested by printing in each case the three versions in such a manner that comparison may be easy. The documents tampered with.

Here then are the three versions of the ordinance; (A) the genuine text of the Coram Rege Roll, (B) the text in the Note Book, (C) Bracton's text.

A	Provisum fuit et concessum quod de caetero cum talis bastardia
B	Provisum fuit et concessum quod de caetero cum talis bastardia
C	Provisum fuit et concessum quod de caetero cum bastardia
A	objiciatur alicui in curia domini Regis quod
B	objecta fuerit alicui in curia domini Regis quod
C	objecta fuerit alicui de tali causa in curia domini Regis quod
A	natus fuit ante
B	bastardus sit et ideo bastardus quia natus ante sponsalia sive
C	bastardus sit et ideo bastardus quia natus ante sponsalia vel
A	matrimonium contractum inter patrem suum et matrem suam mittatur
B	matrimonium contractum inter patrem suum et matrem suam mittatur
C	matrimonium contractum inter patrem suum et matrem suam mittatur
A	loquela ad episcopum loci ad inquirendum utrum
B	loquela ad episcopum loci ut inquiratur per haec verba utrum
C	loquela ad ordinarium loci et fiat inquisitio per haec verba utrum
A	talis natus fuit ante predictum matrimonium vel
B	talis natus fuerit ante sponsalia vel matrimonium vel
C	videlicet talis natus fuerit ante sponsalia sive matrimonium vel
A	post ita
B	post ita
C	post et rescribat ordinarius per eadem verba domino Regi sine aliqua cavellatione. Et
A	quod in inquisitione illa cesset omnis appellatio sicut in
B	quod in inquisitione illa cesset omnis appellatio sicut in
C	in illa inquisitione facienda cesset omnis appellatio sicut in
A	simplici bastardia de qua placitum
B	omni alia inquisitione de bastardia de qua inquisitio
C	omni alia inquisitione de bastardia de qua inquisitio demandanda
A	transmissum erit ad curiam cristianitatis
B	fuerit transmissa ad curiam cristianitatis episcopo vel ordinario
C	fuerit alicui ordinario
A	ita quod nulla appellatio inde fiat extra regnum.
B	facienda et ita maxime quod nulla fiat appellatio extra regnum
C	facienda et maxime quod nulla fiat appellatio extra regnum
A	Et ideo
B	si de necessitate contingat appellari. Et ideo tunc preceptum fuit quod
C	si de necessitate contingat appellari. Et tunc preceptum fuit quod
A	decaetero ita teneatur tam de illis de quibus
B	ita teneretur et observaretur in futuro tam de illis de quibus
C	ita teneretur et observetur in futuro tam de illis quam de quibus

A	judicium est	faciendum	in curia domini Regis quam	de
B	judicium	ex tunc faciendum esset in curia domini Regis		tam de
C	judicium	ex tunc faciendum esset in curia domini Regis		tam de

A placitis quae nondum		incipiuntur cum talis	bastardia
B placitis	inceptis quam incipiendis cum		hujusmodi bastardia
C placitis	inceptis quam incipiendis cum		hujusmodi bastardia

A objiciatur.
B objiciatur.
C objiciatur ex tali causa.

It seems to me fairly evident that the second of these versions marks a stage in the process whereby the third was evolved from the first; it agrees now with the one and now with the other. It agrees, for example, with the third in giving some important words about appeals from the ordinary which are not in the original document, introducing *maxime* and *si de necessitate contingat appellari*. It agrees again with the third as to the last clause, and the form in which they give it is not very intelligible. On the other hand it agrees with the first and not with the third in wanting the very material words which bind the ordinary to answer precisely the question 'Born before wedlock or no?' This is a serious interpolation. Did the bishops in 1234 distinctly bind themselves to answer this question? Their conduct in 1236 and Grosseteste's letters make it improbable that they did so. It seems much more likely that they did not fully understand what would be expected of them, that when they were pressed to answer the precise terms of the writ they refused and successfully maintained their refusal. One can not help for a moment charging Bracton with dishonesty in having tampered with the text of an important document; but the manner in which he inverts the dates of the two transactions shows, as it seems to me, that he had gone utterly wrong about this piece of history. Such a mistake made by a royal judge about events but twenty years old, may be very wonderful; but the mistake is there.

And now let us compare the three versions of the story about the *Nolumus*, (A) that printed in the Statute Book, (B) that given by the Note Book, (C) Bracton's.

A Ad breue Regis de bastardia
utrum aliquis natus
B inter alia tractatum fuit de obiectione bastardiae
utrum videlicet aliquis natus
C inter alia tractatum fuit de huiusmodi obiectione bastardiae
utrum videlicet quis natus

A ante matrimonium habere poterit
hereditatem
B ante matrimonium succedere possit antecessoribus suis in
hereditate et haberi pro
C ante sponsalia et matrimonium
haberi possit pro

A sicut ille qui natus est post,
B legitimo sicut ille qui post matrimonium natus fuit. Et ad [hoc]
Archiepiscopi et
C legitimo sicut ille qui post matrimonium natus fuit. Ad quod

A responderunt omnes Episcopi
B omnes Episcopi responderunt quod cum illi qui nati
sunt ante
C omnes Episcopi responderunt quod omnes illi qui nati
fuerunt ante sponsalia vel

A
B matrimonium ita legitimi sunt sicut illi qui post
matrimonium nati sunt, quoad
C matrimonium ita erunt legitimi sicut illi qui nati erunt post
matrimonium, quoad

A quod nolunt nec possunt
B deum et quoad ecclesiam noluerunt neque potuerunt
sine praeiudicio ecclesiasticae
C dominum deum et quoad ecclesiam nec voluerunt nec potuerunt
sine praeiudicio ecclesiasticae

A ad istud respondere,
B dignitatis respondere ad breue de inquisitione
facienda de bastardia
C dignitatis respondere ad breue super huiusmodi inquisitione
facienda de bastardia

A
B sic obiecta, rescribere domino regi in forma eis demandata per breue suum
videlicet utrum ipse
C sic obiecta, rescribere domino regi
videlicet utrum

A quia
B cui huiusmodi bastardia obiecta esset natus esset ante sponsalia sive matrimonium vel post, et cum
C ante vel post, quia

A hoc esset contra communem formam ecclesiae. Ac
B hoc esset in praeiudicium ecclesiasticae dignitatis
C hoc esset in praeiudicium sanctae ecclesiae ut dicebant, sed

A rogauerunt omnes Episcopi Magnates, ut consentirent
B rogabant Magnates, ut ad hoc consentirent
C rogabant regem et Magnates, quod ad hoc consensum

A quod nati ante matrimonium essent legitimi
B quod nati ante matrimonium ita legitimi essent
C praeberent quod nati ante matrimonium quoad omnia legitimi esse possent

A sicut illi qui nati sunt post matrimonium quantum ad
B sicut et illi qui post sponsalia vel matrimonium quoad
C sicut illi qui post,

A successionem hereditariam quia ecclesia tales habet
B successiones parentum et eorum hereditates quia ecclesia tales habet
C

A pro legitimis, et omnes Comites et Barones una voce
B pro legitimis, et omnes Comites et Barones quotquot fuerunt una voce
C et omnes Comites et Barones quotquot fuerunt

A responderunt quod nolunt leges Angliae mutare quae
B responderunt quod noluerunt leges Angliae mutare quae
C responderunt una voce quod noluerunt leges Angliae mutare quae

A usitatae sunt et approbatae. [Statute Book.]
B usque ad tempus illud usitatae fuerunt et approbatae. [Note Book, Case 1117.]
C usque ad tempus illud usitatae fuerunt et approbatae. [Bracton, f. 416 b.]

Here again the version given in the Note Book seems intermediate between what we may regard as the original document and Bracton's text; the mean agrees now with the one extreme, now with the other.

Summary as to special bastardy.

On the whole then, though it is very difficult to get to the bottom of this curious matter, it brings the Note Book into close connection with Bracton's treatise. Both give similarly interpolated versions of two records; both invert

the true dates of those two records; the mistake (for deliberate misrepresentation seems out of the question) is a very strange one; that two independent persons should have committed it, would be stranger still.

Ad judicium. And now the question whether this Note Book was really Bracton's or no, must be left to the judgment of the learned world. An effort has here been made to state the evidence impartially; but of course I was happy in believing that his work was in my hands, and my eyes may have been shut to facts which made against this pleasant belief. What is now to be wished is that some one will go through the book with the design of showing that it is not entitled to the name under which it is here published. Some one fact established by him, might make worthless every argument drawn from the manifold coincidences: for instance, he might prove that the annotator has referred to events which happened after Bracton's death. But meanwhile and on the evidence here adduced, Bracton seems fairly entitled to a judgment, a revocable judgment. The treatise is absolutely unique; the Note Book, so far as we know, is unique; these two unique books seem to have been put together within a very few years of each other, while yet the Statute of Merton was *noua gracia*; Bracton's choice of authorities is peculiar, distinctive; the compiler of the Note Book made a very similar choice; he had, for instance, just six consecutive rolls of pleas *coram rege*; Bracton had just the same six; two fifths of Bracton's five hundred cases are in this book; every tenth case in this book is cited by Bracton; some of Bracton's most out-of-the-way arguments are found in the margin of this book, in particular that about the binding of land by warranty, that about the ejectment of a disseisor; the same phrases appear in the same contexts, *Juste propter jus sed iniuste propter iniuriam, Nihil certius morte, nihil incertius hora mortis*; Corbyn's case, Ralph Arundell's case are 'noted up' in the Note Book; they are 'noted up' also in the Digby MS. of the treatise; with hardly an exception all the cases thus 'noted up' seem plainly to belong to Bracton's country, to affect persons whom Bracton must have known, Raleighs,

Traceys, Gorges, Blanchminsters, Winscots, Arundells, Punchardons; lastly we find a strangely intimate agreement in error; the history of the ordinance about special bastardy and the *Nolumus* of Merton, is confused and perverted in the same way in the two books. Must we not say then that, until evidence be produced on the other side, Bracton is entitled to a judgment, a possessory judgment?

Et ideo consideratum est quod Henricus recuperauit seisinam suam, saluo jure cuiuslibet.

§ 8.* *Of Fitzherbert's use of the Note Book.*

Whether this book was originally Bracton's or no, there can be but little doubt that some two hundred and fifty years after its making it came to the hands of another very famous lawyer, of Chief Justice Sir Anthony Fitzherbert, who published his Grand Abridgement in 1514[1]. Here again the evidence is the indirect evidence of numerous coincidences: but it is very convincing and should be briefly stated. If Bracton introduces, Fitzherbert closes one great period of English law, the age of the Year Books. A modern reader will probably turn the pages of this book with deeper interest, if he knows that from it Fitzherbert learned all, or almost all, that he knew of any law older than the days of Edward the First. *The Note Book came to the hands of Fitzherbert.*

When already a great part of my work was done, I remembered having seen in the Abridgement a few cases from the reign of Henry the Third. It occurred to me to ask, Whence did Fitzherbert get these very ancient cases; did he really read the plea rolls, and if so what plea rolls; or had he Year Books earlier than any that have come down to us? I went through the Abridgement, took out all the cases of Henry's time and arranged them in chronological order[2]. The result was very remarkable. Henry reigned *Evidence of this.*

[1] Printed, it is said, by Pynson in 1514, then by Wynkyn de Worde (?) in 1516, then by Tottell in 1565. I have used the edition of 1565.

[2] I afterwards found that some one else had long ago done exactly the same piece of work; he made a table which is found in a [illegible] Cambridge Library, Dd. [illegible] have used his results to co[illegible] own.

for 56 years; Fitzherbert had 207 cases from the first 24 years of the reign; only 7 from the last 32, and these 7 all from a single eyre, the Devon and Cornwall eyre of A.R. 47. Moreover the 207 cases fell into three groups, (1) cases for which Fitzherbert gave year and term, (2) cases for which he gave the year but no term, (3) cases for which he gave year and eyre. The first group ranged over the period beginning Michaelmas A.R. 2 and ending Easter A.R. 18. The second covered the regnal years 19 to 24 inclusive. It seemed then that Fitzherbert had consulted just the very De Banco Rolls, and just the very Coram Rege Rolls, which had furnished matter for the Note Book; for as already explained[1] the De Banco Rolls were terminal rolls, the Coram Rege Rolls were annual rolls. As to the Eyre Rolls, the case was not so clear; Fitzherbert seemed to have had a roll, or two rolls, from which the Note Book had no extracts. But at any rate here were facts which demanded further investigation; it remained to see how many of the 207 cases could be found in the Note Book.

I believe that every single one of them may be found there. In a table printed at the end of this Introduction I have endeavoured to show how this may be done. It will I think be allowed that in the vast majority of instances the case in the Note Book is certainly the case to which Fitzherbert referred; in a few instances this is more doubtful; sometimes the note in the Abridgement is very brief indeed and it would be impossible to say positively that one had found the corresponding record; but on the whole I have no doubt that all the 207 cases are in the Note Book.

It has been necessary indeed to suppose that Fitzherbert or his printer made some mistakes, not very many, in their references to years, terms and eyres; such matters are very apt to go wrong. But some of these mistakes are very instructive; they make it the more certain that the author of the Grand Abridgement was the possessor of the Note Book. The best example is this:—Scattered about in his book Fitzherbert has 8 cases professedly from Trinity term

[1] See above p. 56.

A.R. 9; out of these 8, only 3 are to be found in the Note Book as of Trinity term A.R. 9; the other 5 are there, but as of Hilary term A.R. 17. This five times repeated mistake seems very odd, until one has seen that in the Note Book's capricious arrangement, Trinity A.R. 9 is followed immediately by Hilary A.R. 17; when this is observed the mistake is no longer odd, but the most natural thing in the world. There are several other errors of a similar kind due rather to the Chief Justice than to his illustrious printers. Some doubts were at one time raised in my mind by the apparent fact that he had three cases from a Stafford eyre of A.R. 12 and one from a Leicester eyre of A.R. 15, from which eyres, (if any such eyres there were,) the Note Book had nothing; but the last mentioned case is found in the Leicester eyre of A.R. 5, and the three others in the Suffolk eyre of A.R. 12; 5 has been changed into 15 and *Suff.* into *Staff.* One case we can easily see from the names of counsel concerned in it, belongs not to the reign of Henry, but to that of Edward the Third[1].

This is not all. Under the title *Prohibicion*, Fitzherbert has a continuous string of eighteen cases from Henry the Third's reign. The order in which they occur is the following:—A.R. 2; Mich. A.R. 4; Mich. A.R. 4; Hil. A.R. 6; Hil. A.R. 6; Trin. A.R. 6; Hil. A.R. 8; Mich. A.R. 13; Trin. A.R. 13; Mich. A.R. 15; Pasch. A.R. 15; Mich. A.R. 16; Trin. A.R. 9; Hil. A.R. 17; Mich. A.R. 18; Trin. A.R. 4; Hil. A.R. 5; Hil. A.R. 7. It is a curious order, with its interpolation of A.R. 9 between A.R. 16 and A.R. 18 and its leap backwards from A.R. 18 to A.R. 4. A curious order; but the order of the Note Book. There are several other instances of the influence exercised by the arrangement of the Note Book over the arrangement of the Abridgement; but this is the most perfect[2].

After weighing this evidence the reader will hardly doubt that our MS. came to Fitzherbert's possession, that he relied on it, studied it, used it largely. His 200 cases are found in

[1] The writer of the Cambridge MS. Dd. vi. 39 has observed this. In the cases from Henry's reign no counsel are named because the cases come from the record and not from a Year Book.

[2] See e.g. the titles *Dower*, *Droyt*, *Essone*.

this collection of 2000. That this should be the result of chance is beyond measure improbable; there were hundreds of thousands of cases on the rolls of Henry the Third. And then why stop citing *placita de banco* exactly at Easter A.R. 18; why cite *placita coram rege* just from the years 19 to 24?

The last 32 years of the reign, as already said, are represented in the Abridgement by 7 cases all from the Devon and Cornwall eyre of A.R. 47. Of these I have nothing to say. It is plain that they were taken, not from a Year Book, but directly or indirectly from the record. Possibly Fitzherbert had come by a stray roll. There seems no reason for supposing that the lost end of the Note Book had cases from this eyre, an eyre of Bruce and Middleton which took place near the end of Bracton's life; the other 207 cases can be so satisfactorily accounted for, that the loss would seem to have happened before Fitzherbert's day. The appearance of these 7 lonely cases should not, as I think, detract from the force of the evidence stated above. They serve only to make the surrounding darkness visible, these 7 cases from 32 years, following 207 cases from 24 years. The author of the Abridgement would have gladly given more if he could have got them without much trouble; but the days when lawyers habitually studied the plea rolls, if such days there ever were, were long since past; the Year Books themselves were becoming an unmanageable mass; good luck and the splendid industry of the thirteenth century supplied Fitzherbert with a collection of cases from Henry the Third's reign; he used them. We may guess that the few French words scribbled here and there in the margin of the Note Book in a hand which seems to be a hand of Fitzherbert's day[1], were written by him; they are of no value, but just catch-words, showing under what title the case against which they are written might be arranged in an abridgement.

Influence of the Note Book upon Coke.

For a second time therefore our Note Book entered into the history of English Law. Mediately through Fitzherbert it became one of Coke's main authorities, (the treatises

[1] See above p. 65.

of Glanvill and Bracton are the others,) for what was law before the days of Edward the First, his only authority for the case law of those days. One does not turn over very many folios of the Commentary upon Littleton without seeing a stray reference to some case of Henry the Third's reign. That is a reference through the Abridgement to this Note Book. To take one example—"A man" says Coke[1] "shall be tenant by the curtesie of a house that is *Caput* "*Baroniae* or *Comitatûs*: but it appeareth by 4 H. 3. Dower, 180, that a woman shall not be endowed of it." If the reader now cares to verify this citation he can easily do so. Turning to the table at the end of this Introduction he will find Fitzherbert's 'Dower 180' under A.R. 4, and will then be directed to Case 96 in the Note Book, where he will find the ultimate warrant for what Coke says. That Coke had studied at first hand the rolls of the thirteenth century, there are very few signs indeed; he was dependent on Fitzherbert and Fitzherbert was dependent on this Note Book. And so the labours of the copying clerks, the generous love for learning of him who set them their task and paid them their wages, bore fruit again and again; will bear fruit yet once more, for the history of English law will some day be written.

§ 9. *Of the making of this edition.*

It remains to describe the relation of the text here printed to the MS. at the British Museum. In the MS. the usual stenographic signs have been very freely used: I should guess that at least a quarter of all the words in the Note Book are in some way or another abbreviated. Many of these signs have a perfectly distinct meaning and give very little trouble to any one who has once learned their significance. But besides these the indiscriminating dash is largely employed to represent every kind of termination, more especially when the words are part of some common formula. Thus, *Assisa venit recognitura* is hardly ever

Scheme of this edition.

[1] Co. Lit. f. 30 b.

written in full; instead of it one finds *Ass̄ ven̄ rec̄*; I believe that I have never but once seen the whole word *recognitura*. The one syllable *rec̄* is made to do duty for every voice, mood, tense, number, person, case of the extremely common words *recognoscere*, *recognitor*, *recognitio*, *recuperare*.

Expansion of contractions. Now it may well be urged that the fairest way in which to print the matter thus written is to use what is called record type, type imitating as closely as possible the various marks of abbreviation. Certainly that is the fairest way; but then it requires of every reader that he shall be instructed in an art which, though it is not really difficult, still can not be mastered without some trouble and practice. It might be wished that there was a large class of students so much interested in the history of law as to be able to read the original records readily and rapidly. But who will say that such a class exists? The fact is patent that for two centuries past extremely little use has been made of the invaluable plea rolls; also that extremely little use has been made, at least in this country, of those rolls of Richard's reign and John's, which Sir Francis Palgrave printed in record type[1]. The old fables and fallacies are repeated; tenth-hand hearsay is preferred to first-hand evidence; it is so much easier to copy down as gospel truth what Coke said, than to face what one is like to call a repulsive mass of pothooks and hangers. An appetite for abbreviated documents may come in time; even record type may be pronounced unsatisfying; readers will not be content until they can see the very upstrokes and downstrokes reproduced by photography; but to suppose that such an appetite exists at the present day, would be a foolish dream; to provide food for it, would be waste of money.

Sources of error. Again, mistakes of the worst and most dangerous kind, no type however ingenious can prevent. The very commonest source of misreadings is the likeness between *u* and *n*, and between *ui*, *ni*, *iu*, *in* and *m*; such a word as *minimum*, if

[1] Blackstone believed that pleas were enrolled in French until the reign of Edward the Third. Clearer proof that he never saw a plea roll of earlier date there could not be. Bl. Com. vol. 3, pp. 317, 319.

at all badly written, becomes a sore puzzle. The resemblance again between *c* and *t* is very fatal; in some hands and some combinations they are practically indistinguishable. An editor meets with a word which may be *indiciis* or may be *iudiciis*, which may be *amita* or may be *amica*. Here the type-founder can not help him; the context must tell him which word it is, and if there is any real doubt, he should state this in a foot-note. What is more, the stenographic signs are in general the very easiest things to read and to understand, and if one can not be trusted to expand them correctly one can not be trusted to copy them correctly. Take the common abbreviation of *persona;* one meets a *p* surmounted by a little *a* and with a line drawn through its tail, p̄ᵃ; the man who does not happen to know what this means, will probably think that the *p* is not a *p*. My belief is that the use of record type saves but few blunders of serious importance at the cost of deterring many readers.

A warning to readers. Therefore in this edition an attempt has been made to write out the words in full, except when so to do seemed really dangerous. I can not but suppose that I have made mistakes and therefore ought to warn the reader of the perils which he may run if he puts too much faith in what is here printed. Some instances shall be given.

Difficulty as to terminations. Tenses and moods are often doubtful especially when the verb occurs in some common formula. Thus when a demandant is successful, it is adjudged *quod rec' seisinam suam.* Now in this context, when (which is seldom the case,) the word is written in full, I have seen *recuperet* and *recuperat*, but far more commonly *recuperauit;* it is adjudged, not that the demandant do recover his seisin, but that he has recovered his seisin; the judgment seems to recognize an already accomplished fact; therefore I have used the perfect indicative as the proper expansion of the ambiguous *rec'*. Tenses and moods in the common formulas the reader must therefore be asked to regard with some suspicion; I have attempted to find out from the best evidence, what the phrases really were, but the terminations may sometimes express a hasty inference from an insufficient induction.

Difficulty as to words.

In some instances it is very hard to find what was the exact form of some very common word. Just because it was so very common, it was never written in full. The word which stands for our substantive *common* (in such phrases as 'common of pasture', 'he claims common',) is a good illustration. One does not often see it in full; the form *communia* seems to have been used at a later time and is found in the printed law books; but it seems plain to me from clear examples that in Bracton's day the word was *communa*, and therefore *communa* I have printed. There is a similar difficulty about the word which our *esplees* translates; hardly ever will one find more than *expl'*. I have occasionally seen *expletia* or *explecia*, and this form seems ultimately to have prevailed in our Law Latin; but much more often have I seen *expleta*, and this I suppose to be the better and the older form. Having come across the word *exhereditacio* written in full, I fear that I may have used this form too often, and that in some instances it would have been better to print *exheredacio*. These are specimens of the doubts that have occurred to me.

Difficulty as to grammar.

Grammar too has been troublesome. In our Law Latin, for example, people are always coming to do this, that and the other, they come to make suit, to view the wound, to collect apples, to certify the justices, *veniunt ad faciend' sectam, ad videnď plagam, ad colligend' poma, ad certificand' justic'*. I have been persuaded by numerous instances that in such cases the gerund was used, not the gerundive; that one ought to read, *ad faciendum sectam, ad videndum plagam etc.;* but except in my first few sheets, I have left the word abbreviated when I found it abbreviated, and if I use the gerund it is because in the MS. the gerund is written in full. As another illustration of the verbal difficulties that have occurred, notice may be drawn to a curious use of the word *perquirere*. It begins by meaning 'to acquire', 'to purchase'; then it is specially used of purchasing an original writ, the first step in an action; *A perquirit sibi breue de recto versus B;* then this phrase is twisted and we find such forms as *A perquirit sibi per breue de recto*, and *A perquirit se*

versus B; in short, the terms *perquirere sibi*, *perquirere se* become part of a technical slang, and they mean 'to bring an action'. It is sometimes difficult to expand the signs in which such slang is expressed. I have tried to be careful of small things. I have not said with the immortal Bartolus, 'De verbibus non curat jurisconsultus[1]'; still I have felt with a yet more famous lawyer, that

> 'Law is the pork, substratum of the fry,
> 'Goose-foot and cocks-comb are Latinity.'[2]

Some blunders in mediaeval etymology and grammar should be forgiven if the legal sum and substance of these two thousand cases are rendered with fair correctness.

Contractions being expanded, my endeavour has been to reproduce what was in the MS. word for word, never altering what was there in favour of something which might be better grammar or better sense. Even glaring false concords and obvious clerical errors I have kept in the text suggesting a better reading in a foot-note, when of this there seemed any need. On the very few occasions on which for the reader's convenience an opposite policy has been adopted, express warning of this is given in a foot-note. When a word in the text is printed in italics this means that in the MS. it is indistinct or very doubtful. The first page of the MS. is sadly defaced; in my representation of it the words printed in italics and within brackets, stand for words which are almost illegible. When the first page is past, all goes pretty smoothly. MS. printed word for word.

Again I have tried to preserve the spelling. Consequently, for example, I have never used the diphthong *ae* but have left the simple *e* of the original. On the other hand I have not preserved the capricious use of capital letters, for this has no grammatical significance, but is a matter of mere convenience. So both *u* and *v* which are very indiscriminately interchanged are here represented by *u*; it seemed to me that greater fidelity would simply make Spelling preserved.

[1] See the questionable story in Hallam, *Hist. Lit.* vol. 1, ch. 1, § 75.

[2] Dom. Hyacinthus de Archangelis, in Browning, *The Ring and the Book*, lines 152—3.

unnecessary puzzles; but perhaps in this I was wrong. In expanding contractions I have sought to maintain the strain of spelling, but in some respects this varies from clerk to clerk and I may not always have succeeded in catching it. One may easily see, for example, that they usually wrote *umquam* instead of *unquam*; but whether they wrote *numquam* instead of *nunquam* is more doubtful, for this word is almost always abbreviated. But the most difficult problem is caused by the great similarity between the characters *c* and *t*, and the tendency of *t* in particular combinations to become *c*. For some clerks, one is inclined to lay down the rule, that whenever in a Latin word *t* is followed by *i* and then by another vowel, the *t* has become *c*; thus not only does one find as matter of course such forms as *aduocacio*, *inquisicio*, *conuiccio*, *contradiccio*, but the genitive plural of *pars* is clearly *parcium* and the perfect of *peto* is *pecii*. But this rule does not hold good for all writers; for example, the annotator of the Note Book seems generally to use *t* where we should use it. Perhaps in the text here printed the *c* has been rather too freely used; but sometimes it is almost impossible to say whether a clerk has written *peciit* or *petiit*.

Local names. Again the terminations of the names of places are so commonly abbreviated, (e.g. *Ditton'*, *Trumpinton'*, *Hatfeld'*, *Hatfeud'*, *Winterburn'*, *Watford'*, *Wokindon'*) that often it is hard to decide whether what is omitted is a latinized termination, or a final indeclinable *e*. I doubt whether any universal rule could be laid down. Many of the larger towns certainly had declinable names, e.g. events take place *apud Gloucestriam* or *apud Gloverniam*; on the other hand it seems to me that some names were treated as indeclinable, e.g. those ending in *ham*; but about many of them I am doubtful and the reader should know of this doubt.

Punctuation. As regards punctuation. Both the Note Book and the Rolls are punctuated; the dread of stops had not yet taken possession of lawyers; and the Note Book is often very stupidly punctuated, for the copyists did not care to understand what they wrote. For a while I thought that fidelity

obliged me to reproduce their vagaries and I did not grow wiser until some sheets were beyond my control. After this I placed a few commas and full stops where I thought that they would be useful and called attention in foot-notes to any departure from the original which seemed of any importance. In general a legal record is quite unambiguous when all stops are omitted, and punctuation should be treated as of no authoritative value whatever.

Marginal notes.

The notes found in the margin of the MS. are printed in the margin of this book. It should be understood that all matters in the margin, except the 'marginal venues', were written by him whom I have called 'the usual annotator', unless something to the contrary is said in a foot-note.

Collation of Plea Rolls.

When this was possible I have collated my transcript of the Note Book with the rolls. What rolls are extant the reader may discover from a table at the end of this introduction. To indicate rolls which have been 'scored', and which therefore, as I infer, were used by the maker of the Note Book, I have employed the letter A: other rolls are referred to as B and C. A foot-note to the beginning of a case refers to the membrane of the roll on which it is found. The object of the collation was merely to discover whether the cases were on the rolls, whether they were copied with substantial accuracy, whether the roll would explain what the Note Book left unexplained. This is an edition of the Note Book not of the rolls; therefore I have not as a rule supplied what the maker of the Note Book systematically omitted, e.g. the names of unimportant persons, nor have I thought it expedient to notice variances except when these were of real legal importance. He was a lawyer and his book, whatever interest it may have for others, must in the main be a book for lawyers.

§ 10. *Of some noteworthy cases in the Note Book.*

Notable cases.

By a few last paragraphs attention may be begged for some of the matters in the Note Book which seem the most noteworthy.

Cases of historic importance.

The record which shows how the outlawry of Hubert de Burgh and of the barons who took his part was reversed[1], may be welcome even to those who are not lawyers; and there are some other records which illustrate the struggle of 1233[2]. A statement by the king's court in 1237 that Gualo the papal legate had been 'quasi tutor domini regis et custos regni' deserves remark[3]. There is a similar statement that Hubert de Burgh 'habuit regnum Angliae in manu sua'[4]. The latter occurs in a case touching the feudal relations between the king of Scotland and the king of England, a case which contains an emphatic statement of the doctrine of prerogative wardship. Four valuable entries concern the partition and therefore destruction of the most formidable outcome of English feudalism, the palatinate of Chester[5]; the difficulty of making a palatine earl answer out of his own palatinate, the ascription of palatine rights to the Earl Marshall, the demand for a *judicium parium*, the doubts of the assembled magnates over this unprecedented case, the rejection of foreign, presumably French, precedents, the reference to Roman or Canon law as a possible supplement for English jurisprudence, the afforcement of the court, the elaborately reasoned judgment, will not go unheeded; clearly these were important suits. One of these entries and another record[6] here printed are Coke's oldest authorities, (he had them from Fitzherbert,) for the law as to the abeyance of titles of honour[7]. There is a claim by William Longsword to the earldom of Salisbury, or perhaps to a

[1] Case 857.

[2] Cases 741, 750, 770, 1108, 1111, 1113, 1124, 1136, 1141.

[3] Case 1219: compare Stubbs, *Const. Hist.* vol. 2, p. 31, note 1.

[4] Case 1221.

[5] Cases 1127, 1213, 1227, 1273.

[6] Case 12.

[7] Co. Lit. 165 a.

hereditary shrievalty of Wiltshire[1], and there are several cases which turn on the doings of Henry Fitz Count who had asserted a right to the county of Cornwall and issued writs in his own name[2]. Traces of that great disseisor Fawkes of Breauté, are not far to seek; William Marshall the younger offers a thousand marks for the privilege of fighting him[3]. The court suspends its sittings in order that William of Albemarle may be besieged and suppressed[4]. Law has to recognize that a *tempus guerrae* is not uncommon.

That a large mass of material for the history of many famous families is here printed for the first time, will perhaps in the eyes of some be the best point of this book. Title is often pleaded from the days of Henry the First, and the Norman Conquest is still the period of prescription. **Family history.**

Some of the pleas which followed the king are of special interest as showing the action of the royal court where royal rights are concerned. Whether the king can be compelled to warrant his gifts seems a moot point, or rather a political question of grave moment[5]. It seems probable that he does justice in person and decides debated problems. If the second husband is tenant by the curtesy, it is because the king does not wish to change the ancient custom of England[6], though Segrave held that this custom was unreasonable[7]. If the king's rights are concerned, his pleasure must be taken; he has no superior, he cannot be summoned, none may give him orders; therefore no action will lie against him[8]. His council, which is now becoming a definite body, supervises the administration of the law. Justices in eyre are summoned before the king's council and the justices of the bench, and are amerced for having hanged a man unlawfully[9]; the justices of the bench themselves have to come before the council and answer for their mistakes of law; they plead that they knew no better[10]. The open sale of justice is becoming a thing **Constitutional Law.**

[1] Case 1235; see Mat. Par. vol. 4, p. 630. A striking application of the rule, that without words of inheritance no fee can be created.
[2] Cases 85, 1512, 1666.
[3] Case 102.
[4] Cases 1492—3—5 etc.
[5] See Index, *King disseisin by*, *King as warrantor*, etc. Br. f. 381 b.
[6] Case 1182.
[7] Br. f. 438.
[8] Case 1108.
[9] Case 67.
[10] Case 1166.

of the past; but there are sundry procedural advantages for the grant of which a mark or demi-mark is expected, and occasionally heavy sums are offered and accepted, even a thousand pounds[1].

Courts Christian.

The relations between the spiritual and the temporal jurisdictions are brought out by a copious supply of 'prohibitions'. The lay court seems to have spent a very large part of its time in preventing the Courts Christian from doing business, in watching jealously their interferences in case of breach of faith (*laesio fidei*), their efforts to sanction wills of land[2]. One may see here in detail the grievances of which Grosseteste and churchmen of the straiter sort complained—not real grievances according to Bracton; but Bracton, if an ecclesiastic, was first and foremost a royal judge[3]. More valuable yet are the glimpses we get of the feudal and communal courts, especially of the latter.

Communal Courts.

The county courts are busy, largely attended by the freeholders of the shire, who have to sit there as judges and make the judgments. The work is burdensome, but judgments cannot be made by the sheriff; the work is hazardous, for disappointed litigants are apt to complain to the royal court, and then four knights must repeat the record of the county court, and if it be contradicted, then the county will have to fight for it by the body of the county champion[4]. For all manner of purposes four knights of the shire are employed, they must ride to see whether a sick man has appointed an attorney, whether an essoinee is actually in bed without his breeches; the day seems, (and of course really is,) near at hand, when knights of the shire will represent the shire in a parliament. Nor will it escape us that all sorts of private men had to labour much and journey far in the work of justice. Notwithstanding the Great Charter[5], notwithstanding an occasional use of the *nisi prius*[6], four knights, twelve jurors, are constantly wanted at Westminster, and come they must from the furthest corners of the kingdom. It

[1] Case 1106.
[2] Index of Actions, *Prohibition*.
[3] Br. f. 401 b, 416 b.
[4] Index, *Court County*, *Court Hundred*, etc.
[5] Charters of 1215, sec. 18; 1216, sec. 13; 1217, sec. 13, 14, 15.
[6] Index, *Nisi Prius*.

is of men thus drilled to do justice that parliaments can be made[1].

Procedure.

A little can be learnt even of the procedure in the local courts, procedure by suit and compurgation and battle, a procedure which knows nothing of that new-fangled royal institution, the jury. 'Who shall go to the proof?', and not 'Who has proved his case?' was the question which perplexed the hundred court of Sonning[2]. When Edith of Wackford vindicated stolen swine in the manor court at Windsor, she held one of the pigs in her hand[3]. But in the king's court itself trial by jury was still struggling with trial by battle and with compurgation. Wager of law was still permissible within a large, though always narrowing, sphere[4]. Many records bring home to us the reality of that production of suit, which even in our oldest Year Books is fast becoming an unreality[5]. One cannot as a general rule put oneself upon one's country to prove an assertion without first (as we should say) 'making a prima facie case' by the testimony of *sectatores*. Especially in cases relating to dower, it is so common for the judges to decide disputed questions of fact upon the testimony thus produced, and without the use of a jury, that the Note Book may leave us wondering at the very complete victory that trial by jury gained over 'trial by witnesses[6]'. The many cases about suit and wager of law will help towards the understanding of several passages in our later legal history, and so will the many cases which place suit alongside charter or writing (*carta, scriptum*) as the known modes of evidence, and contrast them with nude parol (*simplex dictum, simplex loquela, simplex vox*). We may be led to doubt whether the judges of this age regarded a seal as having any mysterious virtue; on the

[1] It is plain that for one reason and another, many assizes of novel disseisin and mort d'ancestor were taken at Westminster, despite the charter; see Case 1478.

[2] Case 1115.

[3] Case 824.

[4] Index, *Law, Wager of*.

[5] Index, *Suit*.

[6] As to 'trial by witnesses' see Blackstone, *Com.* vol. 3, p. 336, and the valuable remarks in Brunner, *Entstehung der Schwurgerichte*, pp. 432—3.

[7] Index, *Parol, Nude*. The cases, as it seems to me, go far to support the theory of Mr Justice Holmes as to the origin of the doctrine of 'consideration'. *The Common Law*, p. 257 fol.

other hand the practice of collating seals may help us to understand how a rule of evidence became a rule of substantive law[1]. The judges can tell the date of documents by the appearance of the wax: one who was bold enough to forge an original writ without first mastering the style of the Chancery is detected and hanged out of hand in a singularly summary fashion[2]. Forgery, and the fraudulent use of seals are, one observes, not uncommon, and the religious houses profit by death-bed gifts of questionable validity.

Parties interrogated.

The court's habit of interrogating the parties or their attornies, of thus eliciting fatal admissions and saving the trouble and cost of trial, may seem very rational to us and perhaps very strange, though Coke has noticed it[3]; and we may be surprised at the ease with which third parties intervene of their own accord or are summoned to declare whether they claim any right. It will perhaps be doubted whether the history of legal procedure has been the history of an unbroken progress, whether the necessary growth of a class of professional lawyers if it did much good, did not also some harm.

Archaic customs.

A few curious archaisms appear from time to time. Of certain tenants in Kent it is written, "if one of them has a child born in fornication he shall pay childwyte, and if any married man has a son born in adultery he shall be in the king's mercy for all his movables, and if one of them sheds blood he shall pay blodwyte[4]". In Herefordshire, it seems, they still pay and receive the wergild of the murdered man and hold this ancient custom dear[5]. All this while Bracton is speculating about the *animus possidendi* and writing his enlightened sentences. On the whole, however, we hear less of local customs than might be wished; they were rapidly disappearing before the common law of the king's court. The attachment of the men of Kent to their traditional usages is well marked and is very interesting.

Kentish customs.

There is question whether a Kentish widow loses her dower

[1] Index, *Seals, Collation of.*
[2] Case 1847.
[3] Co. Lit. 304 a.
[4] Case 753.
[5] Case 1474.

by a second marriage; knights of the shire intervene to pray that the liberties and customs of the county may be respected[1]. There is question whether Kentish land escheats for felony; the parties put themselves on the judgment of the justices and eight knights of the shire: the knights declare that they had never known a case of any Kentish knight being hanged, but that undoubtedly in gavelkind there was no escheat for felony *secundum legem Kantiae*[2]. For some cause or another the county spirit seems to have been stronger in Kent than elsewhere[3].

Land law, which feudalism would make the foundation of all law, naturally fills a large space. The actual working of the military tenures will be much better seen in these records than in the Year Books, for feudalism is on the wane before the Year Books begin. Much that is quite new is not to be expected, for the land law was so vastly important that the main outlines of its history were carefully preserved in legal tradition; but numberless points are here set in clear light; thus the lord's right of marriage as it was before the Statute of Merton is well illustrated. Subinfeudation was going on apace and giving rise to intricate problems; especial notice may be taken of those arising out of the rule that the same person cannot be both lord and heir. A series of records which goes far behind the *Quia Emptores* should be valued by all who wish to understand the practical meaning of that statute. We might wish to read more of that forerunner of the estate tail 'the fee conditional at common law', for much that is written in later books about it seems hardly better than guesswork[4]. Certainly it was a quite common thing that land should be given to a man and the heirs of his body, still commoner that land should be given *in maritagium*[5]. Several points may be

Land law.

[1] Case 1338.

[2] Case 1644.

[3] See Dr Kenny's *Essay on Primogeniture*, p. 28 fol.

[4] So far as I can discover Coke (and when one has said Coke one need not mention later lawyers), had no authority for anything that he said about conditional fees older than the statute which changed them into 'estates tail', except only the case which I here print as 61 and which he had from Fitzherbert, *Formedon*, 64.

[5] Index *Marriage portion*, *Estates*, *Fee conditional*. I do not speak of

made clear by this book, but the exact extent of the tenant's power of alienation does not come out very plainly. It should be remembered the whole learning and even the very conception of 'estates' belongs to a later time: Bracton had not the word 'estate', nor any equivalent for it. Also it should be remembered that but a short time back the man who held land to him and his heirs could by no means always disappoint his heir apparent[1]. Just a trace or two of this we may find, but on the whole it belongs to the past; the heir apparent may be disinherited. As against the lord freedom of alienation, (in favour whereof Bracton argues with unusual earnestness[3],) seems very perfect, and we look in vain for cases to show that the restrictive clause in the charter of 1217 had any considerable effect: we may well doubt whether the king's justices thought well of that clause or of some other clauses in the charter[4]. Primogeniture is extending itself rapidly, but there does not seem to be any very definite presumption against the partibility of socage land, and much of it is still partible[5]. The so-called 'Borough English' custom is regarded as a mark, though not a complete proof, of villein tenure[6].

Possession and Property. The operation of the possessory assizes may be seen in abundant examples. Probably we shall think well of the novel disseisin, a true *possessorium*, which worked speedily and effectively. The notion of seisin is firmly grasped; the parties, the jurors, are pinned down to the question whether there has been seisin and disseisin, and, if so, there must be no talk of proprietary right. Taking up at this point the

frank-marriage because not every *maritagium* is *liberum*. This term *frank-marriage* has been used so as to confuse (as we should now say) the nature of a tenure with the nature of an estate. As to grammar, *tenere in maritagium, in dotem etc.* are far more common than *tenere in maritagio, in dote etc.*

1 Glanvill, Lib. 7. c. 1.

2 Case 1054.

3 Br. f. 45 b.

4 Charter of 1217, sec. 39. See Case 1248, the only case which shows the restraint on alienation. Gifts in mortmain were freely made as one may see from almost every page of the book. The Statute de Viris Religiosis begins with a reference to an earlier provision; this is not, as often supposed, a reference to the charter of 1217; it is a citation of the Provisions of Westminster of 1259 cap. 14.

5 Index, *Descent, Partible Inheritance*.

6 Index, *Descent, Villeinage*.

history of the so-called[1] 'real actions' we find them no such inextricable tangle as they afterwards became. On the one hand there stands the proprietary action, the writ of right, which ought normally to be tried in the lord's court, which must at any rate be begun there. It leads to battle or the grand assize and is a very slow and solemn affair. On the other hand there are the rapid royal remedies whereby Henry the Second cast his kingly protection over the seisin of every freeholder, very summary remedies indeed. The interval between these extremes is being filled up gradually, by writs of entry devised to meet cases in which the assizes will not lie, but in which some definite flaw of recent date can be found in the tenant's title, e.g. though no disseisor, he has come to the land through or under a disseisor, or he is a tenant holding over after his term has expired, or he acquired his seisin from a dowager, from a husband who alienated his wife's inheritance, or again his feoffor was a guardian, an infant, or of unsound memory. In theory, it may be, there is here an extension of the royal protection of possession. Feudal principle, the words of the Great Charter[2], forbade the king's court to make itself a court of first instance for the trial of proprietary right, save when the tenant held immediately of the king. Hence the elaboration of these writs of entry; hence also the fetters which confine them; they can only be used when the flaw in the title is recent, and when there have not been more than two subsequent alienations or transmissions. When William Raleigh invented the writ of cosinage[3] as a supplement for the mort d'ancestor, there was 'contencio inter magnates' over it; for some held that it was against the Charter; Bracton had to argue that they were wrong[4]. A gradual process by which the king's court makes itself (practically, not theoretically, no not until 1833[5],) the one court of first instance even for

History of the real actions.

[1] The notion that an action is 'real' simply because land can be obtained by it, is not of Bracton's day. He calls the novel disseisin a personal action: Br. f. 161 b; so too the *quod permittat* for common, is personal, Br. f. 284 b.

[2] Charters of 1215, sec. 34; 1216, sec. 27; 1217, sec. 30.

[3] Case 1215.

[4] Br. f. 281.

[5] 3 & 4 Will. IV. c. 27, sec. 36.

proprietary causes—this seems the main clue to the history of the real actions. In this book we may pick up the thread. It is hopeless to attack the matter in the Year Books without a training in earlier law; the material has become much too complex; a beginning must be found when as yet the writs of entry were novelties and the proprietary writ of right was still sharply opposed to the possessory assizes[1]. This sharp contrast is emphasized by the large mass of litigation about advowsons and presentations which is here published. It may be repulsive to the modern reader, but if he is in earnest with legal history he may be asked not to shirk it, for in studying it he may acquire a tight hold of the idea of seisin. The intrusion of that all pervading idea even into the region of marriage will not escape him[2].

Uses, common, villeinage. In the eyes of a few connoisseurs the gems of this collection may be two cases which seem to show that feoffments to uses are as old as the days of Henry the Third[3]. Perhaps the cases which will find most readers (if indeed any of them be read at all) will be those about common rights and those about villeinage. As to common rights, the typical struggle of the time is not a struggle between lord and commoners, but a struggle between the men or the lords of two different townships. The social and economic position of the villein we are beginning to understand from the monastic cartularies, but to fix his legal position we must have litigation in the king's court, and this desirable end some of the many cases here printed should certainly serve.

Hopes. Lastly there are two tasks which should be undertaken without much delay. In the first place Bracton's treatise ought to be carefully and lovingly edited. If this be not done by an Englishman, it will be done by a foreigner, as it is written, *Vocabo super eos gentem robustam et longinquam et ignotam cuius linguam ignorabunt*[4]. In the second place

[1] The whole history of the real actions must be utterly unintelligible to any one who believes with Blackstone (*Comm.* vol. 3, p. 184) that the writs of entry were older than the assizes. See Brunner, pp. 405—7. Also the Placitorum Abbreviatio.

[2] Br. f. 306, 306 b. Cases 642, 1142, 1597, 1703.

[3] Cases 1683, 1851. See the Article on *Early English Equity* by Mr Justice Holmes in *L. Q. R.*, vol. 1, p. 162.

[4] Br. f. 34.

the history of English law, at least from the thirteenth century downwards, should be thoroughly well written. That both these great works will be made easier by the Note Book, I make no doubt; that this edition of it may not be too bad to be useful, has been and yet is my hope[1].

[1] In the summer of 1885 the case of *Bidder* v. *Bridges* came before Mr Justice Kay. It was an action for common rights over land at Mitcham in Surrey. Mr R. E. G. Kirk, the record agent of the plaintiff commoner, in the course of a long and laborious search for documents, found in a cartulary of Merton Priory a copy of the assize here printed as Case 1284. He then found the same case in the Note Book. The roll being lost, it was desired to put these copies in evidence. Mr Kirk found that I was engaged in transcribing the Note Book and collating my transcript with such rolls as were extant. I was therefore subpoenaed as a witness and stated what I then knew as to the general accuracy of the extracts in the Note Book and as to the marks on the rolls; of course I was not asked anything about Bracton. Kay J. decided that the copy could not be received as evidence and the action was dismissed. The plaintiff appealed, but unsuccessfully; I was not present in the Court of Appeal; I believe that there was some talk about the book and that the Lords Justices looked at it; but whether the question of its admissibility was determined, I do not know. The decision of Kay J. is reported, 54 L. T. 529; 34 W. R. 514; but I have not been able to find any report of the proceedings in the Court of Appeal.

END OF INTRODUCTION.

Postscript.

I HAVE said on p. 7 that Britton and Fleta carry their accounts of the writ of right to the point at which Bracton stops short. This is true of Fleta, but not of Britton; he does not get so far. As to the incompleteness of his book and of Bracton's also, see Nichols, *Britton*, vol. 1. p. xlv. It is very remarkable that we have no account of the duel and the grand assize later than that given us by Glanvill.

As regards the manor of Tykenbrede which Bracton held for his life (p. 16) see Case 1151 in which a Ralph of Tykambreche is mentioned. I cannot find any place in Cornwall with which to identify it other than Tuckenbury,

the termination of which name may, as it seems to me, be a rationalistic perversion by English mouths of something Celtic.

On pp. 50, 51 I have said that there are but three occasions on which Bracton notices a difference of opinion between Segrave and any other judge, that once the difference is between Segrave and Pateshull, twice between Segrave and Raleigh. I now see that on f. 438 where Bracton speaks of Segrave's doctrine about the second husband's curtesy, he does not say that the opposite opinion was held by Raleigh. My statement therefore would be more correct if it ran thus:—"Once the difference is between Segrave and Pateshull, once between Segrave and Raleigh, and once Segrave is represented as holding that the law has been misunderstood and perverted." It seems clear that Segrave's opinion as to curtesy did not become law. See Case 1182, also the Statute *de Donis* and Coke's comment thereon, 2 Inst. 336, 8 Rep. 35 b.

On p. 76 I have said that Bracton apparently had three De Banco rolls from which there are no excerpts in the Note Book, but that this number might perhaps be reduced were the manuscripts examined. I believe that I can reduce it by one. In the first four manuscripts at which I look (MA, MB, MC, MI) the case which the printed book (f. 342 b) cites from Hilary A.R. 16, is cited from Hilary A.R. 18. I have not however been able to get rid of the citations from Trinity A.R. 5 and Trinity A.R. 7.

As regards Cole's case (p. 100), it may be observed that one Roger Cole was a canon of Exeter in 1224, see Case 920.

END OF POSTSCRIPT.

TABLE THE FIRST.

TABLE SHOWING THE NAMES OF THE JUSTICES WHO SAT AT THE BENCH DURING THE REIGN OF HENRY THE THIRD.

NOTE:—This Table is the result of a comparison of many, but not nearly all, of the Feet of Fines yet extant. When a justice is mentioned in some but not all the fines of a term his name is enclosed in [ ].

A.D.	A.R.	TERM.	
1217	1—2	Mich.	
1218	2	Hil.	M. Pateshull, R. Hareng, S. Segrave, S. de L'Isle.
		East.	Pateshull, Hareng, Segrave, de L'Isle, [J. Gestling], [Eustace of Falconberg, the Treasurer].
		Trin.	Pateshull, Hareng, Segrave, Gestling, de L'Isle.
	2—3	Mich.	William Earl of Arundel, Pateshull, Alan Basset, Hareng, Segrave, Gestling, de L'Isle.
1219	3	Hil.	
		East.	
		Trin.	Pateshull, Hareng, Segrave, de L'Isle.
	3—4	Mich.	[Hubert de Burgh], Pateshull, Hareng, Segrave, Gestling, de L'Isle.
1220	4	Hil.	Pateshull, Hareng, Segrave, Gestling, de L'Isle.
		East.	[Hubert de Burgh], [Robert Earl of Oxford], Pateshull, Hareng, Segrave.
		Trin.	Earl of Oxford, Pateshull, Hareng, [Segrave], Thos Heydon.
	4—5	Mich.	Earl of Oxford, Pateshull, Hareng, Segrave, Heydon, Rob. Lexington.
1221	5	Hil.	Earl of Oxford, Pateshull, Hareng, Segrave, Heydon.

A.D.	A.R.	TERM.	
		East.	Earl of Oxford, [John of Monmouth], Pateshull, Hareng, Segrave, Heydon, R. Lexington.
		Trin.	
	5—6	Mich.	[Pateshull], [Segrave], Hareng, Heydon, [R. Lexington].
1222	6	Hil.	[H. de Burgh], [John of Monmouth], Pateshull, Hareng, Segrave, Heydon, R. Lexington.
		East.	Pateshull, Hareng, Segrave, Heydon, R. Lexington.
		Trin.	The same.
	6—7	Mich.	The same.
1223	7	Hil.	Pateshull, Hareng, Segrave, Heydon, R. Lexington, G. le Savage.
		East.	[H. de Burgh and] the same.
		Trin.	
	7—8	Mich.	Pateshull, Hareng, Segrave, Heydon, R. Lexington, Savage.
1224	8	Hil.	The same.
		East.	Pateshull, Thos. Multon, Segrave, Heydon, R. Lexington, Savage.
		Trin.	The same.
	8—9	Mich.	The same.
1225	9	Hil.	Pateshull, Multon, Heydon, R. Lexington, Savage.
		East.	The same.
		Trin.	The same.
	9—10	Mich.	The same.
1226	10	Hil.	Pateshull, Multon, Heydon, R. Lexington, Savage, Warin Fitz Joel.
		East.	The same.
		Trin.	
	10—11	Mich.	
1227	11	Hil.	
		East.	
		Trin.	Pateshull, Multon, Heydon, R. Lexington.
	11—12	Mich.	
1228	12	Hil.	Pateshull, Camvill, William de L'Isle, Richard Ducket.
		East.	Pateshull, Segrave, William Fitz Warin, William de L'Isle, [H. de Burgh], [John Marshall].
		Trin.	
	12—13	Mich.	Pateshull, Multon, Segrave, R. Lexington, Camvill, William of London.
1229	13	Hil.	[Pateshull], Multon, Segrave, R. Lexington, Camvill.
		East.	Multon, Segrave, [W. Raleigh], R. Lexington, Camvill.
		Trin.	

A.D.	A.R.	TERM.	
	13—14	Mich.	Multon, Segrave, Raleigh, R. Lexington, W. de L'Isle, London, Rob. Shardelowe.
1230	14	Hil.	Multon, Segrave, Raleigh, R. Lexington, London, W. de L'Isle, Shardelowe, Ric. Reinger.
		East.	Multon, Segrave, Raleigh, R. Lexington, London, W. de L'Isle, Shardelowe.
		Trin.	Multon, Raleigh, R. Lexington, London, Shardelowe, Ralph of Norwich.
	14—15	Mich.	Multon, Raleigh, R. Lexington, W. de L'Isle, London, Shardelowe, Reinger, Norwich.
1231	15	Hil.	The same.
		East.	The same [with William of York].
		Trin.	Multon, Raleigh, York, Norwich, [Reinger].
	15—16	Mich.	Multon, Raleigh, R. Lexington, York, Shardelowe, Norwich.
1232	16	Hil.	[Multon], [Segrave], [Raleigh], R. Lexington, York, Shardelowe, Norwich, Adam Fitz William.
		East.	Multon, Raleigh, R. Lexington, York, Shardelowe, Norwich, Fitz William.
		Trin.	
	16—17	Mich.	Multon, Raleigh, R. Lexington, York, Norwich, W. de L'Isle, Fitz William.
1233	17	Hil.	Multon, [Raleigh], R. Lexington, York, Norwich, Fitz William.
		East.	Multon, R. Lexington, York, Norwich.
		Trin.	[Multon], [Raleigh], R. Lexington, York, Norwich, Fitz William, Will. of St Edmunds.
	17—18	Mich.	Raleigh, [Multon], R. Lexington, York, Norwich, W. de L'Isle, Fitz William, St Edmunds.
1234	18	Hil.	Raleigh, Multon, R. Lexington, York, Norwich, W. de L'Isle, Fitz William, St Edmunds.
		East.	[Raleigh], R. Lexington, York, Norwich, W. de L'Isle, Fitz William, St Edmunds.
		Trin.	R. Lexington, York, Norwich, W. de L'Isle, Fitz William.
	18—19	Mich.	
1235	19	Hil.	
		East.	
		Trin.	
	19—20	Mich.	Multon, William of Culeworth, John of Kirkby.
1236	20	Hil.	
		East.	Multon, Fitz William, Culeworth, Kirkby.
		Trin.	The same.
	20—21	Mich.	R. Lexington, Fitz William, Culeworth, St Edmunds.
1237	21	Hil.	R. Lexington, [York], Norwich, Fitz William, Culeworth, [Kirkby], St Edmunds.

A.D.	A.R.	TERM.	
		East.	R. Lexington, York, Fitz William, Culeworth.
		Trin.	The same.
	21—22	Mich.	The same.
1238	22	Hil.	The same.
		East.	The same.
		Trin.	R. Lexington, Culeworth, Hugh Giffard, Henry of Bath.
	22—23	Mich.	R. Lexington, York, Culeworth, Bath.
1239	23	Hil.	The same.
		East.	The same.
		Trin.	The same.
	23—24	Mich.	The same.
1240	24	Hil.	
		East.	
		Trin.	
	24—25	Mich.	
1241	25	Hil.	
		East.	
		Trin.	
	25—26	Mich.	
1242	26	Hil.	R. Lexington, Culeworth, Gilbert Preston, [Jollan Neville, R. Beauchamp].
		East.	R. Lexington, Culeworth, Preston, Neville.
		Trin.	R. Lexington, [Culeworth], [Roger Thurkelby], Neville.
	26—27	Mich.	Neville, R. Lexington, Thurkelby, Preston.
1243	27	Hil.	R. Lexington, Neville, Rob. Esseburn.
		East.	R. Lexington, Thurkelby, Neville, Preston.
		Trin.	The same.
	27—28	Mich.	The same.
1244	28	Hil.	R. Lexington, York, Thurkelby, Neville, Preston.
		East.	Thurkelby, Neville, John of Cobham.
		Trin.	[R. Lexington], Neville, J. Cobham.
	28—29	Mich.	[R. Lexington], Thurkelby, Neville, Preston, Robert of Notingham, J. Cobham.
1245	29	Hil.	Bath, Thurkelby, Notingham, Neville, Preston, J. Cobham.
		East.	Notingham, J. Cobham, Will. of St Edmunds, Rob. Shardelowe.
		Trin.	The same.
	29—30	Mich.	Bath, Thurkelby, Notingham, Neville, Preston, Shardelowe, J. Cobham.
1246	30	Hil.	[Bath], Thurkelby, Notingham, Neville, Preston, J. Cobham.
		East.	Bath, Notingham, Neville, [Alan of Watsand].
		Trin.	Bath, Neville, Watsand.
	30—31	Mich.	Bath, Watsand.

A.D.	A.R.	TERM.	
1247	31	Hil.	The same.
		East.	The same.
		Trin.	Bath, Watsand, William of Wilton.
	31—32	Mich.	
1248	32	Hil.	
		East.	
		Trin.	
	32—33	Mich.	
1249	33	Hil.	
		East.	
		Trin.	
	33—34	Mich.	[Bath], Thurkelby, [Preston], Cobham, Watsand, Wilton.
1250	34	Hil.	Thurkelby, John of Gatesden, Preston, Cobham Watsand, Wilton.
		East.	Thurkelby, Cobham, Watsand, Robert Bruce.
		Trin.	Thurkelby, Bruce, Cobham, Watsand.
	34—35	Mich.	Thurkelby, Cobham, Watsand.
1251	35	Hil.	The same.
		East.	Thurkelby, Watsand, Cobham.
		Trin.	Thurkelby, Watsand.
	35—36	Mich.	[Henry de la Mare], Simon of Walton, Watsand, [Giles of Erdington].
1252	36	Hil.	Walton, Watsand, Erdington.
		East.	The same.
		Trin.	The same.
	36—37	Mich.	Thurkelby, Watsand, Erdington, William Trussel.
1253	37	Hil.	The same.
		East.	The same.
		Trin.	The same.
	37—38	Mich.	Thurkelby, Preston, Walton, Watsand, Erdington. Trussel.
1254	38	Hil.	The same.
		East.	The same.
		Trin.	The same.
	38—39	Mich.	Thurkelby, [Preston], [Walton], Watsand, Erdington, [Trussel], [Roger of Whitchester].
1255	39	Hil.	Thurkelby, Watsand, Erdington.
		East.	Thurkelby, Preston, Watsand, Whitchester, [Trussel].
		Trin.	Thurkelby, Watsand.
	39—40	Mich.	The same.
1256	40	Hil.	Thurkelby, John of Wyville.
		East.	Walton, Robert of Shotingdon.
		Trin.	[Bath], Walton, Shotingdon, John of Cokefield.
	40—41	Mich.	Bath, Walton, Shotingdon, Cokefield.
1257	41	Hil.	The same.

A.D.	A.R.	TERM.	
		East.	Bath, Walton, Shotingdon, Bruce.
		Trin.	The same.
	41—42	Mich.	Bath, Bruce.
1258	42	Hil.	Bath, Bruce, Nicholas de Handlo.
		East.	Bruce, Handlo.
		Trin.	The same.
	42—43	Mich.	Thurkelby, Preston, Handlo.
1259	43	Hil.	Thurkelby, Preston, Wyville.
		East.	The same.
		Trin.	The same.
	43—44	Mich.	The same.
1260	44	Hil.	Thurkelby, Preston, Wyville, John de Cave.
		East.	The same.
		Trin.	[Thurkelby], Preston, Wyville, Cave.
	44—45	Mich.	Preston, Wyville, Cave.
1261	45	Hil.	Wyville, Cave.
		East.	The same.
		Trin.	The same.
	45—46	Mich.	Preston, Wyville.
1262	46	Hil.	The same.
		East.	
		Trin.	The same.
	46—47	Mich.	The same.
1263	47	Hil.	The same.
		East.	The same.
		Trin.	The same.
	47—48	Mich.	Preston, [Wyville], [Nicholas de Turri].
1264	48	Hil.	
		East.	
		Trin.	
	48—49	Mich.	Preston, de Turri, Hervey of Borham, William Bonquer.
1265	49	Hil.	The same.
		East.	The same.
		Trin.	The same.
	49—50	Mich.	Preston, Bonquer.
1266	50	Hil.	Preston, Walter Berstead.
		East.	Preston, John de la Lynde, Walter Berstead.
		Trin.	Preston, de la Lynde, [Berstead].
	50—51	Mich.	Preston, Bonquer, de la Lynde.
1267	51	Hil.	Preston, Adam de Greinvill.
		East.	
		Trin.	Preston, Roger Messenden.
	51—52	Mich.	The same.
1268	52	Hil.	Martin Littlebury, Roger Seyton, John of Cobham (the younger).
		East.	

A.D.	A.R.	TERM.	
		Trin.	The same.
	52—53	Mich.	The same.
1269	53	Hil.	The same.
		East.	The same.
		Trin.	The same.
	53—54	Mich.	The same.
1270	54	Hil.	The same.
		East.	The same.
		Trin.	The same.
	54—55	Mich.	Littlebury, Seyton.
1271	55	Hil.	Littlebury, Seyton, Cobham.
		East.	Littlebury, Stephen Heym, Robert Fulcon.
		Trin.	The same.
	55—56	Mich.	The same.
1272	56	Hil.	The same.
		East.	The same.
		Trin.	The same.
	56—57	Mich.	The same.

TABLE THE SECOND.

TABLE SHOWING BRACTON'S CITATIONS IN CHRONOLOGICAL ORDER.

NOTE: The object of the following table is to show (1) what cases Bracton cites, (2) whether they are in the Note Book, (3) whether there is any extant roll on which they are or ought to be found. The citations are arranged in four classes,

A. Pleas in the Bench.
B. Pleas which followed the King.
C. Pleas in the Eyres.
D. Undated or otherwise imperfectly cited cases.

The numbers in the first column refer to the folios of Bracton's Treatise; those in the last column to the Cases in the Note Book.

A.

PLEAS IN THE BENCH.

1217, A.R. 1—2, *Michaelmas Term to* 1219, A.R. 3, *Hilary Term inclusive*[1].

Cases in Note Book 1295—1359 (which are from Mich. A.R. 1—2) and 1—15 (which are of uncertain date but probably from Trinity A.R. 2).
No Rolls extant.

f. 77.	Essex. H. de Abecot. in rotulo de primis placitis post guerram.	
f. 376 b.	Bucks. Wilhelmus de Abruncis et Matilda uxor eius. inter prima placita post guerram.	12
f. 239.	Anon. Essoins. de term. S. Mich. A.R. 2.	

[1] All cases from this period are placed in one class as, owing to the loss of Rolls and of the Note Book's first page, it is in some instances difficult to fix the exact date, see above p. 73.

f. 313.	Kent.	Anon. Dower in gavelkind.		9 and 1338
		de term. S. Mich. A.R. 2 post guerram.		
f. 308 b.		Isabella de Gravenel, Thomas de Wederhal.		9 and 1338
		coram Martino in banco A.R. 2.		
f. 376 b.	Essex.	Matilda de Say, Wilhelmus de Maundevill.		8
		de term. S. Mich. A.R. 2 post guerram.		
f. 302.	Sussex.	Maria de Cromesham.	Trinity A.R. 2 the second roll after the war.	
f. 314 b.	Dors.	Hamo de Halmodoston.		
f. 378.	Kent.	Abbas de Nutlegh.		
f. 378 b.	Kent.	Magister Militiae Templi.		
f. 380.	Wilt.	Galfridus de Chessewicke.		
f. 239.	Somers.	Wilhelm Bukker, Prior S. Nicholai Exon.		5
		de term. S. Trin. A.R. 2.		
f. 409.	Kent.	Matilda filia Simonis.		See 11
		de term. S. Mich. A.R. 2 incip. 3.		
f. 27 b.		Magister Militiae Templi.	Cases of A.R. 3, reserved from the eyre for judgment.	
f. 219.	Glouc.	Richardus Curpet, W. Comes Marshal junior.		
f. 277 b and 421.	Dors.	Hamelinus filius Radulphi[1].		
f. 433.	Kent.	W. Comes Marescallus, Falcasius de Breyaute.		

1219, A.R. 3. *Easter and Trinity Terms.*

Cases in Note Book 16—44.
No roll extant.

f. 65.	Sussex.	si Radulphus de la Roche.	44
f. 113.	Ebor.	si Wilhelmus le Seneschal.	37
f. 280.	Sussex.	si Radulphus de Rupe, Gilebertus de Aquila.	44
f. 301 b.	Bucks.	Alicia quae fuit uxor Symonis de Stentenham.	
f. 330.	Surrey.	Gylbertus de Albyngeworth, Reginaldus de Brewese.	40
f. 375 b.		Anon. circa finem rotuli. Count begins with one who was never seised.	
f. 442 b.		Anon. Bailiff of franchise amerced.	20 and 28

1219, A.R. 3—4. *Michaelmas Term.*

Cases in Note Book 45—79, and Appendix to vol. III.
Two Rolls extant. A = Coram Rege Roll No. 2.
B = Coram Rege Roll No. 1.

f. 23.	Bedf.	Richardus le Hare.	61
f. 50.		Anon. Donor and donee in possession together.	
f. 199 b.	Sussex.	Johannes de Monte Acuto, Martinus de Bestenouere.	70

[1] See Case 1411 and Appendix to vol. 3, Case 8.

f. 243 b.	Norf.	Thomas Bardolf.	49
f. 248 b—9.	Oxf.	Robertus de Harpdene, Reginaldus de Albo Monasterio.	68
f. 258 b.	Essex.	si Brianus pater Henrici.	47
f. 310.	Surrey.	Margeria de Bellanallie.	
f. 316.	Norf.	Thomas de le Enueyse.	56
f. 336.	Surrey.	Robertus de Basings.	10
f. 372.		Anon. Default by both demandant and tenant.	
f. 424 b.	Sussex.	Johannes de Bruse, Reginaldus de Bruse.	46
f. 437.	Sussex.	si Matilda amita Rogeri de Barlegh.	
f. 440 b.		Anon. Mesne process in personal action.	Appendix to vol. III., case 2

1220, A.R. 4. *Hilary and Easter Terms.*

Cases in Note Book 80—124.
Two Rolls extant. A = Coram Rege Roll No. 3.
B = Coram Rege Roll No. 5.

f. 151 b.	Essex.	Elyas Pigon.	
f. 199 b.	Sussex.	Johannes de Monte Acuto, Martinus de Bestenouere.	88
f. 200.	Sussex.	Martinus de Bestenouere (warranty by a villein).	
f. 393.		Anon. (circa finem). Doweress can not sue or be sued without her warrantor.	109
f. 433.		W. Comes Marescallus.	102

1220, A.R. 4. *Trinity Term.*

Cases in Note Book 1360—1474.
Two Rolls extant. A = Coram Rege Roll No. 6.
B = Coram Rege Roll No. 7.

f. 53 and 53 b.	Lincoln.	Ecclesia de Wichine, Prior de Markeby.	1418
f. 93.	Northam.	Theobaldus de Lassel.	96
f. 200.	Dorset.	Hamelinus filius Radulphi.	1411
f. 241 b.	Worc.	Ecclesia de Eldersen, Robertus de Bradelegh.	1428
f. 305 b.	Suff.	Alexandria.	1392
f. 312.	Norf.	Isolda quae fuit uxor W.	83
f. 319.	York.	Petrus de Malo Lacu, Prior de S. Oswaldo.	
f. 375.	Wilts.	W. de Lusteshille, W. de Coneleffend.	1360
f. 377 b.		Anon. View after default.	1436
f. 387.		Isaac Judeus de Northwico.	1376
f. 414.	Midd.	Hamond le Brood.	
f. 430 b.	Somers.	Anon. Coparceners to be joined. Bastardy.	
f. 430 b.	Hants.	Johannes de Brywes.	
f. 433.	Bedf.	W. Comes Marescallus.	See 102
f. 433 b.	Bucks.	Hugo de Gurnay.	1465
f. 436 b.	Glouc.	Robertus Cusin.	1427
f. 437 b.	Midd.	si Robertus Cocus.	

1220, A.R. 4—5. *Michaelmas Term.*

Cases in Note Book 300—325.
Two Rolls extant. A = Coram Rege Roll No. 9.
B = Coram Rege Roll No. 8.

f. 63 b.	Lincoln.	Bartholomeus filius Richardi.	303
f. 65 b.	Lincoln.	Ozbertus filius Richardi.	303
f. 146.	Suff.	de Rogero de Kerken et haerede de Ver.	
f. 367.	Bucks.	Johannes filius Rolandi.	307
f. 387.		Anon. Default by tenant who has vouched.	
f. 413 b.		Radulphus Harang.	
f. 432 b.		Anon. Alienation by tenant after summons.	

1221, A.R. 5. *Hilary and Easter Terms.*

Cases in Note Book 1475—1554.
Two Rolls extant. A = Coram Rege Roll No. 14.
B = Coram Rege Roll No. 11.

f. 69.	Norf.	Petrus Constabularius de Manton.	1503
f. 153.	York.	Robertus filius Johannis (in principio rotuli).	See 1517
f. 375 b.		Anon. Omission to name in count a person having equal or greater right.	
f. 433.	York.	Petrus de Malo Lacu.	

1221, A.R. 5. *Trinity Term*[1].

No cases in Note Book.
No roll extant.

f. 286. Gerardus de Huwell, Richardus rector de Claypoll.

1221, A.R. 5—6. *Michaelmas Term.*

No cases in Note Book.
Roll extant. Coram Rege Roll No. 12.
Bracton cites no cases.

1221 *or* 1222, A.R. 6. *Michaelmas Term.*

Bracton cites from Mich. A.R. 6 the following, leaving it uncertain whether he means Mich. A.R. 5—6, or Mich. A.R. 6—7.

f. 245.	Herford.	Prior de Lantony, Walterus Byseche.
f. 245 b.		Anon. Ass. dar. pres. Gift of advowson by tenant by curtesy.

[1] I have not observed any fines for this term; Pateshull began an eyre in the western counties on the morrow of Trinity.

f. 350.	Devon.	Matilda de Curtney.	Cases among the essoins.
f. 350.	Sussex.	Galfridus de Lucy.	
f. 350.	Sussex.	Prior de Blibing.	
f. 350.	Glouc.	Robertus Toniguy.	
f. 350.	Sussex.	Philippus de Redham.	
f. 350 b.	Noting.	Emma de Bella Fago.	

1221—2, A.R. 6. *No term mentioned.*

f. 351.	Gilbertus Marescallus, Alanus de Hyda.	1611

1222, A.R. 6. *Hilary Term.*

Cases in Note Book 125—171.
No Roll extant.

f. 53 b.	Staff.	Raul. Comes Cestriae, Prior de Kenelwyde.	See 199
f. 83.	Dors.	Alanus de S. Georgio.	168
f. 246 b.	Staff.	Rayn. Comes Cestriae, Prior de Kenelworth.	See 199
f. 259 b.	Midd.	si Aluricus Huse. Matilda de Albo Monasterio.	See 59 and 1559
f. 364.	Bucks.	Alicia de Iarpmille, Petrus de Imnere.	
f. 407.	Warw.	Precentor Lincolniae.	152
f. 407 b.	North.	Radulphus persona de Irclinbourghe.	162

1222, A.R. 6. *Easter Term.*

Cases in Note Book 172—184.
No Roll extant.
Bracton cites no cases.

1222, A.R. 6. *Trinity Term.*

Cases in Note Book 185—214.
Roll extant. B = Coram Rege Roll No. 15.
Bracton cites no cases.

1222, A.R. 6—7. *Michaelmas Term.*

Cases in Note Book 1555—1570.
No Roll extant.

f. 143.	Anon. Appeal of rape.	
f. 259 b.	si Aluricus Huse, Matillis de Albo Monasterio.	1559, see also 59
f. 420 b.	Anon. Inquest as to villein tenure after death of tenant.	

1222—3, A.R. 7. *No term mentioned.*

f. 306 b.	Gunora uxor Johannis filii Hugonis, Matilda de Berneres.	1573
f. 351 b.	Anon. Essoins of barons.	1637

1223, A.R. 7. *Hilary Term.*

Cases in Note Book 1571—1606.
Roll extant. B = Coram Rege Roll No. 16.

f. 141 b.	Norf.	Durandus Scissor, Henricus de Ver.	1597
f. 349.		Vitalis Engayne, Ecclesia de Ho.	
f. 375 b.		Anon. Ancestor entering religion.	
f. 376.	Camb.	Alanus de Bassingborne, Robertus de Insula.	1578
f. 407.	Bedf.	Gylbertus persona de Denham.	
f. 437.	Linc.	W. de Fountygne.	
f. 437 b.	Linc.	Simon de Hale.	

1223, A.R. 7. *Easter Term.*

Cases in Note Book 1607—1618.
No Roll extant.

f. 55 b.	Bedf.	Falkz de Briante, Prior de Nywenham.	1607
f. 82.	Heref.	Wilhelmus filius Benedicti, Galfridus de Luci.	
f. 93.	Somers.	Emma uxor Wilhelmi Daci.	
f. 244.	Bedf.	Falcanus de Briante, Prior de Neueham.	1607
f. 320 b.	Buck.	Guido de Wyndeslore.	
f. 320 b.	Kent.	Alicia uxor Richardi.	
f. 392 b.	Devon.	Wylhelmus Paynel, Abbas de Doneckswell.	
f. 433 b.	Sussex.	Nicholaa uxor Thomae de Casteneys.	1609

1223, A.R. 7. *Trinity Term.*

No cases in Note Book.
No Roll extant.

f. 97 b.	Essex.	Idonea.
f. 432 b.	Oxf.	Jocetus de Plungenay.

1223, A.R. 7—8. *Michaelmas Term.*

Cases in Note Book 1619—1662.
Roll extant. A = Coram Rege Roll No. 17.

f. 12.		Anon. A leper cannot grant.	1648
f. 85 b.	York.	Anon. No relief, marriage, or wardship in socage land.	
f. 230 b.		Anon. Quo Jure. Common; right to enclose.	1624
f. 311.		Thomas de Nassendene.	1644
f. 349.		Vitalis Engayne.	1634
f. 349 b.	Sussex.	Alanus de S. Georgio.	Essoin Cases.
f. 350 b.	North.	Henry de Gayton.	Essoin Cases.
f. 350 b.	Devon.	Alicia Malet.	Essoin Cases.
f. 350 b.	Buck.	Hugo de Broke.	Essoin Cases.
f. 350 b.	Lanc.	Alicia de Lanc, W. de Taham.	Essoin Cases.

1223—4, A.R. 8. *No term mentioned.*

f. 306 b.		Agnes uxor Roberti de Hactone.	1564

1224, A.R. 8. *Hilary Term.*

Cases in Note Book 214—240.
No Roll extant.

f. 29.	Noting.	Robertus de Walingh.	224
f. 326 b.	Linc.	Thomas de Estotengni.	234
f. 387.	Berks.	Henry de Queynt.	235
f. 390 b.		Anon. Departure in pleading.	1627
f. 398.	Norf.	Radulphus de Lerlinge, Prior de Thefford.	222
f. 414.	Heref.	Richardus filius Godfrey.	227

1224, A.R. 8. *Easter Term.*

Cases in Note Book 944—985.
No Roll extant.

f. 75.	Wilt.	Thomas de Gymeges.	
f. 246.	Kent.	Prior de Suthworth, Warin de Monte Kas. Ecclesia de Snanthanis.	983
f. 298.	Berks.	Gunora uxor J. filii H., Matilda de B.	See 1120, 1176, 1573

1224, A.R. 8. *Trinity Term.*

Cases in Note Book 986—1031.
No Roll extant.

f. 392 b.		Anon. Voucher of heir.	
f. 392 b.		Hugo de Bailol.	432

1224, A.R. 8—9. *Michaelmas Term.*

Cases in Note Book 889—943.
Two Rolls extant. A=Tower Roll No. 2.
B=Coram Rege Roll No. 18.

f. 54 b.	Bedf.	Johannes de Trahillz, Prior de Niwenham.	
f. 212.	York.	si Rogerus Clericus.	907
f. 246.	Bedf.	Johannes de Traylie, Prior de Neueham.	
f. 298.	Warw.	Johannes de Marr, W. de Cantulupo.	904
f. 304.	Hertf.	Alicia uxor Rogeri de Camera.	891

1225, A.R. 9. *Hilary Term.*

Cases in Note Book 1032—1067.
Roll extant. B=Coram Rege Roll No. 22.

f. 53 b.	Norf.	Abbas de Messendene, Hubertus de Burgo.	1064
f. 244.	Linc.	Prior de Osneby, Conanus de Weleton.	1035

f. 246.	Norf.	Walterus Abbas de Messendene, Hubertus de Burgo.	1064
f. 304 b.	Devon.	Alicia uxor W. de Thornton.	1065
f. 304 b.	North.	Wilhelmus de Dauentre.	1067
f. 374.	Berks.	Reginaldus Morin.	1034
f. 434.	Derby.	Rogerus de Drayton.	1055
f. 434.	Warw.	Robertus de Cherleton.	

1225, A.R. 9. *Easter Term.*

Cases in Note Book 1069—1105.
No Roll extant.

f. 53 b.	Corn.	Richardus de Wyks, Prior de Triwardray.	1070
f. 142 b.	Essex.	Hugo de Godingham, Hugo de Cantilupo.	See 943
f. 244.	Norf.	Matilda de Rochesford, Robertus de Tunston.	1072
f. 246 b.	Cumb.	Richardus Wyke, Prior de Trywardray.	1070
f. 316.	Norf.	Margeria de Raylie.	
f. 332 b.	Hunt.	Wilhelmus Hatechrist.	1079
f. 364.	Bucks.	Henry de S. Warerico.	
f. 390.	Midd.	Juliana, Henricus, W. filius Herewardi.	

1225, A.R. 9. *Trinity Term.*

Cases in Note Book 703—724.
Two Rolls extant. A = Coram Rege Roll No. 20.
B = Coram Rege Roll No. 21.

f. 436.	Midd.	Henry de Haquebut.	716

1225, A.R. 9—10. *Michaelmas Term.*

Cases in Note Book 1663—1691.
Three Rolls extant. A = Coram Rege Roll No. 19.
B = Coram Rege Roll No. 23.
C = Tower Roll No. 3.

f. 54 b.		Prior de Lewes, Adam de Novo Mercato.	1685
f. 83.	Kent.	Isabella de Hotot.	
f. 85.	Staff.	Margareta Baggod, Rogerus la Zusche.	See 1043
f. 116 b.	Hertf.	Henricus de Romband.	1691
f. 137.	Kent.	Adam de Burgh.	
f. 141.	Hertf.	Henricus de Romband.	1691
f. 146.	Worc.	Thomas de Rupe.	1664
f. 160 b.	Norf. Suff.	Simon de Rakfeld.	
f. 246 b.	York.	Prior de Lewes, Adam de Novo Mercato.	1685
f. 296 b.		Alexander de Walpole, Johannes filius Roberti.	1668
f. 301 b.	Essex.	Asselina uxor Alani.	
f. 304.	Oxf.	Alicia uxor Jacobi de Cardevile.	1669
f. 312.	Northam.	Margeria uxor Henry de Northon.	

f. 344.	Camb.	Abbas de Haynsham.	1672
f. 346.	Suff.	Salomon de S. Edmundo, Oliva filia Andreae.	
f. 355.	Warw.	Rogerus de Leualande, Richardus de Gloc.	
f. 388 b.	Kent.	Rosia uxor Roberti de Goldingford.	
f. 407.	York.	Richardus persona de Mapeldon.	1671

1226—7, A.R. 10. *No term mentioned.*

f. 306.	Juliana uxor Thomae Fughelstone.	1703

1226, A.R. 10. *Hilary Term.*

Cases in Note Book 1692—1730.
Roll extant. B = Coram Rege Roll No. 24.

f. 423 b.	Kent.	Prior de Merton, Nigellus de Mumbrey.
f. 423 b.	York.	W. de Carleton, R. de Percy.
f. 430.	York. Linc.	Adam Tusset.
f. 433 b.	Bedf.	Richardus de Bavadum.
f. 440.	York.	Nicholaus de Statevil.

1226, A.R. 10. *Easter Term.*

Cases in Note Book 1731—1763.
Roll extant. B = Coram Rege Roll No. 25.

f. 54 b.	Leic.	Walterus de Rideware, Prior de Undeleigh.	1758
f. 246.	Norf.	Simon de Nodarum, Ecclesia de Judlibam.	1762
f. 246 b.	Leic.	Walterus de Kedware, Prior de Sudleghe.	1758

1226, A.R. 10. *Trinity Term*[1].

No cases in Note Book.
No Roll extant.
Bracton cites no cases.

1226, A.R. 10—11. *Michaelmas Term*[1].

No cases in Note Book.
No Roll extant.
Bracton cites no cases.

1227, A.R. 11. *Hilary Term*[1].

No cases in Note Book.
No Roll extant.
Bracton cites no cases.

[1] I have found no fines in the Bench of these terms. A great eyre was going on.

1227, A.R. 11. *Easter Term.*

Cases in Note Book 241—258.
Roll extant. B = Coram Rege Roll No. 27.

f. 63 b.	Sussex.	Johannes de Monte Acuto.	247

1227, A.R. 11. *Trinity Term.*

Cases in Note Book 259—268.
Roll extant. B = Coram Rege Roll No. 27.

f. 421.	Heref.	Agnes uxor Johannis de Westwickham.	

1227, A.R. 11—12. *Michaelmas Term.*

No cases in Note Book.
No Roll extant.
Bracton cites no cases.

1228, A.R. 12. *Hilary and Easter Terms.*

Cases in Note Book 269—287.
No Roll extant.

f. 92 b.	Linc.	Idonea uxor Nicholai Burdeth.	279
f. 200.	Warw.	Wilhelmus de Bissopeston.	281
f. 288.		Anon. Assisa utrum duae virgatae.	285
f. 398.	Hunt.	Egidius de Merck.	286
f. 413.	Berks.	Henricus de Siccario.	
f. 418.	Berks.	Robertus Hachard.	287

1228, A.R. 12. *Trinity Term.*

No cases in Note Book.
No Roll extant.
Bracton cites no cases[1].

1228, A.R. 12—13. *Michaelmas Term.*

Cases in Note Book 288—299.
Two Rolls extant. B = Coram Rege Roll No. 29.
C = Coram Rege Roll No. 35.

f. 260.	Hants.	si Robertus filius Cihul.	

[1] The case on f. 226 apparently of this term belongs to Trin. A.R. 13.

1229, A.R. 13. *Hilary Term.*

Cases in Note Book 311—325.
Roll extant. A = Coram Rege Roll No. 34.

f. 346. Devon. Thomas de Tyndland.

1229, A.R. 13. *Easter Term.*

Cases in Note Book 326—333.
Two Rolls extant. B = Coram Rege Roll No. 31.
C = Coram Rege Roll No. 32.

f. 225 b. Noting. Radulphus filius Petri.

1229, A.R. 13. *Trinity Term and Middlesex Eyre of William Raleigh.*

Cases in Note Book 334—347.
No Roll extant.

f. 95 b.	Midd.	si Johannes Blundus.	
f. 177 b.	Midd.	si Johannes Calbus.	339
f. 200.	Midd.	Anon. Various classes of tenants distinguished.	
f. 200.	Midd.	si Godefridus.	343
f. 226.	Midd.	si Stephanus Archiep. Cantuar.	336
f. 348.	Midd.	Anon. Writ not returned.	

1229, A.R. 13—14. *Michaelmas Term.*

Cases in Note Book 348—374.
No Roll extant.

f. 27 b.		Anon. Gift first to one, then to another; warranty.	
f. 226 b.		Abbas de Ramseghe.	360
f. 315.		Anon. Admeasurement of dower.	365
f. 388 b.	Hertf.	B. uxor R. Russel.	

1230, A.R. 14. *Hilary Term.*

Cases in Note Book 375—394.
Roll extant. A = Coram Rege Roll No. 33.

f. 93.	Northamp.	Christiana uxor Walteri.	377
f. 243 b.	Suff.	Maria de Walenus, Herbertus de Alezini.	380
f. 391.		si Galfridus pater Gilberti.	387
f. 398.		Rogerus de Danudeser et Matilda uxor eius.	375
f. 418.	Midd.	Abbas S. Albani, Willelmus filius Radulphi.	394

1230, A.R. 14. *Easter Term.*

Cases in Note Book 395—408.
No Roll extant.

f. 319.	Wilts.	Radulphus de Moigne.	402
f. 320.	Dors.	Matilda uxor Stephani de Bosco.	
f. 350.		Anon. Essoin in action on a fine.	
f. 355 b.		Anon. Essoin; view; licence to rise.	404
f. 356 b.	Noting. Linc.	W. Thesaurarius Eborum, Wilhelmus de Camera.	405
f. 369 b.		Paulinus de Wychelesse, Stephanus de Fredewylle.	397
f. 375.	Hunt.	W. Archidiaconus Wellensis.	411
f. 384.		Anon. Warranty; value of land, how ascertained.	

1230, A.R. 14. *Trinity Term.*

Cases in Note Book 409—429.
Roll extant. A = Coram Rege Roll No. 36.

f. 301.	Norf.	Letitia de Eggefend.	
f. 339 b.	Suff.	Ecclesia de Trillaw.	427
f. 356.	Norf.	Prior de Longa Villa.	420
f. 415.	Surrey.	Prior de Novo Loco.	416
f. 437.	Norf.	Richard Angod.	

1230, A.R. 14—15. *Michaelmas Term.*

Cases in Note Book 430—480.
Roll extant. A = Coram Rege Roll No. 37.

f. 130.	Kent.	Wilhelmus Musard.	462
f. 250.	Derby.	Ecclesia de Eltdene.	480
f. 297 b.	Warw.	Johannes filius Elfridi.	
f. 297 b.	Kent.	Ernaldus de Camerin.	
f. 312.	Suff. Essex.	Emma uxor Rogeri filii Swani.	
f. 379 b.		Anon. Dispute as to how much land put in view.	456
f. 407 b.	Suff.	Hugo de Monte Causo.	442
f. 421.	Salop.	Fulco filius W.	
f. 430.	Essex.	Assisa utrum, J. persona de Messe, Radulphus de Ardern.	

1231, A.R. 15. *Hilary Term.*

Cases in Note Book 481—514.
No Roll extant.

f. 302.	Derby.	Agnes uxor Nicholai.	
f. 374.	Bucks.	Walterus de Bosco.	
f. 391 b.	Berks.	Prior de Bradley, Wilhelmus de Cyfrewast.	512
f. 423.	North.	W. de Lungesper et Idonea uxor eius.	503

1231, A.R. 15. *Easter Term.*

Cases in Note Book 515—568.
No Roll extant.

f. 93.	Camb.	Anon. Dower in socage.	623
f. 230 b.	Buck.	Anon. Common pur cause de vicinage.	561
f. 286 b.	Sussex.	Prior de Lewes, Gylbertus de Aquila.	539
f. 302.	Surrey.	Joetta de la Strode.	
f. 407.	Somers.	Richardus persona de Hideford.	547
f. 407 b.	Essex.	Gervasius de Aldermanbury.	550

1231, A.R. 15. *Trinity Term.*

Cases in Note Book 569—623.
Roll extant. B = Coram Rege Roll No. 38.

f. 316 b.	Bedf.	Petrus de Peyiure.	607
f. 351 b.	Worc.	Adam de Thornmarton.	609
f. 407 b.	Oxford.	Prior de Berncestre.	570
f. 436.	Hunt.	Guldeburga.	

1231, A.R. 15—16. *Michaelmas Term.*

Cases in Note Book 624—666.
No Roll extant.

f. 15.	Berks.	Robertus de Burneby.	635
f. 22.	Salop.	Rogerus de la Suche, Petronilla de Wyneslogh.	664
f. 29.	Linc.	si Helewisa.	659
f. 303.	Hants.	Aldithia.	647
f. 343.	Camb.	Osbertus.	663
f. 346.	Midd.	Gylbertus de Hendon.	
f. 361 b.	Salop.	Anon. Knights sent to essoinee.	651
f. 422 b.	Suff.	Alicia uxor Lucae Brokenhed.	

1232, A.R. 16. *Hilary Term.*

No Cases in Note Book.
No Roll extant.

f. 342 b.		Johannes de Karum.	

1232, A.R. 16. *Easter Term.*

Cases in Note Book 667—702.
No Roll extant.

f. 346.	Norf.	Galfridus filius Baldwini.	
f. 367.	Oxf.	Fray Pinchard.	683

f. 382.	Midd.	Alicia de Warr, R. de Renge.	748
f. 392 b.	Kent.	Alicia de Bendenges.	
f. 392 b.	Linc.	Richardus de Elings.	
f. 407 b.	Hants.	Engelardus de Cygoiny.	684
f. 408.	Devon.	Thomas de Buttyler, Alfridus de Cottone.	678

1232, A.R. 16. *Trinity Term*[1].

No cases in Note Book.
No Roll extant.
Bracton cites no cases.

1232, A.R. 16—17. *Michaelmas Term.*

Cases in Note Book 858—888.
Roll extant. B = Coram Rege Roll No. 39.

f. 50.	Suff.	Wilhelmus de Fraxino.	871
f. 305 b.	Salop.	Emma.	737
f. 341.	Essex.	Etho filius Wilhelmi.	887
f. 342 b.		J. Bathoniensis Episcopus.	866
f. 387.	Midd.	W. de Raleigh, Johannes Pigon.	886
f. 422 b.	Suff.	Juliana uxor Alani de Gyseham.	884
f. 433.		Anon. Plea of non-tenure; coparceners.	

1233, A.R. 17. *Hilary Term.*

Cases in Note Book 725—759.
No Roll extant.

f. 87 b.	Kent.	Warinus de Monte Caniso, Robertus de Hucham.	743
f. 298.	Linc.	Eudo de Calethorpe.	730

1233, A.R. 17. *Easter Term.*

No Cases in Note Book.
No Roll extant.
Bracton cites no cases.

1233, A.R. 17. *Trinity Term.*

Cases in Note Book 760—783.
No Roll extant.

f. 29.	Norf.	Petronilla uxor Wilhelmi de S. Martino.	777
f. 260.	Bucks.	si Rogerus de Estwicham.	

[1] I have seen no fines of this term.

1233, A.R. 17—18. *Michaelmas Term.*

Cases in Note Book 784—825.
Roll extant. A = Coram Rege Roll No. 40.

1234, A.R. 18. *Hilary Term.*

Cases in Note Book 826—843.
No Roll extant.

1234, A.R. 18. *Easter Term.*

Cases in Note Book 844—857.
No Roll extant.

f. 230 b. Sussex. Simon de la Pynd, Johannes de Kynelworth.

Later Case. A.R. 38.

f. 339 b. Kent. Archiep. Cantuar, Robertus de S. Johanne.

B.

PLEAS WHICH FOLLOWED THE KING[1].

1234—5, A.R. 18—19.

Cases in Note Book 1106—1132.
Roll extant. A = Tower Roll No. 5.

f. 16. Prior de Wallingford, Rogerus de Quincy. 112

1235—6, A.R. 19—20.

Cases in Note Book 1133—1171.
No Roll extant.

f. 195. Buck. Walterus de Emdene, Alicia filia Ernaldi. 1139
f. 317. Linc. Johannes de Daco, Filia Johannis de Bray. See 1201
f. 433 b. Bedf. Johannes de Traylie, Walterus de Godardville. 1133

[1] The case concerning John of Monmouth on f. 277 b (see also f. 422 b) is in the printed book cited from A.R. xiij, but in some MSS from A.R. xliij. The citation on f. 241 b as to prebendal churches is in the printed book from A.R. xvj, but in all MSS that I have seen from A.R. xviij.

1236—7, A.R. 20—21.

Cases in Note Book 1172—1218.
No Roll extant.

f. 31 b.		si Simon filius Wydonis.	1203
f. 195.	York.	si Wilhelmus de Stocbrige.	
f. 272.	York.	si Stephanus de Pulthorp.	1195
f. 292 b.	Linc.	Lambertus filius Lamberti.	1209

1237—8, A.R. 21—22.

Cases in Note Book 1219—1238.
Roll extant. A = Coram Rege Roll No. 45.

f. 54 b.	Salop.	Godefridus de Gamages.	1224

1238—9, A.R. 22—23.

Cases in Note Book 1239—1274.
No Roll extant.

f. 169 b.		Robertus de Toteshall, Prior de Bricksete.	1248
f. 195 and 200.		si Robertus Bieard (Byrd).	
f. 195 and 200.		si Richardus de Merlay.	
f. 195.	Suff.	si Radulphus filius Roberti.	
f. 200.	Norf.	si Robertus de Rikinghale.	

1239—40, A.R. 23—24.

Cases in Note Book 1275—1288.
No Roll extant.

f. 373.	Hants.	Dom. Rex., W. de S. Johanne.

Later Cases. A.R. 31.

f. 414.		Michael Abbas Glastoniensis, Rogerus Episcopus Bathoniensis.
f. 414 b.		Petrus de Solandia, Abbas de Rivall.

A.R. 32.

f. 234 b.	Hants.	Simon de Vendenge, Jordanus de Insula.

A.R. 32—33.

f. 241.	Norf.	W. Bardolf, et heres de Meanton, Ecclesia de Suterlege.

A.R. 33.

f. 368.	Richard Syward.

A.R. 46.

f. 159.	Petrus de Sabaudia.

C.

Pleas from the Eyre Rolls.

Reign of John (?). Eyre of Pateshull in Leicester.

f. 364.	Anon. Essoin. Record of four knights.

1218—9, A.R. 3. *Eyre of Pateshull and the Bishop of Durham in Yorkshire.*

Commission Rot. Cl. vol. I. p. 380 b.

f. 50.	si Rogerus de Halgheton.
f. 200 b.	si Jacobus filius Siwardi.
f. 272.	si Rogerus de Maundeville.
f. 277.	si Rogerus de Amundevil.
f. 297.	Alicia uxor Adae filii Petri.
f. 297.	Alicia uxor Hugonis de Alencester.
f. 298.	Matylda uxor Roberti de Haywarde.
f. 303 b.	Muriella uxor Hugonis de Hauerton.
f. 320.	Aghevilda Murdac.
f. 320.	Reginaldus Murdaker.
f. 322.	Anon. Entry sur cui in vita.
f. 394 b.	Anon. Warranty.
f. 395.	Wilhelmus de Vavasour.

1219—20, A.R. 4. *Eyre of Pateshull in Lincoln.*

Roll extant. Tower Roll No. 1.

f. 298.	Dernia uxor Roberti Bryton.

1220, A.R. 5. *Eyre of Pateshull and R. de Vere, Earl of Oxford in Hertford.*

Commission, Rot. Cl. vol. I. p. 473 b.

f. 200 b.	Anon. Attaint. Villeinage.
f. 430 b.	si W. de Ludwich.
f. 430 b.	Matilda filia Godwini.

1220, A.R. 5. *Eyre of Pateshull and the Abbot of Reading in Worcester.*

Commission, Rot. Cl. vol. I. p. 476.
Roll extant. Assize Roll M. 6, 31. 1.

f. 54 b and 246 b.	Anon. Gift of advowson by one who has not presented.
f. 128.	Anon. Outlawry.

f. 141.	Anon. Appellant must be eye-witness.
f. 244 b.	Ecclesia S. Mariae de Wichio.
f. 285 b.	Anon. Layman brings a Juris Utrum.
f. 332 b.	Anon. Privilege of Templars and Hospitallers.

1220, A.R. 5. *Eyre of Pateshull and the Abbot of Reading in Gloucester.*

Commission, Rot. Cl. vol. I. p. 476.
Rolls. Coram Rege Roll No. 13.
Assize Roll M. 2, 14. 1.

f. 166.	si Philippus le Riche.
f. 288.	Anon. Assisa utrum una hyda terrae.
f. 307 b.	Clementia de Dodewell.
f. 390, 390 b.	Radulphus Chandos.

1220, A.R. 5—6. *Eyre of Pateshull and the Abbot of Reading in Hereford.*

Commission, Rot. Cl. vol. I. p. 476.

f. 13.	Anon. Gift to concubine and children.
f. 124 b.	Anon. Manupast. [The name Hertford in the printed book should be Hereford.]
f. 273.	si Laurentius Galant.
f. 311.	Ascelma Pickednese. [Wrongly cited from Hertford.]

1220, A.R. 5. *Eyre of Pateshull and the Abbot of Reading in Warwick.*

Commission, Rot. Cl. vol. I. p. 476.
Rolls. Assize Roll M. 6, 16. 1.
Assize Roll M. 6, 16. 2.

f. 83 b.	Robertus de Halgeford.
f. 180.	si Will. de Ludington.
f. 199.	si W. de Ardern.
f. 266 b.	Anon. Ass. mor. ant. against lord who pleads partial non-tenure.
f. 269 b.	Anon. Ass. mor. ant. against lord who pleads partial non-tenure.
f. 270 b.	si Fredericus.
f. 272.	si Will. Turpin.
f. 275 b.	Anon. Gift of socage land by infant.
f. 340 b.	Anon. Full age of tenant in socage.
f. 381 b.	Egidius de Erdington, W. de Norf.
f. 390 b.	Will. Trussell. Ass. mor. ant. See 196.

1220, A.R. 6. *Eyre of Pateshull and the Abbot of Reading in Leicester.*

Cases in Note Book 1942—1971.
Commission, Rot. Cl. vol. I. p. 476.

f. 23.	si Robertus filius Martini.	1965
f. 272.	si Gilbertus.	
f. 275 b.	si Walterus filius Wilhelmi.	1957
f. 370 b.	Hugo filius Wilhelmi.	

1220, A.R. 6. *Eyre of Pateshull and the Abbot of Reading in Stafford.*

Cases in Note Book 1972—1982.
Commission, Rot. Cl. vol. I. p. 476.

1220, A.R. 6. *Eyre of Pateshull and the Abbot of Reading in Shropshire.*

Commission, Rot. Cl. vol. I. p. 476.
Assize Roll M. 5, 8. 1.

f. 278.	Anon. Assize turned into jury by consent. Bastardy.
f. 280 b.	Anon. Probably same case as last.
f. 340 b.	si Vincentius. [Date doubtful.]

1222, A.R. 6. *Eyre of de Burgh and Pateshull in Norfolk.*

Cases in Note Book 1791—1807.

No commission found. Bracton cites two of the cases which are in the Note Book (1798 and 1803) as from Pateshull's eyre in Norfolk A.R. 10, of which eyre no other trace has been found. De Burgh was at Norwich from 13 to 23 Sept. 1222 (Rot. Cl. vol. I. p. 510—1).

1225, A.R. 9. *Eyre (general commission of assize and gaol delivery) of Pateshull in Hampshire.*

Commission, Rot. Cl. vol. II. p. 76.

f. 167.	si Radulphus de la Haye.
f. 170 b.	si Adam Gerun.

1225, A.R. 9. *Eyre (general commission of assize and gaol delivery) of Pateshull in Northampton.*

See Rot. Cl. vol. II. p. 76 and 78 b (last entry).

f. 169.	si Rogerus de Deneford.

1225, A.R. 9. *Eyre (general commission of assize and gaol delivery) of Pateshull in Norfolk*[1].

f. 398.	Anon. Witnesses to deed not present at its making.	

1225—6, A.R. 10. *Eyre of Pateshull in Norfolk.*

See above under Norfolk Eyre of A.R. 6.

f. 212 b.	Anon. Two coparceners bring assize; husband of one is outlaw.	1798
f. 239.	si Bartholomeus de Waterdene.	1803

1225—6, A.R. 10. *Eyre of Pateshull in Sussex.*

No trace has been found of any such eyre. One of Bracton's two citations has been tracked to Suffolk, which probably is the right county for both.

f. 238 b.	si Adam de N.	
f. 238 b.	si Thomas de Coluile.	1909

1226, A.R. 10. *Last Eyre of Pateshull in Lincoln.*

Commission, Rot. Cl. vol. II. p. 151.

f. 142 b.	Gilbertus filius Aldrendi, Alanus Swadi.
f. 146 b.	Thomas de Rasne.
f. 271 b and 277.	si Agnes filia Evae (Eliae) de Benyngworth.
f. 277 b.	si Leonata.
f. 296.	si Petrus filius Wymund.
f. 297 b.	Helewiza uxor Wasae.
f. 309.	Basilia uxor Henrici filii Wareni.
f. 309.	Robertus de Arundel et Katerina.
f. 310.	Alicia uxor Ricardi filii Divae.
f. 314 b.	Anon. Admeasurement of dower.
f. 426 b.	Thomas de Rasue.
f. 430 b.	Hugo de Hull.
f. 438[2].	Walterus de Lync, Terra de Grimesby.

1226, A.R. 10—11. *Last Eyre of Pateshull in Yorkshire.*

Cases in Note Book 1844—1890.
Commission, Rot. Cl. vol. II. p. 151.

f. 28.	Anon. Gift shortly before death.	1876
f. 260 b.	si Walterus Chamlenger. Assize taken on default of warrantor.	

[1] The case is cited without any year being mentioned. I can not find that Pateshull visited Norfolk in A.R. 9, but the commissions of that year are the only general commissions of assize and gaol delivery that I can find. Bracton's mode of citing implies that the case is not from an eyre ad omnia placita.

[2] Cited from Leicester, but this must be a mistake.

f. 277[1].	si Odo filius Thorsin.	1878
f. 280.	Anon. Bastardy.	
f. 298.	Juliana.	1873
f. 304 b.	Emma uxor Raymeri le Franceys.	1848
f. 381.	Petrus de Malo Lacu, Johannes de Besacre.	1869
f. 414.	Rogerus de Fanborne.	1847
f. 418.	si Radulphus de Bully.	1859

1226, A.R. 10—11. *Last Eyre of Pateshull in Lancashire.*

Case in Note Book 1294.
Commission, Rot. Cl. vol. II. p. 151.

f. 50 b[2].	Rogerus de Monte Vegonis.	1294

1227, A.R. 11—12. *Eyre of Pateshull in Kent.*

Cases in Note Book 1764—1790.
Roll. A=Coram Rege Roll No. 28.
Commission, Rot. Cl. vol. II. p. 213.

f. 205 b.	Anon. Lord seizing land for arrears of rent.	1767
f. 239.	Anon. No resummons in the eyre.	1778
f. 244 b.	Prior de Lewes, Wilhelmus de Arbervil.	
f. 261.	si Robertus de Wylington.	1766
f. 274.	si Manasserus de Hastings.	
f. 275.	si Emma mater Rogeri.	1783
f. 276 b.	si Henricus filius Yaonis.	
f. 280 b.	si Wilhelmus de Herst.	1775
f. 280 b.	si Henricus Paynefore.	1780
f. 371.	Ingerianus de Shoford.	
f. 417 and 418 b.	si Henricus Pamsore (Pamfurere).	1780
f. 418 b.	si Wilhelmus de Herst.	1775
f. 430 b[3].	W. filius Roberti.	
f. 433 b.	Godefridus de Resiton.	

1227, A.R. 12. *Last Eyre of Pateshull in Suffolk.*

Cases in Note Book 1890—1938.
Roll. A=Tower Roll No. 14.
Commission, Rot. Cl. vol. II. p. 213.

f. 50.	si Anselmus.	1919
f. 50.	Johannes filius Hugonis.	1921
f. 205 b.	si Joceanus de Hesture.	See 1913
f. 226 b.	si Johannes de Stantone.	
f. 239.	si Radulphus de Wadleyham.	

[1] Wrongly attributed to A.R. 12.
[2] Wrongly attributed to A.R. 16.
[3] Attributed to A.R. 13.

f. 271.	Mabilia et Johanna.	1906
f. 273 b and 277.	si Wilhelmus de Carleton.	
f. 274.	si Rogerus Battayl.	
f. 275.	si Rogerus de Gloc.	1898
f. 278.	si Philippa de Cockfend.	
f. 278.	si Walterus Curteys.	1924
f. 285 b.	Robertus de Bedentone.	1920
f. 286.	Anon. Assisa utrum.	
f. 286.	Thomas persona de Framesdon.	
f. 297.	Agneta uxor Rufi.	1936
f. 304 b[1].	Comitissa de Oxonia, Wilhelmus Blundus.	1916
f. 320.	Wilhelmus de Wanham.	
f. 340 b[1].	Anon. Majority of socager.	
f. 388.	Matilda uxor Mathiae de Thurfenne.	
f. 398.	si Mabilla.	1891

1227, A.R. 12. *Eyre of Pateshull in Norfolk.*

Cases in Note Book 1808—1843.
Commission, Rot. Cl. vol. II. p. 213.

f. 148.	Radulphus de Sherings.	
f. 212.	si Gylbertus filius Gilberti.	
f. 269 b and 275.	si Eudo pater Walteri.	1827
f. 420.	si Henricus de la Stoke.	1810

1229, A.R. 13. *Eyre of Raleigh in Middlesex.*

See above Pleas in the Bench of Trinity Term A.R. 13.

1232, A.R. 16—17. *Eyres of Raleigh in Warwick, Leicester, Northampton, Bedford, Buckingham.*

Commission, Rot. Pat. 16 Hen. 3, m. 11 d.

Warwick.

f. 188.	si Gerardus filius Wilhelmi.
f. 226.	si Augustinus.
f. 260 b.	Anon. Ass. mor. ant. Death of one co-plaintiff.
f. 320 b.	Hugo de Cayel Marestorp.
f. 330 b.	Anon. Record of summons by sergeant of the hundred.
f. 381.	Anon. Warranty of tenant's assignee.
f. 381.	Sibilla. Dower.
f. 393 b.	Will. fil. Robert.
f. 417 b.	si Will. de Munworth. Ass. mor. ant.

[1] Attributed to A.R. 10.

Leicester.

f. 159 b.	Rogerus le Suche.
f. 199 b.	si Rob. Freeman.
f. 266 b.	Humfredus de Leyc'. et Juliana ux. ejus.
f. 286.	Anon. Assisa utrum.
f. 311.	Anon. Dower. Land assigned to another woman.

Northampton.

f. 266 b and 273 b.	Wilhelmus de Camera.
f. 274.	Radulphus Basset, Thomas Picteslc.
f. 319.	Petrus de Galdington.

Bedford.

f. 170.	si Milo.
f. 247 b.	Hubertus de Vallibus.
f. 312.	Emma Bovastra.
f. 430 b.	Juliana de Nodariis.
f. 438.	Anon. Curtesy.

Buckingham.

f. 200.	si Walterus le Gardner.
f. 200 b.	si Lucia.
f. 260 b.	si Simon de Hokedes.
f. 271.	si Alicia.
f. 271 b and 277 b.	si Henricus Russell.
f. 272 b and 277 b.	si Ric. Faber.
f. 274.	si Ric. Avenel.
f. 304.	Alicia ux. Baldwyn.
f. 390.	Alicia de Rupella.

Date uncertain. Eyres of Raleigh in Lincoln and Kent.

f. 435 b.	Linc[1].	W. de Berningehurst.
f. 276 b.	Kent.	si Adhelolphus.
f. 280 b.	Kent.	si Adam le Gardener.

Later Case.

f. 413.	Anonymous case cited from eyre of Raleigh in Nottingham in A.R. 30 but according to many MSS and in all probability from the eyre of Thurkelby (with whom was Bracton) in A.R. 29.

[1] Probably Leicester.

D.

UNDATED CASES.

f. 26.	Case before John of Metingham. An interpolation; not in any MS. that I have seen.
f. 27.	Cecilia de Stradesete. From a Hilary term in an unspecified year. See Note Book, Case 836.
f. 29.	Godfrey of Crewcombe, Robert of Muscegros. In but few MSS; sometimes in margin; marked as *Plus* in MA.
f. 32.	Ecclesia in Hebland. Lincolnshire.
f. 35 b.	Abbess of Barking. See Case 758.
f. 45.	Case before John of Lexington. In some MSS this is not found.
f. 49 b.	Roger de Reyne, Robert de Shute. A case of 1254 heard by Bracton. See above p. 39.
f. 50.	Case from an eyre of Simon Pateshull (a judge of John's reign) in Leicester and Suffolk. The MSS generally read *S* or *Simonis;* but this may be a mistake.
f. 56 b.	Abbot of St Albans and Geoffrey of Childwick. Probably after 1250. See Mat. Par. vol. 5, p. 129.
f. 65.	William Mandeville, Earl of Essex and Maud, Countess of Hereford. An early case for the Earl died in 1227. See Case 297.
f. 88 b.	Henry de Tercy (corr. Tracy), William of Punchardon, Roger Venpel (corr. Beaupel). An addition relating to Bracton's Devonshire neighbours. In margin of OA, OB and not in some other MSS.
f. 93 b.	Countess of Lincoln widow of Walter Earl Marshall. He died in 1245.
f. 114.	Abbot of Rievaulx and Peter of Savoy. Part of a long passage which is marginal in OA and not in some other MSS. It is again cited Br. f. 414 b and from A.R. 31 (1246—7).
f. 125 b and 128.	"Responsa" given to Richard Ducket by Martin Pateshull, who died in 1229.
f. 141.	Richardus Neale, Radulphus de Gray (corr. de Bray), vicarius de Gaine (corr. Vitalis Engayne). This comes from 1225; see Case 1673. Citation marginal in OA.
f. 144 b.	A man of Cookham. From Raleigh's time. Marginal in OA; not in several other MSS.

TABLE THE THIRD.

TABLE OF FITZHERBERT'S CASES FROM THE REIGN OF HENRY THE THIRD, ARRANGED IN CHRONOLOGICAL ORDER AND IDENTIFIED WITH CASES IN THE NOTE BOOK.

		Cases in the Note Book.
A.R. 2.		
Mich.	Age 149	1306
	Graunt 89	1338
	Prescription 59	1349
	Voucher 283	1306
Hil.		
Pasch.		
Trin.		
No term specified.		
	Dower 199	1335
	Prohibicion 13	11
	View 144	12
A.R. 3.		
Mich.		
Hil.		
Pasch.		
	Essone 186	23
Trin.		
No term specified.		
	Prescription 56	12
A.R. 4.		
Mich.		
	Darren Presentment 22	100 (Hil.)

		Cases in the Note Book.
A.R. 4.		
	Dower 179	110 (Hil.)
	Dower 180	96 (Hil.)
	Estrepement 12	115 (Hil.)
	Formedon 64	61
	Prohibicion 14	48
	Prohibicion 15	50
	Waste 129	56
	View 145	56
Hil.		
Pasch.		
Trin.		
	Devise 26	1409
	Prohibicion 28	1409
	Waste 140	1371
	Briefe 766	1361
A.R. 5.		
Mich.		
	Essone 187	309
Hil.		
	Essone 196	1591 (Hil. A.R. 7)
	Prohibicion 29	1585 (Hil. A.R. 7)
Pasch.		
	Mordauncestor 53	1478
Trin.		

		Cases in the Note Book.
A.R. 6.		
Mich.		
Hil.		
	Admeasurement 18	150
	Attaint 72	151
	Dower 181	159
	Dower 182	160
	Prohibicion 16	152
	Prohibicion 17	162
	Quare impedit 182	142
	Voucher 273	141
Pasch.		
	Attaint 73	174
Trin.		
	Age 148	207
	Avowrie 242	202
	Droyt 55	207
	Prohibicion 18	210
	Proses 209	205 (?)
A.R. 7.		
Mich.		
Hil.		
	Prohibicion 29	1585
	Prohibicion 30	1599
	Trespas 244	1597 (?)
Pasch.		
	Waste 141	1617
Trin.		
A.R. 8.		
Mich.		
	Droyt 63	1630
	Prescription 60	1644
Hil.		
	Devise 25	221
	Garde 139	236
	Prohibicion 19	221
	Proses 210	222
Pasch.		
	Briefe 880	1018 (Trin.)
	Dower 193	972
	Essone 195	1028 (Trin.)

		Cases in the Note Book.
A.R. 8.		
Trin.		
	Briefe 879	1010
	Dower 194	1007
	Dower 195	1008
	Prescription 58	990
A.R. 9.		
Mich.		
	Ley 78	897
Hil.		
	Dower 196	1042
Pasch.		
	Dower 197	1098
	Dower 202	1071
	Waste 137	1075
Trin.		
	Dower 190	721
	Dower 191	736 (Hil. A.R. 17)
	Garde 145	742 (Hil. A.R. 17)
	Prescription 63	703
	Prerogative 25	743 (Hil. A.R. 17)
	Prohibicion 25	719
	Waste 136	738 (Hil. A.R. 17)
	Voucher 277	734 (Hil. A.R. 17)
A.R. 10.		
Mich.		
	Dower 200	1669
	Prescription 64	1663
Hil.		
	Corone 434	1725
	Dower 201	1718
	Garde 150	1698
Pasch.		
	Cessavit 60	1767 (Kent Eyre A.R. 11)
	Droyt 64	1782 (Kent Eyre A.R. 11)
	Waste 142	1743
Trin.		

		Cases in the Note Book.
A.R. 11.		
Mich.		
Hil.		
Pasch.	Droyt 56	249
	Garde 149	256
Trin.	Dower 186	265
	Dower 187	279 (A.R. 12)
	Dower 188	266
	Droyt 57	259
	Droyt 58	274 (A.R. 12)
	Mesne 75	275 (A.R. 12)
A.R. 12.		
Mich.		
Hil.		
Pasch.		
Trin.		
No term specified.	Garde 151	1840 (Norfolk Eyre, A.R. 12)
	Mordauncestor 54	1857 (Yorkshire Eyre, A.R. 10—11)
	Villenage 42	1814 (Norfolk Eyre, A.R. 12)
A.R. 13.		
Mich.	Garde 141	288
	Garde 142	295
	Prohibicion 20	293
Hil.		
Pasch.		
Trin.	Prohibicion 21	341
No term specified.	Dower 188[1]	1182 (A.R. 21) (?)
	Garde 147	1267 (A.R. 23)

		Cases in the Note Book.
A.R. 14.		
Mich.	Admeasurement 10	365
	Dower 189	374
	Presentement al Esglise 16	380
	Quare impedit 183	350
Hil.	Droyt 59	392
Pasch.	Essone 188	404
	Essone 189	405
Trin.	Briefe 877	413
	Essone 190	420
	Quare impedit 184	423
	Voucher 275	413
A.R. 15.		
Mich.	Droyt 60	441
	Duress 15[2]	
	Prohibicion 22	442
	Waste 130	443
Hil.	Attaint 74	524 (?)
	Essone 191	483
	Essone 192	509
	Faux jugement 20	511
	Garde 143	505
	Garraunt de Chartres 25	486
	Waste 131	485
	View 173	534
	View 174	510
Pasch.	Briefe 878	517
	Droyt 61	535
	Mesne 76	546
	Prohibicion 23	544
	Waste 132	540
	Voucher 276	534

1 The second of the two cases thus numbered.
2 Plainly a case from the reign of *Edward* the Third.

		Cases in the Note Book.
A.R. 15.		
Trin.		
	Essone 193	608
	Prescription 57	622
	Waste 133	573
	Waste 134	606
	View 175	589
A.R. 16.		
Mich.		
	Avowrie 243	656
	Feffements 117	658
	Garde 144	660
	Prohibicion 24	645
	Waste 135	631
Hil.		
Pasch.		
	Assize 430	700
	Nuper obiit 17	701
Trin.		
	Voucher 274	942 (?)
A.R. 17.		
Mich.		
	Dower 192	878
	Garde 146	860 (?)
Hil.		
	Garde 146[1]	752
	Prohibicion 26	755
	Recovere en value 25	748
	Voucher 278	736
Pasch.		
Trin.		
A.R. 18.		
Mich.		
	Covenant 30	799
	Droyt 62	804
	Essone 194	800
	Prohibicion 27	803
	Voucher 279	782
	Voucher 280	790
A.R. 18.		
Hil.		
	Mesne 77	834
	Voucher 281	832
Pasch.		
	Mesne 78	844
	Recovere en value 26	847
Trin.		
A.R. 19.		
No term specified.		
	Darren present-ment 23	1117
	Juris utrum 16	1117
A.R. 20.		
No term specified.		
	Assize 431	1133
	Assize 432	1203 (A.R. 20)
	Assize 433	1205 (A.R. 21) (?)
	Bastardy 29	1160
	Discontinuance 52	1205 (A.R. 21) (?)
	Waste 138	1144
	Waste 139	1165
A.R. 21.		
No term specified.		
	Briefe 881	1213
	Briefe 882	1220 (A.R. 22)
	Cosinage 13	1215
	Dower 198	1182
	Prerogative 26	1221 (A.R. 22)
A.R. 22.		
A.R. 23.		
No term specified.		
	Assize 434	1239
	Assize 435	1246
	Assize 436	1248
	Assize 437	1253
	Assize 438	1255

[1] The second of the two cases thus numbered.

	Cases in the Note Book.
A.R. 23.	
Dower 203	1246
Garde 147	1267
Garde 148	1270
Garraunt de Chartres 26	1268
Particion 18	1273
Toll 5	1250
Toll 10	1246
A.R. 24.	
No term specified.	
Assize 439	1276
Garde 149	1286
Particion 19	1279
Prerogative 27	1278
A.R. 5.	
Leicester Eyre.	
Assize 426	1953
[1] Attaint 75	1965
Droyt 66	1944
Mordauncestor 51	1954
Recovere en value 27	1964
Taile 28	1958
A.R. 6.	
Stafford Eyre.	
Assize 425	1979
Comen 26	1975
A.R. 12.	
Stafford[2] Eyre.	
Assize 427	1915
Assize 428	1911
Assize 429	1910

	Cases in the Note Book.
A.R. 12.	
Suffolk Eyre.	
Bastardy 30	1909
Dower 183	1908
Mordauncestor 52	1912
Mordauncestor 55	1903
Forfayture 33	1908
A.R. 12.	
Norfolk Eyre.	
Voucher 282	1930 (Suff.)
A.R. 12.	
Yorkshire Eyre.	
Comen 25	1881
Droyt 65	1871
Garde 152	1872
Garraunt de Chartres 27	1880
Prescription 61	1888
Prescription 62	1889
[3] Villenage 43	1887
A.R. 47.	
Devon Eyre.	
Dower 174	
Villenage 39	
Voucher 270	
A.R. 47.	
Cornwall Eyre.	
Ayd 175	
Droyt 52	
Garraunt 99[4]	
Voucher 271	

[1] A.R. 15 in Fitz. Abr. [2] Corr. *Suffolk.* [3] A.R. 13 in Fitz. Abr.
[4] Ascribed to 47 *Edward* III.; but probably from this eyre.

INDEX OF ACTIONS.

NOTE :—It is difficult to classify the English forms of action according to any one principle, because the traditional classification has undergone many gradual changes, e.g. an action which one age called 'real', another called 'personal' or 'mixed'. In making this Index I have thought more of the convenience of modern readers than of the legal logic of Bracton's day. Proceedings are here arranged under twelve heads :— (i) Writs of Right, (ii) Dower, (iii) Writs of Entry, (iv) Assizes of Novel Disseisin and of Nuisance, (v) Assizes of Mort D'Ancestor, Nuper Obiit, Cosinage, (vi) Assizes Utrum, (vii) Assizes of Darrein Presentment, Quare impedit etc., (viii) Miscellaneous Proceedings, most of which are reckoned in later days as real or mixed actions, but some of which are closely allied to trespass, (ix) Personal Actions, including actions on Fines and Warrantia Cartae, (x) Criminal Proceedings, (xi) Proceedings of an Appellate Character, including Attaint, Error, False Judgment, etc., (xii) Prohibition.

I.

WRITS OF RIGHT.

Good examples. 10, 17, 40, 86, 102, 141, 185, 212, 243, 393, 400, 488, 551, 671, 851, 867, 895, 950, 980, 1004, 1105, 1220, 1436, 1675, 1935.

Praecipe in capite. 10.

Little writ of right close. 834.

De rationabili parte. 8, 332, 508, 510, 635, 653, 702, 795, 934, 959, 1009, 1023, 1074, 1127, 1213, 1565, 1566, 1663, 1707, 1708, 1748.

For customs and services. 107, 190, 197, 207, 242, 273, 441, 468, 482, 497, 586, 608, 624, 655, 664, 666, 727, 747, 753, 772, 784, 789, 869, 895, 960, 1005, 1045, 1053, 1063, 1214, 1225, 1230, 1279, 1615, 1616, 1632, 1687, 1704, 1738, 1747, 1765, 1782, 1966.

The numbers refer to the cases not to the pages.

WRITS OF RIGHT.

Of advowson. 89, 111, 114, 199, 248, 262, 272, 329, 416, 488, 551, 569, 690, 693, 769, 853, 915, 1064, 1336, 1365, 1367, 1509, 1558, 1634, 1813.

Writ of right of ward. 33, 236, 266, 288, 349, 505, 643, 661, 681, 695, 708, 731, 742, 758, 763, 812, 845, 860, 868, 873, 906, 908, 990, 1076, 1183, 1231, 1606, 1608, 1682, 1872.

Writ de rationabilibus divisis. 249, 445, 509, 534, 535, 590, 1485, 1521, 1547.

Procedure in the lord's court. 26, 40, 212, 1436, 1847.

Procedure in the county court. 40, 212, 243, 955, 1360, 1436, 1513, 1672.

Pone. 445, 542, 556, 823, 1079, 1638, 1776.

Summons etc. 10, 39, 924, 1458, 1547, 1667.

Essoins. 20, 28, 54, 69, 118, 166, 175, 212, 288, 309, 353, 397, 404, 406, 418, 421, 441, 509, 579, 587, 609, 618, 621, 662, 735, 745, 746, 760, 858, 886, 896, 922, 923, 926, 945, 954, 957, 958, 1019, 1039, 1050, 1052, 1059, 1086, 1116, 1291, 1369, 1385, 1450, 1481, 1484, 1513, 1575, 1577, 1591, 1611, 1637, 1642, 1901.

View. 8, 39, 119, 235, 315, 510, 534, 590, 595, 603, 936, 1055, 1318, 1323, 1414, 1509, 1646.

Default. 40, 54, 69, 117, 212, 235, 307, 430, 468, 522, 542, 604, 614, 688, 735, 886, 924, 945, 958, 1003, 1082, 1266, 1301, 1318, 1385, 1399, 1538, 1587, 1602, 1612, 1626, 1634, 1672, 1710, 1737, 1901.

Warranty. 117, 141, 185, 413, 534, 562, 614, 615, 624, 634, 671, 689, 708, 889, 945, 1087, 1220, 1234, 1268, 1373, 1399, 1410, 1414, 1444, 1695, 1717.

Count, examples of. 86, 90, 181, 199, 209, 213, 224, 233, 298, 411, 476, 488, 703, 855, 892, 947, 949, 1040, 1055, 1336, 1360, 1365, 1395, 1578.

Pleas.

Non-tenure. 8, 102, 227, 322, 327, 364, 403, 452, 557, 656, 662, 681, 694, 846, 1067, 1164, 1220, 1229.

Non-joinder. 181, 227, 676, 726, 800, 1010, 1034, 1044, 1057, 1351, 1437, 1584, 1663, 1708, 1748, 1764, 1933.

Infancy. 46, 1442.

Ancient demesne. 652.

Kinship. 230, 315, 358, 554, 637, 980, 982, 1048, 1360, 1630, 1678.

Fine. 147, 302, 403, 715, 716, 831, 849, 853, 991, 1095, 1427, 1558, 1621.

Feoffment. 224, 250, 272, 393, 467, 671, 848.

The numbers refer to the cases not to the pages.

WRITS OF RIGHT.

Pleas.

Quit-claim. 386.

Jus tertii. 61, 227, 243, 662, 676, 1004.

Bastardy. 228, 257, 303, 1229.

Limitation. 280.

Long possession. 507.

Various. 114, 226, 232, 283, 416, 680, 702, 807, 905, 1233, 1400.

Mise, examples of. 112, 170, 180, 197, 209, 242, 329, 379, 476, 809, 1004, 1628.

Grand assize. 17, 53, 84, 112, 114, 118, 120, 180, 197, 248, 251, 262, 329, 411, 535, 554, 569, 615, 671, 715, 769, 772, 789, 809, 831, 846, 950, 960, 1048, 1089, 1225, 1336, 1486, 1558, 1569, 1625, 1630, 1681, 1694, 1701, 1705, 1715, 1738, 1754, 1759, 1764, 1800, 1819, 1865, 1866, 1905, 1938, 1943, 1944.

Battle. 26, 53, 102, 180, 185, 243, 328, 400, 551, 554, 634, 895, 980, 1082, 1360, 1427, 1568, 1672, 1675, 1678, 1717, 1754, 1764.

Trial by Jury. 17, 33, 170, 242, 278, 292, 608, 634, 772, 895, 1004, 1225, 1568, 1678, 1694, 1715, 1717, 1738, 1779, 1819.

II.

DOWER.

Examples. 4, 18, 154, 191, 253, 767, 941, 968, 1919.

Pro dote nominata. 106, 156, 377, 457, 944, 1027, 1083, 1525, 1603, 1824, 1963.

Unde nihil habet. 83, 101, 108.

Against husband's feoffee. 156, 571, 633, 877, 944, 1083, 1102, 1413, 1525, 1683, 1736, 1824, 1963.

Procedure.

Summons. 7, 738, 1313, 1580.

Essoin. 267, 437.

Default. 7, 124, 267, 560, 573, 738, 938, 972, 1094, 1320, 1372, 1455, 1649, 1741, 1751.

View. 129, 1092, 1093, 1305, 1375, 1456.

Warranty. 191, 284, 436, 491, 558, 560, 571, 573, 605, 633, 658, 712, 714, 738, 767, 852, 968, 1024, 1083, 1576, 1580, 1603, 1668, 1683, 1700, 1728, 1736, 1741, 1749, 1824.

Pleas.

Misnomer. 888.

Non-tenure. 98, 470.

Non-joinder. 611, 1939.

The numbers refer to the cases not to the pages.

DOWER.

Pleas.

Husband alive. 163, 356, 374, 455, 502, 545, 898, 1307, 1586, 1595.
Never married. 98, 324, 642, 647, 944, 1142, 1669.
Another claimant. 1142, 1703.
No warrantor. 71, 195, 730, 882, 904, 938, 1314, 1321, 1341.
Divorce. 1027, 1564.
Dower already assigned. 66, 83, 108.
Husband not seised. 814, 970, 994, 1002, 1098, 1970.
Not so endowed. 91, 192, 204, 220, 265, 345, 377, 457, 518, 721, 953, 1065, 1434, 1919.
Not endowed at church door. 1669, 1718, 1875.
Capital messuage. 1007, 1042.
Caput baronie. 96.
Villeinage. 591, 794, 1531.
Estoppel. 861, 1011, 1459.
Excessive dower. 1355.
Various. 160, 184, 279, 459, 466, 714, 931, 937, 965, 1008, 1396, 1573.

Jury. 154, 192, 721, 794, 953, 1335, 1603, 1919.
Admeasurement of dower. 150, 365, 632, 1107.

III.

WRITS OF ENTRY.

Sur disseisin. 76, 131, 372, 383, 434, 493, 872, 993, 1133, 1405, 1883.
Sur intrusion. 140, 208, 774, 1222.
Ad terminum qui praeteriit. 57, 133, 171, 183, 186, 188, 234, 313, 323, 359, 425, 451, 499, 575, 641, 650, 663, 669, 686, 751, 761, 787, 790, 1419, 1488, 1490, 1619, 1750, 1868, 1869.
Cui in vita. 203, 233, 290, 294, 363, 389, 483, 525, 528, 572, 679, 736, 816, 1550, 1756, 1769, 1863, 1888, 1889, 1929, 1981.
Sur cui in vita. 22, 60.
Cui ante divortium. 836.
Ad communem legem. 146, 385, 487, 601, 682, 733, 822, 918, 996, 1073.
on alienation by a villein, 713.
on alienation by a bastard who dies without issue, 402.
on alienation by one to whom king has granted year day and waste, 462, 597.
Dum fuit infra etatem. 342, 440, 517, 596, 893, 977, 1099, 1936.

The numbers refer to the cases not to the pages.

WRITS OF ENTRY.
Dum non fuit compos mentis. 354.
Sine assensu capituli. 866.
Sine assensu conventus. 1727.
Procedure.
Summons. 1869.
Essoin. 140, 208, 483, 663, 1222, 1550.
Default. 483, 663, 1222.
View. 140, 517, 572, 663, 1550.
Warranty. 203, 290, 342, 525, 528, 663, 679, 893, 918, 1302, 1405.
Pleas.
Non-tenure. 389, 483, 499, 597, 641, 686, 1133.
Title. 188, 234, 359, 363, 425, 451, 493, 528, 575, 641, 663, 669, 679, 774, 787, 790, 918, 1133, 1488, 1490, 1619.
Limitation. 186, 234, 402, 499, 1889.
Estoppel. 872.
Proof of entry. 57, 188, 233, 234, 425, 451, 575, 751, 761, 790, 816, 1868.
Trial by battle. 234, 893.
Grand assize. 323, 363, 402, 499, 787, 918, 1488, 1490, 1750, 1756.
Jury. 131, 146, 188, 359, 669, 686, 751, 893, 1769.

IV.

ASSIZE OF NOVEL DISSEISIN,
for Common. 80, 336, 360, 1194, 1197, 1208, 1274, 1284, 1820, 1975.
for Rent. 338, 1205, 1239, 1844, 1890.
for a Fishery. 1915.
for suit to a Mill. 1979.
Procedure.
Attachment, etc. 1778, 1815.
View by Jurors. 1192.
Default. 1033, 1204, 1223, 1239, 1247, 1252, 1778, 1791, 1795, 1802, 1890.
Warranty. 371, 1153, 1950.
Pleas.
Non-tenure. 1826, 1864, 1874.
Non-joinder. 1798.
Death of a defendant. 336, 1808, 1826, 1890.
Ancient demesne. 1973.
No seisin of free tenement. 270, 291, 428, 429, 617, 780, 1191, 1240, 1241, 1731, 1801, 1838, 1978, 1979.

The numbers refer to the cases not to the pages.

ASSIZE OF NOVEL DISSEISIN.
Pleas.
Entry under judgment. 1195, 1297, 1667, 1793, 1836.
,, ,, king's writ. 1825, 1950.
,, ,, fine. 1012, 1223.
,, ,, feoffment. 1251, 1256, 1840.
,, on condition broken. 1200.
,, ,, gift in mortmain. 1248.
,, ,, death of particular tenant, etc. 1470, 1921, 1924.
,, to distrain. 1207.
,, for default of service. 1908.
,, under custom. 1662.
No assize by lord against tenant. 1861.
Villeinage. 281, 1030, 1203, 1242, 1812, 1885, 1887, 1914.
Trial by jury. 825, 1150, 1251, 1256, 1290, 1793, 1885, 1887, 1891, 1894, 1899, 1924.
Assize turned into perambulation. 907.
Assize proceeds. 318, 339, 360, 428, 471, 617, 779, 781, 885, 985, 1032, 1033, 1147, 1149, 1193, 1194, 1239, 1241, 1242, 1245, 1246, 1247, 1258, 1259, 1260, 1261, 1284, 1470, 1471, 1791, 1792, 1794, 1796, 1797, 1809, 1814, 1815, 1816, 1836, 1837, 1838, 1840, 1841, 1842, 1861, 1886, 1890, 1892, 1893, 1895, 1904, 1906, 1907, 1910, 1911, 1922, 1925, 1946, 1949, 1965, 1974, 1975, 1976.
Vicontiel writ. 469.

ASSIZE OF NUISANCE.
Instances. 701, 806, 1081, 1196, 1253, 1785, 1804, 1953.

V.

ASSIZE OF MORT D'ANCESTOR.
On death of father. 47, 59, 77, 225, etc.
,, ,, mother. 1476, 1773, 1849, 1877, etc.
,, ,, brother. 196, 271, 299, 370, etc.
,, ,, sister. 659, 1128, 1475, 1857, etc.
,, ,, uncle. 44, 93, 123, 144, etc.
On death in pilgrimage. 196, 271, 306, 1148, 1397, 1787.
On entering religion. 1850.
Procedure.
Summons, etc. 1311, 1803, 1832, 1856, 1879, 1956, 1959.
Essoin. 24, 370, 1799.
Default. 370, 878, 1293, 1306, 1311, 1348, 1807, 1832, 1855, 1856, 1879, 1909, 1956

The numbers refer to the cases not to the pages.

ASSIZE OF MORT D'ANCESTOR.

Warranty. 30, 42, 43, 47, 77, 196, 331, 387, 734, 833, 1157, 1293, 1306, 1394, 1476, 1508, 1529, 1557, 1722, 1803, 1827, 1849, 1870, 1876, 1898, 1917, 1927, 1931, 1948, 1954.

Parol demurring. 30, 43, 331, 336, 421, 1287, 1508, 1557, 1722, 1774, 1775, 1783, 1827, 1849, 1870, 1898, 1948, 1951, 1954, 1957, 1958.

Pleas.

Non-tenure. 37, 292, 326, 421, 729, 870, 986, 987, 1465, 1479, 1781, 1787, 1955, 1963, 1977.

Non-joinder. 1571.

I am next heir. 1475, 1829, 1952.

He is not dead. 1805.

He did not die seised. 1462, 1487, 1770, 1818, 1823, 1851, 1853, 1912, 1971.

You have been seised. 1397, 1773.

Kinship. 44, 299, 326, 833, 1128, 1161, 1480, 1784, 1830, 1858, 1884, 1927, 1954.

Bastardy. 1780, 1859, 1917, 1927, 1962.

Fine. 93, 123, 729, 1884.

Feoffment. 250, 331, 1487.

Limitation. 340, 1877.

Other litigation is proceeding. 1789, 1967.

Villeinage. 343, 1833.

Jus tertii. 1311, 1821, 1852, 1878, 1881, 1903.

Various. 37, 45, 282, 1176, 1766, 1822.

Assize proceeds. 45, 144, 225, 250, 306, 870, 879, 984, 1348, 1477, 1770, 1821, 1853, 1855, 1876, 1877, 1879, 1909, 1912, 1931, 1948, 1959, 1963.

Trial by jury. 87, 250, 282, 1572, 1722, 1833, 1951.

NUPER OBIIT.

Instances. 12, 1357.

COSINAGE.

Instance. 1215.

VI.

ASSIZE UTRUM.

Instances. 21, 55, 179, 285, 308, 368, 539, 841, 887, 1020, 1025, 1156, 1359, 1430, 1555, 1790, 1845, 1924, 1980.

VII.

ASSIZE OF DARREIN PRESENTMENT.

Examples. 49, 51, 69, 172, 260, 310, 516, 798, 820, 983, 1035, 1070, 1288, 1312, 1416, 1452, 1542, 1607.

The numbers refer to the cases not to the pages.

ASSIZE OF DARREIN PRESENTMENT.
Procedure.
Resummons. 5, 6, 155, 427, 759, 1190, 1319, 1491, 1536.
Essoin. 5, 6, 427, 1028.
Default. 139, 155, 261, 427, 759, 765, 1028, 1172, 1190, 1391, 1454, 1469, 1491, 1556, 1685, 1686, 1755.
Warranty. 310, 516, 648, 1295, 1685.
Pleas.
Plenarty. 1, 51, 58, 173, 187, 300, 304, 305, 310, 636, 864, 928, 983, 1300, 1312, 1339, 1352, 1354, 1415, 1545, 1684.
Fine. 344, 481, 1618, 1686.
Charters. 1, 51, 72, 97, 100, 172, 173, 211, 243, 260, 305, 406, 480, 516, 523, 648, 820, 928, 1196, 1295, 1379, 1387, 1635, 1685, 1686, 1697, 1731, 1758.
Plaintiff never seised. 49, 94.
Estoppel. 912.
Various. 519, 798, 1469.
Intervention of third party. 5, 6, 1072, 1196, 1238.
Assize proceeds. 72, 97, 187, 305, 319, 376, 515, 636, 765, 813, 983, 1028, 1072, 1163, 1339, 1364, 1469, 1570, 1653, 1684, 1685, 1697, 1744, 1755, 1762, 1928.
Trial by jury. 205, 243, 1070.
Trial by charters. 1, 412.
QUARE IMPEDIT, QUARE NON PERMISIT, QUARE NON ADMISIT.
Instances. 34, 74, 111, 142, 182, 255, 276, 350, 355, 357, 395, 423, 426, 438, 474, 625, 644, 692, 724, 782, 785, 793, 797, 1006, 1166, 1219, 1418, 1594, 1726, 1760, 1831.

VIII.

DE NATIUO HABENDO, DE LIBERTATE PROBANDA.
Instances. 70, 1005, 1167, 1828, 1934. See also 1041, 1162.
EJECTMENT OF WARD, RAVISHMENT OF WARD, etc.
Instances. 178, 195, 256, 687, 1232, 1263, 1278, 1280, 1283, 1286, 1520, 1660, 1709.
MESNE.
Instances. 275, 362, 390, 506, 674, 811, 838, 849, 1043, 1211.
MONSTRAUERUNT.
Instances. 1230, 1237.
PER QUAE SERUICIA.
Instances. 236, 369, 593, 598, 627, 773, 948, 1622.

The numbers refer to the cases not to the pages.

QUARE CAPIT THOLONEUM.
Instances. 16, 145, 1123, 1188, 1250, 1720.

QUARE CEPIT EXCAMBIUM.
Instances. 512, 612.

QUARE DEFORCIAT.
Instances. 82, 321, 394, 559, 583, 666, 916, 1151, 1381, 1406, 1417, 1740, 1742.

QUARE DISTRINGIT.
Instances. 660, 773, 791, 1049, 1110, 1277.

QUARE DUXIT IN UXOREM.
Instances. 1090, 1278, 1596.

QUARE EJECIT.
Instance. 1140.

QUARE EXIGIT.
Instance. 1679.

QUARE FINEM FECIT.
Instances. 584, 1363, 1589, 1706.

QUARE INTRUSIT.
Instances. 312, 566, 639, 697, 1104, 1174, 1439, 1441, 1554, 1629, 1746.

QUARE LEUAUIT FURCAS.
Instance. 1114.

QUARE MARITAUIT.
Instance. 1280.

QUARE PERMISIT SE MARITARI.
Instance. 1286.

QUARE SE FACIT IMPREGNATAM.
Instances, 137, 198, 1503, 1605.

QUARE TENET MERCATUM.
Instances. 494, 578, 786, 1283.

QUARE TRAHIT IN PLACITUM.
Instances. 374, 384, 498, 1650.

QUARE TULIT ASSISAM.
Instance. 1411.

QUID JURIS CLAMAT.
Instances. 121, 126, 295, 581, 713, 727, 929, 967, 1034, 1182, 1581, 1583, 1729, 1747.

QUO JURE.
Instances. 193, 223, 274, 330, 392, 433, 561, 606, 628, 673, 709, 720, 803, 818, 909, 914, 942, 971, 974, 1588, 1624, 1628, 1721.

QUO WARRANTO.
Brought by the king. 241, 268, 391, 422, 440, 578, 581, 750, 840, 862, 930, 1066, 1111, 1119, 1162, 1224, 1271, 1272, 1274, 1275, 1288, 1666.
Brought by a subject. 35, 95, 219, 253, 501, 1013, 1014, 1108, 1136, 1141, 1175, 1181, 1296, 1358, 1390, 1512, 1846.

The numbers refer to the cases not to the pages.

QUOD CAPIAT HOMAGIUM.
Instances. 41, 53, 168, 967, 1374, 1438, 1620.

QUOD PERMITTAT.
Instances. 685, 1930.

SECTA AD MOLENDINUM.
Instances. 161, 504.

WASTE.
Instances. 27, 56, 115, 388, 443, 461, 485, 527, 540, 574, 580, 607, 632, 640, 691, 717, 718, 739, 880, 1075, 1131, 1165, 1304, 1371, 1617, 1743.

IX.

WARRANTIA CARTAE.
Instances. 116, 237, 297, 419, 486, 495, 503, 526, 531, 538, 594, 620, 657, 749, 804, 837, 964, 966, 969, 979, 1000, 1001, 1097, 1398, 1401, 1566, 1589, 1633, 1648, 1659, 1665, 1771, 1811, 1835, 1880, 1881, 1900.

DE FINE FACTO.
Instances. 2, 125, 158, 169, 200, 254, 296, 311, 361, 396, 417, 438, 446, 447, 454, 463, 478, 496, 520, 546, 563, 672, 700, 973, 992, 1015, 1101, 1186, 1386, 1433, 1443, 1457, 1511, 1514, 1526, 1579, 1631, 1647, 1652, 1690, 1777, 1834, 1867.

COVENANT.
Instances. 36, 167, 581, 613, 638, 792, 804, 805, 890, 1058, 1129, 1378, 1549, 1656, 1702, 1739.

ACCOUNT.
Instances. 796, 859.

DEBT.
Instances. 52, 177, 325, 352, 381, 568, 645, 732, 776, 801, 830, 897, 900, 901, 924, 936, 946, 963, 1324, 1421, 1422, 1543, 1574, 1610, 1693, 1969.

DETINUE.
Instances. 194, 202, 832, 936, 1366. See also 1115.

REPLEVIN.
Instances. 78, 157, 333, 477, 616, 677, 773, 842, 883, 1049, 1062, 1146, 1407.

TRESPASS.
Instances. 85, 287, 314, 378, 465, 567, 616, 821, 835, 839, 843, 1041, 1121, 1232, 1520, 1735.
Conspiracy (?) 479.

X.

CRIMINAL PROCEEDINGS.
Appeal.
Of homicide. 138, 490, 918, 1137, 1460, 1548, 1600, 1711.

The numbers refer to the cases not to the pages.

CRIMINAL PROCEEDINGS.
Appeal.
Of wounds. 134, 259, 511, 548, 592, 943, 1697.
Of mayhem. 346, 1084.
Of larceny. 135, 824, 1159, 1431, 1435, 1447, 1517, 1539, 1597, 1692.
Of robbery. 484, 699, 723, 1368, 1424, 1456, 1664.
Of coining. 1533.
Indictment. 67, 136, 588, 705, 1031, 1453, 1472, 1473, 1474, 1517. See also 1723, 1725.

XI.

CERTIFICATE.
Instances. 63, 371, 382, 431, 771, 856, 1144, 1209, 1265, 1281, 1928.
ATTAINT.
Instances. 151, 174, 262, 285, 301, 513, 524, 530, 778, 899, 917, 933, 1046, 1209, 1265, 1294.
RECORDARI FACIAS.
Instances. 15, 26, 40, 185, 213, 243, 281, 318, 512, 530, 554, 592, 824, 871, 917, 976, 1069, 1077, 1115, 1138, 1146, 1159, 1285, 1393, 1406, 1436, 1441, 1513, 1539.
ERROR.
Instances. 564, 1166.
FALSE JUDGMENT.
Instances. 40, 445, 511, 592, 824, 834, 955, 1019, 1122, 1342, 1412, 1436, 1672.

XII.

PROHIBITION TO COURT CHRISTIAN.
Lay fee. 11, 50, 73, 79, 132, 221, 407, 442, 444, 464, 547, 552, 576, 610, 626, 629, 665, 722, 755, 762, 788, 802, 817, 865, 910, 1073, 1143, 1402, 1403, 1404, 1409, 1420, 1464, 1561, 1680.
Advowson. 62, 64, 143, 350, 453, 536, 537, 725, 799, 874, 918, 1388.
Chattels. 48, 130, 162, 210, 293, 341, 351, 423, 544, 555, 570, 599, 646, 649, 670, 678, 683, 684, 756, 762, 766, 768, 808, 810, 847, 875, 877, 1361, 1370, 1423, 1467, 1585, 1599.
Fidei laesio. 50, 599, 670, 792, 1361, 1464.
Debts. 152, 162, 550, 719, 810.
Matrimonial causes. 341, 442, 550, 570, 629, 646, 683.
Testamentary causes. 550, 756, 810, 847, 1409.
Indicauit. 799, 874.

INDEX OF THINGS.

The numbers refer to the cases not to the pages.

Abandonment of action, 131, 643, 765, 790, 798, 820, 823
Abatement, see Intrusion.
Abatement of action by death, 432, 440, 663, 689, 894, 1425, 1437, 1499
Abatement of nuisance, 700, 1081
Abbot and Monk, 341
Abduction of wife, 1597
Abeyance, 12
Abjuration, 67, 938, 1137, 1179, 1180, 1266, 1431, 1723
Absence beyond seas, 93, 123, 302, 403, 716, 1779
Absolution ordered, 670, 766, 817, 1143, 1388, 1671
Accessories, 1460, 1548, 1600, 1711
Acquiescence, 154, 182, 200, 205, 379, 558, 959, 1126, 1434, 1913
Action, wrong form of, 1656
Actions, classification of as real and personal, 803
Actions, order of, 31, 37, 94, 99, 122, 157, 240, 416, 462, 692, 829, 846, 1000, 1077, 1091, 1176, 1233, 1519, 1573, 1601, 1789, 1835, 1945, 1967
Admeasurement of pasture, 1130
Adultery punished, 753
Advancement, 1018
Advocate of a priory, 248, 525
Advowson, 49, 94, 97, 114, 305, 322, 395, 423, 426, 515, 536, 644, 797, 820, 1015, 1064, 1240, 1395, 1509, 1578, 1618, 1726, 1731
Age, for fighting, 1548
Age, full in socage, 1847, 1899, 1957
Age, proof of full, 46, 687, 1131, 1362
Agency, 873
Aid, granted by tenants, 1146
Aid, feudal, 506, 792, 1146
Alien, 110, 730, 750, 1220, 1396
Alienation, covenant against, 18, 36, 419, 543, 680
Alienation, power of, 105, 386, 401, 566, 617, 631, 930, 944, 1054, 1102, 1248, 1289, 1665
Alienation, by tenant for life, 1470
Alienation by a bastard, 402, 1289, 1706
Alienation, lord's consent to, or confirmation of, 428, 627, 660, 947, 1070, 1248, 1607, 1616, 1665
Alienation, fraudulent, to avoid action, 483, 846, 1024
Amends, tender of, 1199
Amercement generally, 28, 54, 79, 213, 214, 360, 391, 427, 489, 770, 798, 1149, 1159, 1170, 1191, 1239, 1249, 1623, 1794, 1890
of franchise court, 824, 834
of county, 40, 168, 185, 1130, 1412, 1436
of sheriff, 10, 40
of justices, 67, 530, 564
of pleader, 298
Ancient demesne, 292, 652, 834, 907, 951, 1099, 1122, 1230, 1234, 1237, 1400, 1524, 1973

The numbers refer to the cases not to the pages.

'Anefang', 824
Anglo-Saxon charters pleaded, 1628, 1716
Annuity, 52, 438
Appeal by woman, 1600
Appellant must have seen the crime, 723, 1597
Appellant must be one injured, 857, 1664
Appraisement, 365
Approvement of common, 1261, 1881, 1975
Approver, 135, 821, 1159, 1431, 1447, 1472, 1517, 1692, 1723, 1763
Appurtenances, 322, 395, 403, 426, 454, 504, 681, 1015, 1064, 1236, 1433, 1726
Arbitration, 649, 732, 983
Archbishop, appeal to, 647
Archdeacon, 255, 324, 366, 544, 547, 1312
Arrest, see Imprisonment.
Assets, 525, 563, 571, 633, 638, 748, 852, 944, 961, 1024, 1234, 1525, 1652, 1683, 1736
Assignment of contract, 804
Assigns, 106, 332, 617, 804, 867, 1289, 1906
Assize must be taken in county, 1478
Assize, justices of, 213, 214, 281, 318, 367, 428, 430, 431, 512, 530, 564, 777, 829, 871, 894, 917, 976, 1061, 1232, 1285, 1478, 1716
Assize of bread and beer, 842, 1110, 1292
Astrarius, 230, 892, 951, 982, 988, 1830
Attorney, 41, 113, 118, 120, 173, 231, 395, 492, 532, 651, 661, 764, 788, 866, 932, 941, 953, 1047, 1088, 1266, 1306, 1310, 1537, 1546, 1566, 1575, 1591, 1642, 1658
Attorney, infant's, 1387
Attornment, 126, 338, 398, 524, 533, 541, 586, 627, 856, 1087, 1135, 1148, 1169, 1277, 1344, 1616, 1796, 1940, 1966
Bail, none for homicide, 1716
Baron, essoin of, 1637
Barony, 96, 501, 1182, 1276, 1284, 1390
Bastardizing the dead, 1160, 1181
Bastardy, 31, 121, 180, 227, 228, 243, 257, 287, 299, 315, 358, 394, 619, 662, 984, 1175, 1229, 1475, 1554, 1620, 1706, 1811, 1859, 1879, 1909, 1927, 1962
Bastardy, ordinance touching, 1117
Battle, trial by, 2, 26, 40, 47, 53, 65, 102, 243, 259, 316, 634, 893, 895, 914, 980, 1006, 1019, 1082, 1095, 1226, 1360, 1393, 1412, 1427, 1436, 1548, 1619, 1672, 1717, 1754, 1764, 1936, 1961
Battle actually fought, 147, 164, 185, 328, 363, 400, 551, 815, 1159, 1336, 1435, 1447, 1469, 1675
Bedell, 1230
Bench, the, 92, 208, 243, 268, 318, 354, 367, 382, 439, 530, 680, 735, 1079, 1107, 1116, 1166, 1189, 1190, 1269, 1412, 1681, 1846
Bezants, rent reserved in, 350, 990
Bishop, appeal to, 544, 766
Bishop, certificate of legitimacy, 243, 299
Bishop, certificate of marriage, 324, 642, 647, 944, 1142
Bishop, certificate of plenarty, 111, 139, 173, 296, 300, 304, 516, 625, 675, 864, 928, 1312
Bishop, consultation with, 1389
Bishop must produce clerk, 276, 407, 438, 537, 576, 722, 801, 802, 865, 1368, 1463
Bishop, process against, 1402
Blanch ferm, 66
Bloodwite, 753
Boar, free, 881
Boon works, 916, 960, 1005, 1103, 1661
Borsholder, 821
Borough, see Municipal Bodies.
Boundaries, disputed, 249, 445, 509,

The numbers refer to the cases not to the pages.

534, 535, 590, 781, 1021, 1257, 1521
Botes, 56, 254, 311, 632, 672, 685, 749
Bread, assize of, 842, 1110, 1292
Bread, prohibition against sale of, 827, 1282
Bread, tax on sale of, 666
Bull, free, 881
Burgage, devisable, 11, 73

Cambridge, chancellor of, 722
Cancellation of forged or fraudulent deeds, 250, 286, 342, 412, 934, 976, 1589, 1706
Capital messuage, 1007, 1042, 1390
Capons, rent reserved in, 1665
Carta, Magna, 513, 1213, 1215, 1220, 1227, 1248, 1478, 1730
Castle-guard, 275
Cell of monastery, 5, 6, 1715
Challenge of jurors, 806
Champion, 2, 39, 65, 116, 164, 185, 234, 243, 328, 400, 551, 554, 634, 856, 893, 895, 955, 980, 1019, 1038, 1082, 1095, 1360, 1412, 1416, 1427, 1436, 1672, 1675, 1687, 1754, 1764, 1935
Chancellor, 397, 1545, 1847
Chancery, 76, 1173
Chantry, 1200
Chapel, 112, 187, 300, 329, 350, 357, 376, 625, 693, 769, 874, 1006, 1312, 1377
Charter, see Carta.
Chase, 806
Chattel real, 73, 559
Chester, county of, 505, 876, 1127, 1213, 1227, 1273
Childwite, 753
Chirograph, 344, 448, 586, 619, 831, 976, 1380, 1690
Chirograph amended, 417
'Church', grant of a, 1418
Church, Mother-, 625, 693, 769, 1006
Church and State, relation between, 351, 355, 391, 741, 770
Churchyard, 755
Civil death, 455, 1057, 1139, 1586, 1594, 1920
Clergy, benefit of, 355, 490, 548, 588, 1453
Clerk, assault on, 444, 766
Clerks of the Bench, 382, 1577
Clerks, actions between, 719, 808, 1583
Clerks, marriage of, 1245, 1917
Clerk, process against, 143, 276, 338, 407, 576, 801, 802, 865, 1368
Cognizance, claim of, 314, 548, 577, 589, 622, 952, 1205, 1381, 1598, 1678
Coining, 1533
Common, 80, 174, 193, 223, 254, 274, 330, 336, 392, 433, 469, 547, 561, 628, 673, 709, 720, 749, 817, 818, 839, 909, 914, 962, 971, 1078, 1097, 1155, 1194, 1196, 1197, 1198, 1218, 1253, 1274, 1284, 1417, 1582, 1588, 1624, 1628, 1721, 1804, 1881, 1885, 1915, 1975
Common Pleas, 1213, 1220
Common, tenants in, 1939, 1947
Condition, 172, 270, 964, 1200, 1607, 1965
Conditional gifts, see Fee conditional.
Confession of villeinage, 1411
Confirmation, 199, 250, 467, 660, 1258, 1274, 1555
Conquest, Norman, title from pleaded, 906, 1237
Conquest, Norman, as period of prescription, 34, 223, 274, 392, 422, 582, 628, 818, 835, 843, 909, 971, 1271, 1272, 1624
Conspiracy, 479
Contempt of Court, 464
Contract confirmed by oath, 1671, 1893
Contract, how proved, 68, 86, 177, 287, 645, 663, 732, 830, 890, 897, 1129, 1358, 1543, 1549, 1846, 1935
Contract, principles of, 18, 50, 167, 482, 581, 613, 653, 749, 792, 804,

The numbers refer to the cases not to the pages.

873, 890, 937, 1129, 1223, 1378, 1426, 1526, 1656, 1806, 1846
Contribution, 447
Cornage, 1270, 1715
Cornwall, county of, claim to hold in fee, 1512, 1666
Coroner, 138, 514, 842, 1016, 1019, 1623
Corruption of blood, 862
Costs, 439, 544, 1430
Council, common of the realm, 1171, 1215, 1217, 1273
Council, king's, 12, 67, 73, 81, 110, 167, 354, 741, 743, 857, 1108, 1117, 1133, 1172, 1189, 1190, 1220, 1221, 1235, 1273, 1306, 1309, 1396, 1585, 1593, 1639
Count countant, 1383
County, see Court, County.
Court Baron, 2, 26, 39, 277, 384, 531, 773, 824, 1030, 1115, 1297, 1436, 1616, 1619, 1681, 1767, 1847, 1916
Court, County, 40, 67, 136, 168, 185, 191, 212, 234, 243, 445, 497, 513, 554, 585, 911, 955, 1019, 1077, 1130, 1146, 1189, 1269, 1360, 1383, 1406, 1412, 1436, 1471, 1672, 1681, 1711, 1730, 1766, 1776
Court, Hundred, 78, 197, 242, 249, 445, 700, 775, 842, 1110, 1115, 1159, 1187, 1711, 1713, 1730
Coverture, see Husband and Wife.
Crops, bequeathed, 221, 847, 1409
Crops, distrained, 1207
Crown, see King.
Crown, descent of, pleaded, 199
Crusaders, mortgages by, 183, 1770
Crusaders, privileges of, 59, 942, 1377
Curia claudenda, 1661
Curtesy, 266, 291, 319, 413, 487, 566, 779, 917, 1071, 1182, 1425, 1686, 1771, 1774, 1801, 1921
Customary course of agriculture, 1662
Customary tenants, 995
Customs, local, 9, 11, 233, 263, 278, 282, 294, 589, 623, 666, 779, 834, 951, 988, 990, 1023, 1338, 1436, 1441, 1473, 1644, 1662, 1888, 1889, 1899, 1902, 1981

Damage essential to nuisance, 494
Damage feasant, 1680
Damages, 165, 285, 318, 348, 352, 390, 401, 477, 506, 781, 917, 1104, 1129, 1145, 1187, 1281, 1283, 1423, 1512, 1867, 1896
Deaf-mute, 413
Dean and Chapter, 866
Death-bed gift, 104, 109, 142, 144, 211, 1187, 1818, 1850, 1865, 1866, 1876, 1971
Debt, 152, 162, 325, 381, 568, 756, 810, 1361, 1543, 1574, 1610, 1693
Debts cannot be bequeathed, 550, 684, 810
Debts, payment of, out of lands, 1554
Deceit, 10, 107, 168, 208, 296, 500, 645, 1173, 1184, 1629, 1690, 1747, 1924, 1931, 1946
Deed, see Seal *and* Writing.
Deed, enrolled, 1171
Deed, lost, 323
Defamation, 629
Demesne, 370, 379, 671, 704, 836, 838, 841, 1025, 1436, 1465, 1717, 1790
Departure in pleading, 3, 86, 105, 152, 471, 851, 921, 1627
Descent, Canons of
- Whole and half blood, 32, 44, 797, 833, 834, 855, 955, 1128
- Representation of dead parents, 90, 230, 892, 968, 982, 1185, 1830
- Nephew and uncle of dead man, 1297
- Gavelkind rule, 154, 499, 703, 704, 795, 959, 1009, 1023, 1048, 1074, 1565, 1663, 1770
- Borough-English rule, 794, 1005, 1062
- Other customary rules, 951, 988
- Possessio fratris, 855, 1128

The numbers refer to the cases not to the pages.

Descent, Canons of
Lord and heir, 61, 564, 637, 774, 869, 949, 1105, 1244, 1694, 1857
Devise, 11, 79, 867, 1206, 1906
Diligence in litigation, 883
Disavowal of tenure, 1063, 1277, 1687
Disclaimer of seignory, 563, 674, 838
Discretion of the Court (consilium curiae), 273, 785, 786, 900, 940, 1376
Disseisin, what, 338, 371, 469, 781, 856, 1021, 1032, 1199, 1204, 1205, 1208, 1239, 1242, 1246, 1257, 1261, 1297, 1794, 1840, 1864, 1891, 1904, 1925, 1965
Disseisin by justices, 1445
Disseisin ox, 277
Disseisor, who, 367, 617, 854, 1191, 1243, 1258, 1890, 1897
Disseisor disseised, 360, 1731, 1801
Distress, 2, 78, 202, 270, 273, 333, 390, 477, 513, 1049, 1199, 1207, 1623
Distress, power of, 126, 439
Distress, sale of, 1623
Divorce, 341, 550, 574, 690, 830, 931, 1027, 1565
Dower, generally, 4, 18, 96, 148, 150, 191, 204, 279, 345, 365, 377, 571, 632, 749, 794, 814, 878, 891, 941, 944, 953, 965, 970, 1007, 1008, 1026, 1083, 1098, 1193, 1246, 1334, 1390, 1413, 1525, 1589, 1824, 1919, 1963
Dower of after acquired lands, 970, 977, 1531
Dower ex assensu, 4, 91, 220, 265, 502, 518, 721, 737, 972, 1335
Dower, excessive, 1355
Dower of a moiety, 7, 124, 253, 459, 475, 500, 577, 591, 622, 623, 642, 721, 758, 767, 1080, 1668, 1843
Dower of the whole, 1902
Dower in gavelkind, 9, 1338, 1644
Dower of advowson, 109, 261, 380, 1592
Dower of wardships etc., 695
Dower forfeited, 1873
Dower, appraisement of, 148, 203, 632
Dower out of dower, 1008, 1017
Dower, lands of church, 547, 841
Doweress, fine of, 1516
Duress, 182, 200, 229, 243, 342, 750, 1126, 1643, 1913
Durham, palatinate of, 1096, 1213

Earl, third penny of county, 633, 1036
Earl, how enfeoffed of county, 1036
Easement, 720, 843
Eels, rent reserved in, 624
Election between actions, 347, 1233
Emblements, 1193, and see Botes.
Enfranchisement of land, 1245, 1771
Enfranchisement of villein, 343, 1749, 1814
English language, 1582, 1644
Escheat, 402, 422, 462, 487, 503, 519, 566, 597, 750, 840, 862, 1175, 1184, 1220, 1275, 1334, 1510, 1644, 1794
Esplees, 780, 1256, 1816, 1865, 1866
Esplees of a church, 1928
Estates, limitation of, 77, 86, 151, 250, 331, 375, 429, 487, 631, 778, 779, 855, 948, 968, 1074, 1851, 1912, 1921, 1960
Estoppel, 74, 243, 291, 307, 316, 350, 394, 409, 460, 669, 680, 704, 747, 829, 861, 1011, 1181, 1195, 1235, 1273, 1436, 1620, 1667
Estray, 1115
Evidence pleaded (et hoc bene patet quia), 612, 669, 679, 959, 979, 1011, 1224, 1565, 1616, 1663
Eyre, justices in, 20, 21, 67, 92, 201, 281, 1069, 1280, 1412, 1413, 1620, 1681
Eyre, summons of, 1856
Examination of secta, 424, 1115, 1693
Exchange, 169, 528, 633, 634, 780, 852, 1214, 1268, 1616, 1845
Exchequer, 33, 503, 519, 1095, 1554, 1665
Exchequer, barons of, 25, 1554, 1665

The numbers refer to the cases not to the pages.

Excommunication, 48, 444, 544, 552, 646, 670, 766, 817, 1143, 1361, 1388, 1403, 1680
Executor, 52, 162, 381, 412, 550, 559, 756, 810, 1409, 1441
Exhumation of dead body, 514

False imprisonment, 465
False oath, 500, 917, 1209, 1510, 1895
False suggestion, 1184
Fama patriae, 705, 857
'Father to the bough,' 1644
Fealty, 441, 524, 924, 1205
Fee conditional, 77, 86, 105, 151, 250, 331, 375, 429, 487, 566, 631, 948, 968, 1124, 1200, 1244, 1260, 1569, 1851, 1921, 1960
'Fee' implies inheritance, 411
Felony, 1184, 1275, 1334, 1599, 1644, 1687
Feme covert, see Husband and Wife.
Feoffment, 45, 144, 224, 250, 323, 375, 754, 825, 870, 1169, 1258, 1505, 1843, 1872. See Seisin.
Feoffment of lord by tenant, 354
Feoffment to hold of chief lord, 627, 779, 947, 984, 1616, 1924
Fidei laesio, 50, 351, 670, 683, 1361, 1464, 1671, 1893
Fieri facias, 352, 506, 613, 732, 837, 900, 925, 1187
Finality of proceedings, 374, 384, 498, 583, 1235
Fine (as means of conveyance), 125, 127, 189, 254, 403, 446, 448, 546, 627, 672, 715, 778, 948, 1095, 1223, 1589
Fine and non-claim, 18, 93, 123, 147, 302, 316, 403, 566, 716, 831, 853, 991, 1011, 1427, 1558, 1618, 1621, 1779, 1800, 1821
Fine by disseisor, 1012
Fine by infant, 171, 183, 189, 200, 1884
Fine by married woman, 419, 566, 1171, 1650, 1690
Fine made in county court, 147, 168, 180, 316
Fine made in court baron, 26, 992, 1223, 1616, 1619
Fine, fraudulent, 107, 168, 584, 976, 1186, 1589, 1629, 1706, 1747
Finis duelli, 147, 168, 316, 363, 815, 851, 1035, 1619
Firma Burgi, 1640
Fishery, 616, 666, 700, 835, 839, 1255, 1915
Flemings, lands of, 1220
Flight, forfeiture for, 1216
Forest, 80, 254, 440
Forester, appointed to prevent waste, 56, 672
Forfeiture, 67, 107, 398, 401, 1173, 1216, 1644
Forgery, 102, 187, 222, 412, 934, 1105, 1847
'Fork and flail,' tenure by, 1419
Fornication punished, 753, 1179
Franchise
  Bailiff of, 20, 28, 435, 1218, 1406, 1436, 1443
  Courts, 277, 513, 592, 655, 1154, 1406, 1436, 1551, 1598, 1676, 1716
  Return of writs, 20, 38, 277, 435, 520, 722, 1218, 1436, 1443
  Toll, 16, 145, 1188, 1250, 1720
Frankalmoign, 21, 594, 660, 755, 758, 808, 1079, 1143, 1248, 1430
Frankmarriage, see Marriage portion.
Frankpledge, 242, 513, 655, 1110, 1214, 1431, 1723, 1752
Fraud, see Deceit.
Fraudulent recovery, 1544, 1793, 1946
Freebench, 1338, 1774
Freehold, what is, 281, 1124, 1210, 1768, 1979
French language, 1292

Gallows, private, 1114, 1651
Gaol delivery, 430, 472, 473, 474, 1716
Gavelkind, 9, 499, 666, 1644, 1769, 1770, 1779
Gift, see Feoffment.

The numbers refer to the cases not to the pages.

Gloves, rent reserved of, 778
Goitre, 354
Grant, see Feoffment.
Guardian, action by ward against, 485, 1056, 1075, 1840
Guardian forfeits seignory, 1840
Guardian forfeits wardship, 1743, 1746, 1840
Guardian representing ward, 437, 480, 571, 845, 968, 1083, 1366, 1367, 1442, 1712, 1783, 1820, 1958
Guardian, waste by, 485, 739, 1056, 1075, 1144, 1165, 1201, 1743, 1746

Hearsay, 663, 723
Heir, see Descent.
Heir, liability for debts, 52, 550, 756, 1543, 1693, 1969
  liability for damages, 165
  sues for debts, 325, 810
  disinherited, 1054
'Heirs,' mention of, 964, 968, 1235, 1811
Herrings, rent reserved in, 1076
High-way, 1907
Homage, 41, 53, 168, 196, 428, 441, 600, 654, 671, 747, 1100, 1944
Homicide, 196, 355, 462, 490, 514, 918, 1137, 1216, 1460, 1473, 1474, 1548, 1600, 1711, 1878, 1908
'Horn under horn,' 818
Hors de son fee, 1049
Hotchpot, 934
Hue and cry, 662, 1474, 1697, 1711
Hundred, see Court, Hundred.
Hundred in private hands, 78, 141, 157, 197, 242, 463, 513, 531, 655, 775, 821, 842, 1110, 1115, 1279, 1614, 1713, 1716
Hundred Penny, 141, 242, 531
Husband and wife
  Gifts between, 224, 659, 777, 1107, 1851, 1888, 1960
  Wife's disabilities, 294, 419, 561, 574, 611, 800, 1004, 1171, 1340, 1361, 1379, 1427, 1650, 1685, 1798, 1916
  Wife's freehold, 146, 233, 290, 294, 317, 419, 566, 629, 679, 1089, 1097, 1171, 1355, 1477, 1688, 1704, 1729, 1754, 1755, 1771, 1929, 1981
  Husband vouches wife, 124, 1302, 1466, 1508, 1510
  Will of wife, 550
  Seal of wife, 939, 1171
  Wife as husband's attorney, 342, 1361, 1507
  Wife's contracts and debts, 568, 830
  Wife's crimes and torts, 1138, 1755, 1890
  Waste by husband, 574, 1617
  Wife is 'sub virga', 1685

Ignorance as excuse, 496
Impossible condition, 172
Imprisonment, summary, 10, 17, 79, 174, 187, 208, 256, 286, 312, 342, 351, 384, 496, 498, 566, 583, 584, 586, 588, 788, 933, 980, 1052, 1105, 1187, 1443, 1633, 1946
Improvements, allowance for, 365, 574, 878, 917, 1145, 1281, 1896
Infangthief, 821, 1651
Infant, condition of, 42, 46, 100, 142, 171, 183, 189, 191, 205, 250, 319, 406, 436, 446, 566, 716, 998, 1098, 1176, 1226, 1235, 1267, 1379, 1477, 1621, 1780, 1799, 1800, 1820, 1821, 1828, 1848, 1884, 1909, 1912, 1948, 1956
Infant, how enfeoffed, 754
Infant, parol demurring for non-age of, 30, 43, 159, 164, 200, 335, 421, 440, 503, 523, 1287, 1382, 1506, 1543, 1722, 1775, 1783, 1827, 1840, 1898, 1943, 1951, 1958
Inheritance, see Descent.
Inquest by sheriff directed, 83, 130, 327, 359, 388, 414, 443, 475, 478, 497, 580, 632, 640, 718, 795, 973, 1135, 1510
Inquest, irregular, 585, 1173
Interdict, 351

The numbers refer to the cases not to the pages.

Intrusion, 30, 43, 140, 566, 697, 774, 813, 1174, 1794, 1904
Inundation, 557
Italian clergy, 1108

Jeopardy, 327, 389, 391, 456, 475, 595, 1055
Jews, 169, 301, 581, 918, 1140, 1179, 1376, 1445, 1554, 1825
Jews, Exchequer of, 169, 1445
Jews, justices of, 581, 1445, 1825
Jews, trial of, 918
Judicium parium, 857, 1213
Jurisdiction in private hands, 277, 391, 511, 513, 592, 655, 821, 870, 1110, 1114, 1127, 1154, 1512, 1598, 1651, 1716
Jurors,
  unanimity of, 376, 524
  ignorance of, 21, 34, 306, 524, 771, 887, 1163, 1207, 1653, 1762
  too poor, 6, 883, 1279, 1322
  removed, 278, 798, 806, 883
  coerced by justices, 285
  questioned, 306, 316, 524, 530, 758, 887, 960, 978, 1189, 1197, 1209, 1251, 1685
Jury, noteworthy cases, 67, 116, 134, 771, 798, 887, 1187, 1189, 1226, 1294, 1929, 1963
Jury with deed-witnesses, 51, 188, 205, 220, 222, 250, 269, 286, 332, 412, 495, 634, 825, 1189, 1831, 1891, 1896, 1929
Jury with transaction-witnesses, 91, 154, 631, 1603, 1707
Jury with kinsfolk, 1041, 1167
Jury, payment for, 86, 90, 102, 134, 145, 180, 230, 233, 241, 316, 363, 393, 554, 567, 641, 685, 786, 809, 893, 895, 1226, 1436, 1548, 1558, 1694, 1929, 1935
Jury refused, 123, 136, 489, 660, 918, 959, 1539, 1723
Jury of matrons, 198
Jury of nine, 373, 1392
Jury of thirty-six, 1294
Jury of forty, 1279
Jury in franchise court, 511, 592
Justice, sale of, 1106
Justices amerced, 67, 530, 564
Justices as arbitrators, 983
Justices, illegal acts of, 1445
Justiciar, the, 66, 127, 214, 262, 354, 376, 403, 530, 563, 750, 778, 786, 885, 956, 1221, 1334, 1546, 1593

Kent, customs of, 1338, 1644
King as fountain of justice, 503, 743, 857, 895, 1063, 1084, 1106, 1182, 1500, 1639, 1717
King, consulted by judges, 262, 1154, 1163, 1236, 1766
King, disseisin by, 76, 401, 769, 857, 1059, 1106, 1111, 1133, 1136, 1593
King, as warrantor, 164, 254, 272, 393, 750, 1036, 1108, 1136, 1141, 1153, 1162, 1183, 1306, 1365
King, actions by, 187, 199, 241, 433, 440, 519, 578, 582, 628, 750, 785, 862, 908, 929, 930, 1111, 1113, 1114, 1124, 1219, 1220, 1224, 1234, 1250, 1267, 1274, 1300, 1364, 1545, 1731
King, proceedings against, 391, 565, 857, 1106, 1108, 1136, 1141, 1153, 1221, 1235
King, charters of, 226, 239, 272, 750, 857, 1111, 1236, 1274, 1429, 1639, 1688
King, pleas which follow, 258, 268, 339, 393, 741, 743, 986, 1106, 1166, 1176, 1183, 1189, 1190, 1201, 1213, 1220, 1227, 1228, 1264, 1551
Knight service, 33, 202, 288, 361, 758, 1226, 1493
Knights, recognitors should be, 585, 1052, 1322
Knight's fee, 227, 772, 1182, 1612

Laches, 550, 1643, 1681, 1697, 1918
Lapse, presentation on, 187, 205, 308, 357, 438, 515, 883, 1238, 1389, 1570, 1697
Larceny, 67, 1138, 1159, 1180, 1435,

The numbers refer to the cases not to the pages.

1456, 1461, 1539, 1692, 1723, 1725, 1763
Law, wager of, 7, 143, 184, 195, 325, 390, 396, 477, 531, 537, 580, 629, 645, 700, 731, 741, 742, 763, 770, 786, 788, 799, 811, 812, 874, 875, 897, 936, 1079, 1097, 1101, 1125, 1151, 1404, 1436, 1457, 1467, 1543, 1549, 1566, 1574, 1579
Lawdays, 197, 1587, 1606, 1641, 1647
Leap year, 1291
Legacy, 162, 381, 684, 756, 847, 1441
Legate, papal, 412, 1219, 1235, 1238, 1273, 1388
Legate, Gualo, custos regni, 1219
Legislative acts, 1117, 1215, 1217
Lent, no battle in, 1675
Lent, no oaths in, 387
Leper, incapacity of, 807, 841, 1648
Liability for acts of others, 779, 781, 969, 1199, 1243, 1745
Liege poustie, 255, 495, 671, 1148, 1277, 1289, 1572
Life, tenant for, alienation by, 540, 828
Life, tenant for, waste by, 443, 540
Limitation of actions, 186, 234, 280, 340, 428, 429, 499, 550, 617, 700, 884, 1688, 1877, 1889
Limitations, a Statute of, 1217
Litigation, protracted, 302, 566, 960, 991, 1424, 1486
Litigation more speedy in Court Christian, 351
Lord and Heir, see Descent.
Lord representing tenants, 666, 1582
Lunatic, see Non compos mentis.
Lunatic, homicide by, 1878, 1908

Magnates, the, 277, 354, 857, 1108, 1117, 1189, 1217, 1227, 1235, 1273, 1396, 1593, 1730
Mainour, 136, 138, 821, 1461, 1473, 1474, 1539
Malicia, 687
Manor and member, 1669
Manor, parcel of, 695, 1064, 1078, 1449
Market, 494, 578, 786, 826, 1037, 1162, 1282, 1720
Maritagium, see Marriage portion.
Marriage, validity of, 291, 324, 570, 642, 944, 953, 1142, 1176, 1267, 1895
Marriage on death-bed gives no dower, 1669, 1718, 1875, 1895
Marriage of infants, 349, 696, 965, 1098, 1267
Marriage, lord's right, 12, 178, 270, 696, 776, 850, 965, 1090, 1098, 1112, 1131, 1267, 1278, 1280, 1283, 1286, 1596
Marriage portion (maritagium), 61, 86, 105, 207, 241, 295, 315, 361, 487, 550, 566, 629, 664, 751, 752, 778, 779, 811, 855, 948, 1008, 1018, 1071, 1685, 1912, 1921, 1958, 1960, 1981
Marriage settlement, 151, 442, 646, 683, 1107, 1392, 1683, 1960
Marriage, breach of promise of, 570, 659
Marriage, promise in consideration of, 646, 683
Marriage, suit to establish, 1677
Marshall, Earl, palatine rights of, 1213
Mayhem, 346
Measure, customary of land, 778
Merchet, 63, 70, 88, 281, 395, 465, 753, 794, 895, 916, 1005, 1030, 1041, 1062, 1103, 1210, 1225, 1230, 1374, 1661, 1812
Merton, Statute of, 1117, 1217, 1409, 1881, 1975
Mesne process, 526, 527, 796, 801, 802, 900, 925, 1232, 1286, 1296, 1308, 1324, 1370, 1376, 1384, 1398, 1407, 1408, 1420, 1421, 1422, 1446
Mesne tenure, 158, 202, 209, 273, 275, 333, 362, 390, 506, 546, 563, 624, 657, 660, 674, 698, 837, 849, 1043, 1146, 1184, 1207, 1211, 1401, 1622, 1652, 1687
Metayer tenure, 1471, 1735

The numbers refer to the cases not to the pages.

Mill, suit to, 161, 479, 482, 504, 700, 895, 1979
Mortgage, 50, 169, 186, 301, 370, 458, 559, 581, 612, 641, 889, 901, 1140, 1441, 1445, 1464, 1736, 1770, 1855, 1971
Mortmain, 1248
Municipal bodies and franchises, 16, 145, 278, 294, 314, 351, 489, 520, 577, 589, 622, 952, 1123, 1188, 1205, 1228, 1393, 1421, 1429, 1441, 1640
Murder fine, 1713
Mutilation, as punishment, 1651, 1725

New Trial, 63
Next friend of infant, 717, 1056, 1743, 1840
Nisi prius, 534, 537, 538, 541, 694, 721, 760, 1583, 1618
Non compos mentis, 104, 211, 255, 354, 635, 716, 825, 929, 1102, 1189, 1262, 1878, 1908
Non est factum, 1, 51, 90, 102, 188, 237, 671, 675, 849, 1659
Non obstante, 1388
Normandy, loss of, 503, 565, 1172
Normandy, recovery of, 1172
Normans, lands of, 97, 503, 519, 565, 750
Nuisance, 469, 494, 578, 701, 786, 1037, 1081, 1162, 1253, 1255, 1282, 1953

Oath, testimony of jurors without, 870, 1287, 1397, 1774, 1787, 1955, 1963, 1977
Oath by attorney on principal's soul, 1124, 1404
Odium et atia, 134, 1548
Official, Archdeacon's, 544, 766, 1416
Official, Bishop's, 647, 722, 766
Onerous tenure, 1758, 1762
Ordeal, 592
Ousting the jurisdiction, 679, 808
Outlawry, 82, 85, 135, 346, 422, 483, 840, 857, 943, 1132, 1154, 1232, 1266, 1548, 1798, 1822

Palatinate, see Chester and Durham.
Palatine, partition of a county, 1127, 1213, 1227, 1273
Pannage, 274, 963, 998, 1230, 1561, 1661
Paralysis, 635, 932
Parceners, 441, 508, 521, 579, 603, 639, 648, 676, 800, 924, 934, 1040, 1134, 1227, 1437, 1571, 1584, 1708, 1748, 1757, 1782, 1919
Parceners and lord, 677, 869, 1045, 1053, 1273, 1279, 1596, 1765
Pardon, prerogative of, 840, 1216
Parol, nude (simplex dictum), 79, 260, 261, 425, 451, 494, 499, 507, 528, 555, 575, 600, 613, 660, 816, 851, 890, 1065, 1068, 1129, 1358, 1565, 1672, 1863, 1868, 1936
Parson, alienation by, 1033
Parson as corporation sole, 610, 841, 887, 1627, 1826
Parsons, hereditary succession of, 887
Partible inheritance, 703, 704, 959, 1009, 1023, 1074, 1252, 1565, 1663, 1769, 1779, 1933
Peers, judgment of, 857
Pensions payable from churches, 305, 306, 310, 350, 357, 366, 453, 515, 636
Pepper, rent reserved in, 317, 446, 594, 1000
Perambulation, 249, 254, 907, 1155, 1197, 1582, 1871
Peter's pence, 1661
Petty serjeanty, 743, 758
Pleader, 131, 298, 1106
Ploughing as evidence of seisin, 870, 1749, 1792, 1794, 1797, 1922
Ploughshares, rent in, 1767
Pledges for various purposes, 325, 384, 490, 506, 770, 779, 792, 875, 1075, 1308, 1637
Pledges as bail, 256, 1600
Pledges to prosecute, 214, 274, 542, 790, 798, 1637, 1802
Pledges for amercements, 215, 777, 783

The numbers refer to the cases not to the pages.

Pope, charters of, 305
Pope, writ from, 62, 490, 536, 1387, 1388, 1928
'Possessio', 187, 240, 356, 427, 474, 1354, 1684
Possession, theory of, 1290
Possessorium, 536, 1388
Possessory and proprietary actions, 34, 37, 39, 240, 416, 530, 564, 998, 1122, 1184, 1195, 1203, 1206, 1238, 1274, 1387, 1452, 1470, 1560, 1582, 1762, 1804, 1828
Posthumous heir, 137, 1013, 1746, 1816
Praecipe, breve quod vocatur, 1215
Prebend, 114, 171, 357, 1555
Precarious exercise of right, 685, 843
Precedent, 834, 1227, 1273
Pre-emption, right of, 543
Pregnancy, 128, 137, 198, 1503, 1605
Prerogative, royal, 12, 226, 239, 840, 895, 907, 908, 1221, 1278, 1294, 1500, 1665, 1705; see also King.
Prescription, 223, 274, 392, 628, 818, 835, 843, 909, 971, 1271, 1272, 1624
Priority of feoffment, 661, 868, 906, 1698
Prison, guardian of, 1315
Prison, private, 229, 277, 773, 821, 1716
Privilege of not being impleaded in any court but the king's, 911
Production of deeds ordered, 229, 237, 747, 997
Prohibition of sale, 1920
Proof of obvious facts, 194
Proof of facts, instances of, 227, 243, 256, 291, 303, 455, 586, 588, 595, 727, 824, 1224, 1226, 1362, 1539, 1659, 1680, 1690
Proof, burden of, 460, 507, 528, 613, 660, 663, 761, 782, 826, 851, 890, 1068, 1713, 1735, 1758
Protection, 530, 1500
Public advantage, plea of, 1785
Purpresture, 1032, 1081
Questions asked of parties and others by the Court, 234, 296, 303, 349, 478, 550, 558, 734, 797, 814, 851, 902, 955, 1004, 1139, 1149, 1240, 1620, 1627, 1661
Quit-claim, 386, 634, 653, 845, 902, 1102, 1758, 1929
Quousque, seizure, 291, 363, 394, 1149, 1554

Ratification, 317, 1243
Ready to perform contract, plea of, 668
Record, court of, 471
Record of county court, written, 243
Record, trial by, 70, 74, 88, 307, 384, 519, 583
Recreancy, 511, 592, 1460
Re-entry, for default of service, 2, 348, 370, 792, 1767
Reeve, 989, 1005, 1230
Regent, William Marshall, 10, 1306, 1309
Relief, feudal, 506, 546, 758, 1053
Religion (monastic profession), 31, 104, 455, 931, 1057, 1139, 1189, 1572, 1586, 1594, 1608
Religious Houses—change of head, 415, 448, 654, 981, 1356, 1395, 1523, 1714
Remainder, 86, 429
Rent, 236, 338, 410, 470, 583, 779, 946, 1205, 1239, 1259
Repairs, liability of tenant, 485
Responsalis, 118, 120, 651, 667, 764, 844, 1575, 1642
Reversal of judgment, 374, 1166, 1445
Reversion, 487, 779, 948, 1085, 1186, 1706
Revocation of judgment, 397
Rolls of court, 70, 149, 583, 829, 1224, 1411, 1455
Rolls of Exchequer, 503, 519
Roman Law, 1227, 1290
Runnymede, charter of, 513

The numbers refer to the cases not to the pages.

Sacrilege, 48, 130, 755, 1585, 1595
Sale, 313, 645, 873, 1358, 1671, 1832
Sanctuary, 1137, 1180
Satisfaction, 66, 83, 749
Scot and lot, 1640
Scot-ales, 666
Scotland, king of, 1221
Scutage, 190, 202, 288, 333, 361, 390, 447, 664, 727, 789, 795, 1049, 1214, 1226, 1674, 1687
Seals,
  unsealed document, 1095, 1846
  collation of, 1, 51, 102, 234, 237, 671, 744, 849, 1659, 1831
  fraudulent use of, 182, 211, 250, 255, 336, 1000, 1189, 1847, 1921
  forged, 187, 584, 934, 1105
Seignory, disclaimer of, 563, 674
Seignory, grant of, 236
Security against waste, 1144, 1165
Seisin generally, 219, 422, 428, 524, 780, 871, 985, 998, 1033, 1104, 1133, 1149, 1240, 1241, 1247, 1256, 1258, 1294, 1470, 1560, 1715, 1731, 1792, 1816, 1850, 1910, 1911, 1922, 1940, 1941, 1959, 1965, 1975, 1976, 1978
Seisin, colourable, 1209, 1243, 1838
Seisin as of fee, 151, 889, 1975
Seisin of advowson, 34, 39, 49, 75, 114, 182, 260, 426, 488, 644, 813, 1035, 1064, 1070, 1072, 1219, 1275, 1452, 1578, 1607, 1684, 1685, 1686, 1760
Seisin of chattels, 67, 136, 194, 824, 1138, 1474, 1539, 1597
Seisin of common, 1208
Seisin of franchise, 16, 145, 391, 821, 842, 1123, 1651, 1716, 1720
Seisin of services, 608, 747, 753, 860, 895, 1076, 1205, 1687, 1738, 1966
Seisin, by husband of wife, by wife of husband, 642, 1142, 1597, 1703
Seisin, livery of, 45, 144, 375, 428, 524, 755, 777, 947, 1147, 1209, 1258, 1838, 1865, 1922
Self defence, 1084, 1216
Serjeanty, 283, 401, 743, 862, 915, 1183, 1231, 1270, 1280, 1665, 1670
Service, default of, 2, 270, 348, 370, 477, 792, 1767
Service, forinsec, 236, 275, 288, 361, 660, 664, 698, 703, 978, 1076, 1631
Service, liability for arrears incurred in time of former tenant, 477
Services, noteworthy, 70, 524, 608, 753, 758, 879, 895, 916, 960, 1005, 1041, 1062, 1103, 1230, 1419, 1661, 1715, 1819
Set-off, 572
Sewers, duty of maintaining, 263, 1785
Sheep-gavel, 753
Sheriff, action against, 1269, 1623
Sheriff, aid of, 417
Sheriff, duties and liabilities of, 10, 40, 256, 408, 465, 468, 513, 530, 705, 775, 802, 1016, 1110, 1175, 1623, 1730
Sheriff, hereditary, 1235, 1512
Simple seisin, 348, 779, 1149, 1290
Socage, full age in, 1847
Socage, guardian in, 643, 752, 758, 908, 1109, 1683
Socage, holden in capite, 1109
Socage tenure, 30, 33, 43, 282, 288, 623, 643, 703, 752, 758, 795, 990, 1076, 1231, 1848
Sokemanni, 1338
Spiritual discipline, 766
Spoliation, action for in Court Christian, 626, 755, 817, 877, 910, 1143
Spurs, reserved as rent, 458, 1546
Stallage, 666, 1720
Statu liber, 1837, 1894, 1934
Statutes, read in court, 842
Stolen goods, 67, 588, 824, 1138, 1461
Stolen goods, search for, 1539
Street-gavel, 666
Subinfeudation, effects of, 158, 275, 333, 362, 390, 657, 838, 1043, 1146
Subinfeudation, elaborate, 126, 288, 627, 657, 698, 849, 1374
Suicide, 1114

The numbers refer to the cases not to the pages.

Suit (witnesses), 47, 57, 68, 102, 115, 116, 123, 177, 194, 197, 204, 233, 247, 265, 279, 293, 313, 325, 345, 356, 374, 377, 385, 396, 424, 451, 457, 479, 494, 499, 518, 545, 555, 574, 588, 600, 613, 645, 649, 663, 665, 739, 758, 761, 762, 768, 773, 790, 882, 890, 897, 898, 910, 941, 946, 953, 1002, 1065, 1102, 1115, 1129, 1131, 1146, 1307, 1311, 1390, 1433, 1586, 1595, 1603, 1604, 1693, 1713, 1848, 1863, 1867, 1868, 1894, 1919, 1936

Suit of Court, 78, 141, 157, 197, 242, 275, 463, 531, 655, 677, 727, 728, 837, 842, 1214, 1230, 1279, 1567, 1614, 1713

Summerhouse, obligation to build, 1661

Sunday, Court sits on, 242, 248, 261

Supposititious child, 247, 303, 1229, 1761

Sureties, 1543, 1574, 1641, 1671, 1969

Swinkage, 1034, 1245, 1554, 1669, 1816

Tallage, 784, 792, 794, 895, 1005, 1041, 1062, 1167, 1210, 1225, 1230

Tally, 177, 325, 568, 645, 830, 897, 1693

Taxation, local, 263, 666, 1640

Tenure, change of, 1663

Term of years, assignment of, 804

Term of years, recovery of, 1739

Term of years, tenant for, 106, 269, 395, 607, 638, 641, 658, 767, 783, 847, 970, 1140, 1290, 1304, 1371, 1768

Third heir in coparcenery, 441, 1045

Third heir in frankmarriage, 207, 241, 295, 664, 811

Third party intervenes, 5, 6, 23, 483, 525, 558, 642, 750, 797, 798, 807, 815, 821, 1004, 1072, 1126, 1238, 1781, 1813

Third party summoned, 229, 236, 237, 253, 256, 273, 581, 586, 638, 687, 713, 727, 747, 748, 773, 812, 967, 1034, 1045, 1249, 1300, 1479, 1813

Third party puts in claim, 250, 251, 688

Third penny of county, 633

Time made essence of the issue, 685, 950, 1558

Tithes, 210, 293, 453, 536, 693, 725, 799, 874, 877, 918, 1561, 1671, 1680

Title deeds, deposit of, 1169, 1201

Title deeds, suit for production of, 997

Toll, 16, 145, 1123, 1246, 1250, 1720

Tourn, sheriff's, 513, 773, 775, 1110

Township, common within, 561

Township, represented in County Court, 513, 1029, 1981

Townships, the four, in criminal cases, 136, 592, 824, 1474, 1517, 1600

Township, misnomer of, 1030, 1177, 1788

Township, how amerced, 1170

Transfer of mortgage, 1140

Treason, 857, 1673

Treasurer, the, 376

Trespass, 85, 378, 566, 567, 616, 839, 1121, 1232, 1520

Tumbrell, 1651

Turbary, 1194

Underwood, 632

Uncertainty in pleading, 190, 390, 481

Uses (ad opus), 641, 754, 999, 1244, 1683, 1851

Usufruct, 1290

Venue, 192, 332, 359, 375, 608, 612, 635, 641, 779, 817, 1424, 1441, 1600, 1720, 1919

Verdicts, remarkable, 144, 151, 174, 196, 375, 376, 524, 771, 824, 978, 1025, 1041, 1103, 1169, 1189

Verdicts of grand assize, 251, 262, 769, 960, 1701, 1865, 1920

The numbers refer to the cases not to the pages.

Vicarage, 72, 173, 296, 305, 310, 350, 357, 366, 515, 636, 675, 928, 1317, 1349, 1416
Villeinage, status and tenure, 31, 63, 70, 88, 225, 281, 334, 343, 466, 475, 524, 591, 652, 702, 713, 784, 794, 879, 916, 1005, 1010, 1025, 1029, 1030, 1041, 1062, 1103, 1167, 1203, 1210, 1225, 1228, 1230, 1237, 1242, 1256, 1411, 1419, 1650, 1661, 1681, 1701, 1715, 1749, 1812, 1814, 1819, 1828, 1833, 1834, 1837, 1839, 1885, 1887, 1894, 1902, 1905, 1914, 1918, 1934
Villeins, destruction of, 485, 574, 632, 691
Villein, marriage with, 702, 1010, 1139
Villeins of villeins, 1715

Waif, 1266
Wapentake, 1730
War, time of, 51, 62, 130, 251, 412, 798, 857, 1036, 1126, 1304, 1542, 1543
War of 1215-7, allusions to, 39, 48, 51, 62, 200, 798, 1057, 1163, 1303
War in 1233 between the king and barons, 857
War, sitting of courts suspended, 1492, 1493, 1495, 1496, 1527, 1528
Ward by right of ward, 708
Ward placed in a nunnery, 1608
Wardship, 33, 236, 247, 256, 266, 279, 282, 288, 349, 485, 643, 661, 681, 717, 743, 752, 758, 812, 845, 860, 868, 906, 908, 990, 1131, 1221, 1608, 1698, 1838, 1840
Ward penny, 417, 655
Warranty, principles of, 116, 164, 196, 199, 284, 290, 387, 495, 512, 535, 563, 600, 612, 633, 638, 714, 734, 804, 836, 852, 944, 945, 966, 1211, 1268, 1559, 1589, 1652, 1665, 1683, 1717, 1744, 1752, 1900, 1920, 1937
Warranty, tenement bound by, 638, 748, 1024
Waste, 27, 56, 115, 388, 443, 461, 485, 540, 574, 580, 607, 632, 640, 691, 717, 718, 880, 1057, 1075, 1165, 1201, 1304, 1371, 1617
Waste, permissive, 739
Watch and Ward, 655
Watercourse, diversion of, 701, 1254
Way, right of, 414, 843
Wergild, 1474
Widow, king's, 1278
Will, last, 52, 73, 79, 221, 381, 684, 810, 847, 867, 1206, 1409
Will, tenant at, 102, 323, 337, 656, 669, 1230, 1841
Withernam, 333
Witnesses to deeds, 1, 47, 51, 102, 114, 188, 205, 220, 222, 255, 269, 286, 332, 342, 375, 412, 634, 825, 975, 1189, 1891, 1929
Witnesses to transactions, 91, 154, 256, 447, 456, 613, 778, 973, 1115, 1603, 1707
Witnesses of death, 163, 356, 545
Witnesses before a jury, 1187
Wolves, tenure by catching, 1670
Wreck, 1271, 1272
Writ, illegal, 76
Writ, inappropriate, 55, 392, 691, 791, 823, 914, 1097, 1415, 1776
Writ, invention of new, 1215, 1930
Writ, loss of, 29, 434, 1552, 1553
Writ, necessity for, 372, 489, 609, 1298, 1313
Writing when necessary, 68, 86, 260, 287, 425, 489, 851, 890, 1224, 1268, 1565, 1693, 1735, 1843

'Year,' meaning of, 1291
Year, day and waste, 462, 597, 840, 1798
Year, to year, tenancy from, 337, 452, 656, 1080

INDEX OF PLACES[1].

The numbers refer to the cases not to the pages.

Abbedestona	Adbaston	Staf. 1082
Abefeldia		Ox. 1276
Abselegha, see Aspele		
Acholt	Occold	Suf. 255
Aclande		Suf. 1223
Acle	Akeley	Buck. 1545
Acle	Acle, Norf. (?)	Ess. 1404
Acle	Oakley	Suf. 1893
Acleya	Akeley	Buck. 1303
Aco'	Accomb (?)	York. 1887
Actona	Acton	Mid. 1564
Adelingtona		Norf. 1831
Adingtona	Addington	Northamp. 820
Agmodesham	Amersham	Buck. 8
Ailesbiria	Aylesbury	Buck. 652, 1487
Akene	Hackney	Mid. 335, 1029
Akum	Acklam (?)	York, 171
Aldebiria	Albury	Sur. 928
Aldeburgum	Aldborough	Norf. 384
Aldegate	Aldgate	Mid. 383
Aldemannestona	Aldermaston	Berk. 842
Aldestrate	Old Street	Mid. 1311
Aldewicus	Old Street	Mid. 554, 686
Aldrestuna	Alderton	War. 281
Aldungtona		Hants. 317
Aleinesmora	Allensmore	Heref. 304
Alesbiria	Aylesbury	Buck. 29
Alesfordia		War. 941
Alfinctona	Alphington	Dev. 516
Alflentona	Alpheton	Suf. 110
Almchechurche	Alvechurch	War. 1234

1 The county named is that of the marginal venue. In consulting this Index it should be remembered that the boundaries of counties have in many cases been changed. I have attempted to give in the second column the modern names when this could be done without much risk.

The numbers refer to the cases not to the pages.

Alingtona	Allington	Linc. 279
Allestorpe	Althorp	Rut. 488
Almundebiria	Alconbury	Hunt. 1134
Alnestowe	Elstow	Bed. 1608
Alre	Aller (?)	Som. 667
Aluesteyn	Allerston (?)	York. 1878
Alurestona	Alvestone	Staf. 1974
Aluringtona	Alvington	Dev. 1121
Ambresbiria	Amesbury	Wilt. 1139, 1228
Andebiria	Handborough (?)	Ox. 70
Andevere	Andover	Hants. 496
Andrintona		Northamp. 74, 898
Anecastrum	Ancaster	Linc. 1730
Anemere	Anmer	Norf. 844
Anestay		York. 1848
Anesty	Anstey	Wilt. 1401
Appelby	Apperley (?)	York. 220
Appeltona	Appleton	York. 24, 37
Ardientona		Hants. 1013
Arewe	Arrow	War. 392
Arlegha	Arley	Staf. 1233
Arnecliue	Arncliffe	York. 155
Arnhale		York. 1879
Arnhol	Arnold	Not. 1365
Artindona	Artingdon	Sur. 1171
Aselbach		Derb. 315
Askeham	Askham	York. 697
Aspele	Aspley Guise	Bed. 180, 385, 829, 1108, 1377, 1607, 1625
Assele		Dev. 290
Atford		Dors. 35
Aucrintona	Alkerton	Ox. 1157
Audeby	Alby (?)	Norf. 632
Audrintona	Alderton	Wilt. 1388
Auena	Avon	Wilt. 773
Auilers	Aveley (?)	Ess. 833
Aumbresburia	Amesbury	Wilt. 553
Aune	Abbott's Ann	Hants. 326
Aure		Som. 922
Aylefordia	Aylesford	Kent, 1306
Aylmartona	Aylmerton	Norf. 937
Ayndona	Eydon	Northamp. 72
Axstede	Ashtead	Sur. 1375
Bacheswrthe	Batchworth Heath	Herts. 1480
Baculf'		Kent, 1786
Badialtona	Bathealton	Som. 1008

The numbers refer to the cases not to the pages.

Baggeshec'	Bagshot	Sur. 10
Baggetorr'		Dev. 85
Bagingedena	Badgington	Glouc. 365
Banham	Banham	Norf. 473
Bardestaplia	Barstaple	Ess. 1279
Bartona	Barton	Camb. 1045
Bartona	Barton	Hants. 19
Barwella	Barwell	Leic. 1953
Bastlestuna	Basildon	Ess. 551
Batefordia	Battisford	Suf. 1248
Bathecumba	Batcombe	Som. 828
Baudona (corr. Bandona)	Bandon	Sur. 1284
Beann'		Kent, 1638
Becham	Beckham	Norf. 1806
Bedefeuldia	Bedfield	Norf. 1926
Bedefordia	Bedford	Bed. 307, 354, 519, 611, 664, 829, 1030, 1377, 1640, 1720
Bedel		Hants. 92
Bedingeham	Bodingham	Norf. 785, 799, 874
Bedingham	Beddingham	Sus. 643
Bedintona	Beddington	Sur. 1284
Begeleby	Bellerby	York. 1200
Beinflet	Benfleet	Ess. 892
Beintona	Bainton	York. 1339, 1458
Bekenefeldia	Beaconsfield	Buck. 1600
Belagha		York. 1444
Belestede	Belstead	Suf. 1507
Beletona	Belton	Suf. 564
Benetham	Bentham	York. 1866
Beneringtona		Sus. 44
Beningewrthe	Benniworth	Linc. 1092, 1102
Bensingtona	Bensington	Ox. 434
Bentlegha	Bentley	Suf. 1918
Bera	Bere Forest	Hants. 1689
Berchamstede	Berkhampstead	Herts. 8, 179, 608, 1406
Berdefeldia	Bardfield	Ess. 1037
Bere	Beer	Som. 1150
Berefordia	Barford	Norf. 369
Berefordia	Barforth	York. 220, 1873
Bereuic	Barwick	York. 1847
Berewe	Barrow	Sal. 1225
Bergedona	Barrowden	Rut. 1399
Bergtona	Barkston (?)	Linc. 747
Beriche		Norf. 1925
Berkinges	Barking	Ess. 758, 1694
Berlawe		Northumb. 432

The numbers refer to the cases not to the pages.

Berlay	Bierley	York. 1867
Berlega	Birley	Herts. 153, 1511
Berlesduna	Basildon (?)	Ess. 551
Bermundeseia	Bermondsey	Sur. 1118
Bernete, la	Barnet	Herts. 435
Berningeham	Barningham	Norf. 355, 1928
Bertherest		Sur. 807
Bertona	Barton	Camb. 34, 1353
Bertona	Barton	Suf. 1434
Bertona	Barton	York. 97
Besacra	Bessacre	York. 1869
Beuerlacus	Beverley	York. 145, 1083
Bewicus	Bewick	Northumb. 226
Bicham		Sur. 126
Bichamstede		Hunt. 558
Biham	Bytham	Linc. 1208, 1538
Bikebroc	Begbroke	Ox. 815
Bikehulle	Bickenhall	Som. 853
Biker'	Bicker	Suf. 28
Billebroc	Billbrook	Som. 956, 1657
Billetorpe	Bilsthorpe	Not. 1440
Billingeburgum	Billingborough	Linc. 441
Billingeheie	Billinghay	Linc. 174, 1092, 1417
Binetre	Bintry	Norf. 1076
Bingham		Kent, 1784
Biricholt	Bircholt	Kent, 1777
Birketorpe	Biscathorpe (?)	Linc. 211
Birlingeham	Burlingham	Norf. 1801
Birstaudeby	Birstall	Leic. 1026
Bissopestre	Bishopstrow	Wilts. 463, 1177
Blackam	Blackenham	Suf. 66
Blakeberke		Not. 433
Blakegraue		Worc. 1234
Blanefordia		Sur. 1447
Bledelawe	Bledlow	Ox. 274
Bleroc		Corn. 1015
Bliburgum	Blythburgh	Suf. 1639
Blithinge	Blything	Suf. 1271
Blockesham	Bloxham	Ox. 436
Blumhilla	Blymhill	Staf. 1043, 1980
Blundesduna	Blundsdon	Wilt. 789
Bockele		Kent, 169
Boclonde	Buckland	Berk. 12, 837
Bocstede	Boxted	Ess. 778
Bocstede	Boxted	Suf. 1542
Bodehale		Sur. 868
Bodekesham	Bottisham	Camb. 1582

The numbers refer to the cases not to the pages.

Bodmine	Bodmin	Corn. 213, 1539
Bokelintona	Bockleton	Worc. 1352
Bokintona		Staf. 187
Bolehurst	Bolnhurst	Bed. 980
Bolendena	Bullingdon	Ox. 513
Borstal	Borstall	Kent, 495
Bosewicus		York. 1083
Boteffordia	Bottesford	Linc. 1364
Bothorpe		Leic. 1943
Bouelegha	Bowley	Heref. 882
Boultona	Bolton	Lanc. 130
Boutona	Boughton	Kent, 743
Boytona	Boyton	Suf. 1896
Braburne	Brabourne	Kent, 102
Braddena	Bradden	Northamp. 366
Bradeford	Bradford Abbas	Dors. 927
Bradeham	Bradenham	Buck. 255
Bradeham	Bradenham	Norf. 672
Bradelega	Bradley	York. 1870
Bradelegha	Bradley	Suf. 592
Bradeshulla	Bradwell (?)	Buck. 601
Bradewater		Norf. Suf. 369
Bradewella	Bradwell	Buck. 455
Bramlegha	Bromley	Mid. 1557
Bramwicus	Kirk Bramwith (?)	York. 1193
Braundefeuldia	Bramfield	Suf. 642
Bray	Bray	Berk. 951, 988, 1400
Braybrok	Braybrooke	Northamp. 1513
Braytoft	Bratoft	Linc. 1563
Braytona		Leic. 1457
Breintoft, see Braytoft		
Brelay		York. 869.
Brembre	Bramber	Sus. 46, 1362
Bremele	Bremhill	Wilt. 773
Bremle	Bramber	Sus. 709
Brethenham	Brettenham	Norf. 809
Brethenham	Brettenham	Suf. 13
Brethoc		Corn. 965
Bridelestir		Buck. 510
Brimhurst	Bringhurst	Leic. 1457
Brimingham	Briningham	Norf. 1829
Brimtona	Brinton	Norf. 1423
Brimstona	Branstone (?)	Staf. 1975
Bringham	Briningham	Norf. 637
Brinkele	Brinkley	Camb. 663
Brinton	Brington	Northamp. 42
Brisele	Brisley	Norf. 993

The numbers refer to the cases not to the pages.

Bristollium	Bristol	Glouc. 1179
Briwerne		Glouc. 969
Broc		Dev. 1575, 1642
Brocbergum		Bed. 1182
Broctona	Broughton	Ox. 772
Broctona	Broughton Hackett	Worc. 680
Brocwde	Brookwood	Sur. 1129
Brohurst		Sus. 774
Broken'		Camb. 236
Bromfeldia		Northamp. 1033
Bromlegha	Bramley	Sur. 553, 679
Bromwicus	Bromwich	Staf. 388, 1024, 1094
Brumlegha	Bromley	Ess. 682
Brumlegha	Bramley	Sur. 1118
Brunesthorpe	Bruntingthorpe	Leic. 1962
Brunwardestuna	Brownstone	Dev. 624
Buggeslawe		Suf. 1903
Bukeham	Buckenham	Norf. 839
Bukerelle	Buckerell	Dev. 1148
Bukesworthe	Boxworth	Camb. 1620
Bukeswrthe	Buckworth	Hunt. 1658
Buleha	Boulney	Ox. 68
Bulewicus	Bulwick	Northamp. 96
Bulkintona	Bulkington	Leic. 132
Buningtona	Bonnington	Kent, 263
Burcota	Burcot	Buck. 1293
Burendishe	Brundish	Suf. 1247
Burgesse	Burgess Hill	Sus. 1010
Burgum	Borrough Green (?)	Camb. 623
Burgum	Burgh	Norf. 456, 1842
Burgum	Peterborough	Northamp. 888
Burgum	Burgh	Suf. 210
Burgum		York. 1497
Buringeham	Burringham	Linc. 783
Burlega		War. 1
Burn'		Suf. 846
Burnham		Norf. 1836
Burrethe		Linc. 534, 535
Burstal	Burstall	Suf. 1241
Burstede	Burstead	Ess. 270, 374
Burstowe		Herts. 485
Burtona	Burton	Lanc. 448
Burtona	Burton	Leic. 921
Burtona	Burton Latimer	Northamp. 1113
Burtona	Burton	Not. 433
Burtona	Burton	Wilt. 621, 1177
Byrlawe		Northumb. 1096

The numbers refer to the cases not to the pages.

Cadeswurthe		Sur. 1661
Cadewelle	Cadwell	Linc. 303
Caldicote	Caldecote	War. 919, 1105
Callingland		Staf. 260
Calthorne	Cawthorne	York. 1169
Caltwayt		York. 254
Cantebrigia	Cambridge	Camb. 103, 307, 523, 751, 1393, 1620
Cantuaria	Canterbury	Kent, 623
Capetona		Suf. 564
Castre	Caister	Norf. 1791, 1796
Cates	Coates	Linc. 251
Catesthorpe		Suf. 1932
Cateshilla		Suf. 700
Catteshulla	Catshill	Sur. 1171
Cattone	Catton	Norf. 185
Caundeuere	Candover	Hants. 882
Caustona	Cawston	Norf. 1046
Ceningeswurthe	Theddingworth (?)	Leic. 146, 208
Certeselegha	Chartley	Staf. (?) 1127
Certesey	Chertsey	Sur. 282
Cesterfordia	Chesterford	Ess. 497
Cesterhunt	Cheshunt	Herts. 475
Cestretona	Chesterton	Hunt. 269, 286
Chadenwik		Wilt. 906, 1691
Chadewilla		Ox. 1326
Chadhangre		Berk. 1178.
Chaffunt	Chalfont	Buck. 368, 524
Chagingeford	Chingford	Ess. 78
Chalhistre		Sus. 525
Chamfunt'	Chalfont	Buck. 307
Chaumelega	Chulmleigh	Dev. 170, 1569
Chautona	Chaulton	Bed. 1611
Chedena	Cheddon Fitzpaine	Som. 853
Cheggewella	Chigwell	Ess. 584
Chelewella	Chilwell	Not. 379, 604
Chelewrthe	Chilworth	Sur. 928
Chelewude	Chelsworth	Suf. 66
Chelewurthe	Chelworth	Wilts. 359
Chelmerefordia	Chelmsford	Ess. 427, 1681
Chenelfeuldia	Chesfield	Herts. 864
Chepemanescumba	Chaffcombe (?)	Som. 452
Chepham		Som. 1752
Cherlewode	Charlwood	Sur. 1650
Cherringe	Charing	Mid. 337
Chesele		Norf. 1534
Cheueleswelle	Chieveley	Berks. 229

The numbers refer to the cases not to the pages.

Chidingeham	Chiddingstone (?)	Kent, 1505
Childerst	Chithurst (?)	Sus. 525
Chillewlla	Chilwell	Derb. 315
Chinkeford	Chingford	Ess. 78
Chinnouere	Chinnor	Ox. 1126
Chippeham	Cippenham	Buck. 1571
Chippeham	Chippenham	Wilt. 219
Chiriel		Sur. 375
Chiselhamtona	Chiselhampton	Ox. 1669
Chiueleffordia		Ess. 1665
Chiueslegha	Chieveley	Berk. 1616
Cimayc		Lanc. (?) 234
Cipham		Buck. 491
Cirencestria	Cirencester	Glouc. 1427, 1499
Claipol	Claypole	Linc. 1430
Clakelase	Clackclose	Norf. 1159, 1716
Clare	Clare	Suf. 1162
Clareburgum	Clarborough	Nots. 329
Clarendona	Clarendon	Wilts. 1228
Claxeby	Claxby	Linc. 345
Claxtona	Clawson	Leic. 1000
Clauerlega	Claverley	Staff. 187
Clauertona	Claverton	Som. 866
Clayheye	Clayhythe	Camb. 299, 1582
Clemestona		Corn. 1591
Clenefeudia	Clanfield	Hants. 276
Clere	Kingsclere	Hants. 470
Cleyore	Clare	Ox. 566
Cliftona	Clifton	Bed. 581
Cliftona	Cliffton	Bucks. 406
Cliftona	Clifton Campville	Staf. 94, 602
Clinctona		Ox. 630
Clipesby	Clippesby	Norf. 48, 1843
Clipstona		Northamp. 725
Cliuedena	Cliefden	Buck. 298
Cliuelanda	Cleveland	York. 254
Clypesby, see Clipesby		
Cnapetona	Knapton	York. 129
Cneshale	Kneesall	Nots. 522
Cnodishale	Knettishall	Suf. 1907
Cnotinges	Knotting	Bed. 1028
Cnouldena	Knowlton	Kent, 704
Cnoushale	Knodishall	Staf. 1627
Cocham	Cookham	Berk. 988
Codeffordia	Codford	Ox. 1004
Codenham	Coddenham	Suf. 428, 1746
Colecestria	Colchester	Ess. 778, 779

The numbers refer to the cases not to the pages.

Coleham		Mid. 336
Colstewrthe		Northamp. 1289
Colingeham	Collingham	Nots. 330
Colintona	Colyton	Dev. 100
Colum	Colne Engaine	Ess. 1639
Copedac	Copdock	Suf. 1906
Coplande	Coupland	Cumb. 1154
Corby	Corby	Linc. 1042
Cornhulla		Kent, 1047
Cornlegha	Corley	War. 1416
Corteseye	Chertsey	Sur. 36
Cote	Coat	Ox. 787
Cotes	Coates	Camb. 999, 1634
Cotes	Coates	Glouc. 687
Cotes	Cotes Deval	Leic. 1956
Cotes	Coates	Linc. 1093
Cotesmora	Cotesmore	Rut. 1059
Cotingeham	Cottingham	York. 1106
Cotingwicus	Cottingwith	York. 1871
Cottona	Coton	War. 1586
Couentria	Coventry	War. 801, 989, 1127, 1690, 1810
Couesgraue	Cosgrove	Northamp. 311
Coulegha	Cowley	Staf. 1679
Crakemere	Crakemarsh	Staf. 1979
Cramfordia	Cranford	Mid. 1350
Cranefordia	Cranford	Northamp. 1466
Craneswrthe	Cranworth	Norf. 1009
Crawemerse	Crowmarsh	Ox. 827
Crawenho		Ess. 691
Crawestoke		Sur. 465
Craye	Cray	Kent, 90
Crec	Crick	Northamp. 1586
Creck	Creake	Norf. 506, 754, 1489
Creitona	Creighton	Staf. 1979
Cretinge	Creeting	Suf. 478, 1891, 1931
Cretona	Creaton	Northamp. 514, 1726
Crikecot		Suf. 1920
Cristehale	Chrishull	Ess. 550, 1001
Cristemelefordia	Christian Malford	Wilt. 157
Cristesham		Buck. 1621
Croftona	Croughton	Northamp. 32
Croherst	Crowhurst	Kent, 477
Crokestona	Croxton	Norf. 64
Croperie	Cropredy	Ox. 1142
Croptona		Camb. 736
Cruk		Dev. 1098

The numbers refer to the cases not to the pages.

Crumbecliue		Northumb. 432, 1096
Crundella	Crondall	Hants. 1237
Cudeham	Coddenham	Suf. 1246
Culewrthe	Culworth	Northamp. 1056
Culintona	Colyton	Dev. 1584, 1601
Culkertona	Cuckerton	Glouc. 961
Cumba	Coombe	Dev. 1143
Cumbe		Glouc. 140
Cumbresdale	Cummersdale	Cumb. 1040
Cumquintin	Cumwhinton	Cumb. 1040
Cumptona	Compton	Som. 190
Cumptona	Compton	War. 8
Cuneleffeldia		Wilt. 1670
Cunningeburgum	Conisborough	York. 26, 1685
Cunningeby	Coningsby	Linc. 986
Cuntebiria	Countesbury	Dev. 197
Cunytorpe	Coneythorpe, York (?)	Linc. 1739
Cuserigge	Courage	Berks. 1078
Cuueleffeldia	Cowsfield	Wilt. 1360
Cycestria	Chichester	Sus. 1753
Cyle	Chelvey	Som. 5
Cyrencestria, see Cirencestria		
Dachet	Datchet	Bucks. 868
Dakeham	Dagenham	Ess. 758
Daletona	Dalston	Cumb. 1155
Dallinge	Field Dalling	Norf. 614
Dalwode	Dalwood	Dors. 1312
Danecastum	Doncaster	York. 1329
Dauby	Dalby	Leic. 1295
Dauintona	Davington	Kent, 17, 767
Dauintre	Daventry	Northamp. 31
Dautona	Dalton	York. 772, 1016
Debbeham	Debenham	Suf. 917
Dedenham	Dedham	Ess. 746
Dedhamptona		Hants. 764
Denchewrthe	Denchworth	Berks. 1537
Denemere		Norf. 672
Dentorp	Danthorpe	York, 1874
Deon'	Deane	Hants. 206
Depedena		Sur. 375
Derefeld	Darfield	York. 1853
Deretemuwe	Dartmouth	Dev. 785
Derlintona	Darlington, Dur. (?)	York. 1463
Dersingtona	Dersington	Norf. 1128
Deseburgum	Desborough	Northamp. 571
Deuerel Lungpont	Longbridge Deverill	Wilt. 1281

The numbers refer to the cases not to the pages.

Dichingham	Ditchingham	Norf. 695
Dictona	Deighton	York. 1883
Didenham		Wilt. 1277
Dikeleswurthe	Dickleborough (?)	Norf. 865
Dilintona	Dillington	Hunts. 1261
Dimescherche	Dunchurch	War. 1606
Dingelby		York. 660
Dingelmareis	Dengemarsh	Kent, 1667
Dinham	Downham	Ess. 1485
Ditenheshale		York. 1470
Dittona	Fen Ditton	Camb. 299, 1582
Dittona	Ditton	Kent, 481
Dittona	Thames Ditton	Sur. 375, 1524, 1594
Diuisae	Devizes	Wilts. 857, 862
Dockinge	Docking	Norf. 1797
Dodene		Som. 667
Dodingtona		Buck. 106
Dorcestria	Dorchester	Dors. 831
Dorcet'		War. 941
Dorkinge	Dorking	Sur. 545
Dorsingtona	Dorsington	Glouc. 840
Douera	Dover	Kent, 269, 1137
Drastesete	Stradsett (?)	Norf. 1159
Drautona	Draughton	Northamp. 625
Draytona	Drayton Beauchamp	Buck. 1055
Drengo	Dringhoe	York. 1097
Dreycota	Draycot Cerne	Wilt. 1494
Dudingtona	Toddington	Bed. 296
Dudingtona	Duddington	Northamp. 503, 565
Duldecota	Dulcot	Som. 1413
Dunelmum	Durham	Dur. 1873
Dunewicus	Dunwich	Suf. 1421, 1429, 1899
Dunesslanda		Dev. 290
Dungemareys	Dengemarsh	Kent, 253
Dunham	Dunham	Norf. 1255
Dunham	Denham	Suf. 1915
Duningtona	Donnington	Heref. 507
Dunmawe	Dunmow	Ess. 139, 1037
Dunnestaplia	Dunstaple	Bed. 889
Dunstaplia	Dunstaple	Bed. 933
Duntona	Dunton	Ess. 237, 575
Duntona	Downton	Heref. 882
Duntrope	Dunthorpe	Ox. 1527
Dunwicus	Dunwich	Suf. 1123
Dunyuetona	Dennington (?)	Suf. 1706, 1937
Dustona	Duston	Northamp. 994
Duue, aqua de	Dove	York. 254

The numbers refer to the cases not to the pages.

Eatona	Nuneaton	War. 1250
Eboracum	York	York. 16, 537, 1083, 1458, 1671, 1867, 1888, 1889
Eckeles	Eccles	Norf. 378
Edeleintona	Edmonton (?)	Mid. 340
Edelinebrugge	Edenbridge (?)	Kent, 666
Edenewrthe	Edingworth	Som. 1391, 1469, 1491
Edinetorpe	Edingthorpe	Norf. 1243, 1818
Edmerse		Berk. 1176
Edredstona	Earsdon (?)	Northumb. 1385
Eggewere	Edgware	Mid. 1068
Eggestornia	Eythorn	Kent, 1699
Egingtona	Egginton	Derb. 752
Eglesago		Corn. 1666
Eklesburnia	Ebbesborne (?)	Wilt. 1019
Eldefordmelne	Old Ford Mill	Mid. 419
Eldrefeldia	Eldersfield	Worc. 1428
Elenedena	Elmdon	Ess. 1007
Elingeham	Ellingham	Norf. 1631
Elinham	Elmham	Norf. 1804
Elkeduna		Derb. 480
Ellefordia	Aylesford	Kent, 1274
Ellefordia	Elford	Staf. 260
Ellerige		Dev. 53
Ellintona		1192
Elmedona	Elmdon	War. 1319
Elmigham	Helmingham, Suf.	Norf. 724
Elteham	Eltham	Kent, 1788
Eluertona		Worc. 1664
Elwyesham	Eynsham (?)	Ox. 397
Emmesby	Ormesby (?)	York. 1862
Enefeud	Enfield	Mid. 8, 343, 1397
Enemede	Emneth	Norf. 1516
Engleffeuldia	Englefield, Berk.	Buck. 390
Erdele	Yardley	Herts. 356
Erdintona	Ardington, Berks. (?)	Sur. 1410
Erdlegha	Eardleigh, Staf. (?)	Sal. 1136
Erdletorpe	Eddlethorpe, York (?)	Linc. 1135
Ere		Kent, 1638
Eringtona	Harrington (?)	Northamp. 1045
Erlega	Arley	Staf. 1684
Erlide	Arley (?)	Staf. 1622
Ermingtona		Dors. 447
Ernebergum		Kent, 1638
Ersham	Horsham (?)	Sus. 561
Eseby	Easby	York. 1880

The numbers refer to the cases not to the pages.

Essewaut		Kent, 1782
Esingtona	Easington	York. 1061
Esse	Ash Reigney	Dev. 976
Esselega		Dev. 290
Essenedona		Hants. 1645
Essingeham	Effingham (?)	Sur. 574
Estanostige		Dev. 826
Estauetona	Staverton (?)	Northamp. 1707
Estaunfordia	Stamford	Linc. 538
Estberdesheles		Northumb. 432
Estbrent	E. Brent	Som. 1491
Estehattona	E. Hatley (?)	Camb. 736
Esterburghum		Norf. 1827
Esteuetona		Hants. 150
Esteuetona	Steventon	Berk. 393
Esthenlegha		Buck. 1130
Estillebiria	E. Tilbury	Ess. 690, 797
Estkeles	E. Keal	Linc. 1093
Estona	Easton	Berk. 302
Estona	Exton	Hants. 438
Estona	Easton	Norf. 1652
Estona	Easton	Northamp. 205, 975
Estona	Easington (?)	Ox. 787
Estona	Easton	Som. 252
Estona	Easton	War. 412
Estrudham	E. Rudham	Norf. 1624
Estuna	Easton	Northamp. 514
Estuingtona	Steventon	Berk. 271
Estweinz	E. Winch	Norf. 669
Estwic		Northamp. 1522
Estwode		Ox. 1276
Etona	Eton	Buck. 331, 1431
Etona	Eaton	Not. 1510
Ettona	Eton	Buck. 1750
Ettona	Easton (?)	Som. (?) 172
Euenle	Evenley	Northamp. 1522
Euertona	Everton	Bed. 899, 933
Eukintorpe		Linc. 1102
Eure	Iver (?)	Buck. 75
Eure	Oare (?)	Kent, 1770
Ewelle	Ewell	Sur. 1661
Eyllesbyry	Ailesbury	Buck. 285
Eylnathestona	Aylton (?)	Heref. 227
Eyltona		Sus. 44
Eytona	Eaton	Leic. 949
Eye	Quy	Camb. 1582
Eywenthe	Eyworth	Bed. 1085

The numbers refer to the cases not to the pages.

Exonia	Exeter	Dev. 67, 170, 1172
Farenbergum	Farnborough	Hants. 453
Farendale	Farndale	York. 254
Farenduna	Faringdon	Berks. 728, 817
Faringefordia	Fringford	Ox. 798
Farlegha		Sus. 44
Farnham	Farnham	Ess. 225
Fauconham	Fakenham	Suf. 593
Febbinges	Fobbing	Ess. 929
Felebrigge	Felbrigg	Norf. 261, 937
Felstede	Felstead	Ess. 413
Fenddraytona	Fen-Drayton	Camb. 30
Fendraitona	Fen-Drayton	Camb. 291
Feningeham	Finningham	York. 1223
Ferebrigge	Felbrigg	Norf. 261
Ferenduna	Faringdon	Berk. 655
Feringes	Ferring	Sus. 112
Ferlegha	Farley	Wilt. 1277
Fernle	Farnley	York. 1851
Fifhida		Sur. 1661
Fileby	Filby	Norf. 1808
Finemere	Finmere	Ox. 1518
Fineslegha	Filey	York. 494
Finingham	Finningham	Suf. 396
Fisinges	Rising (?)	Norf. 1663
Fisseburnia		Kent, 1783
Flaifordia	Playford (?)	Suf. 698
Fleche	Fletchampstead (?)	War. 209
Fleckeneye	Fleckney	Leic. 1967
Fleg	Flegg	Norf. 595
Flemingtona	Flempton (?)	Suf. 1930
Flete	Fleet	Dev. 979
Flete	Fleet	Dors. 457
Flete	Fleet	Linc. 978
Flinfordia		Som. 454
Flore	Flore	Northamp. 1455
Folesham		Bucks. 1220
Folmodestona	Fulmodeston	Norf. 64
Forde	Ford	Som. 866
Fordham		Dev. 290
Fordham	Fordham	Ess. 885
Forho	Fortho	Northamp. 63
Fornham	Fornham	Suf. 700, 1917
Foterholm		York. 1858
Frackeham	Freckenham	Suf. 616
Frammesdena	Framsden	Suf. 1911, 1940, 1941

The numbers refer to the cases not to the pages.

Framptona	Frampton	Glouc. 1152
Fransham	Fransham	Norf. 1006
Fresentona	Freston	Suf. 1426
Freskeneye	Friskney	Linc. 733, 822, 1093, 1211
Freskorie, see Freskeneye		
Frestona	Freston	Suf. 1520
Fretham	Frettenham	Norf. 49
Fretona	Fritton	Norf. 642, 1800
Frilingestrete		Som. 454
Frimareis		York. 771
Frome	Frome	Dors. 702, 1008
Frome	Frome	Som. 454
Froume		Heref. 1471
Frumthorpe		York. 660
Fugheldona	Foulden (?)	Norf. 1531, 1703
Fulebroc	Fulbrook	War. 203, 1355
Fulefordia	Fulford	Staf. 1972
Fuletorpe		York. 1882
Fulmodestona	Fulmodeston	Norf. 111
Funtel	Fonthill	Wilt. 941
Gaham	Gotham	Not. 818
Gaifle	Gazeley	Suf. 487
Gaislegha	Gazeley	Suf. 1921
Garefordia	Garford	Berk. 229, 794, 1616
Garsintona	Garston	Berk. 967
Gattele	Gately	Norf. 124, 942, 1804
Gattesdena	Gaddesden	Herts. 443, 540
Gedham		Ess. 45
Gerbodesham	Garboldisham	Norf. 1175, 1181
Gernesmue	Yarmouth	Suf. 314, 762, 1895, 1897
Gersindona	Garsington	Ox. 1528
Gersith'		Sur. 375
Gertona		Norf. 1456
Gestlingestorpe	Gestlingthorpe	Ess. 887
Geudefordia	Guildford	Sur. 10, 1347, 1348
Geytintona	Geddington	Northamp. 1053
Gillingeham	Gillingham	Kent, 1604
Gimundeham	Goodmanham (?)	York. 501
Ginges Laundri	Ginge Laundri	Ess. 674
Giselham	Gisleham	Suf. 884
Glastonia	Glastonbury	Som. 1180
Glentham	Glentham	Linc. 251
Gloseno		Kent, 1374
Gloucestria	Gloucester	Glouc. 1514

The numbers refer to the cases not to the pages.

Godhelminges	Godalming	Sur. 242, 800
Godinestre	Good Easter	Ess. 1099
Godmaresham	Godmersham	Kent, 1775
Godwinestona	Goodnestone	Kent, 1048
Gogeshale	Coggeshall	Ess. 1478
Goldore	Golder	Ox. 566
Gorkeby	Gautby (?)	Linc. 572
Gorlestona	Gorleston	Suf. 582
Gosenhale		Kent, 462, 597
Gosseby	Gautby (?)	Linc. 572
Gottele	Gately	Norf. 942
Graueleya	Graveley	Herts. 706, 864
Gremseuilla	Grimstone (?)	Norf. 917
Grenche		Kent, 1604, 1789
Grenwey		Linc. 1049
Gressingeham	Cressingham	Norf. 1822
Gretingeham	Cretingham	Suf. 1558, 1626
Grettona	Groton	Suf. 33
Grimesby	Grimsby	Linc. 1205
Grimstona		1153
Griptorpe	Gristhorpe (?)	York. 1184
Griseroft		York. 771
Grossus Mons	Grossmont, Mon.	Heref. 1330
Grotingtuna	Crudgington (?)	Sal. 664
Gryseby		York. 1223
Gunetorpe		Rut. 1653
Gunthorpe	Gunthorp	Nots. 403
Gyluertoft		Northamp. 147
Gypewicus	Ipswich	Suf. 396, 578, 1023, 1618, 1908, 1940, 1941
Gyuele		Som. 198
Hackintona	Hackington	Kent, 1774
Haffordeby		Leic. 1682
Hakedena		Staf. 1051
Hakene	Hackney	Mid. 662
Hakene	Hackness (?)	York. 1676
Hakethorne	Hackthorn	Linc. 1356
Haketorpe	Hackthorpe, Westm. (?)	Lanc. 1187
Haldeneby	Haldenby	York. 1852
Haldestana		Dev. 1285
Hales	Hailes	Salop. 292
Halestowe	Halstow	Kent, 1163
Halingedona	Hangleton (?)	Sus. 1320
Halleblund		Norf. 1832
Halsewicus		Herts. 1560

The numbers refer to the cases not to the pages.

Halletona	Allerton (?)	York. 1875
Hamele	Hamble	Suf. 1123
Hamertona	Hamerton	Hunt. 681, 757
Hamme		Dev. 1575
Hammes		Sus. 806
Hamontona	Hampton	War. 973
Hamptona	Bath Hampton	Som. 866
Hamptona	Hampton	Kent, 1769
Hamptona in Arderne	Hampton in Arden	War. 1352
Hamstede	Hamstead	Berks. 512, 612
Hamtona		Herts. 1345
Hanestie	Anstey	Wilt. (?) 1599
Hantona	Hatton (?)	Linc. 204
Hanwurthe	Hanworth	Norf. 695
Haregraue	Hargrave	Northamp. 319
Haremede	Hardmead	Buck. 1576
Haresham	Harnham (?)	Wilt. 1003
Harletona	Carlton (?)	Leic. 1335
Harpedena	Harpsden	Ox. 68
Haspele, see Aspele		
Hatfeud	Hatfield	York. 248
Hathfeldia Regis	Hatfield Broadoak	Ess. 1524
Hathfeldia	Hatfield	Herts. 144, 1476
Hattona	Hatton	Mid. 123, 715
Haunewode		Som. 1710
Hauekescherche	Hawkchurch	Dors. 131
Haueriete	Halvergate	Norf. 1036
Haueringe	Havering	Ess. 641, 834, 1018, 1119
Haufordia	Hatford (?)	Berks. 837
Hautona	Halton	Linc. 1102
Hecche		Kent, 597
Hecham	Ickham	Kent, 1781
Hecham	Heacham	Norf. 668, 1074, 1100
Hecham	Higham (?)	Suf. 1905
Hechardis		Kent, 1787
Hechhulla	Hethel (?)	Norf. 1755
Heclesse	Eccles	Norf. (?) 1933
Hedingtona	Heddington	Wilt. 463
Hedona	Hedon	York. 1459
Hegham	Higham Ferrers	Northamp. 1236
Helkesle	Elksley	Not. 224
Helmlay	Helmsley	York. 254
Helperthorpe		Buck. 1055
Hembyria		Dev. 808
Hemduna	Hendon	Mid. 334
Hemeldena	Helmdon	Northamp. 203

The numbers refer to the cases not to the pages.

Hemelingtona	Hemblington	Norf. 185
Hemmingtona	Hemington	Leic. 1951
Henegetona	Haunton	Staf. 602
Henle	Henley	Ox. 1084
Hentona	Hinton	Hants. 647, 1689
Henred	Hendred	Berk. 469
Herdewic		Bed. 580
Herdewic	Hardwick	Norf. 642, 644
Herdingtona	Harlington (?)	Mid. 792
Herefordia	Hereford	Heref. 1473
Heregraue		Sus. 526
Herlawe	Harlow	Ess. 727
Herletona	Harlington	York. 1871
Herlingedona	Harlington	Bed. 1572
Hermingesheye	Horningsea	Camb. 1582
Hermingtona	Hemington	Som. 170
Hersham		Ess. 458
Hersthecote		Corn. 367
Hertefordia	Hertford	Herts. 540, 870, 1126
Hertesheuede	Hartshead	York. 1846
Hertherst		Suf. 1239
Herthulla	Harthill	York. 1686
Hertona	Horton (?)	Dors. 1536
Herts'	Hurst	Kent, 263
Hesel		Heref. 946
Heselbec		Not. 604
Hesseburnia	Hurstbourne	Hants. 1237
Hethfeldia	Hatfield	York. 1685
Hethfeuldia	Hatfield	Heref. 371
Hethilla	Hethel	Norf. 27, 56
Heutona		York. 254
Hiche		Heref. 1508
Hiclingeham	Higham (?)	Suf. 1520
Hikenham	Ickenham	Mid. 1559
Hildestuna	Hillesdon	Buck. 633
Hillingtona	Hillington	Norf. 1452
Hinetle		Ox. 1493
Hingelescomba	Englishcombe	Som. 347
Hintelesham	Hintlesham	Suf. 418, 1902
Hiperun	Hipperholme	York. 244
Hirst		Not. 552
Hiseuelestona		Suf. 1914
Hispedena	Ipsden	Ox. 1688
Histona	Histon	Camb. 291
Ho	Hoo	Kent, 1163
Ho	Hoe	Norf. 1821
Hobrige	Hoborough	Ox. 1374

The numbers refer to the cases not to the pages.

Hochechota	Holcot (?)	Northamp. 1592
Hoclintona		Northamp. 938
Hocsike		Not. 433
Hoctona	Houghton	York. 1547
Hokefeld or Otheshelue	Oxhill (?)	War. 1546
Hokeringe	Hockering	Kent, 17
Hokesore		Hants. 1039
Hokyntona	Oakington	Camb. 175
Holebeck	Holbeach	Linc. 301
Holesle	Hollesley	Suf. 1241
Holeweie	Holloway	Derb. 486
Holkham		Norf. 55
Homodona		Northamp. 1173
Hoptona	Hopton	Staf. 1978
Horeburnia	Harborne (?)	Staf. 362
Horewicus	Horwich	Lanc. 1547
Horfordia		York. 849
Horingefeldia	Hanningfield	Ess. 1760
Hormodona	Horndon	Ess. 275
Hornecastrum	Horncastle	Linc. 741
Hornigefeudia	Horning (?)	Norf. 1838
Horningesheye	Horningsea	Camb. 299
Horningetona	Hornington	York. 1081
Horsendona	Horsendon	Buck. 1677
Horsie		Sus. 160
Horspathe	Horsepath	Ox. 815
Hortona	Horton	Buck. 161
Hortona	Horton	Dors. 168
Hortona		Sus. 709
Hortona	Horton	Wilt. 1183
Hothoft	Huttoft	Linc. 689
Houkegarth	Howarth (?)	York. 1884
Houlegha		Norf. 1927
Houtona	Holton	Suf. 788
Houtona	Houghton	York. 1754, 1854
Howes		Camb. 57
Hucham	Hougham	Kent, 811
Hughele	Hugheley	Sal. 1741
Hukelay		Rut. 80
Hulle	Hill	Worc. 1222
Hulm'	Hulme	Staf. 707, 1747
Hulmum		Linc. 1675
Hulmum	Holm	Norf. 1792
Hulrecumba		Worc. 680
Humbrestona	Humberstone	Leic. 1301, 1966
Humestuna	Hunston	Sus. 410
Hundemauneby	Hunmanby	York. 494

The numbers refer to the cases not to the pages.

Hundingdona		Ox. 513
Hunestanestuna		War. 467
Hunestuna	Hunston	Sus. 421
Hungerhulla		Herts. 200
Hunstanestuna	Hunstanton	Norf. 591
Huntewde	Hunworth (?)	Norf. 1376, 1445
Huntingefeuld	Huntingfield	Suf. 1900
Hupetona	Upton	Wilt. 1698
Huphauene see Uphauene		
Hurdesduna		Buck. 633
Hustona	Histon	Camb. 1672
Husum		York. 685
Hwerelesduna	Whorwelsdown	Wilt. 1110
Hyfordia	Iford	Sus. 1656
Hyrteby		Hants. 241
Hywis	Huish Episcopi	Som. 1436
Iakele	Yaxley	Suf. 415
Icham	Ickenham	Mid. 59
Ichintona	Itchington	Glouc. 1449
Ierdele	Yardley	Worc. 1387
Ierdelegha	Yardley Gobion	Northamp. 485
Iernemue see Gernemue		
Ikelegha		Northamp. 1186
Ikelesham	Ickleshall	Sus. 872
Ikenham	Ickenham	Mid. 339
Illefordia	Ilford	Ess. 1694
Illegha	Monks' Eleigh	Suf. 442, 703, 1023, 1922
Ilpene		Northamp. 1565
Ingelesham	Inglesham	Berks. 655
Ingewrthe	Ingworth	Norf. 1359
Ingham	Ingham	Linc. 251
Inglescumba	Englishcombe	Som. 1379
Inglestona	Ingleton	York. 1845
Ingoldeby	Ingoldsby	York. 538
Intewode	Intwood	Norf. 1825
Ircestria	Irchester	Northamp. 483
Irnham	Irnham	Linc. 414
Irtlingeburgum	Irthlingborough	Northamp. 162
Iselhamstede	Ashampstead (?)	Bucks. 656
Islepe	Islip	Northamp. 625, 693
Ispedena	Ipsden	Ox. 1717
Itringeham	Itteringham	Norf. 1762
Iudham	Lowdham	Not. 403
Kailmers	Kelmarsh	Northamp. 725

The numbers refer to the cases not to the pages.

Kambes	Campsey (?)	Suf. 1924
Kanefordia	Canford	Dors. 532
Kanetona	Kenton	Suf. 1913
Kanewedena	Canewdon	Ess. 1275
Kantertona		Worc. 1402
Karletona	Carlton	Camb. 663
Karletona	Carlton	Leic. 1305
Karletona	Carlton	Norf. 1225
Karletona	Carlton	Northamp. 344
Karletona	Carlton	Not. 330
Karletona	Carlton	Suf. 1901
Karnarb'		Corn. 596, 991
Karswelle	Carswell	Berks. 235
Karwala		Corn. 596, 991
Katelestona	Kettlestone, Norf. (?)	Linc. 1073
Katerholme		York. 1083
Kauereffuld	Caversfield	Buck. 803
Kaustona	Cawston	Norf. 1064, 1069
Kautona see Kaustona		
Kayham	Keyham	Leic. 1960
Keburgum	Ickborough	Norf. 839
Kedingdona	Kedingdon	Suf. 1923
Kemeseia	Kempsey	Worc. 1588
Kemeshey	Kemsing	Kent, 102
Ken	Kenn	Som. 170, 1436
Kenigtona	Kennington	Sur. 1108, 1183
Kensingtona	Kensington	Mid. 790, 1919
Kentissetona	Kentishtown	Mid. 825, 826
Kerebroc	Carbrook	Norf. 1009
Kerkeherle	Kirk Harle	Northumb. 1191
Kersintona	Cassington (?)	Ox. 1373
Ketelbernestona	Kettlebaston	Suf. 38, 353
Ketelburgum	Kettleburgh	Suf. 1066
Ketona	Ketton	Rut. 1310, 1327
Keuele	Keevil	Wilt. 173
Keystorpe	Caythorpe	Linc. 747
Kideministrum	Kidderminster	Worc. 1580
Kickel'	Cockhill (?)	York. 849
Killeburi	Kilburn	York. 1197
Kima	Kyme	Linc. 174
Kinebautona	Kimbolton	Hunt. 893, 1261
Kinemundecota		Leic. 1602
Kingesbiria	Kingsbury, Mid. (?)	Herts. 1479
Kingeshale		Wilt. 1183
Kingestona	Kingston	Sur. 84, 1122, 1138, 1705
Kingestona	Kingston	Sus. 112

The numbers refer to the cases not to the pages.

Kippingescota		Dev. 1145
Kirkeby	Kirkby	Linc. 1341
Kiuelestuna		Wilt. 463
Kniuetona	Kniveton	Derb. 1712
Knolle		Lanc. 1577
Kokermue	Cockermouth	Cumb. 926
Kotteringham	Ketteringham, Norf. (?)	Suf. 1919
Kulinge	Kelling (?)	Suf. 805
Kuluingtona	Kilvington	York. 759
Kunnetona	Kniveton (?)	Derb. 1712
Kyhauene		Hants. 866
Kyneburle	Kimberley	Norf. 39
Kyrkeby	Kirby	Norf. 423
Kyrteby		York. 905
Kyrkestuna		York. 244
Lachefordia	Latchford	Ox. 657
Lafham	Lavenham (?)	Suf. 1936
Laghefar	Lawford (?)	Ess. 184
Langcarse	Landcross (?)	Dev. 977
Langeburgum	Longborough	Glouc. 1325
Langedona	Langdon Hills	Ess. 58, 89
Langedona	Langton	Leic. 1970
Langefordia	Langford	Bed. 1182
Langefordia		Dors. 1414
Langefordia	Langford	Norf. 839
Langehale	Langhale	Norf. 600
Langeherste	Longhirst	Northumb. 361
Langeho	Langenhoe	Ess. 1372
Langelegha	Langley	Herts. 429, 471
Langestrede	Langstroth	York. 1881
Langetre	Langtree	Lanc. 1386
Lantilio	Llantilio, Mon.	Heref. 1330
Lassendona	Lassington	Glouc. 1231
Latebyria	Lathbury	Buck. 1613
Latfordia		Suf. 1530
Latheun	Latham	York. 82
Lathum	Lathom	Lanc. 1577
Lauintona	Lavington	Sus. 81
Lauintona	Lavington	Wilt. 1687
Layintona } Laxintona }	Laxton	Northamp. 148
Lechtona	Letton	Norf. 1009
Lectona	Leyton	Ess. 586
Lectona	Leighton	Hunt. 411
Ledecumba	Letcombe Bassett	Berks. 287, 579
Ledetuna	Letcombe Bassett (?)	Berks. 635

The numbers refer to the cases not to the pages.

Ledlinges		Hants. 116
Lee		York. 1680
Leggesby	Legsby	Linc. 720
Legha		Corn. 348
Legha		Bed. 246, 838
Legha	Leigh	Ess. 1738
Legha	Lea	Derb. 486
Leircestria	Leicester	Leic. 989, 1188, 1946, 1952, 1954
Leistuna	Leiston	Suf. 739
Leitona	Leyton	Ess. 640
Leke	Leake	Not. 818
Lelbruge		Glouc. 1265
Lellesheya		Suf. 703
Lenne	Lynn	Norf. 669, 722, 1254
Lerdingdona		Sus. 350
Lertona	Leyton (?)	Ess. 738
Lesnes	Lessness	Kent. 1044, 1764
Leuingtona		Cumb. 249
Lewes	N. Lew	Dev. 920
Lewes	Lew	Ox. 1107
Lewes	Lewes	Sus. 546, 643, 1343
Lexendene	Lexden	Ess. (?) 779
Leyburne	Leyburn	York. 1198
Lichfeld	Lichfield	Staf. 1981
Lidefordia	Lydford	Som. 265, 407, 547, 610
Lidiard	Lydeard	Som. 172
Lincolnia	Lincoln	Linc. 20, 145, 278, 529, 661, 768, 952, 1087, 1206, 1209, 1280
Lincumba	Lyncombe	Som. 866
Lindwde	Linwood	Linc. 720, 909
Linfordia	Linford	Buck. 940
Lingestana	Lillingstone (?)	Ox. 519
Lintona	Lynton	Dev. 197, 1732
Lipstona	Lympston	Dev. 1759
Lisduna	Leysdown	Kent, 605
Litletona	Littleton	Wilt. 1420
Liutona	Luton	Bed. 102, 1012
Lodnes	Loddon	Norf. 1729, 1814
Londonia	London	Mid. 11, 73, 312, 314, 375, 489, 568, 588, 692, 748, 912, 1068, 1227, 1429, 1435, 1461, 1498, 1503, 1564, 1723, 1725
Longwathe	Langworth	Linc. 1579

The numbers refer to the cases not to the pages.

Lonwath'	Landwade (?)	Camb. 848
Loppene	Lopen	Som. 1358
Loures		Ess. 47
Luddeduna	Luddesdown	Kent, 671
Ludeford	Ludford	Heref. 7
Lue	Louth	Linc. 1460
Lund	Lund	York. 661
Lundr'	Lound	Suf. 1509
Lutona	Loughton (?)	Ess. 284
Lutona	Luton	Bed. 854
Luttona	Lutton	Linc. 541, 627
Luyhà	River Lea	Mid. 419
Maidingtuna	Maddington	Wilts. 402
Maiford	Mayford	Surr. 401
Makertona	Markeaton	Derb. 974
Malmesbiria	Malmesbury	Wilts. 141, 1615
Mamele	Mamble	Worc. 675
Mamstede		Berk. 431
Maningfordia	Manningford	Wilts. 463
Mapeltona	Mappleton	York. 1671
Mapertishale	Meppershall	Bed. 915
Marchewrthe, see Merkwrthe		
Marham	Marham	Norf. 1298
Marlefordia	Marlesford	Suf. 1909
Martona	Marton	York. 22, 60, 118
Maulinges		Suf. 871
Maxstuna	Maidstone (?)	Kent, 811
Meaudona	Maldon	Sur. 1077
Meautona	Manton (?)	Wilt. 732
Medburnia	Medbourne	Leic. 1219
Medham	Medham	Sus. 119
Medefeldia	Metfield	Suf. 945
Medingeham	Mettingham	Suf. (?) 781
Melburnia	Melbourn	Camb. 1619
Meldreie	Meldreth	Camb. 1619
Melecumba	Melcombe	Dors. 77, 223
Melecumba	Milcomb, Ox. (?)	War. 1757
Melefordia	Melford	Suf. 1162
Meleham	Mileham	Norf. 1390
Melgeresbiria		Glouc. 386
Melkeleia		Herts. 200
Melkesham	Melksham	Wilts. 319
Mellinges	Melling	Lanc. 1294
Mendham	Mendham	Suf. 639
Merckham		Buck. 1139
Mere		Kent, 169

The numbers refer to the cases not to the pages.

Mereflet	Marfleet	York. 859
Merel		Kent, 1047
Merelente	Moorlinch	Som. 454
Merewella	Merevale	Leic. (?) 1172
Merkedicha	Mark Ditch	Som. 1170
Merleburgum	Marlborough	Wilt. 992, 1109, 1282
Merlefordia		Northamp. 972
Merse	Marsh	Buck. 318, 354
Merse		Ox. 1189
Merstona	Marston	Buck. 734
Merstona	Marston	Leic. 1462
Merstona	Marston	Linc. 232
Merstona	Marston Maisey	Wilt. 1749
Mertherthiseny	Mevagissey (?)	Corn. 426
Mertona	Marton	War. 196
Mertona	Metton	Norf. 695
Mertona	Merton	Sur. 1117
Micham	Mitcham	Sur. 126, 1284
Michefeldia	Mickfield	Suf. 1502
Middellande		Corn. 367
Middeltona	Milton Keynes (?)	Buck. 729
Middeltona	Milton	Kent, 753
Middeltona	Middleton	Suf. 91, 1392
Mideltona	Milton	Som. 93
Mikelfeld	Micklefield	York. 1868
Milfordia	Milford	York. 1857
Miurines		Heref. 358
Mor		Norf. 181
More, la		Ox. 1089, 1646, 1701
Morduna	Morden	Sur. 620
Morewic		Leic. 814
Mortona	Moreton Hampstead	Dev. 1190
Mortona		Leic. 1963
Mortona		Norf. 937
Mortona	Morton Pinkney	Northamp. 938
Mortona	Moreton	Staf. 1334
Mucheldoura	Micheldever	Hants. 1727
Mukelintona	Mickleton	Glouc. 1383
Muluertona	Milverton	War. 569
Mumby	Mumby	Linc. 409
Mundham	Mundham	Norf. 1618
Munketona	Monkton	York. 309, 515
Musebiria	Musbury	Dev. 170
Naburne	Naburn	York. 772
Naketona	Nacton	Suf. 258, 268
Naringe		Norf. 1815

The numbers refer to the cases not to the pages.

Necham		Hants. 1268
Nerefordia	Narford	Norf. 193, 1091
Nererock		Sus. 44.
Netelstede	Nettlestead	Kent, 765, 960, 1767
Neterlestede, see Netelstede		
Neuport Paynelle	Newport Pagnell	Buck. 1448
Neutona	Newton	Cumb. 280
Neutona	Newington (?)	Kent, 753
Neutona	Neuton Purcell	Ox. 1131
Neutona	Newton	Wilts. 463
Neutona	Newton	York. 735
Newebrigge		York. 1083
Newendona	Nevendon	Ess. 176
Newentona	Newtown	Berks. 837
Newetona	Newington (?)	Kent, 1593
Newetona	Newton	Leic. 1944
Newetona	Newton	Suf. 1935
Newetona	Newton	York. 1886
Niwetona	Newington	Ox. 772
Norfeudia		Linc. 1721
Norhilada		Som. 1170
Norhamptona	Northampton	Northamp. 351, 372, 518, 857, 916, 930, 1031, 1213, 1266, 1273, 1483
Norhulla		Norf. 1321
Norigge		Wilt. 1486
Normanton	Normanton	Leic. 1965
Norselegha		Northumb. 517
Northcraye	N. Cray	Kent, 1776
Northicote		Staf. 388
Northkyme	N. Kyme	Linc. 1417
Northluffenham	N. Luffenham	Rut. 80
Northona	Norton	Kent, 1780
Northona		Leic. 1945
Northona	Norton	Worc. 982
Northona	Norton	York. 1223
Nortona	Norton	Bucks. 1220
Nortona	Bishop's Norton	Linc. (?) 1204
Nortona	Norton Disney	Linc. 182
Norwicus	Norwich	Norf. 1441, 1597, 1834
Norwicus	Northwick	Worc. 542
Notingeham	Nottingham	Not. 405, 459, 1188
Nouesle		Leic. 430
Noua Villa	Newton	Heref. 877
Nouum Castellum	Newcastle	Northumb. 361, 895
Nuntona	Nunton	Northamp. 1736

The numbers refer to the cases not to the pages.

Nutelegha	Nutley	Hants. 1433
Nyweland		Kent, 1338
Nywetona	Newton	Hunt. 948
Nywenham	Newnham	Northamp. 1076
Nywentona	Newton	Northamp. 924, 1053
Obintinges	Oving	Sus. 44
Ocumptona	Okehampton	Dev. 170
Offele	Offley	Herts. 1475
Ofham	Offham	Sus. 189, 1595
Ofspringe	Ospringe	Kent, 437, 964
Olberneby	Osbournby	Linc. 1093
Oleby	Oadby (?)	Leic. 1057
Olestorp	Ullesthorpe	War. 313
Olstorpe	Ullesthorpe	Leic. 498
Opilande		Kent, 1638
Orchertona		Dev. 965
Ordeshales	Ordsall	Lanc. 449
Ore	Oare	Berks. 1078
Oreby	Orby	Linc. 638
Orneby	Hornby	Lanc. 1294
Ortona	Horton	Ox. 283
Oselegha	Oxley	Staf. 388
Oselewrthe	Ozleworth	Glouc. 1438
Ostallinges		York. 771
Oswaldeskyrk	Oswaldkirk	York. 1199
Otheshelue, see Hokfield		
Ouerkudingtona	Cuddington	Ox. 688
Ouertona	Overton	Leic. 739
Ouertona	Overton	Wilt. 1114
Oure	Mickleover	Derb. 914, 974
Oustona	Owston	York. 1194
Oweltona	Oulton	Norf. 1064
Oxecumba	Oxcombe	Linc. 1751
Oxne	Hoxne	Suf. 1934
Oxonia	Oxford	Ox. 577, 589, 939, 1034, 1160, 1603
Oxon'	Oxney	Kent, 1785
Packesdena		Bed. 385
Padedene		Sur. 40
Pakeham	Pakenham	Suf. 461, 527
Pallinge	Palling	Norf. 1813, 1820
Pallintona	Pailton	War. 1355
Pancwrthe	Panxworth	Norf. 1041
Pangeburnia	Pangbourne	Berk. 1176
Parham	Parham	Suf. 1381

The numbers refer to the cases not to the pages.

Paston	Paston	Northamp. 398
Pateringtona		York. 771
Pauntebiria	Pant (?)	Sal. 651
Pausella		Dors. 1008
Pecham	Peckham	Kent, 1144
Pecham	Peckham	Sur. 306
Pendemore		Northumb. 361
Penfentein		Corn. 367
Penne	Penn	Bucks. 203
Persore	Pershore	Worc. 1628
Pery	Potterspury (?)	Northamp. 1214
Pesehale	Peasenhall	Suf. 533, 593, 698
Peuenesse	Pevensey	Sus. 160
Pidele	Piddle	Dors. 1695
Pikewilla	Pickwell	Leic. 712, 1700, 1744
Pilardintona	Pillerton	War. 989
Piltona	Pilton	Rut. 916, 1030, 1103
Pincebek	Pinchbeck	Linc. 1209
Pinpre	Pimperne	Dors. 1411
Piperhargh'	Pepperharrow	Hants. 116
Pirho	Pirnhow	Norf. 695
Pleseley	Pleasley	Not. 62
Plessetum	Pleshey	Ess. 8, 797
Plumstede	Plumstead	Norf. 1823
Plunstede	Plumstead	Kent, 376, 474
Pokehulla	Poughill	Dev. 6
Pokeste		Northamp. 311
Polstede	Polstead	Suf. 295
Pons Burgi	Boroughbridge	York. 1468
Portesheued	Portishead	Som. 992
Porteslope	Postlip	Glouc. 1439
Portesmue	Portsmouth	Hants. 931
Poyntona	Ponton	Linc. 1049
Prestespidele	Piddle	Dors. Som. 1534
Prestona	Preston	Kent, 1771
Prestona	Preston	Suf. 639, 1916
Prestona	Preston	Sus. 1609
Prestona	Preston	York. 658
Prikewella	Pickwell	Leic. 1700
Pudingtona	Puddington	Bed. 246
Pukering	Pickering	York. 254
Puketorpe	Pockthorpe	York. 1194
Pultona		War. 109
Punninges		Norf. 672
Putta, la		Staf. 1425
Puttelega	Putley	Heref. 227

The numbers refer to the cases not to the pages.

Quappelode	Whaplode	Linc. 780
Quedich'		Corn. 1015
Quentona	Quinton	Glouc. 988
Querendona	Quarrendon	Bucks. 8
Querendona	Quarrington	Linc. 1073
Raddona		Corn. 143
Radecliue	Ratcliffe Culey	Leic. 1305, 1335
Radecliue	Radcliffe	Lanc. (?) 273
Radefordia	Radford	War. 594
Radewella		Ox. 1326
Radinges	Reading	Berks. 201, 842, 1363
Raghtona	Raughton	Cumb. 1155
Rameseia	Ramsey	Hunt. 1716
Ramsdena	Ramsden	Ess. 1485
Randes	Raunds	Northamp. 1236
Ranesholm		York. 1859
Rasne	Market Rasen	Linc. 638
Ratfordia	Radford	Not. 329
Rauenestendale	Ravenstonedale	Westm. 995
Rauenestorpe	Ravensthorpe	Northamp. 1662
Raueningeham	Raveningham	Norf. 968
Raytulphage		Norf. 1839
Red	Reed	Herts. 448
Reddenhale	Redenhall	Norf. 1805
Reimerstona	Reymerstone	Norf. 1256
Rekesdona	Roxton (?)	Bed. 41
Remmesbiria	Ramsbury	Wilt. 1282
Renham	Rainham	Ess. 834
Reples'	Ripple	Kent, 237
Rethesheye	Rotherhithe	Sur. 802
Rideware	Mavesyn Ridware	Staf. 1976
Riggeby	Wragsby	Linc. 909
Rindham	Rendham	Suf. 1240, 1912
Riple	Ripple	Kent, 237, 1333, 1659
Rippelegha	Ripple	Worc. 536
Riptona	Ripton Abbott	Hunt. 360
Risebroc	Rushbrook	Suf. 417
Risele	Riseley	Bed. 1741
Riseuilla	Risboro'	Buck. 1734
Risinge	Castle Rising	Norf. 1257, 1663
Ristona	Riston	Norf. 672
Rochamstede		Herts. or Heref. 653, 676
Roctona	Boughton (?)	Kent, 1773
Rodlinge	Ridlington (?)	Rut. 665
Roffa	Rochester	Kent, 1763

The numbers refer to the cases not to the pages.

Roinges, see Roynges		
Rolleby	Rollesby	Norf. 374
Romerstona	Reymerston	Norf. 1925
Rongeham	Rougham (?)	Norf. 531
Roppes	Repps	Norf. 186
Rouceby	Roxby	Linc. 1728
Roullestona	Rolleston	Not. 1454
Roynges	Roding	Ess. 727, 1025, 1748
Rucham	Rougham	Norf. 100
Rucherheye	Rotherhithe	Sur. 878
Ruchtona	Rushton	Norf. 1378
Ruchtona	Rushton	Northamp. 1053
Ructona	Roxton	Bed. 581
Rudham	Roudham	Norf. 1252
Rugeleslegha	Rugeley	Staf. 1678, 1973
Rumbaldeswicus	Rumboldswyke	Sus. 1058
Rumenal	Romney	Kent, 1778
Rumeseia	Romsey	Hants. 460
Russewrthe	Rushton (?)	Northamp. 222
Russoc	Rushock	Worc. 250, 1266
Ruswrthe		Norf. 1826
Rye	Rye	Sus. 132
Ryse	Rise	York. 1551
Sabrictewrthe	Sawbridgeworth	Hert. 1643
Sacristona	Shackerstone	Leic. 1955
Sadbergum	Sedbergh	York. 1865
Sakelesfordia	Shackleford	Hants. 116
Saldena	Salden	Buck. 1014
Salhurst		Sus. 1745
Salle	Sall	Norf. 1798
Salopesbiria	Shrewsbury	Sal. 1472, 1629
Sanctus Austellus	St Austell	Corn. 596, 991
Sanctus Edmundus	Bury St Edmunds	Suf. 1692, 1905
Sanctus Iuo	St Ives	Hunt. 145, 291
Sanctus Neotus	St Neots	Hunt. 1720
Sanctus Sithuinus	St Stithians	Corn. 408
Sandestede	Sanderstead	Sur. 113
Sandewalle		Ox. 156, 1519
Sanrigge	Sandling (?)	Kent, 1274
Santerdona	Saunderton	Buck. 1389
Sapertona	Sapperton	Linc. 538
Sarchecroft	Seacroft (?)	York. 1856
Sardesleya		Ess. 1639
Sarisbiria	Salisbury	Wilt. 1235
Satcote		York. 256
Saundfordia	Sampford Courtenay	Dev. 170

The numbers refer to the cases not to the pages.

Saundres	Sanderstead (?)	Sur. 151
Saxlingeham	Saxlingham	Norf. 793
Saxtona	Sawston	Camb. 1260
Scales		Cumb. 249
Scandefordia		Leic. 79
Scandefordia		Linc. 308
Scardeburgum	Scarborough	York. 16, 907
Scaudefordia	Shalford	Sur. 913
Seburgham	Sebergham	Cumb. 1153
Sechefordia	Sedgeford	Norf. 721
Sefeldia	Shefford (?)	Berk. 1176
Seffordia	Shelford	Camb. 1264
Segebroc	Sedgebrook	Linc. 213
Sekehale	Sykehouse	York. 1194
Semare	Seamer	York. 907
Serleby	Serlby	Not. 1708
Seyle	Over or Nether Seal	Leic. 1758
Shaghe	Shaw	Berk. 636
Shaghebiria	Shawbury	Sal. 1226
Shamele	Shamwell	Kent, 821
Sharentona	Sharrington	Norf. 1811
Sharnefordia	Sharnford	Leic. 1968
Sharpetoft		York. 1855
Sharpham	Shropham	Norf. 1704
Shaudewella	Showell (?)	Ox. 880
Shaustona	Slawston (?)	Leic. 1946
Sheftlinge	Sweffling	Suf. 1212
Shelford		Lanc. 449
Sheltona	Earshilton	Leic. 1953
Sheltona		Norf. 1807
Sheltona		Northamp. 648
Shelwude		Sur. 1661
Sheneleffeldia	Shenfield	Ess. 1742
Shepe	Sheepy	Leic. 1958
Shepedena	Shipdham	Norf. 1802
Sheperigge		Wilt. 1277
Shepertona	Shepperton	Mid. 400
Sheppelegha		Dev. 422
Shiptona	Shipton	Glouc. 1101, 1651
Shireduna		Bed. 761
Shiringtona	Sherington	Berk. 867
Shitlinduna	Shitlington	Bed. 385
Shornes	Shorne	Kent, 821, 1419
Shottona	Shotton	Sal. 737
Shukedena		1192
Shyrewilla	Sherwill	Dev. 977
Sibbefordia	Sibford Gower	Ox. 212

The numbers refer to the cases not to the pages.

Sichesgehale	Sicklinghall	Ess. (York. ?) 158
Sikelingehale	Sicklinghall	Ess. (York. ?) 158
Silkestona	Silkstone	York. 1169
Silueho	Silpho	York. 1885
Simgham	Shingham	Norf. 562
Simplinges	Shimpling	Norf. 1795
Sipeham		Buck. 12
Siuena, aqua de	Seven	York. 254
Siwefordia	Swerford	Ox. 1022
Skeclinge	Sheckling	York. 964
Skeleduna	Sheldon	Dev. 862
Skenefrith	Skenfrith, Mon	Heref. 1330
Skerringe'		Norf. Suf. 369
Skynaunt	Skinnand	Linc. 538
Skyrebe	Skirbeck	Linc. 659
Slalegha	Sloley (?)	Norf. 1378
Slifeld		Sur. 1348
Smalebere	Smallburgh	Norf. 154
Smalebroke		Wilt. 530
Smethwicus	Smethwick	Staf. 362
Smitham		Dev. 290
Smithenecota		Dev. 1575, 1642
Snitefeld	Snitterfield	War. 714
Sockebroc		Corn. 143
Sotisdona	Soddington, Worc. (?)	Sal. 1288
Soukudinton	S. Cuddington	Ox. 634
Spaudlinge	Spalding	Linc. 1209
Spauntona	Spaunton	York. 254
Speingtorpe	Spennithorne (?)	York. 1200
Spitelgate	Spittlegate	Linc. 1210
Sproultona	Sproughton	Suf. 310
Sproutona	Sproughton	Suf. 508, 795
Sproxtona	Sproxton	York. 749
Staffordia	Stafford	Staf. 479
Standiche	Standish	Lanc. 1386
Stanefend	Stanfield	Suf. 66
Stanes	Staines	Buck. 18
Stanewella	Stanwell	Mid. 342
Stanfordia, see Staundfordia		
Stanfordia	Stanford	Norf. 194
Stanhale	Stonnall	Staf. 823
Stanhale		Wilt. 382
Stanlegha	Stoneleigh	War. 1063
Stanmere	Stanmore	Mid. 394, 716, 936, 1554
Stanstedia	Stanstead	Ess. 1488
Stantona		York. 1223

The numbers refer to the cases not to the pages.

Stanwella	Stanwell	Mid. 528
Stanwicus	Stanwick	Northamp. 971
Stapelfordia	Stapleford	Leic. 1949
Staples	Staple Fitzpaine	Som. 853
Stauertona	Staverton	Northamp. 31
Stauertona	Staverton	Suf. 1443
Stauertona	Staverton	Wilt. 463
Staundfordia	Stamford	Northamp. 332, 512, 1214
Stauntona	Long Stanton (?)	Hunt. 316
Stauntona		Dev. 521, 603
Stauntona		Norf. 937
Stauwella	Stanwell	Mid. 1287
Stebbeye, see Stibbeheye		
Stepinges	Steeping	Linc. 944, 1102
Sterstona	Sturstona	Norf. 1674
Stibbeheye	Stepney	Mid. 150, 383, 748, 1029
Stinekeya corr. Stiuekeya	Stiffkey	Norf. 188, 1830
Stiuele	Stewkley (?)	Buck. 1318
Stiuingtona	Steventon	Berk. 272, 393
Stock	Stoke	Kent, 1302
Stoclande	Stockland	Dors. 1312
Stockingeford	Stockingford	War. 583
Stoctona	Staughton	Hunt. 629
Stoctona	Stoughton	Sus. 350
Stodfoldia	Stotfold	Bed. 1607
Stodhale	Stedham (?)	Sus. 1395
Stoke	Stoke-Pogis	Buck. 1011
Stoke	Stoke St Milburgh	Sal. 590
Stokeby	Stokesby	Norf. 1794, 1841
Stokes	Stoke	Hants. 395
Stokingtham		Sur. 44
Stortfordia	Bishop's Stortford	Herts. 275
Stottesdona	Stottesdon	Sal. 1224
Stowe		Suf. 1929
Straby	Strubby	Linc. 1092
Stratfordia	Stony Stratford	Buck. 619
Stratfordia		Rut. 1501
Stratfordia	Stratford	Suf. 125
Stratle	Streatley	Berks. 8
Strattona	Sturton (?)	Not. 433, 628
Strattona	Stretton	Rut. 926
Strattona	Stretton	War. 813
Strediate	Stradsett	Norf. 1159
Stredlegha	Streatley	Bed. 518
Strestuna	Sturston	Norf. 642
Strete		Kent, 1772

The numbers refer to the cases not to the pages.

Strettona	Stretton	War. 813
Strode		Sus. 525
Strodes	Strood	Kent, 821
Stulbe		Hants. 87
Sturemuwe	Stourmouth	Kent, 178, 1596, 1768
Sturminstre	Sturminster Marshall	Dors. 71, 1349
Sturstona	Sturston	Norf. 1673
Sturstuna	Stuston	Suf. 642
Sturtona	Stratton (?)	Glouc. 840
Stybeney	Stepney	Mid. 701
Styrston	Sturston	Norf. 968
Suafham	Swaffham	Norf. 1837
Suanescampus	Swanscombe	Kent, 983
Subertona		Hants. 468
Suchgmere		Bed. 1133
Suckethorn	Dethick (?)	Derb. 486
Sudbiri	Sudbury	Suf. 1162
Sudcylue		Not. 959
Sudecota	Southcot	Berk. 1537
Suhamtona	Southampton	Hants. 67, 267, 324, 1123, 1405, 1580
Suinesle	Swinley	Berks. 998
Suinuertona	Swinnerton	Staf. 1300
Sumerfordia	Somerford	Wilt. 1437
Sumerlande		Som. 446
Sumertona		Norf. 1816
Sumertona	Somerton	Oxf. 450
Sumertona	Somerton	Suf. 1095
Sunninges	Sonning	Berks. 1115
Sunthetona		York. 1861
Sutfellonde		York. 771
Suthbrent	S. Brent	Som. 1170
Suthingtona	Siddington (?)	Glouc. 1134
Suthlenn	South Lynn	Norf. 83
Suthluffenham	S. Luffenham	Rut. 1020
Suthpikeham	S. Pickenham	Norf. 188
Suthstedia	Sustead	Norf. 937
Suthwerk	Southwark	Sur. 1027, 1461
Suthefeldia		War. 904
Suttona	Sutton Courtney	Berk. 164
Suttona	Sutton	Ess. 1566
Suttona	Sutton	Kent, 102, 1581
Suttona	Sutton	Leic. 1964
Suttona	Sutton	Mid. 493
Suttona	Sutton	Salop. 504
Suttona	Sutton Montis	Som. 1635, 1722
Suttona	Sutton Mandeville	Wilts. 941

The numbers refer to the cases not to the pages.

Sutwalesham	S. Walsham	Norf. 1817
Sutwick	Southwick	Northamp. 1206
Suwelle	Southwell	Nots. 324
Suwerc, see Suthwerk		
Suwic	Southwick	Hants. 1556
Swanitona	Swannington	Leic. 1947
Swin	Swine	York. 256
Syelmeston	Selmeston	Sus. 114
Tainlande		Kent, 1218
Tallewurth	Talworth	Sur. 115
Tamlande		Kent, 1140
Tasselegha	Tasley	Salop. 1590
Tatecastrum	Tadcaster	York. 1081
Tatestona	Tattingstone	Suf. 207
Tatintona	Tattingstone	Suf. 984, 1892
Tattesete	Tattersett	Norf. 1809
Taystede	Thaxted	Ess. 1526
Techeswella	Titchwell	Norf. 500
Teffordia	Thetford	Norf. 650
Tenderugge	Tendring	Ess. 559
Tendringe	Tendring	Ess. 996
Terling	Terling	Ess. 563
Tettewrthe	Tetsworth	Ox. 1142, 1158
Teuelby		Lanc. (?) 234
Thama	Thame	Ox. 1142, 1711
Thamhurst		Kent, 1756
Thedmerse	Tidmarsh	Berk. 1573, 1649
Theit	Thwaite	Norf. 159, 1525
Thekene		Northamp. 1550
Thetelthorp	Theddlethorpe	Linc. 689
Thetenduna	Thitenhall (?)	Buck. 633
Thibertoft	Theberton (?)	Suf. 749
Thichibrom		Suf. 698
Thishou	Tysoe	War. 854
Thopesfeld	Toppesfield	Ess. 45
Thorendona	Thorndon	Suf. 1904
Thoresby	Thornby	Northamp. 730
Thoringe	Tarring	Sus. 142
Thorlega		Ess. 1021
Thorne		Som. (?) 1722
Thornebiria	Thornbury	Dev. 902
Thorneham, see Turneham		
Thorpe	Thorp Langton	Leic. 955, 1957
Thorpe	Thorpe	Northamp. 116, 377, 1548
Thotintona	Tottington	Norf. 21

The numbers refer to the cases not to the pages.

Thudingduna	Toddington	Bed. 399
Thurlokesope		Heref. 1314
Thurmedestona	Thurmaston	Leic. 1971
Thurneby	Thornby	Northamp. 76
Thykeham	Twickenham (?)	Mid. 966
Tiderintona	Titherington	Glouc. 1449
Tikenhulla	Tickhill	York. 127, 1206
Tilicota		Herts. 1017
Tillebiria	Tilbury	Ess. 2
Tinemue	Tynemouth	Northumb. 226
Tittona		Linc. 659
Tiuerscumba	Twiscomb (?)	Dev. 755
Toftes	Tofts	Norf. 784
Tokwic	Todwick	York. 1877
Tolleslund } Tollestona }	Tolleshunt	Ess. 1304, 1371, 1555
Tong	Tong	Sal. 305
Toppecroft	Topcroft	Norf. 799, 874
Toppefeld	Toppesfield, Ess. (?)	Suf. 1898
Tostoke	Tostock	Suf. 312
Totenesse	Totnes	Dev. 786, 1147
Totham	Tottenham	Mid. 451
Totingtona	Tuttington	Norf. 1824
Toulleshuthe, see Tolleslund		
Treberned'		Corn. 1054
Tredeiset'		Corn. 1054
Treeng'	Tring	Hert. 192
Tregar		Corn. 894
Tregenegethwic'		Corn. 596, 991
Treisac'		Heref. 1474
Trelley		Corn. 1054
Trenches, Parua		Herts. 1614
Trengestona	Thringstone	Leic. 1942
Treuelgan	Trevalgan	Corn. 165, 1512
Trillawe	Thurlow (?)	Suf. 427
Trowbrugge	Trowbridge	Wilt. 463
Trowlegha	Throwleigh	Dev. 1172
Trumpingtona	Trumpington	Camb. 288
Trykeby	Thrigby	Norf. 968
Tudenham	Tuddenham	Norf. 1840
Tudenham	Tuddenham	Suf. 312, 478, 698
Tuderle	Tytherley	Hants. 15
Tudingtona	Toddington	Bed. 607
Tukartona	Tuckerton	Som. 1718
Tullingtona	Tillington	Heref. 802
Tumbestona	Tunstall (?)	Norf. 1072
Tumby	Tumby	Linc. 1579

The numbers refer to the cases not to the pages.

Tunbrugge		Glouc. 567
Tunethorpe		Linc. 357
Tunge	Tong	Sal. 305
Tungerlande		York. 1876
Tuppeholm	Tupholme	Linc. 445
Turkeleby	Thirkleby	York. 1197
Turleby	Thurleby	Linc. 1203
Turneham	Thornham (?)	Kent, 679, 1171, 1765
Tutingdona		Buck. 1398
Twinstede	Twinstead	Ess. 1317
Twyfordia		Worc. 970
Tylneye	Tilney	Norf. 1799
Tyrintona		Linc. 1460
Upfordia		Hunt. 1201
Uphauene	Upavon	Wilt. 750
Upministre	Upminster	Ess. 148, 1322
Upsiteling		Dors. 328
Uptona	Upton	Worc. 536
Uptona	Upton	Linc. 1045
Urchinefeldia	Archinfield	Heref. 1474
Useby	Usselby	Linc. 1049
Utcistona		Kent, 163
Uttefordia		Dors. 831
Vetus Vicus	Old Street	Mid. 686
Wachefordia	Washford	Som. 956
Wackinge	Woking	Sur. 416
Wadbergum	Wadborough	Worc. 1588
Waddona	Whaddon	Camb. 4
Wadefordia		Som. 466
Waderingfeuldia		Suf. 698
Waffeldia	Washfield	Dev. 1367
Waffordia	Watford	Herts. 1630
Wainfleit	Wainfleet	Linc. 733, 822
Wakebrigia		Derb. 486
Wakerlega	Wakerley	Northamp. 43
Waleby	Walesby	Linc. 947
Waledena	Walden	Ess. 1007
Walemere	Walmer	Kent, 1506
Waleshale	Walsall	Staf. 1583, 1733
Waletona	Wallington	Sur. 843, 1284
Waletona	Walton	York. 1849
Walewardia		War. 1052
Walewrthe	Walworth	Sur. 1570
Wallingfordia	Wallingford	Ox. 520, 827

The numbers refer to the cases not to the pages.

Walsingeham	Walsingham	Norf. 1238, 1840
Waltlintona	Watlington	Ox. 1363, 1589
Wanetinge	Wantage	Berk. 1164, 1220
Wanrugge	Windridge	Herts. 870
Wappinges	Wapping	Mid. 482
Wargeby	Wragsby	Linc. 472
Wassingelegha	Washingley	Hunt. 1079
Waskerle	Waskerley	Northumb. 323
Watele	Wheatley	Not. 1111
Watercroft	Wheatcroft (?)	Derb. 486
Wathamstede	Walthamstead	Herts. 228, 891, 953
Wathfordia	Watford	Herts. 713
Wauertona	Waverton, War. (?)	Leic. 1305, 1335
Wauteby		Sus. 546
Wautham	Waltham, Great	Ess. 617, 663, 870
Waybrigia	Weybridge	Sur. 99
Waxtunesham	Waxham	Norf. 557, 1793
Wdestoke	Woodstock	Ox. 1153
Webrugge	Weybridge	Norf. 835
Wechefordia	Washford	Som. 1657
Wedmora	Wedmore	Som. 1170
Weduna	Weedon	Bucks. 510, 579
Welintona	Wellington	Sal. 1226
Well'	Wells	Norf. 883, 1835
Welletona	Welton	Linc. 1035
Welnetham	Whelnetham	Suf. 851
Wendesle	Wensley	York. 1156
Wendouere	Wendover	Bucks. 576, 626, 646, 1465
Wengham	Wingham	Kent, 1790
Wepstede	Whepstead	Suf. 1647
Werckwrthe	Warkworth	Northumb. 895
Weresdona	Whorwelsdown	Wilts. 775
Werministrum	Warminster	Wilt. 1354
Westanostige		Dev. 826
Westbretona	W. Bretton	York. 1844
Westdereby	West Derby	Lanc. 449
Westecota	Wescott	Buck. 1396
Westerham	Westerham	Kent, 262
Westerlinna	West Lynn	Norf. 1251
Westhale		Sur. 1141
Westham	Westham	Sus. 160, 539
Westhamme	West Ham	Ess. 701, 1477
Westharpetre	West Harptree	Som. 992
Westmonasterium	Westminster	Mid. 726, 1568
Westona	Weston Turville	Bucks. 879
Westona	Alconbury Weston	Hunt. 1124

The numbers refer to the cases not to the pages.

Westona	Weston Longville	Norf. 420, 1833
Westona	Weston	Northamp. 202
Westona	Weston	Ox. 105
Westona	Edith Weston	Rut. 962
Westona		Mid. 1544
Westrudham	W. Rudham	Norf. 1624
Westtrasene	W. Rasen	Linc. 1415
Wetherefeuld	Wethersfield	Ess. 387
Wethulla	Wheathill	Sal. 590
Wettesdena		Berks. 164
Whytestuna	Whitestone	Dev. 755
Wibbebyria	Wembury (?)	Dev. 1149
Wicham		Hert. 1702
Wicham		Kent, 893
Wicham	Wickham	Sus. 709
Wichehulla		Rut. 80
Wickefordia	Wickford	Ess. 364
Wicliue	Wycliffe, York. (?)	Linc. 1418
Wicumba	Wycombe	Buck. 1167
Widehaye	Woodhay	Hants. 92
Widekesho	Whixoe (?)	Suf. 1244
Wigehale	Wiggenhall	Norf. 836
Wigintona	Wigginton	Herts. 1336
Wigornia	Worcester	Worc. 233, 1080, 1189, 1227
Wika	Wick	Glouc. 1229
Wike		Norf. 1834
Wikes		Dev. 1121
Wileby	Wilby	Norf. 1382
Wilingham	Willingham	Camb. 663
Willingtona	Wilmington (?)	Kent, 1766
Willintona		Not. 901
Wilne	Wilne	Not. 25
Wiltingeham		Dors. 1540
Wimbeldona	Wimbledon	Sur. 73
Winchendon	Winchendon	Buck. 1500
Windecareflet		Linc. 174
Windefordia		Linc. 174
Windesore	Windsor	Berks. 684, 1431
Windlesham	Windlesham	Surr. 416, 769
Wineferling	Winfarthing	Norf. 890
Winellega		Mid. 338
Winestona		Heref. 990
Winestuna		York. 685
Winewic	Winwick	Northamp. 1297
Winterburnia		Ox. 372
Winterburnia Fercles		Dors. 363

The numbers refer to the cases not to the pages.

Wintonia	Winchester	Hants. 50, 201, 294, 324, 375, 454, 1170, 1315
Wintonia	Winstone (?)	Glouc. 1166
Witelegha	Whitley	Northumb. 1715
Witenhoc		Corn. 1015
Witham	Wytham	Berks. 183
Witheringeskate	Wetheringsett	Suf. 1890
Withfeudia		Ess. 221
Withintona	Whittington	Northumb. 895
Withona	Wotton Abbas (?)	Dors. 1731
Witnesse	Whitnash	War. 1629
Wittingeshete	Whissonsett	Norf. 1812
Wittona	Witton	York. 1680
Wiuelingeham		Linc. 1629
Wlenhale		War. 1683
Wlfhus		Rut. 230
Wlfretona		Heref. 1232
Wlingresty		Linc. 1093
Wllingeham	Willingham	Suf. (?) 782
Wlsintona	Woolston	Glouc. 1002
Wltrope	Woolsthorpe	Linc. 1092, 1093
Wlwardia		War. 1613
Wockinges	Woking	Sur. 769
Wodefordia	Woodford	Ess. 1681
Wokindona		Ess. 370
Wolauigtona	Wormleighton (?)	War. 934
Wottesdona	Wotton (?)	Buck. 1396
Wrengle	Wrangle	Linc. 107, 122
Wretinges	Wratting	Suf. 1668
Writele	Writtle	Ess. 1099
Wrochinge	Wratting	Camb. 1258
Wrokeshale	Wraxall	Som. (?) 560, 573
Wrotham	Wortham	Suf. 1245
Wrthe		Berks. 1034
Wuburnia	Woburn	Bucks. 845
Wudecroft		Northamp. 440
Wudehus	Woodhouse	York (?) 1196
Wudetona		Heref. 365
Wulfrestuna	Woolston (?)	Lanc. 613
Wultertona	Wolterton	Norf. Suf. 369
Wultona		Wilt. 382
Wuluelegha	Woolley (?)	York. 1863
Wunhers	Wonersh	Sur. 913
Wurle	Worle	Som. 86, 1541
Wuttona	Wootton	Northamp. 648
Wuuelestede	Wyverstone	Suf. (?) 782
Wycham	Wickham, West	Camb. 881

The numbers refer to the cases not to the pages.

Wycumba	Wycombe	Bucks. 285, 520
Wygornia, see Wigornia		
Wyka	Week (?)	Corn. 1070, 1696
Wyk	Wyke Regis	Dors. 1495
Wyletona	Willington	Derb. 51
Wylielega		Mid. 338
Wylig	Willey	Heref. 271
Wylingduna	Willingdon	Sus. 539
Wyllinghale	Willingale	Ess. 841
Wymbisse	Wimbish	Ess. 243
Wymundeham	Wymondham	Leic. 1950, 1959
Wymundesham	Wymondham	Norf. 321
Wyndesores	Windsor	Berks. 295, 824
Wyndlesham	Windlesham	Sur. 769
Wynemundele	Wymondley	Herts. 816
Wynepol	Wimpole	Camb. 1578
Wynterburnia	Winterbourn	Berk. 1549
Wynterburnia	Winterbourne	Wilts. 1174
Wyntonia, see Wintonia		
Wyrham	Wereham	Norf. 985
Wythechurche	Whitchurch	Hants. 1237
Wyuelestede	Wyverstone (?)	Suf. 782
Yecham	Ickham	Kent, 499
Yellestede	Elmsted (?)	Kent, 476
Yrnam	Irnham	Linc. 1737

INDEX OF PERSONS.

The numbers refer to the cases not to the pages.

Abbe, Beatricia uxor Galfridi le, 1457
Galfridus le, 1457
Oliuerus le, 1367
Robertus le, 1457
Willelmus le, 1367
Abbedestona, Willelmus de, 1082
Willelmus filius Willelmi de, 1082
Abbeduna, Abbas de, 794
Abbendona, Petrus de, 1020
Abbendonia *vide* Abingdonia.
Abbetot, Osbertus de, 250
Abbetota, Galfridus de, 1262
Abel, Magister, 1221
Abetoft, Johannes de, 904, 1757
Margeria uxor Johannes de, 1757
Abingdonia, Abbendonia, Abbas de, 229, 967, 1078, 1139, 1185, 1537
Achard', Robertus, 302
Acholt, Thomas de, 255
Acra, Prior del, 64
Acra, Willelmus del, 1243
Acre, Walterus del, 1838
Acstede, Rolandus de, 262, 546, 477
Actona, Agnes uxor Roberti de, 1564
Robertus de, 1564
Advocatus, Alicia uxor Baldewini, 1220
Baldewinus, 1220
Robertus, 1220
Aeincurt, Oliuerus de, 1045
Aete, Ayete, Willelmus de, 758
Aeyncanc *vide* Aeyncurt.
Aeyncurt, Aeyncanc, Johannes de, 62
Affrica, Rannulfus de, 763
Robertus filius Rannulfi de, 763
Agathe, S., Abbas, 1865
Age, Willelmus de, 1820
Agnes, Hugo, 20
Aguillun, Agwillun, Johanna uxor Willelmi, 1302
Reginaldus, 189
Ricardus, 189
Willelmus, 1302
Willelmus filius Ricardi, 189
Agwillun *vide* Aguillun.
Aignaus, Robertus de, 255
Ailer, Alicia uxor Johannis le, 748
Isabella filia Johannis le, 748
Johannes le, 748
Aimers, Robertus de, 431
Aisho *vide* Ayssa.
Akelegha, Henricus de, 1145
Alason, Herbertus de, 1921
Albamarlia *vide* Albemarlia.
Alba Marlia, Robertus de, 755
Albani, S., Abbas, 102, 226, 394, 485, 561, 713, 997, 1554, 1715
Albaniaco, Albiniaco, Alboniaco, Henricus de, 532
Hugo de, 1127
Willelmus de, 102, 307, 772
Albano, S., Nicholaus de, 177
Albe, Elias, 31
Albemar', Rannulfus de, 958
Albemarl', Randulfus de, 624
Albemarla, Reginaldus de, 54

The numbers refer to the cases not to the pages.

Albemarlia, Albamarlia, Abbas de, 1061, 1874
Willelmus de, 1367
Albemarlie, Comes, 926, 964, 1060, 1061, 1273, 1637, 1671
Engelr' de, 964
Albeniaco, Philippus de, 1117
Albetoft, Johannes, 714
Albeuilla *vide* Auleuilla.
Albingeswurthe, Gilebertus de, 40, 126
Albiniaco *vide* Albaniaco.
Albo Monasterio, Alicia uxor Reginaldi de, 1549, App. 5
Matillis de, 59, 1559
Reginaldus de, 68, 1239, 1549, App. 5
Thomas de, 1482
Willelmus de, 1559
Alboniaco *vide* Albaniaco.
Albricus, Comes, 848, 881, 1488
Albyniaco, Hugo de, 1213
Aldeford, Alrefordia, Bartholomeus de, 484
Aldegate, Terricus de, 383, 482
Aldemanebyria *vide* Aldermannebiria.
Aldemannestona, Robertus de, 842
Aldermannebiria, Aldemanebyria, Andemanebiria, Alanus de, 692
Jeruasius de, 550, 692
Willelmus de, 692
Aldham, Adam de, 465
Johanna de, 465
Johannes filius Ade de, 465
Aldilegha *vide* Aldithelegha.
Aldithelega, Henricus de, 94, 95, 1024
Aldithelegha, Aldilegha, Henricus de, 1059, 1225
Aldreby, Alanus de, 689
Aldremanebiria, Agnes uxor Geruasii de, 1001
Geruasius de, 1001
Aldrethe, S., Godefridus balliuus, 20
Godefridus senescallus, 28
Aleman, Johannes le, 309
Alemannie, Imperator, 1095
Alencestria, Abbas de, 1387
Alencon, Alenton', Herbertus de, 968
Alencun, Alnen', Herbertus de, 644, 731, 1212, 1706
Alenton' *vide* Alencon.
Alenzun, Herbertus de, 1240
Ricardus de, 1187
Alexander, Papa, 1095
Alicia, Comitissa, 1658, 1745
Alionara, Comitissa, 1164
Alis, Rogerus, 1013
Alkeby, Robertus de, 783
Alkereby *vide* Ascheby.
Allegate, Edricus de, 584
Willelmus de, 584
Almchechurche, Emma de, 1234
Alnen' *vide* Alencun.
Alnestowe, Abbatissa de, 1608
Alneto, Auney, Henricus de, 965
Jordanus de, 922, 1050
Simon de, 45
Alre, Radulfus de, 667, 1537
Alrefordia *vide* Aldeford.
Alta Ripa, Adam de, 338, 1286
Alto Bosco, Petrus de, 102
Aluertona, Robertus de, 1153
Aluitelegha, Laurencius de, 1233
Amando, S., Almaricus de, 1117, 1157, 1161, 1580
Isolda uxor Almarici de, 1580
Ambrebiria, Willelmus de, 1228
Ambresbiria, Prior de, 1561
Priorissa de, 1139
Amundauilla *vide* Amundeuilla.
Amundeuilla, Amundauilla, Elia de, 615
Nigallus de, 269, 658
Robertus de, 251
Andemanebiria *vide* Aldermannebiria.
Andrea, S., Matillis uxor Saheri de, 818
Nicholaus de, 945
Saherus de, 818
Andree, S., Prior, 1033
Anes, Sarra de, 1452
Anestay, Willelmus de, 1848

The numbers refer to the cases not to the pages.

Aneuilla, Jordanus de, 344
Anglicus, Gilebertus, 225
Stephanus, 40, 1360
Anguill', Reginaldus, 776
Anguillun, Johannes, 1118
Robertus, 1182
Anguilyn, Willelmus, 1120
Anhope, Johannes de, 243
Antrenon, Nicholaa uxor Rogeri de, 426
Rogerus de, 426
Anuilers, Robertus de, 486
Apelfordia, Johannes de, 229
Apostoles, Philippus des, 278
Appeldreffeud, Willelmus de, 262
Appelgar, Ricardus de, 404
Aquila, Gilebertus de, 44, 242, 539, 561, 1429
Araz, Margeria uxor Willelmi de, 701
Willelmus de, 701
Arceueske, Dionisia uxor Phillippi le, 324
Isemania uxor Philippi le, 324
Phillippus le, 324
Archer, Alditha ux. Johannis le, 1112
Johannes le, 1112
Ricardus le, 760
Sarra filia Johannis le, 1112
Simeon le, 119
Arches, Radulfus de, 370
Archidiaconus, Robertus, 287, 1842
Willelmus, 287, 983
Ardern' *vide* Arderne.
Arderna, Philippus de, 1680
Thomas de, 1609, 1613
Arderne, Ardern', Alina uxor Radulfi de, 1372
Eustachia de, 801
Eustachia uxor Thome de, 581
Lucia uxor Thome de, 738
Radulfus de, 738, 1372
Rogerus de, 1352
Thomas de, 284, 581, 738
Willelmus de, 1352
Ardulph, Willelmus, 1686
Argenteinn *vide* Argentham.
Argenten, Johannes de, 290
Argenten, Ricardus de, 1117
Argentham, Argenteinn, Agnes uxor Rogeri de, 1090
Ricardus de, 295
Rogerus de, 1090
Arsic, Arsyc, Anna uxor Osberti, 638
Osbertus, 251, 638, 935
Radulfus, 638
Robertus, 605, 935
Sibilla uxor Roberti, 605
Arsit', Robertus de, 1373
Arsyc *vide* Arsic.
Arthele, Willelmus de, 155
Arundel, Johannes filius Nicholai de, 908
Nicholaus de, 908
Thomas de, 908
Willelmus de, 893, 1054
Arundel' *vide* Arundele.
Arundele, Arundel', Comes, 384, 893, 997, 1273
Ascheby, Askereby, Alkereby, Robertus de, 1509
Asegareby, Beatricia uxor Henrici de, 1843
Henricus de, 1843
Askereby *vide* Ascheby.
Aslakestan, Anselmus filius Petri de, 1399
Petrus de, 1399
Aspele, Alicia uxor Johannis de, 317
Johannes de, 317
Attebergh', Dulcia uxor Willelmi, 767
Michael filius Willelmi, 767
Willelmus, 767
Willelmus filius Willelmi, 767
Attegate, Alicia uxor Willelmi, 768
Willelmus, 768
Attehasse, Margareta filia Roberti, 1822
Robertus, 1822
Umfridus filius Roberti, 1822
Atteponde, Ademundus, 340
Attewalle, Ilwinus, 1489
Philippus filius Ilwini, 1489
Willelmus filius Ilwini, 1489
Attewell', Emma uxor Willelmi, 491

The numbers refer to the cases not to the pages.

Attewell', Willelmus, 491
Auberuilla, Hugo de, 1506
  Robertus de, 11
  Willelmus de, 333, 631, 1506
Auder', Rogerus de, App. 2
Audingtona, Matillis uxor Stephani de, 1768
  Stephanus de, 1768
Auen', Rogerus le, 897
Auenant, Johannes, 1312
Auencurt, Oliuerus de, 845
Auenel, Nicholaus, 1354
  Oliuerus, 54
Auenestowe *vide* Auunestowe.
Auerintona, Dauid filius Thome de, 1157, 1161
  Thomas de, 1157, 1161
Auerenches, Auerenges, Cecilia de, 1566
  Hawisia filia Matillidis de, 170, 1569
  Matillis de, 170, 1569
  Matillis uxor Willelmi de, 12
  Willelmus de, 12, 333, 1571
Auerenges *vide* Auerenches.
Auge *vide* Augy.
Auges, Willelmus de, 1813
Augo *vide* Augy.
Augustini, S., Bristollii, Abbas, 1166
  Cantuarie, Abbas, 474
Augy, Auge, Augo, Comitissa de, 127, 403, 549, 1110, 1658, 1745
  Willelmus de, 841
Aula, Willelmus de, 777
Auleuilla, Albeuilla, Ricardus de, 314
Aultona, Willelmus de, 923
Aumari, Robertus de, 318
Aumbely, Eudo de, 460
Aumbresbiria, Priorissa de, 553
Auners, Ada de, 489
  Robertus de, 512
Auney *vide* Alneto.
Aunfeillus, Aunfeill, Fuillus, Wassallus de, 1501
Aungele, Edwardus le, 1421
Aunnestowe, Auenestowe, Abbatissa de, 816, 948
Auntrenon, Nicholaa uxor Rogeri de, 408
  Rogerus de, 408
Aurabel *vide* Mirabel.
Aure, Aurre, Johannes filius Petri de, 67
  Petrus de, 67
  Radulfus de, 67, 922, 1050
Aurifaber, Alexander, 686
  Bartholomeus, 1480
  Eborardus, 451
  Euerardus, App. 6
  Galfridus, 10
  Henricus, 84, 1533
  Isabella uxor Eborardi, 451
  Johannes, 895
  Johannes filius Willelmi, 341
  Robertus, 652
  Seilda uxor Walteri, 359
  Walterus, 359
  Willelmus, 341
Aurre *vide* Aure.
Auteyn, Theobaldus, 1730
Auuertona, Robertus de, 1155
Auuilla, Amabilis uxor Gileberti de, 1507
  Gilebertus de, 1507
Axstede, Rolandus de, 1375
Ayete *vide* Aete.
Ayffede, Rollandus de, 40
Ayncurt, Nicholaa uxor Oliueri de, 503
  Oliuerus de, 503
Ayssa, Aisho, Alienora uxor Willelmi de, 948
  Willelmus de, 948
Ayuilla, Robertus de, 439

Baalun, Jollanus de, 731
Bacheler, Rogerus le, 1735
Bacheswurthe, Agnes filia Hugonis de, 1480
  Hugo de, 1480
Backeham, Hugo de, 473
Bacne, Johannes filius Simonis, 237
  Simon, 237
  Thomas filius Simonis, 237

The numbers refer to the cases not to the pages.

Bacun, Radulphus, 1641
Rogerus, 1721
Baddelegha, Augustinus de, 240
Galfridus de, 240
Badelegha, Galfridus de, 1426
Robertus filius Galfridi de, 1426
Badelekin, Serlo de, 1749
Badelesmara, Egidius de, 1333
Badhegh, Ernaldus, 1912
Gunnilda uxor Ernaldi, 1912
Hugo filius Ernaldi, 1912
Badialtona, Agnes uxor Alexandri de, 1008
Alexander de, 1008
Margeria uxor Reginaldi de, 1008
Reginaldus de, 1008
Bagetor', Rogerus de, 920
Baggesh' *vide* Baggeshec.
Baggeshec, Baggesh', Ricardus de, 10
Baggetorr', Ricardus de, 85
Baghot, Johannes, 95
Bagingedena, Alicia uxor Ricardi de, 365
Ricardus de, 365
Bagod, Dagod, 1975, 1980
Bagot, Heruicus, 1043
Margareta, 1043
Bagshote, Galfridus filius Henrici de, 998
Henricus de, 998
Baillas, Galfridus de, 127
Baillol, Hugo de, 432
Johannes de, 432
Baillol' Henricus de, 1128
Lora uxor Henrici de, 1128
Bailul, Joscelinus de, 636
Bainnard', Fulco, 303
Bainnard, Robertus, 1380
Baintona, Walterus de, 1040
Baiocis, Adam de, 720, 909
Agnes filia Johannis de, 761
Auicia uxor Willelmi de, 455
Elena uxor Thome de, 1267
Emma filia Johannis de, 761
Johannes de, 720, 761, 909, 1263
Robertus filius Johannis de, 1263
Thomas de, 1267
Baiocis, Willelmus de, 455, 720, 909
Balam, Walterus, 1613
Baldresby, Hugo de, 735
Balun, Johannes de, 1450
Balde, Henricus filius Walteri, 1670
Walterus, 1670
Baldewinus, Comes, 102
Balistona, Baldewinus de, 976
Balistarius, Margeria uxor Simonis, 541, 627
Semannus, 1514, 1969
Simon, 541, 627
Balk, Wymundus, 1361
Balle, Adam, 40
Balliolo, Deuorguilla uxor Johannis de, 1227
Henricus de, 1221
Johannes de, 1227, 1273
Banham, Radulphus de, 473
Baningewrthe, Gilbertus de, 1657
Willelmus filius Gilberti de, 1657
Bannebiria, Walterus de, 1265
Barbara, S., Robertus de, 1170
Barbere, Ricardus filius Siwardi le, 993
Siwardus le, 993
Bardenay, Abbas de, 445, 534, 535
Bardolf, Robertus, 1163
Willelmus, 1117
Bardulf, Thomas, 44
Bardulphe, Thomas, 1819
Bares, Reginaldus de, 1234
Baret, Agnes uxor Galfridi, 1041
Aluredus, 961
Galfridus, 1041
Gunulla uxor Aluredi, 961
Rogerus, 961
Baribus, Rannulfus de, 13
Baringtona, Galfridus de, 603
Barneby, Alicia uxor Rogeri de, 98
Johannes de, 1847
Juliana uxor Rogeri de, 98
Ricardus filius Rogeri de, 98
Rogerus de, 98
Barre, Gerardus, 455
Barringtona, Galfridus de, 521
Bars, Beatricia uxor Roberti de, 1956

The numbers refer to the cases not to the pages.

Bars, Brianus de, 1716
 Robertus de, 1956
Bart, Alexander, 1910
Barthingtona, Walterus de, 1850
Bartona, Emma uxor Willelmi de, 1199
 Ricardus de, 1613
 Willelmus de, 1199
Bascot, Thomas, 1640
Basinge, Basingh, Robertus de, 10, 1467
Basingeham, Johannes de, 1182
Basingh *vide* Basinge.
Baskereuilla, S. Georgii de, Abbas, 80, 962
 Walterus de, 1622
Baskeruilla, Thomas de, 732
Basset, Agnes uxor Reginaldi, 1109, 1493
 Alanus, 520, 1129
 Dauid, 1263
 Fulco, 1551
 Geua, 388, 1094
 Gilebertus, 750, 857, 1129, 1167, 1273
 Johanna filia Thurstani, 635
 Johannes, 1688
 Katerina uxor Warini, 354
 Osemundus, 1688
 Philippus, 857
 Radulfus, 781
 Reginaldus, 1109, 1493, 1944
 Ricardus, 72
 Robertus, 439
 Thomas, 101, 230, 513
 Thurstanus, 635
 Warinus, 354
 Willelmus, 165, 196, 234, 751, 1109, 1303, 1512, 1688
Bassingburnia, Alanus de, 1578
 Alexander de, 1578, 1817
 Johannes de, 696
 Warinus de, 731
 Warinus filius Warini de, 731
Bassingeburnia, Albreda uxor Warini de, 1831
 Nicholaus de, 948
Bassingeburnia, Warinus de, 1693, 1831
Bastard, Robertus, 764, 987
Bastlestuna, Galfridus de, 551
 Idonea uxor Galfridi de, 551
Bat, Alexander, 1940
Battaill', Robertus, 18
Bath', Hugo de, 512
Bathonia, Hugo de, 179, 612, 1310, 1406, 1623
 Osbertus de, 5
 Petrus de, 1036
 Willelmus de, 1410, 1544
Bathonie, Archidiaconus, 1200
Bathoniensis Prior, 866
Bathonienses Episcopi, 67, 141, 252, 407, 853, 866, 1117, 1170, 1354, 1379, 1436, 1615, 1752
Bauelingeham, Johannes de, 800
 Mabilia uxor Thome de, 1410, 1765
 Thomas de, 1410, 1765
Bauent, Michael de, 564, 1271
Bautesy, Matillis uxor Rogeri de, 364
 Rogerus de, 364
Bauzan, Johannes, 319
Beauchamp *vide* Bello Campo.
Beaunes, Michael de, 1254
 Willelmus de, 305
Beaupelle, Biaupelle, Reginaldus de, 1147
 Ricardus de, 1147
Beautoft, Byautoft, Petronilla uxor Rogeri de, 1510
 Rogerus de, 1510
Bec, Robertus de, 1978
 Vincensius de, 799
Beccles, Willelmus de, 1729
Becco, Abbas de, 1004, 1164, 1220
Becco, Burn', Eustachius de, 704
 Willelmus de, 704
Becham, Thomas de, 1806
Beche *vide* Pecche.
Beck, Willelmus, 1429
Beckerwella, Herinerus de, 1298
Beckles, Vincensius de, 874
Becunte, Henricus, 1697
Bedeford, Auicia filia Willelmi de, 611

The numbers refer to the cases not to the pages.

Bedeford, Willelmus de, 611
Bedefordie, Archidiaconus, 722
Bedel, Bedellus, Alanus, 1230
Gilebertus le, 1209
Ricardus, 85
Willelmus, 85
Bedellus *vide* Bedel.
Bedingefeuld, Adam de, 428
Bedingfeuld, Adam de, 1913
Constancia uxor Ade de, 1913
Begeleby, Elyas de, 1200
Beggeham, Abbas de, 525, 1274
Beieudehe, Galfridus, 1025
Beienflet, Brianus de, 47
Beingewrthe *vide* Benigwrd.
Beingtona, Decanus de, 847
Bekeneffeldia, Benedictus de, 1167
Belegraue, Ricardus de, 1301
Belet, Johannes, 1107
Margeria, 920
Robertus, 1107
Walterus filius Willelmi, 1427
Willelmus, 1427
Beletona, Elias de, 1947
Belewe, Alicia de, 734
Belhus, Ricardus de, 1485
Belinhal', Hugo de, 305
Bella Fago, Emma de, 245, 261, 493
Gyla uxor Martini de, 917
Martinus de, 917
Bello, Abbas de, 5, 253, 427, 1005, 1598, 1667
Bello Campo, Beauchamp, Elias de, 86, 1391, 1469, 1491, 1515
Eudo de, 350, 680
Gunnora uxor Willelmi de, 681, 682, 757
Isabella de, 1071
Johannes de, 681, 691, 757
Matillis uxor Willelmi de, 1764
Oto filius Willelmi de, 681
Philippus de, 458
Ricardus de, 648
Robertus de, 400
Sarra uxor Ricardi de, 648
Simon de, 1607
W. de, 1553
Bello Campo, Walterus de, 250, 1328
Willelmus de, 250, 681, 682, 757, 1055, 1071, 1133, 1448, 1612, 1764
Bello Loco, Abbas de, 468, 655, 728, 817
Bello Monte, Alicia de, 977
Philippus de, 197, 566, 977
Roesia uxor Thome de, 197
Thomas de, 197, 566
Bello, Pontis de, Abbas, 6
Bello Quercu, Henricus de, 1670
Beltoft, Petronilla uxor Rogeri de, 959
Bendenge *vide* Bendenges.
Bendenges, Bendenge, Radinges, Adam de, 1410
Alicia de, 156, 800, 880, 1519
Alicia uxor Ade de, 1410
Gunnora de, 1120, 1176, 1649
Juliana filia Gunnore de, 1176
Petrus filius Alicia de, 156
Stephanus de, 241
Bendeuilla, Alicia de, 77
Aluredus de, 93
Benedicti, S., de Holma, Abbas, 1451
Beneit, Willelmus, 878
Benette, Matillis de, 15
Benham, Bartholomeus de, 1813
Benigwrd, Beingewrthe, Gilebertus de, 1546
Sarra uxor Gileberti de, 1546
Benigewrthe, Willelmus de, 1093
Benigwrthe *vide* Beningworthe.
Beningeburgo, Walterus de, 22
Beningesworthe, Willelmus de, 956
Beningworthe, Benigwrthe, Gilebertus de, 944, 1102, 1211
Sarra uxor Gileberti de, 1102
Willelmus de, 1211
Willelmus filius Gileberti de, 944, 1102
Bentlega, Willelmus de, 51
Bercamstede, Hugo de Bathonia de, 179
Berchamstede, Henricus de, 1389
Berchanestona, Alicia filia Auicie de, 1958

The numbers refer to the cases not to the pages.

Berchanestona, Auicia de, 1958
Berchestona, Adam de, 1897
Ricardus de, 212
Simon de, 212, 1022
Berdefeuld, Galfridus Capellanus de, 617
Berdeslia, Abbas de, 1024
Bere, Alicia uxor Johannis de la, 1019
Alicia uxor Ricardi de la, 1438
Andreas filius Ricardi de la, 1438
Benedictus de, 831
Johannes de la, 1019
Ricardus de la, 288, 1438
Berefordia, Beatricia uxor Johannis de, 1824
Elias de, 1873
Johannes de, 1824
Juliana uxor Roberti de, 1873
Radulphus de, 1278
Ricardus de, 1873
Ricardus filius Roberti de, 220
Robertus de, 220, 1873
Sireda uxor Ricardi de, 1873
Berefray, Willelmus, 206
Berencestria, Prior de, 570, 1396
Bereuilla, Adam de, 76, 729
Claricia de, 385
Ela filia Johannis de, 729
Johannes de, 729
Ricardus de, 76
Bergh', Nicholaus de, 1832
Bergham, Hugo de la, 1436
Berham *vide* Rerham.
Beriche, Elena de, 1925
Berk', Nicholaus de, 275
Berkel' *vide* Berkele.
Berkelay, Robertus de, 1574
Thomas de, 1574
Berkele, Berkel', Berl', Robertus de, 1428
Willelmus de, 553
Berkelegha, Thomas de, 1166
Berkeley, Breckel, Breckl', Thomas de, 850, 860
Berkinge, Abbatissa de, 758
Ricardus de, 929
Berkinges, Aluredus de, 1564
Berkinges, Gilebertus de, 222
Isabella uxor Aluredi de, 1564
Radulfus de, 222
Ricardus de, 1516
Berl' *vide* Berkele.
Berlay, Henricus de, 1867
Nicholaus de, 1867
Berlingeham, Adam de, 1652
Bermicoste, Gerardus, 310
Bermundseia, Prior de, 853, 1379
Bernage, Johannes filius Radulfi, 831
Radulfus, 831
Bernake, Ricardus de, 514
Bernard *vide* Burnard.
Bernardcote, Robertus de, 51
Berne, Emma uxor Eustachii de, 1650
Eustachius de, 1650
Berneres, Galfridus filius Matillidis de, 1176
Johannes de, 1719
Juliana filia Matillidis de, 1176
Matillis de, 1176, 1573
Radulfus de, 1719
Berners, Isabella de, 327
Matillis de, 1120
Rogerus de, 1937
Berneual, Helewisa uxor Hugonis de, 526
Hugo de, 526
Berneuilla, Prior de, 999
Bernewella, Prior de, 103, 104
Bernham, Alicia uxor Walteri de, 110
Walterus de, 110
Berningeham, Burlingeham, Eustachius de, 355, 1928
Rogerus de, 355, 1928
Berningham, Eustachius de, 1932
Sarra de, 1932
Bernobus, Willelmus, 539
Bertona, Alanus de, 34, 1353
Petrus de, 1961
Roesia uxor Alani de, 34
Bertram, Adam, 895
Oliua uxor Philippi, 1313
Philippus, 1313
Ricardus, 361

The numbers refer to the cases not to the pages.

Berwe, Henricus de, 1225
Besacra, Johannes de, 1869
  Petrus de, 1869
Besebro, Johanna uxor Walteri de, 924
  Walterus de, 924
Besewilla, Ricardus de, 248
Besill', Mathias, 1136
Besilla, Mathias, 1141
Bestener', Bestenor', *vide* Bestenora.
Bestenora, Bestener', Bestenor', Martinus de, 70, 88
Bestfordia, Walterus de, 250
Besuilla *vide* Bosuilla.
Bethona, Baldewinus de, 1220
Bethuna, Comes de, 302
Bethune, Baldewinus de, 102
Beuercote, Fulco de, 224
  Rogerus filius Fulconis de, 224
  Simon filius Fulconis de, 224
Beuerlaco, Helewisa uxor Ricardi de, 1097
  Ricardus de, 1097
Beuerlegha, Precentor de, 722
Beuilla *vide* Bouilla.
Beynin, Thomas, 221
Beythorp, Matheus de, 212
Bezil, Matheus, 1109
Biaupelle *vide* Beaupelle.
Bicunt *vide* Buchoint.
Bidesdale, Bideshale, Bildesdale, Willelmus de, 1199
Bideshale *vide* Bidesdale.
Bidona, Amabilis de, 1612
Bidun, Amicabilis filia Johannis de, 648
  Amicia filia Johannis de, 648
  Ermiceda de, 648
  Johannes de, 648, 1072
  Sarra de, 1072
  Sarra filia Johannis de, 648
  Walterus de, 648
Bigod, Comes, 1674, 1755, 1813, 1827, 1828
  Hugo le, 695, 1036, 1827, 1828
  Isabella uxor Rogeri le, 533, 598
  Margeria la, 1279
Bigod, Rogerus, 1242, 1271, 1828
  Rogerus filius Hugonis le, 1827
  Rogerus le, 303, 533, 598, 694, 695, 754, 835, 1426, 1520, 1755, 1813
  Simon le, 373, 1813
  Thomas le, 1755
  Willelmus le, 89, 1279
Bigot, Isabella uxor Rogeri le, 698
  Rogerus, 22
  Rogerus le, 698
Bikelegha, Huwardus de, 1638
  Orgoillusa uxor Huwardi de, 1638
Bikestorpe, Matheus de, 651, 1130
Bikestrope, Matheus de, 764
Bil, Robertus, 373, 595
  Rogerus, 373
  Willelmus, 373
Bildesdale *vide* Bidesdale.
Billingeburgo, Johannes de, 441
Billingeh', Henricus de, 1092
  Willelmus de, 1092
Bilneya, Robertus de, 762
Binetr', Robertus de, 1075, 1709
  Thomas de, 1709
Bingham, Johannes de, 1784
Binham, Dinham, Prior de, 1238
Bintewrthe, Willelmus, 913
Biricholt, Alexander de, 1777
  Johannes de, 1777
  Rogerus de, 1777
Birketorpe, Walterus de, 211
Birkin, Birkyn, Agnes uxor Johannis le, 22, 60
  Johannes le, 22, 60
Birkyn *vide* Birkin.
Birlawe, Adam de, 432
  Albreda uxor Ade de, 432
Birlingham, Robertus filius Willelmi de, 1801
  Willelmus de, 1801
Birstona, Johannes de, 1423
Biscoyne, Byscoyne, Galfridus, 402
Biseche, Bisiche, Gilebertus de, 955
Biset, Auicia uxor Willelmi, 1550
  Henricus, 1831
  Isolda, 1320
  Isolda uxor Willelmi, 1580

The numbers refer to the cases not to the pages.

Biset, Johannes, 1580
Willelmus, 1550, 1580
Bisiche *vide* Biseche.
Bisseye, Galfridus de, 713
Bisshete, Thurstanus de, 498
Bissopegate, Prior de, 1523
Bissopesgate, hospitalis extra, Prior, 375
novi hospitalis de, Prior, 1594
Bissopestona, Fraricus de, 1229
Bissopestuna, Willelmus de, 281
Bisteche, Thurstanus de, 313
Bitaller, Ricardus le, 100
Blacarfot, Alicia uxor Radulfi, 726
Radulfus, 726
Blacbarfot, Alicia uxor Radulfi, 575
Radulfus, 575
Bladeworthe, Elyas de, 1193
Willelmus de, 1193
Bladewrthe, Adam de, 1196
Blake, Editha uxor Willelmi de, 1080
Willelmus de, 1080
Blakedona, Nicholaus de, 678
Blakeham, Thomas de, 700, 875
Blakelake, Henricus de, 1368
Blakeman, Walterus, 1254
Blaketoft, Phillippus de, 1852
Blakeuilla, Thomas filius Willelmi de, 1014
Willelmus de, 1014
Blakewella, Herbertus de, 780
Johannes filius Thome de, 789
Rannulfus de, 780
Thomas de, 780
Blancard, Ricardus, 251
Blecche, Lucas le, 1533
Blecchesdena, Ricardus de, 1168
Bler', Agnes filia Roberti de, 955
Alicia filia Roberti de, 955
Galfridus filius Roberti de, 955
Matillis filia Roberti de, 955
Robertus de, 955
Blochesduna, Ricardus de, 567
Bloiheu *vide* Bloyn.
Bloinuill' *vide* Blumuill'.
Blom, Michael le, 1583
Bloyeu *vide* Bloyn.
Bloyn, Bloiheu, Bloyeu, Geruasius, 894
Blumenhilla, Herbertus de, 1980
Blumuill', Bloinuill', Thomas, 376
Blund, Blundus, Adam, 1539
Agnes uxor Nicholai, 951, 1400
Andreas, 1679
Baldewinus, 1095
Cecilia uxor Willelmi, 1919
Heremannus filius Ricardi, 61
Hugo, 1961
Iuetta uxor Radulfi, 1383
Johannes, 339, 340, 1967
Johannes filius Johannis, 1967
Lecia uxor Willelmi le, 419
Matillis uxor Ricardi, 61
Nicholaus, 951, 987, 1400
Odo filius Baldewini, 1095
Radulfus, 1383
Reginaldus, 270
Ricardus, 61
Robertus, 1041
Simon, 674, 1029
Thomas, 1277
Willelmus, 210, 285, 419, 1687, 1919
Blundus *vide* Blund.
Bluntesduna, Waleraudus de, 789
Blunuilla, Thomas de, 1238
Bockemere, Rogerus de, 285
Bocklonde, Henricus de, 812
Boclande, Galfridus de, 1069, 1445, 1821, 1825, 1908
Matillis de, 1570
Willelmus de, 1571
Boclonde, Willelmus de, 12
Boclondo, Galfridus de, 243
Boctona, Auelina filia Roberti de, 1760
Henricus de, 1760
Isabella filia Roberti de, 1760
Robertus de, 1760
Bodeham, Philippus de, 1489
Willelmus de, 1489
Bodekelham, Galfridus de, 805
Bodekesham, Henricus de, 1259
Bodenho, Alicia filia Ricardi de, 256

The numbers refer to the cases not to the pages.

Bodenho, Margeria filia Ricardi de, 256
Ricardus de, 256
Bodewe, Walterus de, 1404
Bodmine, Andreas de, 214
Prior de, 214
Boeles, Ricardus de, 1261
Boeseuilla, Elias de, 1686
Bograue, Prior de, 421
Bohun, Bon', Sauaricus de, 247
Boistard, Warinus, 229
Bokerel, Andreas, 825
Bolebec, Cristina de, 233
Walterus de, 233, 633
Bolescota, Ascelina uxor Willelmi de, 934
Willelmus de, 934
Bolestrode, Nicholaus de, 410
Bomine, Prior de, 894, 1539
Bon' *vide* Bohun.
Bonastre, Emma uxor Willelmi, 737
Willelmus, 737
Boncrestien, Stephanus, 19
Bonde, Galfridus le, 898
Ricardus le, 898
Sibilla uxor Ricardi le, 898
Bonet, Johannes, 301
Boneueye, Buueneye, Willelmus de, 1750
Boneuilla, Auicia uxor Nicholai de, 1285
Nicholaus de, 1285
Radulfus de, 997
Boningeswurthe, Gilebertus de, 309
Sarra uxor Gileberti de, 309
Bononia, Beatricia uxor Stephani de, 1929
Faramus de, 452
Juliana filia Walteri de, 1931
Mabilia filia Walteri de, 1931
Stephanus de, 1929
Walterus de, 1931
Bononie, Comes, 262
Bonpas, Clemens, 1313
Roana uxor Clementis, 1313
Boqueynt, Henricus, 235
Bor, Rogerus le, 1904
Borard, Simon de, 406
Bordlega, Abbas de, 1
Borlega, Hugo de, 1242
Borray, Berengerus de, 1578
Gerardus filius Berengeri de, 1578
Bortona, Edwardus de, 20
Bosant, Ricardus, 701
Boscard, Johannes, 1028
Bosco, Alicia uxor Willelmi de, 487, 968, 1921
Beatricia uxor Unfridi de, 347
Claricia uxor Rogeri de, 1802
Gilebertus filius Roberti de, 1673
Johanna de, 487
Johanna filia Willelmi de, 968
Mabilia uxor Roberti de, 1673
Matillis de, 968, 1673, 1674
Philippus de, 176
Robertus de, 968, 1673, 1674
Rogerus de, 1802
Roysia uxor Willelmi de, 795
S. Trinitatis de, Prior, 1319
Thomas de, 10, 250
Unfridus de, 347
Willelmus de, 487, 795, 968, 1673, 1921
Willelmus filius Robertus de, 1673, 1674
Bose, Willelmus filius Wlmeri, 1041
Wlmerus, 1041
Bosebroc, Johanna uxor Walteri, 1053
Walterus, 1053
Boseuilla, Thomas de, 975
Bostar' *vide* Bostard.
Bostard, Bostar', Bostarde, Galfridus, 873
Mabilia uxor Galfridi, 873
Warinus, 1616
Bostarde *vide* Bostard.
Bosuilla, Besuilla, Johanna uxor Ricardi de, 1662
Ricardus de, 1513, 1662
Thomas de, 205
Boterelle, Albreda uxor Willelmi, 1793

The numbers refer to the cases not to the pages.

Boterelle, Willelmus, 1793
Boterfeuldia, Philippus de, 524
Ricardus de, 524
Bothorp, Robertus filius Willelmi de, 1943
Willelmus de, 1943
Botindona, Ricardus de, 147
Bouel, Willelmus, 269
Bouen', Agnes uxor Willelmi de, App. 5
Willelmus de, App. 5
Bouilla, Beuilla, Alexander de, 1653
Hawissia uxor Johannis de, 12
Henricus de, 1653
Johannes de, 12, 1055, 1571
Boullers, Bulers, Baldewinus de, 109
Robertus de, 109
Wenteliena de, 109
Boultona, Henricus de, 130, 1547
Prior de, 1862
Boun, Matillis de, 855
Unfridus de, 463, 1168
Boutona, Henricus de, 20
Boxe, Petrus de la, 1714
Boxle, Abbas de, 1409
Boye, Emma filia Reginaldi, 1959
Jordanus, 1959
Reginaldus, 1959
Willelmus, 1959
Boytona, Willelmus de, 1509
Bozun, Willelmus, 976
Bracebrugg', Amicia uxor Willelmi de, 430
Willelmus de, 430
Brackel', Robertus de, 1012
Bracur, Osmarus le, 281
Bracey, Braey, Robertus de, 318
Braddena, Walterus de, 366
Bradebroc, Dionisia filia Reginaldi de, 794
Emma uxor Reginaldi de, 794
Reginaldus de, 794
Bradefeuldia, Alicia uxor Geruasii de, 369
Geruasius de, 369
Bradefordia, Willelmus, 1424
Bradehus, Joelanus de, 1209
Bradekere, Willelmus de, 1704
Bradele, Radulfus de, 1870
Bradelegha, Bradeleia, Prior de, 512, 612
Bradeleia *vide* Bradelegha.
Brademere, Johanna uxor Willelmi de, 1523
Willelmus de, 1523
Bradenestoke, Prior de, 219, 585
Bradeshulla, Andreas de, 601
Braey *vide* Bracy.
Braham, Agnes uxor Willelmi de, 1520
Alicia uxor Willelmi de, 1520
Isabella filia Willelmi de, 1892
Randulphus de, 694
Rannulfus de, 1827
Willelmus de, 1426, 1520, 1892
Brake *vide* Lake.
Bramfordia, Alicia uxor Geruasii de, 493
Geruasius de, 493
Johannes filius Willelmi de, 508
Robertus filius Willelmi de, 508
Willelmus de, 508
Bramhop, Alicia filia Roberti de, 1851
Robertus de, 1851
Brampdona, Gilebertus de, 1778
Willelmus de, 1778
Bramptona, Bramton', Diamanda uxor Gileberti de, 1048
Gilebertus de, 1048
Bramton' *vide* Bramptona.
Brancestria, Robertus de, 1321
Branche, Johanna uxor Johannis, 800
Johannes, 800
Branctona, Gilebertus de, 1505
Brantestona, Adam filius Iuonis de, 1602
Iuo de, 1602
Brauncewell', Willelmus de, 293
Bray, Agnes filia Milonis de, 1608
Alicia uxor Radulphi de, 968, 1673, 1921
Matillis de, 1201
Matillis uxor Theobaldi de, 1592

The numbers refer to the cases not to the pages.

Bray, Milo de, 1608
Philippus de, 1684
Radulphus de, 137, 487, 968, 1012, 1201, 1673, 1736, 1931
Theobaldus de, 1592
Viuianus de, 513
Braybroc, Braybroke, Cristina uxor Henrici de, 1675
Henricus de, 427, 829, 1394, 1522, 1529, 1675, 1677, 1825, App. 1
Johannes de, 1513
Robertus de, 1677
Braybrok, Clemencia uxor Henrici de, 116
Henricus de, 116, 1293
Braybroke *vide* Braybroc.
Braytona *vide* Draitona.
Braz de Fer, Ricardus, 1773
Breause *vide* Breusa.
Breaute, Colinus de, 1219
Falco de, 1872
Falkesius de, 102, 513, 854, 1108, 1131, 1133, 1607, 1623, 1643
Fulco de, 1012
Johannes de, 1131
Margeria uxor Falkesii de, 1643
Ricardus de, 1391
Willelmus de, 1171
Breckel, Breckl' *vide* Berkeley.
Breitoft, Johannes de, 445
Breiusa *vide* Breusa.
Bremele, Abbas de, 773
Brentungeherste, Reginaldus de, 306
Bret, Martinus le, 72
Simon le, 107, 122
Thoraldus le, 1863
Willelmus le, 320
Bretel, Johannes, 1772
Brethenham, Alexander de, 809
Bretona, Johannes de, 1738
Bretone, Juliana uxor Willelmi le, 1245
Martinus le, 1245
Willelmus le, 1245
Bretun, Custancia uxor Hugonis le, 129
Hugo le, 129
Breusa, Breause, Breiusa, Eua de, 786
Johannes de, 46, 167, 390, 1362
Reginaldus de, 40, 46, 167, 195, 250, 1328, 1330, 1362
Rogerus de, 46
Willelmus de, 46, 250, 709, 786, 1362
Breute, Ricardus de, 1469
Brewere *vide* Briwerre.
Brewerre *vide* Briwerre.
Breyles, Isabella uxor Jordani de, 934
Jordanus de, 934
Brian, Gilebertus, 584
Briann', Willelmus de, 1164
Briche, Basilia filia Johannis de, 617
Johannes de, 617
Brichworthe, Reginaldus de, 1890
Brickeseta, Prior de, 1248
Brid, Robertus, 1830
Willelmus, 371, 930
Bridlingtona, Prior de, 480
Briffeldia, Philippus de, 72
Brigida, S., Adam de, 205
Brikeuilla, Willelmus de, 760
Brimhurst, Robertus de, 1457
Brimtona, Brimstona, Henricus de, 1423
Johannes de, 1975, 1980
Brimstona *vide* Brimtona.
Bringwurthe, Gilebertus de, 515
Sarra uxor Gileberti de, 515
Brintona, Gunnora de, 42
Ricardus de, 42
Bristoll', Abbas, 425
Bristollii, Judeus, 1179
S. Augustini, Abbas, 1166
Britannie, Comes, 108, 623, 731
Britewella, Prior de, 1275
Brithasche, Johannes, 447
Brito *vide* Briton.
Briton, Brito, Alanus, 813
Hugo, 1299
Radulfus, 813
Ranulfus, 368, 437, 519, 524, 870
Ricardus, 215

The numbers refer to the cases not to the pages.

Briton, Robertus, 584
Willelmus, 323, 503, 598, 778, 1323, 1550
Briwere *vide* Briwerre.
Briwerre, Brewere, Brewerre, Briwere, Bruwere, Alanus le, 1311
Willelmus, 5, 6, 202, 363, 367, 630, 796, 894, 1593, 1687
Briwes, Johannes de, 67, 853, 1436
Robertus de, 853
Broc, Adam del, 821
Clemencia filia Edeline de, 116
Edelina de, 116, 1347, 1410
Eua del, 1336
Prior del, 1366
Rannulfus de, 1347
Brochamtona, Alicia de, 196
Matillis de, 196
Brok, Dauid del, 1167
Eustachius del, 1223
Brokebrod, Galfridus, 337
Brokedis', Gerardus, 424
Brokeleia, Robertus de, 1757
Brom, Anketillus de, 1934
Maria de, 1893
Ricardus de, 770, 1808
Ricardus filius Marie de, 1893
Bromlegha, Brumlegha, Willelmus de, 388
Bromwico, Willelmus de, 1094
Bronfordia, Robertus filius Willelmi de, 795
Willelmus de, 795
Broud, Mabilia uxor Willelmi, 1243
Willelmus, 1243
Brud, Michael del, 1543
Bruera, Abbas de, 1613
Juliana uxor Walteri de la, 1011
Radulphus de la, 1675
Walterus de la, 1011
Willelmus de la, 976
Bruere, Abbas de la, 1527
Willelmus, 1098
Bruges, Decanus de, 187
Brugfeldia, Hugo de, 1982
Brumartona, Willelmus de, 970
Brumlegha *vide* Bromlegha.
Brun, Alina uxor Johannis le, 635
Johannes le, 397, 579, 635
Martinus, 825
Radulphus, 1041
Ricardus le, 1260
Willelmus, 21, 77, 451, 1129
Brunfordia, Rogerus filius Willelmi de, 795
Roysia de, 615
Willelmus de, 795
Brunger, Richerus, 1833
Bruning, Simon, 825
Brus, Petrus de, 1199
Bruwere *vide* Briwerre.
Bubbe, Petrus, 168
Buchard, Ernulfus, 1791
Buchoint, Bicunt, Henricus de, 394, 716
Buckeuilla, Ernaldus de, 615
Buckingeham, Archidiaconi de, 576, 626, 646
Willelmus de, 619
Bucministre, Rogerus de, 441
Bucuinte, Henricus, 1554
Bucunt, Henricus, 936
Budenho, Ricardus de, 61
Buelers, Henricus de, 41
Bueles, Matillis uxor Nicholai de, 1497
Nicholaus de, 1497
Bugle, Margeria uxor Walteri, 1695
Walterus, 1695
Buhard, Thomas, 1812
Bukerel, Andreas, 477
Bukesworthe, Henricus de, 1620
Bule, Adam le, 1247
Buleha *vide* Buleheya.
Buleheya, Buleha, Alicia filia Nicholai de, 68
Mainfel de, 68
Nicholaus de, 68
Nicholaus filius Mainfel de, 68
Buleheye, Agnes uxor Nicholai de, 1340, App. 5
Alicia filia Nicholai de, App. 5
Margeria filia Nicholai de, App. 5
Nicholaus de, 1340, App. 5

The numbers refer to the cases not to the pages.

Bulers *vide* Boullers.
Bulintona, Prior de, 1356
Buikintona, Isabella uxor Nicholai de, 133
  Nicholaus de, 133
Bullingtona, Prior de, 472
Bulloc, Reginaldus, 1799
Bully, Radulfus de, 1859
  Willelmus de, 1859
Bulwinc, Willelmus, 370
Buntindona, Willelmus de, 976
Burdeleis, Willelmus de, 175
Burdeles, Rogerus de, 1932
Burdet, Idonea uxor Nicholai, 279
  Nicholaus, 279
  Willelmus, 279
Burdun, Buchardus, 91, 1392
  Egelina uxor Ricardi, 579, 635
  Reginaldus, 1392
  Reginaldus filius Buchardi, 91
  Ricardus, 579, 635, 713, 1405
Burel, Galfridus, 270
Burgate, Robertus de, 1245
Burgeis, Petrus le, 1152
Burgestede, Benedictus de, 374
Burgham, Nicholaus de, 1368
Burgho, Johannes de, 1784
  Sabina uxor Johannis le, 1784
Burghulla, Johannes de, 802
  Decanus de, 802
Burginlun, Willelmus le, 1815
Burgo, Abbas de, 117, 514, 971
  Alicia de, 888
  Alicia uxor Philippi de, 623
  Bartholomeus de, 754
  Beatricia uxor Huberti de, 1686
  Berleta uxor Roberti de, 1468
  Galfridus de, 722, 1716
  Hawisia uxor Johannis de, 681, 682, 757
  Hubertus de, 43, 66, 213, 354, 376, 403, 563, 750, 827, 857, 885, 956, 1046, 1064, 1108, 1111, 1136, 1141, 1221, 1233, 1330, 1686
  Hugo de, 1657
  Johannes de, 384, 681, 682, 757, 779
Burgo, Philippus de, 623
  Reinerus de, 456, 595
  Robertus de, 162, 1468
  Rogerus de, 1296
  Simon de, 1842
  Thomas de, 210, 1684
  Walterus de, 1716
Burlega, Johannes de, 1
  Willelmus de, 1
  Willelmus filius Willelmi de, 1
Burn' *vide* Becco.
Burnard, Burnarde, Bernard, Rogerus de, 899, 933
Burnarde *vide* Burnard.
Burne, Beatricia uxor Johannis de la, 1218
  Johannes de la, 1218
Burnebu, Johanna uxor Roberti de la, 579, 635
  Johannes de la, 579
  Robertus de la, 579, 635
Burneham, Burnham, Philippus de, 1836
  Radulfus de, 1836
  Robertus de, 75
Burnel, Burnelle, Alicia uxor Dauid, 99
  Dauid, 99
  Margeria uxor Roberti, 1150
  Robertus, 1098, 1150
  Sarra uxor Willelmi, 1098
  Willelmus, 1098
Burnelle *vide* Burnel.
Burnham *vide* Burneham.
Buro, H. de, 1762
Burs, Rogerus de, 917
Burstal, Gilebertus de, 1971
Burton', Willelmus, 932
Burtona, Abbas de, 914, 974
  Johannes de, 652
  Laurencius de, 789
  Matillis de, 621
  Petrus de, 621
  Radulfus de, 628
  Robertus de, 231, 926, 1637
  Rogerus de, 628
Burwimman, Mabilia le, 1852

The numbers refer to the cases not to the pages.

Busey *vide* Bussy.
Bussel, Herbertus, 617
Bussex, Thomas de, 279
Bussey, Bartholomeus filius Willelmi de, 1085
Johanna filia Matillidis de, 1085
Lambertus de, 1093
Matillis de, 1085
Willelmus de, 1085
Bussy, Busey, Oliuerus, 759
Robertus de, 546
Bustard, Adam, 1474
Busun, Willelmus, 977
Buterwico, Robertus de, 1676
Buteuileyn, Agnes uxor Roberti, 1471
Robertus, 1471
Buteuilla, Oliuerus de, 1950
Butiller, Buttiller, Butyller, Adam le, 645, 1131, 1702
Hugo le, 1407
Johannes le, 729
Matillis uxor Ricardi le, 1212
Nigellus, 309
Petrus le, 1713
Ricardus le, 375, 1212
Robertus le, 79, 214, 308, 848, 926, 949
Willelmus le, 949, 972, 1702
Buttelegha, Prior de, 740, 788
Butter', Lucia uxor Willelmi de, 66
Willelmus de, 66
Buttiller *vide* Butiller.
Buttyler, Thomas de, 679
Butyller *vide* Butiller.
Buueneye *vide* Boneueye.
Buzun, Margeria uxor Willelmi, 798
Willelmus, 798
Byantoft *vide* Beautoft.
Byrlawe, Adam de, 1096
Albreda uxor Ade de, 1096
Byscoyne *vide* Biscoyne.
Byset, Alicia uxor Johannis, 1584
Johannes, 1584

Caamu *vide* Caynes.
Cabaum *vide* Cahaum.
Cabbus, Johannes, 1132
Cabus, Hamon, 87
Johannes, 339
Reginaldus, 87
Cadamo, Maria uxor Walteri de, 423
Matillis de, 1502
Walterus de, 423, 559
Cahannes, Kahanneys, Ricardus de, 1580
Sarra uxor Ricardi de, 1580
Cahaum, Cabaum, Cahaun, Chabaum', Chaun', Kabaum, Hugo filius Radulfi de, 34
Johannes, 262
Osmundus filius Ricardi de, 114
Radulfus de, 34
Ricardus de, 114
Willelmus de, 34, 114
Willelmus filius Radulfi de, 34
Cahaun *vide* Cahaum.
Calceto, Rogerus de, 302
Caldicot', Ricardus de, 1105
Caldicote, Alicia uxor Mauricii de, 919
Mauricius de, 919
Ricardus de, 919
Callon, Jordanus de, 45
Mabilia uxor Jordani de, 45
Caluus, le Cauf, Willelmus, 296
Cam, Galfridus de, 1112
Camberlanus, Adam, 1034
Cecilia filia Ade, 1034
Camera, Lecia uxor Willelmi de, 405
Robertus de, 346, 1051
Willelmus de, 405
Camerarius, Radulfus, 750
Ricardus, 462, 597, 1477
Willelmus, 850, 860
Camerlan', Robertus, 232
Cammeys, Kammeys, Radulfus de, 158
Campedena, Estrilda uxor Willelmi de, 1613
Willelmus de, 1613
Campeny, Johannes de, 206
Campese, Priorissa de, 21
Campeseia, Priorissa de, 745, 746
Campesse, Priorissa de, 1381
Campo Ernulfi, Willelmus de, 979

The numbers refer to the cases not to the pages.

Campuilla, Bertram de, 1466
Camuilla, Caumuilla, Kamuilla, Kaumuilla, Kaunuilla, Cristina uxor Hugonis de, 262
  Egelina uxor Ricardi de, 338
  Eustachia uxor Ricardi de, 601
  Galfridus de, 94, 95
  Gerardus de, 503, 565
  Hugo de, 262
  Leuca de, 602
  Nicholaa uxor Gerardi de, 503, 565
  Ricardus de, 338, 601, 602
  Thomas de, 1742
  Willelmus de, 392
Canard, Hugo, 1791
Canauac *vide* Caneuaz.
Cancellis, Andreas de, 318
Candel *vide* Caundel.
Caneuac *vide* Caneuaz.
Caneuaz, Canauac, Caneuac, Henricus, 1434
  Robertus, 1434
Cant', Cristiana uxor Gregorii de, 1784
  Gregorius de, 1784
Cantebrigia, S. Marie Magdalene de, leprosi, 57
Cantebrigie, Cancellarius, 722
Cantele, Willelmus de, 135
Cantelupo, Cantilupo, Mazilia uxor Willelmi de, 86
  Petrus de, 903, 943
  Rogerus de, 839
  Willelmus de, 86, 96, 208, 714, 1117, 1273, 1366, 1541
Cantiley, Adam de, 1791
  Rogerus de, 1791
Cantilupo *vide* Cantelupo.
Cantuaria, Radulfus de, 1723
  S. Augustini de, Abbas, 14, 376, 474
Cantuarie, Prior, 703
Cantuarienses, Archiepiscopi, 81, 178, 263, 277, 336, 391, 462, 597, 622, 636, 647, 666, 798, 857, 1070, 1108, 1117, 1218, 1238, 1273, 1387, 1415, 1596, 1664, 1677, 1699, 1785, 1928, App. 7
Capel, Johannes, 1771
  Juliana filia Johannis, 1771
Capella, Galfridus de, 1916
Capellanus, Adam, 1300, 1977
  Fabianus, 828
  Galfridus, 617
  Gregorius, 910
  Henricus, 132
  Hugo, 74, 634
  Johannes, 338
  Jordanus, 1357
  Matheus, 1791
  Osbertus, App. 7
  Petrus, 1762
  Ricardus, 1143
  Simon, 237
Capelonde, Sampson, 251
Carbonel, Carbonelle, Carbunel, Nicholaus filius Warini, 90
  Radulfus, 194, 865
  Radulfus filius Warini, 90
  Sabina, 90
  Thomas, 110, 873
  Warinus, 90
  Warinus filius Sabine, 90
  Willelmus filius Warini, 90
Carbonelle *vide* Carbonel.
Carbunel *vide* Carbonel.
Cardun, Petrus, 1297
  Radulfus, 1297
  Willelmus, 1297
Cardunuilla, Alicia uxor Jacobi de, 1669
  Jacobus de, 1669
Carectarius, Alanus, 1517
  Aluredus, 460
  Clemencia uxor Aluredi, 460
  Willelmus, 1311
Caretarius, Willelmus, 219
Carleolo, Robertus de, 1754
  Rogerus de, 51
Carpentarius, Galfridus, 1832
  Reginaldus, 119
  Simon, 1913
  Willelmus filius Galfridi, 1832
  Willelmus filius Simonis, 1913
Carsuilla, Craswell', Prior de, 877

The numbers refer to the cases not to the pages.

Cartorpe, Alicia uxor Willelmi de, 1744
Willelmus de, 1744
Casingtona *vide* Cusingtona.
Castelacra, Prior de, 111
Castellionis, S. Petri, monachi, 1
Castello, Osmundus de, 1180
Castre, Robertus de, 1796
Caterham, Godardus de, 1490
Cateriz, Ricardus de, 1156
Cato, Adam, 270
Cattel' *vide* Cattelegh'.
Cattele, Prior de, 173
Cattelegh', Cattel', Gattel', Prior de, 1417
Cattona, Adam de, 185
Ricardus de, 185
Cauerelingewurthe, Willelmus de, 813
Cauereswella, Kaueres, Kauereswella, Robertus de, 1978
Cauf, le, *vide* Caluus.
Caumuilla *vide* Camuilla.
Caundel, Caudel, Muriel de, 923, 927
Cause, Willelmus, 952, 1087
Causton', Kaustr', Hugo de, 1454
Cauz, Alesia uxor Jami de, 432
Alina uxor Johannis de, 432
Jamus de, 432
Johannes de, 432
Rogerus de, 231
Willelmus de, 519
Caxtona, Galfridus de, 1672
Cayllard, Radulfus, 1103
Robertus, 285
Caynes, Caamu, Leticia uxor Willelmi de, 198
Willelmus de, 198
Cecilia, Comitissa, 671, 983
Celario, Juliana de, 1062
Cementarius, Ricardus, 1348
Ceresi *vide* Cresey.
Cerhulla, Thomas de, 250
Ceringtona, Willelmus de, 333
Cerne, Abbas de, 131, 1495
Henricus de, 206, 223
Certeseia *vide* Certesey.
Certesey, Certeseia, Abbas de, 201, 282, 1562
Henricus filius Johannis de, 282
Johannes de, 282
Cesterhunt, Philippus de, 475
Cestrensis Episcopus, 544
Cestreton, Bardulfus de, 1052
Cestria, Galfridus de, 204
Johannes de, 739
Matillis uxor Galfridi de, 204
Cestrie, Archidiaconus, 544
Comes, 95, 167, 199, 247, 272, 393, 449, 505, 708, 944, 1102, 1117, 1127, 1213, 1224, 1227, 1273, 1309, 1558, 1721, 1730
Constabularius, 869, 1847
Chabaum' *vide* Cahaum.
Chabbewour', Margeria de, 1590
Chacumba, Chaucumba, Hugo de, 196, 205, 975, 1950
Prior de, 196, 205, 975, 1950
Robertus de, 196, 566, 1592
Chaggefordia, Hugo de, 1121, 1285
Isabella uxor Hugonis de, 1285
Cham, Johannes le, 846
Katerina uxor Johannis le, 846
Radulfus de, 1800
Chamberlane *vide* Chamberleyn.
Chamberlein, Willelmus le, 1553
Chamberleyn, Chamberlane, Walterus le, 1870
Chambres, Nicholaus de, 51
Champenas *vide* Champenays.
Champenays, Champenas, Rogerus le, 1675
Willelmus le, 400, 1436
Willelmus filius Rogeri le, 1675
Champeneis, Ricardus de, 173
Champiun, Hugo le, 524
Reginaldus, 1955
Simon filius Reginaldi, 1955
Chancy, Alicia uxor Simonis de, 947
Simon de, 947
Chandos, Radulfus de, 1432
Chansy, Nicholaus de, 512
Chantemerle, Robertus, 1312
Chapeleyn, Robertus le, 896

The numbers refer to the cases not to the pages.

Charefeuld, Radulfus de, 1892
Charentin, Willelmus de, 853
Charteray, Simon de, 976
Chartray, Ricardus de, 1146
Chastelein, Joscelinus le, 1395
Chaucumba *vide* Chacumba.
Chaucy, Rogerus, 501
Chauel, Rogerus, 821
Chauelegha, Radulfus de, 1259
Chaun' *vide* Cahaum.
Chaundos, Ricardus de, 349
Robertus filius Ricardi de, 349
Chaunge, Hugo de la, 593
Chaunterel, Johannes de, 821
Chaur' *vide* Chaure.
Chaure, Chaur', Alicia uxor Rogeri de, 891, 953
Rogerus de, 891, 953
Chautona, Willelmus de, 1369
Chauuin, Chauuyn, Hugo, 814
Matillis uxor Hugonis, 814
Robertus, 814
Chauuyn *vide* Chauuin.
Chauwen *vide* Chebenhoure.
Chawrtes *vide* Chawrtesteford'.
Chawrtesteford', Chawrtes, Paganus de, 3
Patricius de, 3
Sibilla filia Patricii de, 3
Chebenhoure, Chauwen, Margeria de, 1740
Cheddewrthe, Emma de, 1265
Chednay, Clemencia filia Willelmi de, 1639
Margeria de, 1585
Margeria filia Willelmi de, 1639
Sarra filia Willelmi de, 1639
Willelmus de, 1639
Cheggewella, Chiggewell', Willelmus de, 584, 598
Cheindedut, Cheyndedut, Matillis de, 429
Radulfus de, 429, 471
Roysa filia Radulfi de, 429
Vlianus de, 429
Cheldefordia, Alicia uxor Arnulfi de 1746
Cheldefordia, Arnulfus de, 1746
Sauia filia Arnulfi de, 1746
Chelefeuldia, Simon de, 649
Cheles, Robertus, 1976
Rogerus, 1976
Cheleseya, Warinus de, 36
Chelewurthe, Jacobus de, 359
Chelleslegh', Chesel', Hamon de, 1535
Chelmerefordia, Decanus de, 1453
Chelues', Robertus de, 1300
Cheluestona, Cheuestona, Josceus de, 971
Rogerus de, 1460
Chennouere, Simon de, 1126
Cherc, Eadmundus de, 237
Chereburgo, Johannes de, 1019
Cherlecota, Matillis uxor Willelmi de, 1757
Willelmus de, 1757
Cherlescote, Galfridus de, 283
Cherletona, Cecilia uxor Roberti de, 510
Robertus de, 510
Chese, Agnes uxor Johannis, 589
Clemens, 1479
Johannes, 589
Chesel' *vide* Chelleslegh.
Chesewic *vide* Cheswico.
Chesneto, Clemencia filia Willelmi de, 181
Eua uxor Walteri de, 1336
Margeria filia Willelmi de, 181
Sarra filia Willelmi de, 181
Walterus de, 1336
Willelmus de, 181
Chessebech', Reginaldus, 285
Cheswico, Chesewic, Elyas de, 1825
Chetwnde, Robertus de, 318
Chetwude, Robertus de, App. 7
Cheueleslegha, Willelmus de, 1616
Cheueleswella, Willelmus de, 1616
Cheueleswelle, Leticia de, 229
Willelmus filius Leticie de, 229
Cheuere, Hamon, 1244
Jordanus, 1244
Robertus, 1244
Thomas, 1244

The numbers refer to the cases not to the pages.

Cheuereuilla, Rogerus de, 562, 858
Walterus de, 1191
Cheuestona *vide* Cheluestona.
Cheure, Agnes filia Roberti, 1743
Ascelina uxor Roberti, 1743
Hamon, 1743, 1812
Mabilia filia Roberti, 1743
Robertus, 1743
Cheyndedut *vide* Cheindedut.
Chichesaunt, Elias de, 1125
Simon filius Elie de, 1125
Chieluelesleghа, Leticia uxor Ricardi de, 1616
Ricardus de, 1616
Willelmus filius Ricardi de, 1616
Chiggewell' *vide* Cheggewella.
Child, Alicia filia Galfridi, 1167
Galfridus, 1167
Lucia filia Galfridi, 1167
Thomas, 1343
Chilcham, Robertus de, 259
Chiltona, Bartholomeus de, 1038
Chimineye, Albinus de, 262
Chiptona, Willelmus de, 450
Chiualer, Robertus le, 813
Chounre, Hugo de, 274
Robertus filius Hugonis de, 274
Chuere, Hamon, 788
Chunise, Willelmus, 265
Cicestrenses Episcopi, 112, 114, 350, 438, 1117, 1273
Cicestria, Jacobus de, 421
Cigoingny, Engelardus de, 684
Cirisy, Willelmus de, 415
Claipol, Laurencius de, 1430
Ricardus de, 1430
Clamuilla *vide* Glaunuilla.
Clanuilla *vide* Glaunuilla.
Clara, Comes de, 45, 52, 303
Ricardus de, 52
Clare, Alicia uxor Rogeri de, 574
Comes de, 1238
G. de, 1567, 1582
Gilebertus de, 1734
Ricardus de, 1162, 1238
Robertus de, 1668
Rogerus de, 574
Claris Vallibus, Claus Vallibus, Ricardus de, 237
Willelmus de, 938
Clariuallibus, Willelmus de, 1250
Claro Becco, Henricus de, 33
Ida uxor Henrici de, 33
Claro, S., Cristina de, 18
Gilebertus de, 18, 302
Hugo de, 18
Johannes de, 18
Willelmus de, 896
Clauer, Henricus le, 642, 723
Johanna uxor Henrici le, 642
Walterus le, 1727
Willelmus le, 417
Claus Vallibus *vide* Claris Vallibus.
Clausy, Nicholaus de, 763
Clautona, Hugo de, 1142
Leticia uxor Hugonis de, 1142
Clemdona, Clendona, Galfridus de, 29, 1487
Ricardus de, 1513
Clement, Henricus, 1132
Clendona *vide* Clemdona.
Clenehewatona, Johannes de, 1251
Clerc, Thomas le, 1190
Clere, Johanna uxor Martini de, 470
Martinus de, 470
Matillis uxor Rogeri de, 525, 679
Radulfus de, 468
Rogerus de, 525, 679
Clericus, Adam, 834, 879
Auicia uxor Laurencii, 1850
Bartholomeus, 1825
Basilia uxor Ricardi, 1374
Benedictus, 1436, 1785
Galfridus, 387, 1029
Henricus filius Ade, 879
Herbertus, 253
Isabella uxor Radulfi, 1741
Johannes, 619
Laurencius, 1850
Lescelina filia Laurencii, 1850
Milo, 798
Radulfus, 1741
Ricardus, 215, 1374
Robertus, 597, 842

The numbers refer to the cases not to the pages.

Clericus, Rogerus, 907
Thomas, 1945
Walterus, 1191
Willelmus, 216, 1948
Clerkenwilla, Priorissa de, 1311
Clifford, Cliffordia, Ricardus de, 1152
Rogerus de, 102, 172, 352
Walterus de, 349
Cliffordia *vide* Clifford.
Cliftona, Adam de, 252
Agnes filia Elie de, 252
Elias de, 252
Rogerus de, 252
Clinctona, Galfridus de, 322
Clingtona, Amicia de, 648
Clintona, Amicia de, 202
Amicia uxor Henrici de, 1318
Henricus de, 1318, 1493
Hugo de, 1158
Isabella de, 1373
Leticia uxor Hugonis de, 1158
Willelmus de, 283, 1373
Clipesby *vide* Clippesby.
Clippesby, Clipesby, Hugo de, 48
Ricardus de, 186
Clipstona, Robertus de, 725
Clipstun, Clpton', Margeria filia Roberti de, 819
Robertus de, 819
Cliue, Hugo de, 677
Robertus de, 1664
Cliuedena, Cliuedona, Johannes de, 1436
Matheus de, 121, 1436, 1635, 1722
Matillis filia Mathei de, 1635, 1722
Stephanus de, 298
Willelmus de, 1436
Willelmus filius Mathei de, 1722
Cliuedona *vide* Cliuedena.
Cliuo, Hugo de, 1505
Matillis uxor Hugonis de, 1505
Clokes, Ricardus de, 1726
Cloptona, Robertus de, 40, 164, 1436
Stephanus de, 736
Clpton' *vide* Clipstun.
Cluny, Abbas de, 1164, 1395
Cnolle, Ricardus de la, 828
Cnoushale, Johannes de, 1627
Cnoweshale, Johannes de, 1977
Cnud, Galfridus, 484
Cobbeham, Henricus de, 821, 1593
Johannes de, 821
Cobham, Henricus de, 262
Coburg, Anxellus de, 1118
Coo, Johannes, 1790
Osbertus, 1041
Walterus, 1790
Cocham, Cokham, Willelmus de, 185, 298
Coche, Henricus, 291
Cockefeldia, Cokefeldia, Adam de, 1100, 1393
Robertus de, 1100
Cokefeuldia, Isabella uxor Simonis de, 494
Simon de, 494
Cocus, Coqus, Agnes uxor Hugonis, 311
Alicia uxor Nicholai, 1463
Editha filia Rogeri, 678
Emma filia Willelmi, 1650
Hugo, 311
Juliana uxor Rogeri, 1464
Matillis uxor Roberti, 656
Nicholaus, 1463
Ricardus, 331
Robertus, 123, 656
Rogerus, 678, 1464
Willelmus, 1650
Codeham, Coteham, Johannes de, 1246
Codenham, Arnulphus filius Ricardi de, 1746
Ricardus de, 1746
Codham, Decanus de, 424
Codintona, Henricus de, 51
Codray, Ricardus de, 243
Coges, Prior de, 798
Cogeshal, Gogeshale, Radulfus de, 1478
Robertus filius Radulfi de, 1478
Coggeshal', Goggeshale, Abbas de, 255, 448
Coham, Henricus de, 314

The numbers refer to the cases not to the pages.

Coher, Goher, Isabella, 212
Thomas, 212
Coigne, Thomas, 707, 1747
Walterus, 707, 1747
Coigners *vide* Coisners.
Coisneres *vide* Coisners.
Coisners, Coigners, Coisneres, Cosneres, Coumers, Galfridus de, 1470
Matillis de, 1470
Cokefeldia *vide* Cockefeldia.
Cokham *vide* Cocham.
Cokin, Henricus, 47
Cole, Alanus, 484
Rogerus, 920
Colecestrensis, Archidiaconus, 766
Colecestria, Abbas de, 778, 1404
Coleham, Hugo de, 336
Colekyn, Hamon, 1782
Colestrode, Golestrode, Mauricius de, 40
Colet, Adam, 1652
Willelmus, 1652
Coleuile, Rogerus de, 514
Coleuilla, Agnes uxor Arn' de, 1558
Agnes uxor Luce de, 972
Arn' de, 1558
Gilebertus de, 1212, 1381
Henricus de, 217
Isabella de, 1949
Lucas de, 972
Mabilia de, 972
Ricardus de, 1736
Robertus de, 564, 1626, 1733, 1901
Rogerus de, 1208
Willelmus de, 15, 414, 1208, 1637
Colewrthe, Willelmus de, 72
Colingeham, Radulfus de, 330
Colre, Philippus de, 241
Coltona, Gerardus de, 1082
Colum, Prior de, 1488
Columbariis, Philippus de, 255, 636
Columbeyn, Thomas, 357
Combbamptona, Adam de, 113
Conhulla, Henricus de, 1021
Constabularius, Petrus, 637
Constanciis, Walterus de, 357
Constantin, Rogerus, 319
Willelmus filius Rogeri, 319
Conuentrenses Episcopi *vide* Couentrenses Episcopi.
Coplande, Willelmus de, 1436
Copsy, Robertus, 1946
Coqus *vide* Cocus.
Corbet, Emma uxor Ricardi, 1449
Ricardus, 1449
Rogerus, 1449
Thomas, 444, 651, 882
Corbicu' *vide* Corbicun.
Corbicun, Corbicu', Beatricia, 586
Beatricia uxor Ricardi, 284
Galfridus, 586
Ricardus, 284
Corcumba, Galfridus de, 553
Corduanarius, Ailricus, 294
Cristiana uxor Ailrici, 294
Galfridus filius Ailrici, 294
Cormailles, Alicia uxor Thome de, 1486
Thomas de, 1486
Cornchurch, Corners, Angodus de, 1526
Corndebof, Hubertus, 1907
Jordanus, 1903
Corneres *vide* Coisners.
Corners *vide* Cornchurch.
Corneuilla, Cornilla, Ricardus de, 620
Robertus de, 1304, 1371, 1555
Robertus filius Roberti de, 1555
Cornhulla, Josceus de, 829
Reginaldus de, 16, 704, 1048
Willelmus de, 412
Cornilla *vide* Corneuilla.
Cornub', Odo, 328
Cornubie, Comes, 883, 1117, 1121, 1172
Isabella uxor Comitis, 883
Corpe, Aluredus, 1839
Editha uxor Aluredi, 1839
Cortenay *vide* Curtenay.
Cortes, Radulfus, 1122
Cortona *vide* Curtona.
Cosyn, Radulfus, 279
Cotele, Leticia uxor Ricardi, 140

The numbers refer to the cases not to the pages.

Cotele, Ricardus, 140
Robertus, 140
Coteham *vide* Codeham.
Cotes, Johannes de, 687
Walterus de, 1004, 1216
Wydo de, 999
Cotinges, Agnes uxor Simonis de, 1784
Simon de, 1784
Coudray, Mathias de, 1157, 1161
Couele, Johannes de, 123
Walterus de, 123
Couelegha, Johannes de, 867
Scolastica uxor Johannis de, 867
Couelindena, Gilebertus de, 1661
Couentre, Radulfus Molendinarius de, 581
Couentrenses, Conuentrenses Episcopi, 305, 362, 412, 444, 552, 671, 944, 1051, 1286, 1300, 1352, 1810
Couintria, Adam de, 1690
Archidiaconus de, 1416
Mabilia uxor Ade de, 1690
Petrus de, 1416
Couling, Robertus de, 592
Coumers *vide* Coisners.
Coweham, Willelmus de, 1165
Coyners, Basilia uxor Rogeri de, 1223
Johannes de, 1223
Mabilia uxor Roberti de, 1223
Robertus de, 1223
Rogerus de, 1223
Crabbedena, Alicia uxor Petri de, 1682
Petrus de, 1682
Craffte, Cecilia uxor Rogeri de, 879
Rogerus de, 879
Crakemere, Henricus de, 1979
Cramfordia, Gilebertus de, 1350
Rogerus de, 1350
Crampe, Willelmus, 589
Crane, Adam, 783
Brianus filius Jordani, 47
Henricus, 47
Jordanus, 47
Crassus, Willelmus, 108
Craswell' *vide* Carsuilla.
Crauwecumba, Godefridus de, 624
Crawcumba, Godefridus de, 1117
Crawestoke, Elias de, 465
Willelmus de, 465
Willelmus filius Elie de, 465
Crec, Creck, Crek, Creke, Agnes uxor Roberti de, 724
Bartholomeus de, 303, 724, 754
Isabella filia Roberti de, 1378
Petrus de, 754
Robertus de, 303, 724, 754, 1378
Creck *vide* Crec.
Crede, Osbertus, 411
Crediho, Philippus de, 15
Crek *vide* Crec.
Creke *vide* Crec.
Crempesham, Rogerus de, 985
Theodorus de, 985
Crescy, Ceresi, Cressi, Cyresi, Hugo de, 1639
Margeria de, 1639
Willelmus de, 1931
Crespin, Thomas, 1208
Willelmus, 605, 1144
Crespinges, Alanus de, 1631
Walterus de, 1631
Cressi *vide* Crescy.
Cressone, Gregorius de, 308
Cressy, Isabella uxor Rogeri de, 204
Margeria de, 181, 193
Rogerus de, 110, 177, 204
Cretinges, Johannes de, 1931
Cretling', Adam, 552
Cretona, Eua de, 1726
Ricardus de, 514
Sarra filia Willelmi de, 1726
Willelmus de, 1726
Creuequeor, Hamon de, 178
Robertus de, 1019, 1687, 1897
Creuequer, Nicholaus, 607
Creultona, Petronilla uxor Simonis de, 203
Simon de, 203
Crewe *vide* Crowe.
Crewebar *vide* Crewebarwe.
Crewebarwe, Crewebar, Willelmus de, 1539

The numbers refer to the cases not to the pages.

Creytona, Milo de, 1979
Crikecot, Willelmus de, 1920
Crimes, Crunes, Cruues, Galfridus de, 170
Hawisia filia Galfridi de, 170
Matillis uxor Galfridi de, 170
Criob, Bartholomeus, 11
Cristechurche, Prior de, 1534
Cristeschurche, Prior de, 979, 1414
Cristi Ecclesia, Prior de, 457
Criur, Galfridus le, 1675
Croberst *vide* Croherst.
Croc, Robertus, 962
Croft, Cecilia uxor Rogeri de, 203
Rogerus de, 203
Croherst, Croberst, Odo de, 477
Stephanus de, 477
Croiland, Abbas, 538
Crok, Ricardus, 31
Thomas, 438
Crondona, Galfridus de, 1896
Hawisia uxor Galfridi de, 1896
Crosholmia, Elena de, 1204
Crowe, Crewe, Thomas, 1478
Croxtona, Abbas de, 949
Cruc, Rogerus, 652
Cruc', Osbertus de, 1225
Cruce, Galfridus de, 843, 868
Reginaldus de, 40, 843, 868
Cruell', Kulle, Margeria uxor Walteri de, 195
Walterus de, 195
Crues, Ricardus de, 976
Crunes *vide* Crimes.
Crupet, Willelmus, 1265
Crustwyc, Emma de, 841
Cruteuilla, Willelmus de, 256
Cruues *vide* Crimes.
Cublicota, Radulfus de, 1614
Cudeham, Gilebertus de, 1246
Sarra uxor Gileberti de, 1246
Cudintona, Petrus de, 1650
Cugenho, Cungeho, Lucia uxor Willelmi de, 1399
Willelmus de, 1399
Cuillur, Nicholaus le, 835
Cuilly, Hugo filius Johannis de, 1305
Cuilly, Johannes de, 1305
Mabilia uxor Walteri de, 1305
Walterus de, 1305
Culindona, Walterus de, 969
Culinge, Robertus de, 592
Cultura, Abbas de, 296, 399, 1398
Culum, Prior de, 387
Cumba, Abbas de, 1586
Alexander de, 513
Editha uxor Ricardi de, 1705
Elias de, 860
Ricardus de, 113, 454, 1143, 1705
Willelmus filius Elie de, 860
Cumbremere, Abbas de, 1622
Cumerse, Juliana uxor Petri de, 1034
Petrus de, 1034
Cumin, Eua uxor Willelmi, 714, 904, 941
Willelmus, 714, 904, 941
Cumpeigne, Willelmus de, 1764
Cundstan, Willelmus de, 53
Cungeho *vide* Cugenho.
Cuni, Ricardus de, 127
Cunquest, Galfridus, 1782
Cunuers, Philippus le, 1825
Curcy, Matillis uxor Willelmi de, 1569
Willelmus de, 1569
Curiel, Kuriel, Bertramus de, 1117, 1273
Curnub', Odo, 1754
Cursun, Isabella de, 1703
Curtell, Waundricus de, 116
Curtenay, Cortenay, Ada uxor Willelmi de, 96
Adam de, 1322
Hawisia de, 516
Henricus de, 516
Matillis de, 170, 1396, 1569
Reginaldus de, 164, 170, 1331, 1396, 1569
Robertus de, 164, 170, 516, 1331, 1569
Willelmus de, 86, 96, 109
Curteys, Bartholomeus filius Roberti le, 489
Ricardus filius Walteri le, 1927
Robertus le, 489

The numbers refer to the cases not to the pages.

Curteys, Walterus le, 1927
Willelmus filius Walteri le, 1927
Curtona, Cortona, Alina uxor Ogeri de, 996
Ogerus de, 996
Thomas de, 1073
Willelmus de, 559, 996
Curtpeil, Ela uxor Hugonis, 413
Hugo, 413
Cuserigge, Rogerus de, 842
Cuseruge, Rogerus de, 1078
Cusin, Amicia filia Simonis, 934
Ascelina filia Simonis, 934
Cecilia filia Simonis, 934
Eleonora filia Simonis, 934
Hawisia uxor Roberti, 1427, 1499
Isabella filia Simonis, 934
Margeria filia Simonis, 934
Robertus, 1427, 1499
Simon, 934
Willelmus, 345
Cusingtona, Casingtona, Johanna uxor Willelmi de, 1770
Stephanus de, 262
Willelmus de, 1770
Custances, Willelmus de, 913
Cut, Walterus, 896
Cuuere, Mabilia la, 1908
Cuuert, Willelmus le, 776
Cuwyc, Prior de, 516
Cuylli, Johannes de, 1335
Mabilia uxor Walteri de, 1335
Walterus de, 1335
Cuylly, Johannes de, 273
Cygoingny, Engelardus de, 1109
Cygony, Engelardus de, 1117
Cyr, Johannes le, 286
Cyrencestria, Abbas de, 1651
Thomas de, 1172
Cyresi *vide* Crescy.
Cyrry *vide* Tyrry.
Cyry, Fulco de, 978

Dacus, le Daneys, Johannes, 1201
Walterus, 532, 1910, 1940
Dagod *vide* Bagod.
Dakeham, Agnes uxor Henrici de, 758
Dakeham, Henricus de, 758
Dalling', Petrus de, 614
Dames, Radulfus de, 351
Daneby, Johannes de, 1847
Danecastrie, S. Nicholai de, Magister, 1169
Danes', Petrus de, 488
Thomas de, 488
Daneys, le, *vide* Dacus.
Danmartin, Daunmartin, Muntmertin, S. Martino, Godefridus de, 1698
Johanna uxor Jordani de, 1605
Johannes de, 1275
Jordanus de, 906, 1605, 1698
Odo de, 185, 574
Petronilla uxor Willelmi de, 777
Reginaldus de, 777
Rogerus de, 251
Willelmus de, 185, 777, 906
Willelmus filius Jordani de, 906, 1698
Danteseye, Daunteseia, Dauntesye, Matillis uxor Rogeri de, 297, 375, 690, 797, 830
Rogerus de, 297, 375, 690, 797, 830
Dauid, Comes, 451, 572, 708, 1124
S., Walterus de, 113
Dauid, Abbas, 648
Dauinar *vide* Dauintr'.
Dauingtona, Priorissa de, 767
Dauintr', Dauinar, Willelmus de, 1067, 1076
Dauintre, Prior de, 31, 308
Daunmartin *vide* Danmartin.
Daunteseia *vide* Danteseye.
Dauntesye *vide* Danteseye.
Daur', le, *vide* Pour.
Daye, Auicia le, 514
Deingtona, Bartholomeus de, 1769
Simon de, 1769
Delce, Willelmus de, 1490
Dena, Florencia uxor Willelmi de, 1630
Thomas de, 872
Willelmus de, 1630

The numbers refer to the cases not to the pages.

Denchelwrthe, Duncheswurthe, Warinus de, 922
Denchewrthe, Warinus de, 1050
Dene, Gilebertus de la, 1433
  Radulfus filius Willelmi de la, 608
  Roeysia de la, 1165
  Simon le, 1661
  Willelmus de la, 608
  Willelmus le, 1661
Deneband, Denebond, Eneband, Eudo, 93, 560, 573
  Mabilia uxor Eudonis, 560, 573
  Philippus, 560, 573
  Radulfus de, 93
  Robertus de, 93
Denebond *vide* Deneband.
Denemede, Hugelina filia Willelmi de, 844
  Matillis filia Willelmi de, 844
  Willelmus de, 844
Denstede, Thomas de, 163
Dentona, Galfridus de, 917
  Walterus de, 220
Dentorp, Alicia uxor Willelmi de, 1874
  Willelmus de, 1874
Depeford, Stephanus de, 1172
Derby, Comes, 1236
Derebienses Archidiaconi, 51, 480, 552
Dereburgo, Amicia uxor Ricardi de, 319
  Ricardus de, 319
Dereby, Agnes filia Thome de, 1382
  Alicia filia Thome de, 1382
  Beatricia filia Thome de, 1382
  Katerina filia Thome de, 1382
  Thomas de, 1382
Derefield, Alicia uxor Hugonis de, 1853
  Hugo de, 1853
Derefordia, Elias de, 1873
Derh', Prior de, 1089
Derham, Abbas de, 1238
  Agnes uxor Roberti de, 1774
  Floria filia Roberti de, 1774
  Robertus de, 1774
Derherst, Prior de, 1646, 1701
Derl', Robertus de, 1019
Derlintona, Elena de, 1463
Desebing', Ricardus de, 319
Deseburgo, Galfridus de, 571
  Juliana uxor Galfridi de, 571
Despenser, Adam le, 150
  Agatha filia Ricardi le, 1964
  Albreda uxor Ricardi le, 1964
  Alicia filia Ricardi le, 1964
  Elizabeth filia Ricardi le, 1964
  Isolda filia Ricardi le, 1964
  Katerina uxor Ade le, 150
  Ricardus le, 1964
  Rogerus le, 271
  Thurstanus le, 967
  Willelmus le, 1930
Deuilla, Robertus de, 1197
Deuon, Johannes, 736
  Walterus, 422, 862
Deuonie, Comes, 1172
Dibenham, Didenham, Johannes de, 1277
  Rogerus de, 1277
Dichefordia, Beatricia uxor Galfridi de, 1116
  Galfridus de, 1116
Dichtona, Thomas de, 1517
Dickewrthe, Ricardus de, 222
Dictona, Henricus de, 1863
Didenham *vide* Dibenham.
Dinant, Robertus, 1811
Dinas, Dynas, Johannes, 358
  Ricardus, 358
  Willelmus, 358
Dinham *vide* Binham.
Dionisio, S., Robertus de, 425
  Rogerus de, 378, 1376, 1445, 1833
  Sarra uxor Rogeri de, 378, 1376, 1445
Dirinel, Willelmus, 1481
Disci, Thomas de, 1354
Dispensarius, Alanus, 1312
Dispensator, Hugo, 1117
Dispenser, Baldewinus le, 1731
Diua, Diue, Agnes uxor Willelmi de, 313

The numbers refer to the cases not to the pages.

Diua, Hugo de, 887
Johannes de, 811
Margeria uxor Radulfi de, 1042
Matillis de, 1045
Philippus de, 811
Radulfus de, 1042
Simon de, 313
Willelmus de, 313
Diue *vide* Diua.
Do, Willelmus, 841
Dockinge, Henricus de, 1797
Richerus de, 844
Dod, Hugo, 298, 683
Doddenesse, Prior de, 766
Dodenes, Thomas de, 1918
Doissel', Willelmus, 1710
Dol, Ricardus de, 10
Dolfin, Rogerus, 436
Dompit, Emma uxor Walteri de, 1048
Walterus de, 1048
Doneslande *vide* Duneslande.
Dontona *vide* Duntona.
Dorcestria, Abbas de, 1088
Dorkecestria, Abbas de, 719
Dornapetona, Adam de, 54
Dorset', Alexander, 464
Dorsete, Alexander de, 257, 416
Dorsetia, Alexander de, 1422, 1467, App. 2
Elyas de, 1825
Dorsingtona, Johannes de, 840
Douera, Robertus de, 1764
Roysia de, 1764
Douere, Roheysia de, 1593
Douore', Prior, 163
Draicote, Johannes de, 196
Willelmus filius Johannis de, 196
Draitona, Braytona, Agnes filia Willelmi de, 631
Alicia filia Willelmi de, 631
Rogerus de, 1055
Willelmus de, 631
Drautona, Henricus de, 625
Draytona, Alexander de, 1800
Henricus de, 693
Drengho, Helewisia filia Thome de, 1097
Drengho, Mazilia filia Thome de, 1097
Thomas de, 1097
Dreytona, Thomas de, 1370
Driffield, Fraericus de, 1052
Driwe, Walterus, 1420
Druffeuldia, Walterus de, 373
Druual, Hugo, 566
Mabilia uxor Hugonis, 566
Drybi, Simon de, 1579
Dublinensis Archiepiscopus, 857
Duc, Rogerus le, 489
Dudelegha, Prior de, 1758
Dudenosse, Prior de, 559
Dudintona, Robertus de, 1288
Willelmus de, 1756
Duffeldia, Emma uxor Rad' de, 1153
Rad' de, 1153
Dugun, Philippus, 652
Duket, Johannes, 620
Maria uxor Nicholai, 73
Matillis uxor Johannis, 620
Nicholaus, 73
Ricardus, 873, 1716, 1909
Dullingeham, Nicholaus, 1260
Dun, Emma uxor Nicholai, 371
Gregorius de la, 1129
Nicholaus, 371
Willelmus, 29, 834
Willelmus de la, 1129
Dun', Willelmus de, 792
Duncheswurthe *vide* Denchelwrthe.
Dunckarde, Willelmus, 132
Dunekeswella, Abbas de, 1575
Duneslande, Doneslande, Johannes de, 856
Dunestora, Hugo de, 1172
Dunewico, Josceus filius Ricardi de, 1421
Nicholaus de, 1429
Ricardus de, 1421
Dunham, Johannes de, 83, 369
Ricardus de, 1255
Dunholmenses Episcopi, 35, 847, 1096, 1117, 1213, 1223, 1238, 1852, 1884
Dunholmensis Archidiaconus, 433
Prior, 847

The numbers refer to the cases not to the pages.

Duningtona, Margeria uxor Roberti de, 507
Robertus de, 507
Dunitona, Walterus de, 307
Dunmarz *vide* Dunuar.
Dunmawe, Dunrawe, Ricardus filius Simonis de, 1037
Simon de, 1037
Dunrawe *vide* Dunmawe.
Dunstanuilla, Alanus de, 165, 1512
Galfridus de, 390, 856
Sibilla uxor Walteri de, 101
Walterus de, 101, 1601
Dunstaplia, Prior de, 1572, 1607
Dunstauilla, Walterus de, 1584
Dunstona *vide* Duntona.
Duntona, Dontona, Dunstona, Isabella uxor Thome de, 882
Johannes filius Thome de, 882
Radulfus de, 236
Robertus de, 221
Theodorus de, 309
Thomas de, 882
Willelmus de, 77
Dunuar, Dunmarz, Agnes uxor Ricardi de, 924
Ricardus de, 924
Durantestorpe, Petrus de, 1976
Duredent, Emma, 339
Durnefordia, Ricardus de, 1019
Dusing', Willelmus, 291
Dustona, Maria uxor Willelmi de, 1390
Willelmus de, 205, 707, 994, 1390, 1747
Dwygun, Johannes, 1287
Dynas *vide* Dinas.
Dyne, Robertus de, 1289
Willelmus filius Roberti de, 1289
Dysey, Thomas de, 515

Eadmundi, S., Abbas, 13, 38, 417, 511, 592, 616, 700, 851, 945, 1162, 1443
Senescallus, 38, 1632
Eadmundo, S., Beatricia uxor Salomonis de, 1086
Cristiana uxor Radulfi de, 852
Radulfus de, 852
Eadmundo, S., Salomon de, 1086
Eatona, Prior de, 1250
Priorissa de, 1250
Eboracenses Archiepiscopi, 324, 329, 515, 537, 759, 959, 1117, 1273, 1339, 1463, 1680, 1686, 1730
Eboracensis Canonicus, 628
Eboraci, Prepositus, 16
Thesaurarius, 171
Eboraco, Abbas de, 911, 995, 1339, 1871
Iueta uxor Petri Hund de, 138
Petrus Hund de, 138
S. Leonardi de, Magister, 22
S. Leonardi de, Prior, 60
S. Marie de, Abbas, 254
S. Trinitatis de, Prior, 19, 97, 515, 1415, 1877
W. de, 1197
Willelmus de, 148, 697, 1285
Eborycy, Cantor, 262
Ebreicis, Cecilia de, 227
Rogerus de, 227
Ebroycis, Isabella uxor Stephani de, 288
Stephanus de, 288
Echefeldia, Ricardus de, 1762
Echingham, Simon, 561
Ecleshale, Radulfus de, 26
Edenham, Henricus de, 231
Edgarus Rex, 1628
Edmundo, S., Beatricia uxor Salomonis de, 1905
Salomon de, 1905
Edwardo, S., Abbatissa de, 173, 1713
Eschina uxor Petri de, 658
Leticia uxor Rogeri de, 1695
Petrus de, 658
Rogerus de, 1695
Edwardus Rex, 145, 1716
Sanctus, 1628
Egewrthe, Willelmus de, 1687
Egidii, S., Hospitalis, Prior, 1349
Eintona, Eudo de, 1329
Idonea uxor Eudonis de, 1329
Ela, Comitissa, 3, 363, 463, 532, 775, 1110, 1698

The numbers refer to the cases not to the pages.

Elande, Elonde, Hugo de, 244
Walterus de, 244
Eldeneia, Elteneia, Gilebertus de, 717
Maria filia Walteri de, 717
Walterus de, 717
Eldingtona, Margeria uxor Willelmi de, 485
Willelmus de, 485
Elena, S., Adam de, 325, 640, 738
Adam filius Henrici de, 284
Henricus de, 284, 325
Elene, S., Londonie, Priorissa, 419, 1085
Elianora, Comitissa, 3, 363, 463, 532, 775, 1110, 1698
Elingeham, Ricardus de, 1555
Elinges, Ricardus de, 689
Elingtona, Galfridus de, 558
Ellerton', Elretona, Prior de, 82, 911
Elmeduna, Juliana uxor Simonis de, 813
Simon de, 813
Elmham, Ricardus de, 1304, 1371, 1666
Elonde *vide* Elande.
Elretona *vide* Ellerton'.
Elteham, Jacobus de, 1788
Elteneia *vide* Eldeneia.
Eltesduna, Agnes uxor Willelmi de, 332
Willelmus de, 332
Eltindona, Willelmus de, 76
Eluedena, Emma filia Roberti de, 1917
Robertus de, 1917
Ely, Willelmus de, 805
Elyenses Episcopi, 299, 715, 722, 1117, 1368, 1381, 1541, 1582, 1716, 1719, 1762, 1925
Eneband *vide* Deneband.
Enfant, Johannes le, 1576
Engaigne, Engaine, Engainne, Engaun', Engonn', Vitalis, 86, 148, 181, 427, 1322
Walterus, 445
Engaine, Engainne *vide* Engaigne.
Enganne, Vitalis, 1639
Engaun' *vide* Engaigne.
Engaune *vide* Engayne.
Engayne, Engaune, Vitalis, 1541, 1634
Warnerus, 1224
Engleffeuldia, Willelmus de, 390
Engonn' *vide* Engaigne.
Enueise *vide* Enueyse.
Enueys' *vide* Enueyse.
Enueyse, Enueise, Enueys', Lonueyse, Agnes uxor Willelmi le, 631
Hamon le, 56
Jacobus le, 600
Matillis uxor Hamon le, 56
Robertus le, 428, 1086
Willelmus le, 369, 600, 631, 1242
Eproill, Johannes de, 35
Ercet, Willelmus de, 364
Erdingtona *vide* Erdintona.
Erdintona, Erdingtona, Herdingtona, Egidius de, 412, 467, 1226, 1387, 1654
Henricus de, 412
Roeisia uxor Thome de, 108
Thomas de, 108, 412, 1226, 1387
Ernaldus, Willelmus, 396
Ersham, Alicia de, 561
Escota, Estcota, Robertus de, 1293
Escotingny, Thomas de, 251
Esham, Alicia de, 643
Eskeling *vide* Eskelling.
Eskelling, Eskeling, Johannes, 35
Robertus, 35
Espercer, Floria uxor Galfridi le, 1774
Galfridus le, 1774
Espigurnel, Celestria uxor Walteri, 569
Walterus, 569
Espoc, Walterus, 1085
Esputel, Willelmus, 270
Esquieler, Rogerus le, 1953
Esquier, Geua uxor Simonis le, 1970
Simon le, 1970
Ess', Ricardus, 166
Essartis, Randulfus de, 243
Esse, Hese, Aluredus de, 59
Esseby, Adam de, 1341

The numbers refer to the cases not to the pages.

Esseby, Elena uxor Ade de, 1341
Jordanus de, 1730
Prior de, 246
Robertus de, 146, 208
Thomas de, 1968
Esserugge, Matillis de, 471
Vlianus filius Matillidis de, 471
Essewilla, Elias de, 1125
Essexia, Ernaldus de, 551
Johannes de, 1205
Henricus de, 1275
Robertus de, 1275
Thomas filius Ernaldi de, 551
Essexie, Comes, 297, 301, 375, 1397, 1487, 1570
Estauetona, Auicia uxor Radulfi de, 1707
Juliana de, 1707
Radulfus de, 1707
Estaumfordia, Priorissa de, 406
Estaunfordia, Willelmus de, 332
Estcota *vide* Escota.
Estcote, Robertus de, App. 1
Eston', Alanus, 514
Estona, Willelmus de, 922, 923
Estr', Alicia uxor Willelmi de, 1223
Willelmus de, 1223
Estradlegha *vide* Stralegha.
Estraunge, Johannes, 591
Estraunges, Benedictus le, 369
Estre, Ricardus de, 853
Willelmus de, 378
Estrifordia, Michael de, 282
Esturmuwe, Alanus de, 1771
Dionisia uxor Alani de, 1771
Esturmy, Adam, 1266
Galfridus, 250, 1266
Thomas, 250
Willelmus, 13
Estuteuilla, Elewysa de, 1871
Eustachius de, 1106
Nicholaus de, 254, 599, 1106
Estwyc, Rogerus de, 734
Rogerus filius Rogeri de, 734
Ethingeham, Simon de, 1745
Etona, Osbertus de, 1431
Priorissa de, 276, 300, 488, 583
Etona, Rogerus de, 1115
Willelmus de, 276
Ettona, Priorissa de, 1492
Euere *vide* Eure.
Eueringeham, Isabella uxor Roberti de, 849
Robertus de, 849
Euermue, Euermuwe, Walterus de, 48, 456, 531, 595, 638, 1842
Euermuwe *vide* Euermue.
Euertona, Robertus de, 899, 933
Euesham, Abbas de, 645
Willelmus de, 1116
Euesk, Henricus le, 1619
Osbertus le, 1619
Eure, Euere, Hawisia filia Walteri de, 1770
Hugo de, 1505
Jacobus de, 1770
Johanna filia Willelmi de, 1770
Johannes de, 455
Matillis uxor Hugonis de, 1505
Radulfus de, 1770
Ricardus de, 1770
Robertus de, 1770
Walterus de, 1770
Willelmus de, 1770
Eustachius, Comes, 262
Exonia, S. Nicholai de, Prior, 5, 6
Exonie, Decanus, 348
Precentor, 920
Exonienses Episcopi, 143, 426, 516, 808, 894, 1070, 1117, 1145, 1172, 1666
Eydona, Thomas de, 933
Eye, Prior de, 415
Eyneffordia *vide* Eynefordia.
Eynefordia, Eyneffordia, Willelmus de, 376, 474
Eynesham, Abbas de, 291, 1603, 1672
Eyr, Willelmus le, 644
Eyuilla, Johannes de, 1366
Eywas, Robertus de, 172
Robertus filius Roberti de, 172

Faber, Adam de, 435
Agnes uxor Henrici, 634

The numbers refer to the cases not to the pages.

Faber, Agnes uxor Joscei, 1531
Alicia uxor Ricardi, 751
Auicia uxor Radulfi, 1707
Henricus, 634, 688
Hugo, 285, 446
Josceus, 1531
Paganus, 200
Radulfus, 1531, 1707
Ricardus, 751
Robertus, 43
Robertus filius Roberti, 43
Rogerus, 1464, 1911, 1941
Stephanus, 1140
Thomas, 1910, 1940
Willelmus, 1041, 1949
Willelmus filius Rogeri, 1941
Fabianus, Magister, 125
Fader, Willelmus, 1226
Fairfay, Willelmus, 697
Fakeham, Willelmus de, 1812
Faleisa, Willelmus de, 81
Falkeburgo, Galfridus de, 1546
Fallide, Johannes, 907
Fambridge, Faunbrig', Decanus de, 221
Fancillun, Helton, 563
Johannes, 563
Faran, Gilebertus, 1630
Fardingestona, Matillis de, 1522
Ricardus de, 1522
Farmamuilla, Furmamuilla, Basilia de, 310
Robertus de, 310
Farnburnia, Agnes uxor Rogeri de, 1847
Rogerus de, 1847
Fauconer, Robertus le, 263
Willelmus le, 1188
Faucumbergo, Eustachius de, 1411
Petrus de, 1551
Faucunberge, Eustachius de, App. 8
Faucuner, Willelmus le, 1960
Fauersham, Abbas de, 192
Faukebergo, Philippus de, 256
Faukenberghó, Willelmus de, 599
Faunbrig' *vide* Fambridge.
Fay, Beatricia uxor Radulfi de, 1410, 1765
Fay, Beatrix de, 915
Johannes de, 525, 553, 587, 679, 1118
Matillis uxor Radulfi de, 525
Radulfus de, 525, 553, 679, 913, 1410, 1765
Feld, Rogerus de la, 1345
Felebrigge, Ricardus de, 937
Walterus filius Ricardi de, 937
Feleintona, Galfridus filius Hugonis de, 142
Hugo de, 142
Femnes, Willelmus de, 1465
Fenderne, Hugo de, 51
Fer, Braz de, Ricardus, 1773
Ferariis *vide* Ferrariis.
Fercles, Galfridus de, 1634
Hugo de, 363
Ferèbrigg, Ricardus de, 261
Ferenbergo, Stephanus de, 453
Ferenduna, Abbas de, 655
Ferers, Comes, 1117
Feritate, Margeria de, 574, 796
Ferlegha, Prior de, 1714
Ferlege, Henricus de, 19
Fern', Hugo de la, 1067
Ferne, Ricardus de, 606, 673
Fernelegha, Prior de, 1749
Ferrant, Ricardus, 514
Ferrariis, Ferariis, Agnes uxor Comitis de, 1127, 1213
Comes de, 696, 1127, 1211, 1213, 1214, 1216, 1236, 1273, 1758, 1949
Johanna uxor Roberti de, 12, 1571
Robertus de, 12, 1571
Thomas de, 85
Willelmus de, 674, 676, 752, 1125, 1127, 1214, 1236, 1273
Ferun, Clemens filius Rogeri le, 662
Galfridus filius Rogeri le, 662
Rogerus le, 662
Fesaunt, Johannes filius Rogeri, 577
Rogerus, 577
Feueresham, Ricardus de, 767
Fich, Basilia uxor Joscelini, 1807
Joscelinus, 1807

The numbers refer to the cases not to the pages.

Fichet, Ricardus, 1764
Ficil, Fithil, Hugo, 208
Fidis, S., Prior, 548
Fietenglace, Futenglat', Sarra uxor Walteri, 1751
  Walterus, 1751
Fifhide, Robertus de, 957
Filia—
  Absolonis, Mabilia, 104
  Ade, Alicia, 1034
    Cecilia, 1034
  Agathe, Beatricia, 1905
  Alani, Alicia, 959
    Oliua, 1811
    Petronilla, 959
  Aldithe, Sarra, 1112
  Alexandri, Emma, 841
  Algari, Estrilda, 662
  Alicie, Alditha, 676
    Auelina, 1834
    Hersenta, 653, 676
    Johanna, 487
    Juliana, 1034
    Matillis, 676
  Aline, Alina, 512
  Almari, Semara, 147
  Aluredi, Alina, 512
  Amicie, Alicia, 1958
  Andree, Agatha, 1905
    Basilia, 1095
    Egidia, 1905
    Elena, 1905
    Elizabeth, 1905
    Matillis, 1905
    Oliua, 1905
  Anketilli, Matillis, 1293, App. 1
  Arnaldi, Alicia, 1139
  Asceline, Alicia, 317
  Auicie, Agnes, 1475
  Briani, Agnes, 892
  Cristiane, Beatricia, 1877
    Emma, 702
    Isabella, 702
    Suniua, 1877
  Dauid, Auicia, 639
  Derewini, Gunilda, 1287
  Eadmundi, Matillis, 1477
Filia—
  Elie, Margeria, 271
    Matillis, 34
    Roheisia, 34
  Emme, Beatricia, 1203
  Enwici, Alicia, 1018
    Editha, 1018
    Isabella, 1018
  Ernaldi, Alicia, 1185
  Eutropi, Alicia, 1520
  Felicie, Maria, 717
  Florencie, Alicia, 1259
  Galfridi, Matillis, 797, 1026
    Roesia, 1044
  Georgii, Alicia, 185
  Godehonde, Eustachia, 1058
  Godeline, Thurilda, 993
  Godwini, Mabilia, 1906
  Goldingi, Agnes, 1419
    Cecilia, 1419
  Goselini, Auicia, 556
  Gunnore, Cristiana, 1128
  Haldeni, Emma, 1729
  Hawisie, Eua, 1379
  Helye, Auicia, 225
    Claricia, 225
    Milisantia, 225
    Roheisia, 225
  Henrici, Agnes, 758
    Alicia, 403, 575
    Cecilia, 1670
    Celestria, 569
    Dynamia, 758
    Johanna, 758, 774
    Saluea, 804
  Howardi, Emma, 1842
  Huberti, Cristiana, 1830
  Hugonis, Alicia, 528, 1004
    Gunilda, 1004
    Milisantia, 1004
  Hyldredi, Matillis, 1040
    Sominna, 1040
    Truyta, 1040
  Isabelle, Alicia, 1774
    Isabella, 1774
  Johanne, Agnes, 470
    Isabella, 1754

The numbers refer to the cases not to the pages.

Filia—
Johannis, Alicia, 1839
  Annora, 1039
  Auicia, 1839, 1954
  Berta, 1954
  Gunnilda, 1839, 1954
  Juliana, 1120
  Katerina, 354
  Sarra, 1112
Juliane, Margeria, 815
Laurencii, Juliana, 1011
Leuce, Agnes, 260
Leweline, Elena, 1655
Luce, Johanna, 1909
  Mabilia, 1909
Lucie, Katerina, 1761
Mabilie, Dionisia, 1685
  Margeria, 1906
Margerie, Amicia, 1740
  Cristina, 1675
  Margeria, 950
Matillidis, Agnes, 1672
  Alesia, 1773
  Auicia, 1954
  Berta, 1954
  Elia, 1708
  Emma, 1773
  Gunnilda, 1954
  Juliana, 1336
  Wymarca, 1773
Mayne, Juliana, 815
  Matillis, 815
Milisantie, Agnes, 1004
Nicholai, Sabina, 90
Normann', Juliana, 846
Osberti, Cecilia, 530
  Margeria, 507
Osmundi, Agnes, 951
  Cristiana, 951
  Matillis, 951
Petri, Cristiana, 1877
  Juliana, 241
  Matillis, 522
Philippi, Margeria, 328
Quenil', Matillis, 1026
Radulfi, Agatha, 1905
  Agnes, 480

Filia—
Radulfi, Alicia, 1917
  Margeria, 1524
  Mirabella, 1793
Rannulfi, Agnes, 1048
  Alicia, 1048, 1845
  Diamanda, 1048
  Godelina, 1557
  Iuetta, 1792
  Mabilia, 1792
Reginaldi, Matillis, 86
Ricardi, Agnes, 1876
  Alina, 460
  Auelina, 1764
  Emma, 1748
  Idonea, 551
  Iueta, 989
  Mabilia, 86
  Margareta, 1040
  Margeria, 86, 966, 1675, 1748
  Matillis, 460, 476, 1764, 1876
  Roysia, 476, 1044
Roberti, Alicia, 751, 1468
  Beatricia, 851, 1174
  Florencia, 1630
  Gunnora, 1128
  Isolda, 1951
  Joscea, 1420
  Mabilia, 751
  Margeria, 1420
  Marsilia, 1554
  Roysia, 1044
Rogeri, Agnes, 947
  Alicia, 1437
  Cristina, 1048
  Ela, 1437
  Emma, 937, 1048
  Felicia, 1048
  Hilda, 1682
  Iueta, 889
  Jowetta, 522
  Juliana, 1048
  Milisanta, 1840
Roysie, Katerina, 846
Salomonis, Matillis, 1672
Sigari, Alicia, 1753
Simonis, Margeria, 1906

The numbers refer to the cases not to the pages.

Filia—
Simonis, Matillis, 11
Petronilla, 973
Sirec, Anna, 1357
Margeria, 1357
Matillis, 1357
Stephani, Emma, 1769
Mabilia, 45
Matillis, 45
Thome, Alicia, 1958
Lucia, 66
Thurgis', Ilda, 335
Thurkilli, Matillis, 1905
Umfridi, Alicia, 1100
Usudi, Helewysa, 237
Walerandi, Margareta, 1057
Walteri, Hawisia, 1427
Suanilla, 30
Warini, Alicia, 1311
Warlingi, Elena, 1167
Willelmi, Agnes, 311, 833, 834, 1857
Alicia, 311
Auelina, 1705
Constancia, 702
Cristiana, 702
Dionisia, 833, 834
Ela, 1235
Emma, 90, 774, 1858, 1957
Helewisia, 659
Isolda, 1295
Johanna, 1010, 1674
Juliana, 100, 1068, 1563
Licoriza, 1857
Mabilia, 1685
Margeria, 950, 1382
Muriella, 425
Roisia, 1858
Sibilla, 1010
Wulnofi, Matillis, 1773
Filius—
Absolonis, Hugo, 103, 104
Walterus, 523
Willelmus, 103, 104, 523
Ade, Adam, 249, 428
Aunselinus, 1023
Aunsellus, 703
Filius—
Ade, Brianus, 892
Hugo, 1416
Johannes, 703, 1023
Nicholaus, 1887
Osbertus, 795
Ricardus, 249
Robertus, 1341, 1939
Rogerus, 50, 252, 892, 1241
Simon, 864
Thomas, 281, 537
Walterus, 596, 991
Willelmus, 386, 404, 492, 592, 1836
Adgari, Ricardus, 55
Agathe, Henricus, 1259
Agnetis, Adam, 1181
Graalandus, 1048
Johannes, 1169
Petrus, 1041
Radulfus, 955
Ricardus, 411, 1849
Rogerus, 892
Walterus, 1795
Willelmus, 947
Ailbini, Willelmus, 200
Ailmari, Rannulfus, 1935
Safridus, 1935
Willelmus, 1935
Ailmeri, Adam, 1936
Alani, Adam, 771
Brianus, 20, 28, 1458
Dauid, 1167
Galfridus, 1167, 1840
Hamon, 1930
Johannes, 173, 1288, 1390
Petrus, 554
Ricardus, 215, 240, 1135
Robertus, 1189
Rogerus, 554
Ruwaldus, 1276
Stephanus, 1933
Thomas, 1747, 1933
Walterus, 147
Warinus, 1933
Willelmus, 147, 215, 554, 1251, 1288, 1590, 1933

The numbers refer to the cases not to the pages.

Filius—
Albani, Johannes, 1207
Alberti, Philippus, 328
Guido, 1877
Albrede, Alexander, 370
Warinus, 373
Walterus, 1793
Albrichi, Gregorius, 132
Aldithe, Azo, 676
Aldrichi, Gilebertus, 84
Alexandri, Alanus, 959, 1510, 1578
Alexander, 851, 1187
Ernulfus, 20
Godefridus, 959
Henricus, 959
Johannes, 1206, 1321
Laurencius, 959
Ricardus, 551
Alicie, Adam, 1157, 1161
Dauid, 1167
Galfridus, 343
Haco, 185
Johannes, 381, 1437, 1917
Mauricius, 929
Rogerus, 1784
Thomas, 653
Walterus, 377, 1070
Willelmus, 440, 938
Alienore, Wakelinus, 496
Aliesie, Ricardus, 1044
Aline, Thomas, 512
Almari, Willelmus, 235
Alnathi, Alina, 612
Willelmus, 612
Alofi, Petrus, 715
Aluine, Willelmus, 528
Aluredi, Johannes, 1005
Martinus, 70, 86
Robertus, 1729
Willelmus, 370
Alurici, Andreas, 1005
Radulfus, 31
Alwini, Johannes, 1954
Philippus, 1062
Ricardus, 80
Amabilis, Henricus, 331
Willelmus, 812

Filius—
Amauri, Alanus, 1882
Galfridus, 1882
Andree, Johannes, 1620
Mauricius, 1095
Petrus, 30
Walterus, 1888
Willelmus, 1005
Anfridi, Serlo, 118
Arnaldi, Ricardus, 1749
Arnuldi, Willelmus, 1779
Arturi, Petrus, 324
Asceline, Rogerus, 1045
Aselack', Rogerus, 1936
Atheline, Ordgarius, 1041
Aucheri, Henricus, 144, 533, 1119, 1476
Ricardus, 742
Audoeni, Alexander, 1007
Willelmus, 1750
Aueline, Radulfus, 1834
Ricardus, 1764
Aufredi, Willelmus, 1017
Augustini, Ricardus, 1785
Willelmus, 1368
Auicie, Ricardus, 1169
Aylardi, Aluredus, 1005
Aluricus, 1005
Aylmari, Hugo, 228
Baldewini, Galfridus, 192, 562
Henricus, 1966
Johannes, 833, 1968
Radulfus, 616
Reginaldus, 45
Thomas, 369
Willelmus, 192, 459
Basilie, Joudewinus, 1095
Beatricie, Galfridus, 8, 586
Henricus, 403
Johannes, 88, 851, 965
Robertus, 1457
Benedicti, Benedictus, 30
Thomas, 66
Willelmus, 126, 1421
Bernardi, Johannes, 1704, 1932
Lambertus, 655
Osbertus, 186

The numbers refer to the cases not to the pages.

Filius—
Bernardi, Radulfus, 116, 1410, 1699
Willelmus, 386
Bertini, Adam, 1779
Briani, Henricus, 47
Radulfus, 1278
Robertus, 54, 1189
Bricii, Johannes, 1560
Iuo, 1560
Brini, Ricardus, 107
Buchardi, Reginaldus, 91
Camell', Reginaldus, 1856
Cecilie, Galfridus, 1504, 1645
Henricus, 1670
Reginaldus, 1034
Celestrie, Robertus, 1011
Clerici, Robertus, 1900
Comitis, Briennius, 1688
Henricus, 85, 1512, 1660, 1666, 1732
Cristine, Ricardus, 1041
Willelmus, 1717
Custanc', Nicholaus, 1517
Danielis, Laurencius, 663
Robertus, 90
Thomas, 1759
Dauid, Ricardus, 982
Robertus, 1000
Johannes, 1124
Deodati, Adam, 722
Dere, Walterus, 523
Willelmus, 523
Dionisie, Adam, 1685
Godefridus, 787
Dionisii, Radulfus, 179
Drogonis, Willelmus, 121, 251, 1543, 1722
Eadmundi, Reginaldus, 1834
Edgari, Patricius, 226
Edine, Hermannus, 228
Edithe, Reginaldus, 1041
Ricardus, 824
Willelmus, 1324
Edmeri, Rogerus, 1783
Edrici, Durandus, 1072
Sictridus, 1072
Edwardi, Galfridus, 1289

Filius—
Edwini, Adam, 233
Radulfus, 1905
Eilnod, Rogerus, 499
Eilnolf, Bartholomeus, 499
Ele, Ricardus, 1437
Willelmus, 1235, 1277
Elie, Clemens, 287
Conanus, 301
Georgius, 252
Joscelinus, 287
Philippus, 271
Radulfus, 287
Reginaldus, 287
Ricardus, 878, 1193
Rogerus, 252
Tristram, 1240
Willelmus, 212, 675, 850, 860
Eluene, Siwacus, 1167
Emeline, Robertus, 386
Emme, Radulfus, 1917
Rogerus, 1783
Engelr', Henricus, 262
Engelranni, Willelmus, 570
Engelron', Stephanus, 499
Ernisii, Philippus, 1090
Ernulfi, Wakelinus, 496
Eruisii, Johannes, 641
Estrangie, Robertus, 1075
Estrilde, Edwinus, 1905
Radulfus, 662
Rogerus, 662
Thurkildus, 1905
Eudonis, Alanus, 1418
Michael, 1893
Nicholaus, 1473
Robertus, 145
Walterus, 1827
Eue, Robertus, 1379, 1733, 1942
Euerwini, Ricardus, 385
Eufemie, Simon, 1007
Eustachii, Bartholomeus, 916, 1103
Eustachius, 895, 1030
Galfridus, 837
Willelmus, 964, 1915
Eutropi, Willelmus, 1426, 1520
Florie, Gilebertus, 517

The numbers refer to the cases not to the pages.

Filius—
Fretesante, Andreas, 747
Fromundi, Robertus, 831
Fulcheri, Henricus, 176
Warinus, 176, 1581
Fulconis, Radulfus, 153, 1511, 1838
Rogerus, 947
Walterus, 840
Willelmus, 1234
Galfridi, Alanus, 804
Baldewinus, 1660
Clemens, 1830
Galfridus, App. 2
Gilebertus, 387
Herebertus, 1044
Hubertus, 1516
Johannes, 585, 652, 797, 1190, 1214, 1241, 1273
Petrus, 1676
Ricardus, 476, 898, 1044, 1369
Robertus, 398, 648
Rogerus, 1437, 1472
Thomas, 734, 1690
Warinus, 425
Willelmus, 1748, 1868
Generose, Gerardus, 357
Georgii, Hugo, 185
Gerardi, Robertus, 984
Warinus, 984
Germani, Dauid, 1299
Johannes, 1167
Geroldi, Henricus, 1749
Warinus, 1643
Geruasii, Euerwinus, 348
Robertus, 138, 348
Gile, Johannes, 716
Gileberti, Alanus, 1972
Galfridus, 1435
Gilebertus, 41, 451
Henricus, 84
Herbertus, 617
Hugo, 980
Johannes, App. 7
Martinus, 783
Matheus, 1749
Osbertus, App. 7
Robertus, 1427

Filius—
Gileberti, Willelmus, 314, 584, 1526
Gippe, Willelmus, 1207
Godefridi, Gilebertus, 1084
Matheus, 1224
Petrus, 1074
Reynerus, 1074
Ricardus, 227
Thomas, 718
Willelmus, 906
Wlfridus, 1041
Godeline, Ricardus, 993
Godman, Willelmus, 1192
Godrici, Robertus, App. 3
Godstani, Alanus, 1062
Godwini, Alanus, 1062
Hubertus, 1105
Mauricius, 1105
Nicholaus, 1062
Petrus, 924
Ricardus, 36
Robertus, 704, 1105
Walterus, 704
Willelmus, 1893
Goselini, Robertus, 556
Gote, Thomas, 938
Gregorii, Johannes, 144, 1476
Gudmundi, Eadmundus, 887
Gundrede, Robertus, 705
Gunilde, Willelmus, 1115
Gwidonis, Simon, 1203
Gyle, Hugo, 917
Gyue, Galfridus, 1654
Haconis, Ricardus, 185
Haldani, Alanus, 1135
Halnaci, Johannes, 648
Hamonis, Gerardus, 1782
Hamon, 550
Radulfus, 715, 1533
Thomas, 550
Walterus, 10
Willelmus, 530, 614
Hanesgod, Robertus, 999
Wydo, 999
Harding', Elias, 860
Robertus, 860
Hawisie, Johannes, 867, 1565

The numbers refer to the cases not to the pages.

Filius—
Hawisie, Radulfus, 1565
Robertus, 1565, 1569
Helewisie, Anselmus, 1382
Robertus, 526
Helye, Willelmus, 1303
Henrici, Adam, 660
Alanus, 1738
Geruasius, 976
Gilebertus, 281, 758, 1067
Hamon, 161
Henricus, 665
Hugo, 1629
James, 1009
Johannes, 282, 403, 1009, 1103
Josceus, 1531
Nicholaus, 176, 1099
Philippus, 1026
Radulfus, 1865
Rannulfus, 148, 231, 323, 1845
Ricardus, 1009
Robertus, 1011
Rogerus, 1149, 1952
Saherus, 1568
Simon, 1009
Thomas, 758, 1476
Willelmus, 265, 696, 702, 884, 916, 1030, 1103
Hereberti, Adam, 1023
Alexander, 622
Bartholomeus, 1023
Matheus, 54
Petrus, 181, 235, 651, 764, 943, 1176, 1273, 1363, 1573, 1589
Hereuici, Gilebertus, 1000
Herlewyni, Aylmarus, 228
Hermannus, 228
Hersante, Thomas, 676
Heruei, Adam, 1120, 1176
Rogerus, 396
Herwardi, Willelmus, 1749
Howardi, Joscelinus, 1509
Huberti, Hubertus, 1507, App. 4
Milo, 298
Willelmus, 1105
Hugonis, Alanus, 1924
Bernardus, 1842

Filius—
Hugonis, Ernisius, 1174
Eutropus, 1426
Gilebertus, 1576
Henricus, 72, 237
Hugo, 578, App. 8
Johannes, 432, 832, 1120, 1176, 1412, 1573, 1649, 1924
Nicholaus, 1252
Radulfus, 1870
Ranulfus, 272, 393
Ricardus, 1117
Robertus, 490, 1186, 1870
Rogerus, 695
Simon, 1842
Thomas, 467
Walterus, 402, 1335
Warinus, 490
Willelmus, 34, 207, 311, 663, 1756
Humfridi, Thomas, 1759
Hurewardi, Willelmus, 343
Ingeron, Ricardus, 499
Isabelle, Oliuerus, 596, 991
Robertus, 644
Thomas, 1157, 1161, 1821
Willelmus, 343, 985
Isaunti, Johannes, 523
Isilie, Henricus, 379
Ricardus, 379
Robertus, 315, 379
Rogerus, 315
Sampson, 315
Isolde, Hugo, 1951
Iuonis, Robertus, 1517, 1735, 1963
Thomas, 1400
Iwani, Johannes, 1811
Joeli, Warinus, 908
Johanne, Willelmus, 774
Johannis, Alanus, 63
Andreas, 868
Dauid, 1167
Galfridus, 832, 1120, 1176
Johannes, 35, 400, 708, 1603, 1954
Henricus, 309, 403
Matheus, 1436

The numbers refer to the cases not to the pages.

Filius—
Johannis, Nicholaus, 510
Radulfus, 831
Ricardus, 400, 815
Robertus, 35, 853, 1811
Rogerus, 1801, 1954
Simon, 846
Thomas, 802
Walterus, 307
Willelmus, 200, 608, 1082, 1104, 1427, 1870, 1903, 1944, 1954
Johelis, Warinus, 1285, 1657
Jollani, Jollanus, 947
Walterus, 531
Jordani, Alanus, 1811
Brianus, 47
Jordanus, 889
Petrus, 889
Ricardus, 1229
Robertus, 1851
Willelmus, 979
Joscei, Nicholaus, 692
Joscelini, Bartholomeus, 1793
Hugo, 1793
Petrus, 1793
Willelmus, 1509, 1793
Joudelini, Willelmus, 1091
Joudewini, Willelmus, 1095
Jowette, Ricardus, 484
Juelis, Willelmus, 1367
Juette, Brianus, 1074
Reynerus, 1074
Hugo, 1074
Juliane, Mauricius, 241
Juwette, Brianus, 668
Hugo, 668
Kecel, Hugo, 1671
Lamberti, Lambertus, 1209
Laurencii, Johannes, 1011
Willelmus, 194
Lene, Robertus, 836
Leofwini, Hugo, 16
Leonardi, Ricardus, 316
Robertus, 316
Leticie, Robertus, 1899
Willelmus, 737
Lucie, Johannes, 716

Filius—
Lucie, Eustachius, 1167
Willelmus, 1792
Mabilie, Adam, 104
Dauid, 1099
Gilebertus, 955, 1673
Johannes, 103
Rogerus, 86, 1891
Willelmus, 1673, 1908
Majoris, Alanus, 1302
Malgeri, Arnuldus, 1779
Marciani, Ricardus, 779
Margarete, Jacobus, 30
Margerie, Andreas, 815
Hugo, 950, 1565
Radulfus, 845
Marie, Alanus, 1811
Ricardus, 1893
Robertus, 1965
Martini, Heruicus, 1393
Simon, 779
Mathei, Herbertus, 1117, 1273
Thomas, 871
Matillidis, Andreas, 86
Elias, 86
Galfridus, 1026
Hamon, 1773
Henricus, 855
Johannes, 86
Joudelinus, 1091
Matillis, 476
Petrus, 1091
Radulfus, 1216, 1773
Reginaldus, 1834
Ricardus, 134, 1040, 1477, 1522
Robertus, 1044, 1216, 1764
Rogerus, 664
Simon, 11, 855, 1905
Walterus, 676
Willelmus, 86, 146, 815, 1674
Mauricii, Stephanus, 241
Heruicus, 1155
Mayne, Walterus, 815
Ricardus, 815
Michaelis, Thomas, 417
Ricardus, 657
Unfridus, 454

The numbers refer to the cases not to the pages.

Filius—
Milonis, Hugo, 807
Nicholaus, 298
Ricardus, 32
Rogerus, 68
Mosse, Ricardus, 479
Nicholai, Johannes, 908, 1447
Milo, 298
Nicholaus, 1085
Osbertus, 663
Radulfus, 300, 657, 1117, 1163, 1492
Reginaldus, 596, 991
Thomas, 1974
Warinus, 1532
Willelmus, 609, 1867
Nigasie, Henricus, 774
Nigelli, Johannes, 230, 1678
Jordanus, 1851
Osbertus, 538
Rannulfus, 230
Ricardus, 321, 1674
Robertus, 1311
Willelmus, 652
Normanni, Ricardus, 571
Robertus, 1928
Odonis, Willelmus, 502
Thomas, 1590
Ogeri, Petrus, 143
Rogerus, 1249
Ordmer, Hamon, 593
Orenge, Radulfus, 227
Willelmus, 227
Osberti, Elyas, 1899
Helyas, 225
Henricus, 1619
Oliuerus, 564
Petrus, 507
Radulfus, 564
Ricardus, 1056
Robertus, 582, 1621
Rogerus, 564, 788, 1055, 1838, 1891
Thomas, 1896
Walterus, 335
Willelmus, 507
Osmundi, Elias, 344

Filius—
Osmundi, Rogerus, 348
Umfridus, 1759
Othonis, Willelmus, 887
Pagani, Gilebertus, 1663
Thomas, 281
Walterus, 87
Warinus, 1663
Willelmus, 215
Patricii, Johannes, 226, 239
Petri, Adam, 537, 849
Alanus, 554
Brianus, 668, 1074
Galfridus, 241, 758, 797, 858, 1190, 1334, 1487, 1570
Gilebertus, 833
Godardus, 1490
Hugo, 668, 1074
Humfridus, 1041
Johannes, 833, 868
Joudelinus, 1091
Petrus, 637, 1403
Radulfus, 330
Reginaldus, 1024, 1041
Reynerus, 1074
Ricardus, 771, 1051, 1087
Robertus, 1570, 1942
Simon, 833, 1799
Willelmus, 82, 484, 1384, 1488
Philippi, Adam, 104
Alexander, 1033
Johannes, 187, 1768
Radulfus, 1836
Ricardus, 609
Robertus, 778, 885, 980
Willelmus, 1128, 1489, 1768
Picot', Andreas, 211
Porteman, Edwardus, 1903
Johannes, 1903
Prepositi, Hugo, 72
Presbiteri, Wimerus, 1823
Punchardi, Robertus, 634
Quenil', Alanus, 1026
Radulfi, Adam, 185
Alanus, 1631
Alexander, 90
Brianus, 1917

The numbers refer to the cases not to the pages.

Filius—
Radulfi, Gaufridus, 1041
Hamelinus, 1411, App. 8
Hamon, 715
Henricus, 1003
Hubertus, 486
Hugo, 467, 480
Johannes, 667, 686, 831, 1524, 1631
Laurencius, 686
Mauricius, 1095
Michael, 1151, 1809
Petrus, 994
Radulfus, 227, 955, 1029, 1437, 1445
Ricardus, 467, 945, 1957
Robertus, 949, 1029, 1174, 1957
Rogerus, 404, 848, 1543, 1558
Thomas, 284
Viuianus, 629, 939
Walterus, 92, 384, 467
Willelmus, 20, 156, 227, 394, 565, 726, 752, 1241, 1554, 1797, 1883
Ranene, Willelmus, 1685
Rannulfi, Alanus, 1861
Hugo, 272, 393
Johannes, 214
Philippus, 1048
Reginaldus, 1041
Ricardus, 230, 1806
Rogerus, 1048
Thomas, 1935
Willelmus, 59, 1280
Ranwe, Euerardus, 1909
Reginaldi, Adam, 357
Johannes, 973
Jordon, 774
Radulfus, 278, 344
Ricardus, 476, 650, 811, 1044, 1764
Robertus, 1678, 1899
Willelmus, 318, 774
Regis, Robertus, 1569
Reinbaldi, Willelmus, 59
Reinfridi, Rogerus, 913
Renn', Henricus, 994

Filius—
Reyneri, Hugo, 1853
Willelmus, 1568
Ricardi, Bartholomeus, 303
Brianus, 1816
Fulco, 215
Galfridus, 476, 1764
Henricus, 1455, 1979
Herbertus, 476
Heruicus, 1800
Hugo, 838
Iuo, 1967
Jacobus, 1816
Johannes, 243, 367, 656, 815, 894, 945, 1521, 1638, 1663, 1951
Jordanus, 843
Nicholaus, 1260
Osbertus, 303, 671
Philippus, 343, 977
Radulfus, 455, 1876
Randulfus, 867
Reginaldus, 86
Ricardus, 114, 1040, 1260
Robertus, 303, 316, 349, 604, 836, 1539, 1585
Rogerus, 123
Simon, 139, 1849
Thomas, 138, 392
Vitalis, 1639
Walterus, 1663
Willelmus, 186, 358, 663, 867, 1105, 1351, 1360, 1545, 1722, 1806
Richeri, Adam, 249
Roberti, Adam, 1100
Alanus, 201
Alexander, 1069
Andreas, 601, 1711
Augustinus, 1429
Bartholomeus, 754
Fray, 634
Galfridus, 1462, 1858
Gilebertus, 316, 1268
Godefridus, 889
Hamon, 495
Hugo, 758, 1565, 1571

The numbers refer to the cases not to the pages.

Filius—
Roberti, Johannes, 35, 75, 190, 280, 634, 694, 853, 982, 1668, 1680
Mauricius, 860
Petrus, 754, 1970
Radulfus, 125, 604, 939, 1558
Rannulfus, 1280, 1618
Reginaldus, 31, 1427
Ricardus, 125, 220, 582, 992, 1105, 1185, 1477, 1675
Robertus, 43, 125, 315, 379, 1032, 1569, 1966, 1981
Simon, 739
Thomas, 982, 1013, 1952
Walterus, 490, 777, 855, 885, 1040, 1128, 1828
Willelmus, 147, 498, 802, 949, 1557, 1729
Rogeri, Alexander, 1777
Elias, 252
Gilebertus, 1682
Henricus, 1867
Herewardus, 1068
Hugo, 695, 1712
Johannes, 1565
Milo, 1001
Nicholaus, 1802
Osbertus, 564, 1055
Petrus, 554, 1926
Radulfus, 848, 1006, 1048, 1054
Randulfus, 275
Ricardus, 892, 994, 1048, 1678, 1766
Robertus, 75, 224, 292, 910, 1048, 1223
Rogerus, 1223
Stephanus, 1459
Thomas, 1521, 1592, 1974
Walterus, 591
Willelmus, 319, 663, 697, 947, 1054, 1352, 1814, 1911, 1941, 1952
Wymerus, 848
Rollondi, Johannes, 307
Roscelini, Johannes, 632
Willelmus, 614, 632, 1900

Filius—
Roysie, Fobertus, 476
Robertus, 1044
Thomas, 1858
Sabine, Adam, 1100
Umfridus, 1100
Warinus, 90
Willelmus, 1100
Saheri, Nicholaus, 683
Salomonis, Thomas, 1647
Samcle, Walterus, 366
Samuel, Nicholaus, 773
Sarre, Vitalis, 1639
Sauarici, Eborardus, 34, 1353
Saxini, Petrus, 771
Sconardi, Willelmus, 1960
Sefridi, Willelmus, 1935
Selote, Henricus, 1247
Semare, Alanus, 147
Willelmus, 702
Seywini, Nicholaus, 1902
Sibille, Godefridus, 227
Willelmus, 366
Sictridi, Osbertus, 1072
Sigar, Eudo, 659
Simeonis, Ricardus, 1394, App. 1
Thomas, 676
Simonis, Hugo, 161
Johannes, 1016
Martinus, 1279
Petrus, 1799
Philippus, 232
Reginaldus, 76, 1293, App. 1
Ricardus, 42, 139, 188, 427, 1293, 1529, 1658
Robertus, 285, 644
Rogerus, 1394, 1529
Roges, 1660
Simon, 11, 212, 756, 887, 1861
Thomas, 653, 1049, 1730
Walterus, 224, 855
Willelmus, 1036
Siredi, Radulfus, 1631
Siwaci, Galfridus, 1167
Siwardi, Galfridus, 1025
Sominne, Robertus, 1040
Sowar', Johannes, 1603

The numbers refer to the cases not to the pages.

Filius—
Stephani, Hubertus, 1443
Petrus, 1860
Radulfus, 1214, 1694
Ricardus, 786
Simon, 940
Thomas, 1694
Steyn', Ricardus, 1981
Suanille, Simon, 30
Suetini, Aldenus, 1729
Swaim, Robertus, 1275
Swenild', Hugo, 1798
Theobaldi, Alanus, 153, 1511
Fulco, 1511
Willelmus, 740
Thome, Adam, 1475
Dauid, 1161
Gilebertus, 517, 600
Henricus, 144
Herbertus, 780
Jacobus, 1543
Johannes, 171, 237, 780, 1563, 1659, 1958
Matheus, 1912
Petrus, 488, 1226
Philippus, 1665
Radulfus, 433
Rannulfus, 780
Reginaldus, 1382
Ricardus, 504
Robertus, 982, 1083, 1704
Rogerus, 1703, 1767
Simon, 42
Thomas, 1013
Walterus, 992, 1202
Warinus, 183
Willelmus, 467, 1857, 1858
Thorphini, Odo, 1878
Radulfus, 1878
Thurgoti, Magnus, 107
Thurilli, Ricardus, 1041, 1105
Thurstani, Gilebertus, 980
Henricus, 945
Ricardus, 1455, 1792
Willelmus, 493, 1268
Thurstini, Osbertus, 80
Truyte, Ricardus, 1040

Filius—
Turgis', Robertus, 1406
Tyrry, Ricardus, 147
Ulfi, Petrus, 1927
Umfridi, Gilebertus, 736
Robertus, 1100
Thomas, 1759
Umfridus, 736
Walterus, 45
Ursy, Reginaldus, 86, 96
Ricardus, 86
Vitalis, Walterus, 1011
Viuine, Walterus, 1419
Vliani, Vlianus, 429
Wakelini, Robertus, 752
Willelmus, 994
Wale, Ricardus, 72
Walmari, Hugo, 1252
Martinus, 1252
Walonis, Ricardus, 72
Walteri, Benedictus, 1904
Gilebertus, 1068
Heruicus, 1837
Hugo, 1643, 1870
Milo, 1168
Nicholaus, 1904
Petrus, 1062
Philippus, 1731
Radulfus, 1498
Ricardus, 335, 489, 1498
Robertus, 315, 355, 379, 1044, 1764, 1838
Rogerus, 315, 379, 1068
Sampson, 315, 379
Thomas, 1004, 1157, 1161
Walterus, 1904
Willelmus, 63, 303, 1068, 1360
Wandef', Adam, 520
Warini, Alanus, 1164
Fulco, 102, 764, 1164, 1220
Gilebertus, 1311
Walterus, 1149
Warinus, 1581
Willelmus, 371, 1182, 1408, 1608
Waukelini, Henricus, 696
Werlingi, Germanus, 1167
Widonis, Galfridus, 921

The numbers refer to the cases not to the pages.

Filius—
Widonis, Milo, 371
Willelmi, Adam, 386, 706, 864, 1181, 1918
Alexander, 809, 1207, 1894
Andreas, 815
Baldewinus, 192
Drogo, 1722
Eborardus, 57
Elias, 34, 860
Fabianus, 828
Gerardus, 1
Godefridus, 906, 1224
Hamon, 481
Henricus, 214, 611, 659, 686, 905, 1824
Hugo, 133, 1813
Johannes, 508, 706, 733, 822, 1201, 1243, 1351, 1443, 1917, 1973
Martinus, 918, 1245, 1252
Nicholaus, 502
Nigellus, 1090
Osbertus, 67, 1436
Otho, 325, 681, 887
Petrus, 156
Radulfus, 608, 706, 1486
Reginaldus, 1808
Ricardus, 388, 652, 680, 867, 966, 989, 1024, 1094, 1319, 1360, 1722, 1748, 1757, 1808, 1857
Robertus, 146, 158, 214, 251, 315, 508, 795, 809, 815, 894, 1070, 1808, 1811, 1928, 1943
Rogerus, 319, 659, 795, 1803
Serlo, 809
Simon, 251, 508, 887, 1036, 1697
Thomas, 231, 234, 461, 527, 947, 1871
Thurillus, 1041
Warinus, 1435
Walterus, 367, 562, 1360, 1758, 1957
Willelmus, 43, 46, 59, 508, 659, 860, 906, 966, 992, 1054, 1064, 1082, 1629, 1734, 1747
Wlfridi, Ricardus, 1041
Wluine, Adam, 1823
Wlwini, Hamon, 1812
Wlwrici, Henricus, 1812
Wor', Willelmus, 301
Wulwardi, Godefridus, 343
Herewardus, 343
Wulwini, Henricus, 575
Wymarce, Reginaldus, 1959
Wymeri, Rogerus, 848
Yuonis, Thomas, 951, 988
Findere, Hugo de, 51
Johannes filius Hugonis de, 51
Fine, Rogerus, 1914
Wimarca uxor Rogeri, 1914
Finemere, Gilebertus de, 1518
Petronilla de, 1518
Petronilla filia Rosanne de, 1960
Rosanna de, 1960
Fisinges *vide* Risinges.
Fislake, Robertus de, 1196
Fissacr', Henricus de, 755
Martinus de, 755
Fisseburnia, Thomas filius Willelmi de, 1783
Willelmus de, 1783
Fissinges *vide* Risinges.
Fithil *vide* Ficil.
Fiton, Ricardus, 220
Fizsamere, Willelmus le, 702
Flamauilla, Flamnamuilla, Agnes de, 22
Matillis de, 1016, 1968
Flammeuilla, Hugo de, 1223
Flamnamuilla *vide* Flamauilla.
Flamuilla, Willelmus de, 955
Flandr', Archeb', 976
Henricus, 687
Stephanus, 976
Flaxflet, Isolda uxor Rannulfi de, 1889
Rannulfus de, 1889
Fleckeneye, Lucas de, 1967
Fleg, Johannes le, 582

The numbers refer to the cases not to the pages.

Fleg', Simon de, 531
Flemeburgo, Radulfus filius Willelmi de, 118
Willelmus de, 118
Flemenlis, Judeus, 18
Flemtona, Hamo de, 1930
Flexlegha, Flixle, Abbas de, 381, 567, 969
Flitwyc, Dauid de, 727
Dauid filius Dauid de, 727
Flixle *vide* Flexlegha.
Fokeworth', Johannes de, 286
Fol, Hamon le, 1892
Folebrigge, Johannes de, 1441
Folenfant, Willelmus, 841
Folet, Reginaldus, 1428
Robertus, 1428
Folifeat, Alanus de, 24
Foliot, Elias, 1675
Gilebertus, 18, 1029, 1374
Henricus, 69
Hugo, 675
Jordanus, 1859
Ricardus, 513, 1326
Robertus, 521, 603
Rogerus, 69
Fonte Ebroldi, Abbatissa de, 587
Fontenay, Abbas de, 883
Fontibus, Abbas de, 905, 1880, 1881
Johannes de, 1716 n.
VII., Willelmus de, 389
Ford' *vide* Forda.
Forda, Ford', Forde, Abbas de, 197, 808, 1150, 1732
Forde *vide* Forda.
Foresta, Hugo de, 1739
Forestarius, Alexander, 286
Jordanus, 201, 1562
Philippus, 806
Robertus filius Thurstani, 1971
Thurstanus, 1971
Foristarius, Werreys, 216
Forter, Radulfus le, 1962
Fortibus, Christiana uxor Willelmi de, 1273
Willelmus de, 926, 964, 1060, 1227, 1273, 1637
Fose, Willelmus, 1017
Ysolda uxor Willelmi, 1017
Fossarde, Robertus, 1339
Willelmus, 1339
Foun, Radulfus le, 1216
Frakeham, Abbas de, 616
Framptona, Rogerus de, 1152
Franccheynni, Milo de, 1358
Franceys, Fraunceys, Alicia uxor Salomonis le, 335
Cristiana filia Salomonis le, 335
Emma uxor Rannulphi le, 1848
Galfridus le, 1160
Juliana uxor Willelmi le, 790
Rannulphus le, 1848
Robertus le, 647, 1943
Salomon le, 335
Willelmus le, 623, 790
Wimarca filia Salomonis le, 335
Francie Regis Cancellarius, 357
Francie Rex, 39, 71, 110, 1163, 1396
Franchenei, Franchesnei, Henricus de, 1190
Franchesnei *vide* Franchenei.
Francheuilla, Willelmus de, 188, 839, 890
Franckeiney, Henricus de, 35
Frankelayn, Henricus, 270
Radulfus, 840
Frankeleye, Roysa uxor Simonis le, 429, 471
Simon le, 429, 471
Frankeuilla, Rogerus de, 1082
Fraunceys *vide* Franceys.
Fraxineto, Willelmus de, 871
Fraxino, Alicia uxor Enger' de, 1314
Enger' de, 1314
Hugo de, 250, 702
Freceoxe, Walterus, 1436
Frechingefeldia, Ricardus de, 1800
Fredeswyda *vide* Fredewithe.
Fredewithe, Fredeswyda, Fridewithe, Frideswitda, S., Prior, 719, 832, 1088, 1545
Frere, Walterus le, 1643
Freschenuilla, Radulfus de, 486
Frestona, Agnes de, 1520

The numbers refer to the cases not to the pages.

Frestona, Philippus filius Agnetis de, 1520
Fretewella, Stephanus de, 397
Frid, Ela del, 669
Johannes del, 849, 1833
Johannes filius Johannis del, 1833
Walterus del, 669
Frideswitda *vide* Fredewithe.
Fridewithe *vide* Fredewithe.
Friuilla, Isabella de, 671
Frochingeham, Alexander de, 783
Froill', Herebertus de, 15
Frome, Isabella de, 702
Fromundo, S., Prior de, 626
Fromundus, Abbas, 112
Frost, Juliana filia Roberti, 1821
Henricus filius Roberti, 1821
Margareta filia Roberti, 1821
Matillis filia Roberti, 1821
Robertus, 1821
Rogerus filius Roberti, 1821
Sarra filia Roberti, 1821
Frowe, Alicia la, 381
Frowic, Johannes de, 686
Laurencius de, 686
Fughebir' *vide* Fugheler.
Fugheler, le, Fughebir', Henricus, 1068
Juliana uxor Henrici, 1068
Fughelestona, Isobella uxor Thome de, 1703
Juliana uxor Thome de, 1703
Rogerus filius Thome de, 1703
Thomas de, 1703
Willelmus de, 1703
Fuillus *vide* Aunfeillus.
Fulekyn, Willelmus, 1907
Fulemora, Alicia uxor Roberti de, 1311
Robertus de, 1311
Fuleuilla, Johannes de, 1637
Fulfordia, Henricus de, 1972
Fulgfordehag', Robertus de, 942
Fullus, Radulfus le, 20
Fully, Robertus de, 1095
Fulton, Godefridus, 227
Ricardus filius Godefridi, 227
Fulton, Willelmus, 795
Furmamuilla *vide* Farmamuilla.
Furneaus, Galfridus de, 902
Simon de, 1006
Futenglat' *vide* Fietenglace.

Gahou, Willelmus de, 1306
Galfridus, Comes, 1570
Galia', Robertus de, 1981
Gallicus, Johannes, 1848
Gamages, Galfridus de, 1224
Godefridus de, 1224
Matheus de, 1288
Gambun, Stephanus, 980
Gamel, Ricardus, 1242
Ganges, Robertus de, 824
Gapewico, S. Trinitatis de, Prior, 945
Garbodesham *vide* Gerbodesham.
Gardino, Beatricia de, 546
Henricus de, 107
Willelmus de, 1446, 1508
Gargate, Isabella, 803
Garinges, Priorissa de, 765
Garschurche, Andreas de, 1723
Garsintona, Walterus de, 967
Gastineys, Nicholaa uxor Thome de, 1609
Gatesdena *vide* Gattesdena.
Gattedena *vide* Gattesdena.
Gattele *vide* Catteleg'.
Gattelegha, Radulfus de, 1804
Gattesdena, Gatesdena, Gattedena, Johannes de, 465, 776, 1171
Matillis de, 443, 540
Ranulfus de, 443, 540
Gattona, Hamon de, 495, 1171
Johannes de, 495
Mabilia de, 1171
Gauleya *vide* Cauleya.
Gaunt, Gilebertus de, 148, 231, 494, 954, 1440, 1675, 1737
Henricus de, 1026
Juliana uxor Henrici de, 1026
Matillis uxor Mauricii de, 105
Mauricius de, 105, 414, 1737
Philippus de, 1026
Gaunt', Alanus le, 622

The numbers refer to the cases not to the pages.

Gaunt', Leticia uxor Alani le, 622
Gay, Galfridus le, 1021
Philippus de, 532
Gaylham, Rogerus de, 758
Gaymer, Gunnilda uxor Johannis, 1287
Johannes, 1287
Juliana filia Johannis, 1287
Margareta filia Johannis, 1287
Gecham, Ailwynus de, 499
Amisius de, 499
Martinus de, 499
Walterus de, 499
Geddingeham, Johannes de, App. 4
Gelham, Thomas de, 557, 1255
Gell', Felicia uxor Willelmi de, 364
Willelmus de, 364
Gelram, Johannes de, 1256
Georgio, S., Agatha de, 1183
Agatha filia Alani de, 543
Alanus de, 168, 543, 1536
Galfridus de, 168
Ricardus de, 168
Gerard, Adam, 1281
Gerardeuilla, Robertus de, 1239
Geraruilla, Willelmus de, 13
Gerauilla, Eustachius de, 1173
Gerbodesham, Garbodesham, Maria uxor Thome de, 1647, 1648
Thomas de, 1647, 1648
Germani, S., Prior, 408, 1015
Germano, S., Radulfus de, 1494
Willelmus de, 188
Gernemue, Alicia uxor Karoli de, 722
Karolus de, 722
Gernemuwe, Alicia uxor Radulfi de, 1457
Radulfus de, 1457
Gernesmue, Emma filia Joscelini de, 1895
Joscelinus de, 1895
Margareta filia Joscelini de, 1895
Gernet, Gilebertus filius Willelmi, 1336
Ricardus filius Willelmi, 1336
Rogerus, 86, 1541
Willelmus, 1336
Gernun, Johannes, 279
Radulfus, 564
Rogerus, 564, 1687
Willelmus, 355, 1928
Gersindona, Walterus de, 1528
Gertona, Johannes de, 1456
Gerwedona, Abbas de, 1057
Gery, Philippus, 278
Gessinges, Rogerus de, 1795
Geudeffordia, Johannes de, 913
Geytona, Edmundus de, 1834
Reginaldus filius Edmundi de, 1834
Gibewico, Prior de, 1558
Gibewin', Galfridus, 338
Gibewinus, Galfridus, 1189
Giffard, Giffarde, Elias, 1717
Matillis, 618, 671
Osbertus, 222, 363, 1306, 1717
Ricardus, 1717
Robertus, 1306
Walterus, 1734
Giffard', Mabilia filia Ricardi, 671
Ricardus, 671
Rogerus, 175
Giffarde *vide* Giffard.
Giffardus, Comes, 1500
Gikeleswico, Elias de, 155
Gildehaulle, Gilhale, Willelmus de, 1115
Gilebertus, Comes, 1037, 1117, 1132
Gilebertus, Mariscallus, 857
Gilhale *vide* Gildehaulle.
Gimoges, Willelmus de, 366
Gimundeham, Rogerus de, 501
Ginges, Juliana uxor Rogeri de, 674
Rogerus de, 674
Gingos, Randulfus de, 275
Gipewico, S. Trinitatis de, Prior, 1239
Girunde, Philippus de, 106
Rosamunda uxor Philippi de, 106
Giselham, Alanus de, 884
Juliana uxor Alani de, 884
Gisneto, Jacobus de, 1900
Gladefen, Oliuerus de, 564
Osbertus de, 564
Radulfus filius Osberti de, 564

The numbers refer to the cases not to the pages.

Gladefen, Rogerus filius Osberti de, 564
Gladwine, Rogerus, 1210
Glannuilla *vide* Glaunuilla.
Glanuilla *vide* Glaunuilla.
Glanwilla *vide* Glaunuilla.
Glastonia, Abbas de, 141, 157, 223, 667, 1391, 1469, 1615
Glaucestria *vide* Gloucestria.
Glaunuilla, Clamuilla, Clanuilla, Glannuilla, Glanuilla, Glanwilla, Glonuilla, Bartholomeus de, 1516
Henricus de, 180, 265, 385, 1377, 1625
Isabella uxor Bartholomei de, 1516
Osbertus de, 1920
Ricardus de, 180
Willelmus de, 246
Glen, Gregorius, 428
Glentham, Aluredus de, 251
Glonuilla *vide* Glaunuilla.
Glorius, Robertus le, 285, 963, 998
Glotingham, Robertus de, 1505
Glotinham, Robertus de, 495
Gloucestria, Glaucestria, Hugo de, 1961
Isabella uxor Willelmi de, 1821
Johannes filius Rogeri de, 1898
Jordanus de, 692
Radulfus de, 687
Ricardus de, 1052
Robertus de, 687
Rogerus de, 1898
Willelmus de, 1821
Gloucestrie, Abbas, 172, 900, 1152
Archidiaconus, 687
Comes, 102, 167, 232, 447, 1037, 1045, 1133, 1162, 1238, 1436, 1526, 1567, 1582, 1734
Comitissa, 35
Prior, 172
Gloucewrthe, Robertus de, 1206
Godarduilla *vide* Godaruilla.
Godaruilla, Godarduilla, Walterus de, 857, 893, 1133
Godchep, Estrangia uxor Johannis, 1075
Godchep, Johannes, 1075
Godebout, Alexander, 423
Godefeuldia, Matillis uxor Roberti de, 756
Robertus de, 756
Godeherte, Johannes, 1552
Godelinestona *vide* Godstanetona.
Godestowe, Ricardus de, 1537
Godingeham, Goudingeham, Hugo de, 903, 943
Johannes de, 1443, 1507
Willelmus de, 1443
Godintona, Petrus de, 1186
Godman, Willelmus, 135
Godstanetona, Godelinestona, Robertus de, 1779
Goer *vide* Coher.
Gog, Johannes, 1705
Gogeshale *vide* Coggeshal'.
Goggeshale *vide* Coggeshal'.
Goher, Daniel le, 799
Gol, Ricardus le, 1695
Goldingtona, Petrus de, 492
Goldintona, Petrus de, 1662
Gomages, Willelmus de, 1059
Gorges, Radulfus de, 1267
Gosebirdkirk, Willelmus de, 1736
Gosenhale, Ranulfus de, 462
Gosethorp, Robertus de, 920
Gosewilla, Stephanus de, 1311
Goudingeham *vide* Godingeham.
Gouiz, Rogerus de, 447
Gowiz, Johannes le, 1620
Grancort *vide* Grauncurt.
Grandin *vide* Graundin.
Grangia, Gilebertus de, 824
Grant, Johannes le, 1852
Ricardus le, 278
Rogerus le, 1525
Gras, Hugo le, 1087
Johannes le, 1083, 1444
Graua, Graue, Ricardus de, 394, 1554
Willelmus de la, 528
Willelmus filius Ricardi de, 394
Graue *vide* Graua.
Graued *vide* Graundin.
Graueherst, Radulfus de, 1125

The numbers refer to the cases not to the pages.

Grauele, Robertus de, 864
Unfridus de, 835
Grauenel, Isabella de, 1338
Johanna uxor Thome de, 1085, 1418
Thomas de, 1085, 1418
Grauncurt, Grancort, Walterus de, 64, 111, 413
Willelmus filius Walteri de, 413
Graundi' *vide* Graundin.
Graundin, Grandin, Graued, Graundi', Hugo, 180, 385, 1377, 1625
Graundun, Hugo, 829
Gray, Johannes de, 391, 1238
Gredere, Henricus le, 863
Greinuilla *vide* Grenuilla.
Gremeston' *vide* Grenestona.
Grendale, Alesia uxor Radulfi de, 345
Radulfus de, 345
Grenduna, Robertus de, 823
Willelmus de, 752
Greneherste *vide* Grenestede.
Grenestede, Greneherste, Ricardus de, 128, 189
Thomas de, 1595
Grenestona, Gremeston', Durandus de, 1597
Emma uxor Radulfi de, 1769
Radulfus de, 1769
Grenewye, Reginaldus de la, 270
Grenilla *vide* Grenuilla.
Grentingham, Agnes de, 1626
Grenuilla, Greinuilla, Grenilla, Eustachius de, 97, 605, 798
Johanna uxor Eustachii de, 605, 798
Ricardus de, 1149
Willelmus de, 1052, 1606
Gresle, Robertus de, 1547
Gresley, Robertus, 1440
Robertus de, 130
Gresten, Grestenn, Abbas de, 318, 354, 561
Grestenn *vide* Gresten.
Gretingeham, Agnes de, 1558
Grettona, Sarra de, 33
Walterus de, 33
Grey, Agnes uxor Johannis de, 838
Johannes de, 838
Ricardus de, 1274
Griffin, Bertramus, 1225
Thomas, 1219
Grim, Willelmus, 1944
Grimesby, Major de, 1205
Prior de, 1035
Grisele, Willelmus de, 1334
Gros, Gilebertus le, 375
Radulfus le, 1906
Grossetete, Robertus, 85
Grubbeheuede, Simon, 1577
Grumb', Walterus de, 536
Grunbant, Robertus, 378
Grym, Simon, 1041
Gubant, Alanus, 778
Johannes, 733, 822
Gubiun, Henricus, 485, 676
Willelmus, 676
Gubun, Floria uxor Willelmi, 517
Hugo, 517
Katerina uxor Ricardi, 518
Ricardus, 518, 1483, 1513
Willelmus, 517
Guer, Isabella, 1022
Willelmus, 1022
Guindona, Matheus de, 1308
Guines, Baldewinus de, 1339
Gulaffre, Johannes, 846
Gulastre, Alina uxor Rogeri, 361
Rogerus, 361
Gulofre, Rogerus, 1088, 1089, 1701
Gumeges, Thomas de, 1019
Gundeuilla, Hugo de, 1095, 1411, App. 8
Gunetona, Isabella uxor Mathei de, 1796
Matheus de, 373, 1451, 1796
Gurnay, Canonici de, 1791
Eua de, 1379
Hawisia de, 1379
Hugo de, 1162, 1465
Hugo filius Hugonis de, 1465
Ricardus de, 376
Robertus de, 1379
Guuiz, Rogerus de, 1538

The numbers refer to the cases not to the pages.

Gymingeham, Willelmus de, 177
Gyneges, Willelmus, 286
Gyppewico, Prior de, 310

Hachardis *vide* Hechardis.
Hackebeche, Adam de, 1915
Haddam, Philippus de, 493
Haia, Willelmus de, 260
Haket, Gundreda de, 1458
  Margareta uxor Walteri, 590
  Margeria uxor Willelmi, 509
  Walterus, 590
  Willelmus, 509
Hal *vide* Hales.
Hale, Radulfus de la, App. 3
  Simon de, 615, 1854
Halefeud *vide* Haltfeuld.
Halegate, Theodoricus de, 1029
Hales, Hal, Abbas de, 292, 362, 1024
  Robertus de, 821
  Robertus filius Rogeri de, 292
  Rogerus de, 245, 292
  Simon de, 1016, 1083, 1671, 1691, 1716, 1874, 1909, 1928
Halesby, Ricardus, 907
Halletona, Nicholaus de, 1875
Hallorus, Radulfus filius Willelmi, 1510
  Willelmus, 1510
Hals, Marsilia filia Willelmi, 1508
  Willelmus, 1508
Haltfeuld, Halefeud, Prior de, 442
Hamberd, Radulfus, 636
Hameldona, Robertus de, 1544
Hamerwic, Henricus de, 95
Hamet, Warinus de, 1591
Hamewella, Randulfus de, 1187
Hamme, Auelina uxor Edwardi de, 84
  Edwardus de, 84
Hamtona, Willelmus de, 1352
Handena, Agnes de, 1318
Hanekshale, Walterus de, 45
Hanetone, Warinus, 1619
Hanewrthe, Hugo de, 1384
Harang, Felicia, 1104
Harang' *vide* Harange.
Harange, Harang', Cristiana uxor Gernagani, 774
  Gernagan, 774
  Walterus, 265
Harangod *vide* Haringod.
Hardecota, Thomas de, 1486
Hardes, Rogerus de, 1209
Harecurt, Ricardus de, 708
  Robertus de, 712, 1700, 1744
  Willelmus de, 708
Harencort, Willelmus de, 102
Hareng, Hareng' *vide* Harenge.
Harenge, Hareng, Hareng', Radulfus, 137, 201, 215, 901, 1309
Harengod *vide* Haringod.
Harested' *vide* Sharsted'.
Hareweduna, Simon de, 819
Harewode, Priorissa de, 1699
Haric, Adam, 1909
  Alicia uxor Ade, 1909
Haringeye, Willelmus de, 595
Haringod, Harangod, Harengod, Johannes filius Nicholai, 437
  Nicholaus, 437, 568, 872
  Sibilla, 537, 568
  Sibilla uxor Nicholai, 872
  Stephanus, 1738
Harpedena, Harpedona, Robertus de, 68
  S., Johanne de, Robertus de, 68
Harpedona *vide* Harpedena.
Harpetre, Johannes de, 992
  Willelmus filius Johannis de, 992
Harpur, Adam le, 1281
  Annora uxor Ade le, 1281
  Emma uxor Ricardi le, 761
  Ricardus le, 761
Harre, Herre, Antigania uxor Ricardi le, 61
  Ricardus le, 61
Hartesheued, Hertesheuede, Hugo de, 1846
  Margeria uxor Hugonis de, 1846
Haselbergo, Ricardus de, 1543
  Thomas de, 1150
Haseleye, Johannes filius Walteri de, 990

The numbers refer to the cases not to the pages.

Haseleye, Matillis uxor Walteri de, 990
Walterus de, 990
Hasting' *vide* Hastinges.
Hastingel', Gilebertus de, 1689
Hastinges, Hasting', Barbota uxor Manasseri de, 1604, 1789
Ernolfus de, 173
Galfridus de, 111
Henricus de, 1614
Manasserus de, 1604, 1789
Matillis de, 203
Robertus de, 718, 795, 848, 1604, 1789
Thomas de, 1878
Willelmus de, 1953
Hatecrist, Willelmus, 1079
Haterel, Alicia uxor Johannis, 959
Johannes, 959, 1510
Hatfeldia, Willelmus de, 1476
Hatfeuld, Matillis uxor Walteri de, 1908
Prior de, 751
Walterus de, 1894, 1908
Hatfeuldia, Johannes de, 812
Hathelakestan *vide* Aslakestan.
Hathemus, Alicia, 50
Hatheringtona, Hugo de, 1563
Hathfeldia, Willelmus de, 144
Hattona, Robertus de, 504, 509
Hauekescherche, Philippus de, 131
Ricardus de, 131
Haueleshirst, Johannes de, 1169
Hauerhalm, Prior de, 849
Hauerhulla, Willelmus de, 1249
Haueringe *vide* Haueringes.
Haueringes, Haueringe, Johannes de, 834
Willelmus de, 1036
Wydo de, 834
Hauersham, Hugo de, 1399
Haueryate, Robertus de, 314
Haufordia, Robertus de, 837
Hauing, Ricardus, 779
Haukestona, Nigellus de, 779
Haultona, Willelmus de, 895
Haunselin, Alexander, 752
Lescelina filia Alexandri, 752
Haustede, Radulfus de, 1095
Robertus de, 1095
Hautayn, Autein, Theobaldus, 1547
Hautein, Hautun, Theobaldus, 859
Hauteyn, Theobaldus, 1728, 1874
Hautona, Henricus de, 1209
Sarra uxor Simonis de, 515, 1092, 1093, 1102
Simon de, 515, 1092, 1093, 1102
Hautun *vide* Hautein.
Hauuilla, Henricus de, 940
Hawisia Comitissa, 964, 1213
Hay, Rogerus, 421
Rogerus filius Thome, 410, 421
Thomas, 68, 410, 421
Haya *vide* Haye.
Haye, Haya, Alexandra uxor Thome de la, 605, 798
Alienora uxor Roberti de, 1301
Elizabet uxor Rogeri de la, 1314
Isabella filia Ricardi de, 503, 565
Julia filia Ricardi de, 503, 565
Julianus de la, 558
Mauricius de la, 558
Nicholaa de, 503
Nicholaa filia Ricardi de, 503, 565
Petronilla uxor Saeri de la, 558
Philippa uxor Roberti de, 513
Radulfus de la, 864
Robertus de, 513, 864, 1301, 1966
Robertus filius Roberti de, 1966
Rogerus de la, 1314
Saerus de la, 558
Sanicula uxor Mauricii de la, 558
Stephanus de, 881
Thomas de, 605, 691, 798
Thomas filius Rogeri de la, 1314
Hayrun *vide* Heyrun.
Hayward, Walterus le, 1837
Hecche *vide* Hethe.
Hecham, Dionisius de, 1781
Elena de, 1283
Henricus filius Elene de, 1283
Hugo de, 1905
Matillis de, 1031
Oliua de, 1086
Robertus de, 1781

The numbers refer to the cases not to the pages.

Hecham, Willelmus de, 668
Hechardis, Hachardis, Constancia uxor Roberti de, 1787
Robertus de, 1787
Selekin de, 1787
Heche, Robertus de, 19
Heddenesora, Willelmus de, 1571
Hegetona *vide* Regetona.
Heies, Petrus de, 1268
Heintona, Idonea de, 1409
Hekintona, Robertus de, 705
Hele, Emma uxor Rogeri de, 290
Rogerus de, 290
Helena, S., Johannes de, 164
Heliun, Robertus de, 422
Hellebeck, Matillis uxor Roberti de, 729
Robertus de, 729
Hemdun', Gilebertus de, 334
Hemegraue, Thomas de, 1930
Willelmus de, 1930
Hemelingtona, Galfridus de, 1817
Hemmedena, Walterus de, 1139
Hendernesse, Margeria de, 1356
Henepeneberd', Willelmus, 740
Henred, Johannes de, 469, 1139
Walterus de, 1185
Henricus Comes, 152, 1584
Philippa uxor Comitis, 1584
Henricus filius Henrici II. Regis Anglie, 199
Henricus I. Rex Anglie, 97, 173, 272, 775, 842, 847, 1238, 1688
Henricus II. Rex Anglie, 49, 80, 97, 145, 173, 199, 272, 305, 582, 775, 842, 1064, 1188, 1235, 1238, 1365, 1684, 1688, 1720, 1733, 1734
Henricus III. Rex Anglie, 16, 40, 56, 110, 144, 168, 173, 187, 199, 272, 277, 283, 449, 519, 561, 582, 695, 743, 750, 775, 776, 778, 785, 786, 792, 806, 821, 824, 857, 907, 908, 913, 914, 930, 1063, 1066, 1108, 1111, 1117, 1121, 1133, 1136, 1141, 1162, 1164, 1181, 1183, 1217, 1224, 1226, 1235, 1288, 1306, 1487, 1639, 1651, 1716, 1717, 1720, 1730, 1973
Hentona, Radulfus de, 1034
Herce, Johannes de la, 913
Herchiecesham, Herkenbaud de, 1763
Herdeberghe, Hugo de, 950
Herdeuico, Willelmus de, 919
Herdewico, Willelmus de, 1105
Herdingtona *vide* Erdingtona.
Herdres, Milisanta uxor Philippi de, 1787
Philippus de, 1787
Hereforde, Ricardus, 856
Herefordenses Episcopi, 304, 675, 802, 946, 1117, 1229
Herefordia, Cecilia de, 593
Comitissa de, 671
Dauid de, 1472
Imbertus de, 899
Petrus de, 1041
Ricardus filius Dauid de, 1472
Herefordie, Comes, 463, 1117, 1168, 1261, 1273
Decanus, 250, 304, 990
Heriet, Heryet, Johannes de, 92
Ricardus de, 70, 1437
Heringod *vide* Haringod.
Herlegha, Warinus de, 766
Herlestona, Alicia de, 312
Rogerus de, 1513
Willelmus filius Alicie de, 312
Herlicun, Willelmus, 790
Herlingefeuld, Osbertus de, 1895
Herseueske, Ismania uxor Philippi de, 267
Philippus de, 267
Herst, Leticia uxor Rogeri de, 1926
Petrus filius Rogeri de, 1926
Rogerus de, 1926
Sabina filia Willelmi de, 1775
Willelmus de, 1775
Hersy, Mauuesinus de, 329
Theophania uxor Mauuesini de, 329
Hert, Heyr', Amicia uxor Reginaldi le, 491
Auicia uxor Hugonis le, 1576
Hugo le, 1576
Radulfus le, 491

The numbers refer to the cases not to the pages.

Hert, Reginaldus le, 491
Herting' *vide* Hertring'.
Hertring', Herting', Hertrug', Alanus de, 431
Ricardus de, 431, 512, 612
Thomas filius Alani de, 431
Hertrug' *vide* Hertring'.
Herui, Willelmus, 1542
Herwintona, Ernwicus de, 136
Johannes filius Ernwici de, 136
Heryet *vide* Heriet.
Hethe, Hecche, Alexander de, 1447
Alicia uxor Alexandri de, 1447
Hethfeldia, Hugo de, 1685
Heye, Willelmus, 1222
Willelmus de, 1424
Heyntona, Radulfus de, 238
Heyr, Hugo le, 980
Heyr' *vide* Hert.
Heyrun, Hayrun, Jordanus, App. 2
Hiche *vide* Niche.
Hicheham, Joscelina, 1757
Hickefordia, Johannes de, 570
Hida, Abbas de, 113, 1695, 1727
Alanus de, 1611
Auicia uxor Rogeri de, 137
Rogerus de, 137
Walterus de la, 1232
Willelmus filius Walteri de la, 1232
Hide, Gilebertus de la, 1189
Hidona, Ricardus de, 603
Hiduna, Ricardus de, 521
Hikelinge, Prior de, 1796
Hildelath, Robertus de, 1749
Hille, Johannes de la, 1681
Hiltingtona, Whichington, Rogerus de, 581
Hiltoft, Johannes de, 1135
Hiltona, Adam de, 1169
Alexander de, 782
Ricardus de, 449
Robertus de, 449
Hindele, Isabella uxor Willelmi de, 1192
Willelmus de, 1192
Hingham, Walterus de, 1820
Hintelesham, Johannes filius Seywini de, 1902
Nicholaus filius Seywini de, 1902
Seywinus de, 1902
Thomas filius Seywini de, 1902
Hintona, Ricardus de, 1513
Hipping', Matillis uxor Ricardi, 374
Ricardus, 374
Hirtlintona, Willelmus de, 155
Hiseuelestona, Adam filius Sewalli de, 1914
Sewallus de, 1914
Ho, How, Domini de, 1163
Frost de, Henricus filius Roberti, 1821
Frost de, Juliana filia Roberti, 1821
Frost de, Margarita filia Roberti, 1821
Frost de, Matillis filia Roberti, 1821
Frost de, Robertus, 1821
Frost de, Rogerus, 1821
Frost de, Sarra filia Roberti, 1821
Gilebertus de, 1242
Radulfus del, 1122
Ricardus del, 854
Willelmus de, 1315
Hoberge, Willelmus de, 243
Hobrige, Willelmus de, 1374
Hoc, Thomas de, 891
Hocham, Ocham, Walterus de, 10
Hock, Ricardus de, 824
Hoclintona, Dauid de, 938
Hoctona, Bartholomeus de, 1296
Johannes de, 1013
Nicholaus filius Roberti de, 1822
Robertus de, 1822
Hoder, Ailwardus le, 1479
Alicia filia Ailwardi le, 1479
Hof, Ricardus de, 280
Hogeling', Johannes de, 259
Hoget, Berengarius, 117
Hogh, Thomas de, 8
Hoilye, Joscelinus de, 10
Hokescur', Erneburga uxor Willelmi de, 1039

The numbers refer to the cases not to the pages.

Hokescur', Willelmus de, 1039
Holebech', Simon filius Willelmi de, 978
Willelmus de, 978
Holebut, Isabella uxor Roberti, 342
Robertus, 342
Holendale, Willelmus de, 932
Holma, S. Benedicti de, Abbas, 1451
Hoper, Adam le, 1281
Horbiria, Willelmus de, 1853
Hordena, Johanna uxor Willelmi de, 1010
Willelmus de, 1010
Hordona, Robertus de, 1163
Horepol, Vitalis de, 1409
Hornigefeudia, Willelmus de, 1838
Horsendona, Alicia uxor Johannis de, 1677
Ernerburga uxor Johannis de, 1677
Johannes de, 1677
Hortona, Margeria uxor Thome de, 1083
Prior de, 1769
Robertus filius Thome de, 1083
Thomas de, 1083
Willelmus de, 709
Hose, Bartholomeus de la, 655
Henricus, 1310
Hothum, Thomas de, 1444
Hotoft, Isabella de, 1782
Petrus de, 774
Robertus de, 816
Hou, Thomas de, 953
Houedale, Willelmus de, 1412
Houkegarthe, Reginaldus de, 1884
Willelmus de, 1884
How *vide* Ho.
Howbrigge, Willelmus de, 255
Hoxne, Oxn', Prior de, 1934
Hoylande, Petrus de, 1672
Hucham, Isabella uxor Roberti de, 1760
Robertus de, 743, 1760
Huchendena, Geruasius de, 285
Hugborsam, Robertus de, 1306
Huggelegha, Elyas de, 675
Hughel', Sibilla filia Willelmi de, 1740
Hughel', Willelmus de, 1740
Hugo, Comes, 835, 1827, 1828
Rogerus filius Comitis, 1827, 1828
Huithande, Agnes filia Ricardi, 1862
Ricardus, 1852
Hul, Ricardus del, 650
Huland, Henricus, 1369
Hulecota, Philippus de, 1470
Hulle, Osbertus de, 1421
Hulm', Maria uxor Roberti de, 66
Robertus de, 66
Hulmo, Robertus de, 1530
S. Benedicti de, Abbas, 1817
S. Benedicti de, Prior, 548
Hulrecumba, Ricardus de, 680
Humat' *vide* Humaz.
Humaz, Humat', Engelardus de, 1734
Julia uxor Ricardi de, 503
Ricardus de, 503, 1734
Willelmus de, 565
Willelmus filius Engelardi de, 1734
Humby, Hugo de, 445
Humelbeby, Katerina uxor Radulfi de, 356
Radulfus de, 356
Humet, Willelmus de, 1500
Humframuilla *vide* Umframuilla.
Hund, Iueta uxor Petri, 138
Petrus, 138
Huneby, Hugo de, 1730
Huntercumba, Willelmus de, 1717
Huntingdona, Johannes filius Thome de, 1927
Thomas de, 1927
Huntingdone, Comes, 563, 1124, 1213
Comitissa, 572
Huntingdunie, Archidiaconus, 629
Huntingefeldia, Rogerus de, 1156
Huntingfeldia, Willelmus de, 1309
Hurlegha, Ranulfus de, 347
Hurleia, Prior de, 1178
Huscard, Thomas, 229
Huscarl, Rogerus, 383
Willelmus, 383
Huscarle, Agnes, 1284
Rogerus, 1557

The numbers refer to the cases not to the pages.

Huscarle, Willelmus, 1284
Huse, Bartholomeus de la, 728
Johannes de la, 147
Margeria uxor Willelmi, 840
Ricardus de la, 147
Walterus, 1679
Willelmus, 840, 1003
Husseburnia, Willelmus de, 1359
Hustingefeuld, Rogerus de, 1900
Hustona, Agnes de, 1672
Hyfordia, Ricardus de, 1656
Robertus de, 1656

Icumb, Adam de, 645
Ifeldia, Gilebertus de, 376
Ifeuldia, Gilebertus de, 481
Igoldesuilla, Alanus de, 1135
Ricardus filius Alani de, 1135
Willelmus de, 1135
Ikelesham, Sibilla de, 872
Ikelinge, Prior de, 1813
Ilegha *vide* Illegha.
Ilesby, Willelmus de, 1563
Illefordia, Leprosorum de, Custos, 1694
Illegha, Ilegha, Alicia filia Anselini de, 1922
Anselinus de, 442, 1922
Hugo de, 1836
Ricardus de, 1922
Immewrthe, Radulfus de, 1122
Inge, Adam, 576, 626
Ingeham, Ingham, Albreda uxor Johannis de, 1793
Johannes de, 1793
Walterus de, 1793
Ingelistona, Sibilla uxor Thome de, 1298
Thomas de, 1298
Ingewrthe, Johannes de, 1359, 1835
Willelmus de, 1359
Ingham *vide* Ingeham.
Ingoldeby, Willelmus de, 357
Ingoldesby, Rogerus de, 1156
Willelmus de, 1156
Inkewrthe, Johannes de, 1064
Insula, Brianus de, 543, 638, 930, 1183, 1205, 1496
Gracia uxor Briani de, 1496
Grecia de, 1205
Ricardus de, 582
Robertus de, 803, 1189, 1408, 1578
Willelmus de, 503, 514, 819
Irecestria, Petrus de, 483
Ireys, Henricus le, 1724
Isaac, Judeus, 18
Isabella, Comitissa, 1919
Regina, 1121, 1327, 1406
Isegny, Isny, Adam de, 182
Johannes de, 182
Iselhamstede, Robertus filius Walteri de, 656
Walterus de, 656
Islepe, Isleppe, Jeruasius de, 625, 693
Isleppe *vide* Islepe.
Isny *vide* Isegny.
Itringeham, Willelmus de, 1762

Jacobi, S., de Norhamtona, Abbas, 344
Jarpenuilla, Albreda de, 302
Alicia de, 302
Johannes de, 1175, 1181
Willelmus de, 302
Jaws *vide* Jowas.
Jermano, S., Willelmus de, 839
Jerusalem in Anglia, Hospitalis, Fratres, 76
Prior, 263, 308, 319, 666, 836, 1336, 1401, 1581, 1599
Joel, Thoraldus, 1158
Johanne, S., Agatha filia Willelmi de, 410, 421
Robertus de, 342, 1350
Willelmus de, 247, 410, 421, 474
Johannes Comes, 279, 992, 994, 1117, 1124, 1127, 1221, 1273
Johannes Rex Anglie, 16, 17, 35, 39, 45, 49, 62, 76, 102, 145, 199, 272, 283, 513, 728, 750, 806, 842, 994, 1063, 1076, 1107, 1114, 1123, 1124, 1172, 1188, 1205, 1217, 1226, 1255,

The numbers refer to the cases not to the pages.

1274, 1306, 1329, 1365, 1429, 1500, 1512, 1567, 1570, 1583, 1665, 1666, 1684, 1688, 1720, 1732, 1795, 1854, 1950

Johannis, S., de Norhamtona, Hospitalis, Magister, 1032

Joscelin, Johannes, 205, 975

Jowas, Jaws, Radulfus, 1131

Judas, Willelmus, 566

Judeus, Bonauita, 1554
  Isaac, 1376, 1445
  Jurnetus, 1445

Jukel, Emma uxor Thome, 924
  Thomas, 924

Juvenis, Johannes, 1712

Kabaum *vide* Cahaum.

Kafin *vide* Rafyn.

Kahanneys *vide* Cahannes.

Kailli *vide* Kailly.

Kailly, Kailli, Kaylli, Kaylly, Adam de, 671, 672, 1717, 1838
  Mabilia uxor Ade de, 671, 1717
  Rogerus filius Ade de, 839

Kalethorpe, Eudo de, 730

Kalmundesdena, Agnes uxor Reginaldi de, 320
  Reginaldus de, 320

Kammeys *vide* Cammeys.

Kanc', Reginaldus de, 270

Kancie, Comes, 403, 1108, 1111, 1117, 1136, 1141, 1221, 1233

Kantia, Henricus de, 1269

Karleolenses Episcopi, 1117, 1153, 1155, 1175, 1181, 1273

Karleolensis Prior, 1153

Karleolo, Eudo de, 1040

Karletona, Henricus de, 925
  Ricardus de, 925
  Simon de, 1388

Kartorpe, Alicia uxor Willelmi, 712, 1700
  Willelmus, 712, 1700

Karuilla, Radulfus de, 269

Karun, Amicia de, 580
  Elizabet filia Hugonis de, 580
  Hugo de, 580

Karun, Johannes de, 580, 867
  Lucia filia Hugonis de, 580
  Margeria filia Hugonis de, 580
  Randulfus filius Ricardi de, 867
  Ricardus de, 867
  Willelmus de, 867

Kassingetorpe, Willelmus de, 1289

Katerne, Johannes, 1029

Kaue, Willelmus de, 399

Kaueres *vide* Cauereswella.

Kauereswella *vide* Cauereswella.

Kauerswell' *vide* Kenereswella.

Kaume, Felicia uxor Henrici de, 1096
  Henricus de, 1096
  Sibilla de, 448

Kaumuilla *vide* Camuilla.

Kaunuilla *vide* Camuilla.

Kaustr' *vide* Causton'.

Kauz, Rogerus de, 1240

Kay, Johannes de, 381

Kaylli *vide* Kailly.

Kaylly *vide* Kailly.

Kayu, Willelmus de, 1274

Kebbel, Willelmus, 773

Keche, Hugo, App. 3

Kedingtona, Robertus de, 1923
  Willelmus de, 1923

Kelling, Ricardus, 1973

Kellinges, Hubertus de, 404

Kemeseck, Henricus de, 797

Kemesinge, Henricus de, 630

Ken, Hugo de, 1436
  Johannes de, 1436
  Ricardus filius Johannis de, 1436

Kenebell *vide* Kenewell'.

Kenereswelle, Kauerswell', Alicia uxor Roberti de, 199
  Filomena filia Walteri de, 199
  Robertus de, 199
  Walterus de, 199

Kenet, Nicholaus de, 984, 1071, 1274

Keneuilla, Adam de, 1193

Kenewell', Kenebell, Willelmus de, 1623

Kenillewrthe *vide* Kenilwurthe.

Kenillewurthe *vide* Kenilwurthe.

Kenilwurthe, Kenillewrthe, Kenille-

The numbers refer to the cases not to the pages.

wurthe, Prior de, 199, 569, 1300, 1352
Kenintona, Geruasius de, 1442
Margeria uxor Geruasii de, 1442
Kenteuilla, Gilebertus de, 1632
Kentewella, Gilebertus de, 1239
Willelmus de, 1239
Kerebroc, James de, 1009
Keret, Adam, 1310
Adam de, 1327
Kerinol, Swanus de, 1868
Kerkestede *vide* Kirkestede.
Kernec, Robertus de, 1320
Kersewella, Robertus de, 1192
Kersimere, Agnes de la, 1321
Johannes de la, 1321
Kesneto, Lucia uxor Petri de, 1337
Petrus de, 1337
Radulfus de, 1337
Ketelberne, Henricus, 1753
Keymes, Rogerus de, 1436
Keynesham, Abbas de, 1788
Kicel', Ada uxor Theobaldi de, 148
Theobaldus de, 148
Kidewar', Walterus de, 38
Kim' *vide* Kima.
Kima, Kim', Kime, Philippus de, 232, 251
Philippus filius Simonis de, 174
Roheisia uxor Simonis de, 24, 37
Simon de, 24, 37, 174, 232
Kime *vide* Kima.
Kinbrigia, Alicia uxor Milonis de, 15
Milo de, 15
Kinelingeswrthe, Rogerus de, 44
King, Radulfus le, 1852
Walterus le, 1852
Kingeswde *vide* Kingeswude.
Kingeswude, Kingeswde, Kyngeswude, Abbas de, 850, 860, 961
Kingtona *vide* Kintona.
Kintham, Prior de, 685
Kintona, Kingtona, Henricus de, 40
Henricus filius Nicholai de, 401
Nicholaus de, 401
Kirewella, Simon de, 1242
Kirkeby, Johannes de, 658
Kirkestal, Abbas de, 849
Kirkestede, Kerkestede, Kyrkestedia, Abbas de, 741, 770, 781, 986, 1579
Kiuilly, Thuroldus de, 1374
Willelmus de, 1374
Kiully, Isabella uxor Nicholai de, 931
Nicholaus de, 931
Knapetona, Alanus de, 24
Kocham, Osbertus de, 285
Krickelade, Andreas de, 359
Kritur, Willelmus de, 965
Kuckewel, Willelmus, 791
Kudingtona, Willelmus de, 752
Kudintona, Fray de, 634
Kuilly, Robertus de, 1095
Kuling *vide* Culinge.
Kulle *vide* Cruell'.
Kullinges, Robertus de, 511
Kully, Ricardus de, 1453
Kunnetona, Leticia de, 1712
Kuper, Andreas le, 270
Kuriel *vide* Curiel.
Kyde, Laurencius, 1799
Kyluingeholmia, Walterus de, 1174
Kyma, Philippus de, 1417
Kyme, Philippus de, 472, 1211
Robertus de, 613
Willelmus de, 472
Kynchtona, Willelmus de, 1088
Kyneburle, Willelmus de, 39
Kyngeswilla, Henricus de, 1056
Matillis de, 1056
Kyngeswude *vide* Kingeswude.
Kyntona, Willelmus de, 196
Kyriel, Thomas, 115
Kyrkeby, Alanus de, 905
Petrus de, 1636
Kyrkestedia *vide* Kirkestede.

Lacy, Gilebertus de, 877
Johannes de, 869
Walterus de, 877
Lade, Ricardus de la, 1238
Laf, Adam, 1517
Lafaleisa *vide* Faleisa.
Lafford', Decanus de, 293

The numbers refer to the cases not to the pages.

Lafham, Ailmerus de, 1936
Lagard, Alda uxor Rogeri, 426
Galfridus, 595
Rogerus, 426
Laghefar, Rogerus de, 184
Lairtuna, Willelmus de, 595
Lake, Brake, Rogerus de la, 1259
Lambert, Petrus, 1361
Lambrict, Jordanus, 699
Lamore, Rogerus de, 304
Lamy, Lann', Lanny, Willelmus de, 433
Lan', Osbertus de la, 10
Lancastria, Willelmus de, 613
Lanceleuce, Johannes le, 764
Lanceleue, Johannes, 1331
Lande, Prior de la, 1365
Langebergo, Philippus de, 1325
Langedona, Abbas de, 1506
Galfridus de, 955
Langeduna, Galfridus de, 634
Langeford, Langefordia, Langeport, Rogerus de, 1285
Walterus de, 401
Langefordia *vide* Langeford.
Langele, Priorissa de, 1295
Langelega, Langelegha, Langeleia, Abbas de, 75, 559, 874
Beatricia de, 52
Galfridus de, 1263
Prior de, 559
Thomas de, 1107
Langelegha *vide* Langelega.
Langeleia *vide* Langelega.
Langeport *vide* Langeford.
Langetoft, Godefridus de, 1075, 1709
Langetona, Henricus de, 251
Robertus de, 414
Stephanus de, 691
Langetre, Ricardus filius Sywardi de, 138
Sywardus de, 138
Langewade, Hugo de, 420
Langlegha, Galfridus de, 1134
Lanmale *vide* Lanualey.
Lanmaley *vide* Lanualey.
Lann' *vide* Lamy.
Lannalay, Gunnora de, 682
Hawisia de, 682
Willelmus de, 682
Lanny *vide* Lamy.
Lanualey, Lanmale, Lanmaley, Hawisia filia Willelmi de, 43
Matillis de, 43
Willelmus de, 43
Laringel, Robertus, 1854
Lasceles, Ada uxor Theobaldi de, 96
Johannes de, 301
Theobaldus de, 96
Willelmus de, 301
Lascy, Johannes de, 725
Matillis de, 204
Walterus de, 227
Latebur', Aunfelisa uxor Johannis de, 867
Johannes de, 867
Latfordia, Gilebertus de, 1530
Lathum, Ricardus de, 1577
Ricardus filius Ricardi de, 1577
Lattona, Willelmus de, App. 2
Laudo, S., Adam de, 1341
Lauendena, Abbas de, 648, 1072, 1201
Lauerstoke, Alexander de, 923
Lauintona *vide* Lauittona.
Lauittona, Lauintona, Willelmus de, 81
Launde, Matillis uxor Ricardi de la, 370
Ricardus de la, 370
Launn, Willelmus de, 628
Laurencio, S., Johannes de, 692
Lay, Hugo de, 24
Robertus de, 1851
Lazari, S., Hospitalis, Magister, 1535
Leaume, Galfridus, 440
Lecche *vide* Lecohe.
Lech *vide* Lock.
Lecke, Theobaldus de, 286
Lecohe, Lecche, Johannes de, 792
Lectona, Cristiana uxor Roberti de, 652
Hugo de, 1641
Robertus de, 276, 652

The numbers refer to the cases not to the pages.

Lectona, Willelmus de, 411
Ledecumb', Radulfus de, 287
Ledena, Willelmus de, 250
Ledes, Abbas de, 102
Cristiana uxor Walteri de, 1307
Prior de, 1760
Walterus de, 1307
Ledet, Walterus, 1481
Ledues, Ledyes, Prior de, 481
Ledyes *vide* Ledues.
Lee, Johannes de, 1247
Ricardus de, 1972
Lefaunt, Robertus, 753
Legha, Alanus de, 1122
Andreas de la, 838
Bartholomeus de, 246
Flandr' uxor Roberti de la, 74
Henricus de, 1370
Hugo de, 246
Johanna uxor Alani de, 1122
Mauricius de la, 499
Nicholaa uxor Bartholomei de, 246
Prior de, 564
Robertus de la, 74, 1388
Stephanus de la, 1741
Leiburne, Leiburnia, Leyburnia, Elias de, 1198
Matillis uxor Walteri de, 1137
Ricardus de, 1380
Rogerus de, 169, 929, 1410
Walterus de, 1137
Wymarus de, 1198
Leiburnia *vide* Leiburne.
Leic', Robertus de, 351
Leicestrie, Abbas, 72, 583
Comes, 1117, 1953
Leidet, Margeria uxor Wiscardi, 1466
Wiscardus, 1466
Leircestria, Leyrcestria, Asca de, 1948
Petrus de, 1188
Simon de, 1188
Walterus de, 1948
Willelmus filius Asce de, 1948
Leirtona, Willelmus de, 456
Leistuna, Abbas de, 740
Lek, Theobaldus de, 1344
Lelay, Hugo de, 37
Lelbrug', Simon de, 1265
Lenham, Johannes de, 295
Rogerus de, 1595
Lenn' *vide* Lonn'.
Lenna, Mabilia uxor Wymundi de, 1361
Wymundus de, 1361
Lentona, Prior de, 62, 915, 1236
Leodegario, S., Alicia uxor Willelmi de, 184
Galfridus de, 127
Johannes de, 704
Willelmus de, 184
Leofordia, Alicia de, 1346
Lethertona, Willelmus de, 595
Lettres, Nicholaus de, 1111
Leualence, Alicia uxor Rogeri, 1052
Rogerus, 1052
Leuckenora, Simon de, App. 5
Leueriche, Walterus filius Willelmi, 710
Willelmus, 710
Leueriz, Willelmus de, 1670
Leuesesham, Mabilia uxor Sampsonis de, 1789
Sampson de, 1789
Leuingtona, Ricardus de, 249
Lewe, Reginaldus de, 910
Lewes, Archidiaconus de, 318
Azo de, 1107
Prior de, 142, 248, 350, 539, 1074, 1395, 1663, 1685, 1686
S. Pancracii de, Prior, 350
Lexendena, Willelmus de, 779
Lexetona *vide* Lexingtona.
Lexingtona, Lexetona, Robertus de, 281, 466, 760, 831, 901, 1012, 1118, 1171
Leyburnia *vide* Leiburne.
Leyrcestria *vide* Leircestria.
Lichefeldensis Episcopus, 1286
Lichefeldia, Willelmus de, 227
Lichefeldie, Decanus, 1684
Licio, S., Simon de, 926, 1060, 1273, 1501
Lidefordia, Capellanus de, 610

The numbers refer to the cases not to the pages.

Lidefordia, Margeria de, 265
Lidiard, Radulfus de, 1436
Lidingtona, Dionisia uxor Roberti de, 787
Godefridus filius Roberti de, 787
Robertus de, 787
Lillebon', Johannes de, 267
Lilleburnia, Henricus de, 279, 583
Lilleshulla, Wenteliena de, 109
Limesia, Lumesia, Beatricia filia Galfridi de, 86
Galfridus de, 86, 1387
Johannes de, 1387
Margeria uxor Nicholai de, 1450
Matillis filia Galfridi de, 86
Nicholaus de, 1450
Radulfus de, 1387
Walterus filius Galfridi de, 86
Linant, Robertus, 1185
Lincolnia, Thomas de, 955
Lincolnie, Cancellarius, 278, 1280
Canonicus, 411
Comes, 272, 393, 708, 725, 869, 944, 1102, 1117, 1721
Comitissa, 1027, 1213
Decanus, 768, 1073
S. Marie, Precentor, 152
Lincolnienses Episcopi, 79, 205, 211, 289, 296, 357, 366, 406, 492, 519, 529, 576, 625, 741, 798, 820, 864, 1126, 1142, 1219, 1273, 1389, 1415, 1475, 1607, 1669
Linde, Willelmus de, 705
Lindeseia, Willelmus de, 1221
Lindewoude, Abbas de, 781
Linduna, Alanus filius Simonis de, 514
Alina filia Simonis de, 514
Rollandus filius Simonis de, 514
Simon de, 514
Lingwode, Ida de, 652
Linholt, Godefridus de, 1608
Liseres, Agnes uxor Jeremie de, 1876
Jeremias de, 1876
Lisiors, Willelmus de, 302
Lisures, Alicia uxor Willelmi de, 689
Hugo de, 948
Lisures, Willelmus de, 689
Liteletona, Cecilia filia Simonis de, 510
Lucia filia Simonis de, 510
Simon de, 510
Litlebiria, Warinus de, 497
Litlemora, Prior de, 183
Littelebiria, Johannes de, 497
Liuekenora, Henricus de, 1549
Liueknora, Rogerus de, 1340
Liulingtona, Johannes de, 279
Locard, Anketillus, 572
Lock, Lech, Radulfus de, 792
Lodnes, Emma de, 1729
Willelmus de, 1729
Lodues, Johannes de, 314
Lond', Adam, 1595
Matillis uxor Ade, 1595
London, Benedictus de, 126
Willelmus filius Benedicti de, 126
London', Gilebertus de, App. 7
Johannes filius Gileberti de, App. 7
Osbertus filius Gileberti de, App. 7
Londonia, Alexandra de, 1888
Benedictus de, 1633
Emma uxor Mauricii de, 290
Henricus de, 1456
Johannes de, 901
Josceus de, 458
Mauricius de, 290
Ricardus filius Johannis de, 901
Robertus de, 290
Samson de, 1640
S. Martini de, Decanus, 1555
S. Pauli de, Decanus, 78, 482, 493, 654, 692, 886
Willelmus de, 401, 804, 1118
Willelmus filius Benedicti de, 1633
Londonie, Archidiaconus, 1599
Decanus, 1599
Major, 489
S. Bartholomei, Magister, 966
S. Bartholomei, Prior, 912
S. Elene, Priorissa, 419, 1085
Londonienses Episcopi, 18, 58, 243, 270, 275, 551, 766, 797, 912, 929, 1029, 1117, 1453, 1564, App. 7

The numbers refer to the cases not to the pages.

Longa Villa, Prior de, 420
Thomas de, 559
Longespei *vide* Lungespeye.
Longeuilla, Henricus de, 286
Longo Campo, Nunchamp, Willelmus de, 1542, 1545
Longus, Alicia filia Warini, 1311
Matheus, 132
Warinus, 1311
Lonn', Lenn', Robertus de, 314
Lonueyse *vide* Enueyse.
Lorimerius, Laurencius, 314
Louennie, Dux, 1706
Lowis, Radulfus, 1666
Lucet, Dyamanda uxor Ricardi, 253
Ricardus, 253, 1667
Luciel, Willelmus, 1929
Lucre, Willelmus le, 563
Lucy, Galfridus de, 708, 753, 807, 1117, 1336, 1556, 1570, 1593, 1764
Galfridus filius Ricardi, 1044
Godefridus de, 938, 1689, 1764
Herbertus de, 1117
Juliana uxor Galfridi de, 1336
Margeria de, 447, 1538
Matillis de, 476
Ricardus de, 427, 476, 1044, 1095, 1593, 1764
Roheysia filia Galfridi de, 1593, 1764
Willelmus de, 1527
Luddingtona, Willelmus de, 467
Ludeford, Ascelena de, 7
Cecilia de, 7
Ludesdona, Ludeston', Alexander de, 188
Ludesduna, Agnes de, 821
Margeria de, 821
Ludeston' *vide* Ludesdona.
Ludingtona, Willelmus de, 281
Ludowicus, Rex Francie, 1163
Luffeham, Luffenham, Hugo de, 962
Matillis uxor Rannulfi de, 962
Rannulfus de, 962
Lumbarde, Martinus le, 820
Lumbres, Hugo de, 363
Lumesia *vide* Limesia.
Lund, Willelmus de, 661
Lundreis, Gilebertus de, App. 7
Johannes filius Gileberti de, App. 7
Lundres, Nicholaus de, 995
Lungespeie *vide* Lungespeye.
Lungespey *vide* Lungespeye.
Lungespeye, Lungespeie, Lungespey, Ela uxor Willelmi, 1698
Idonea uxor Willelmi, 362, 503, 541, 627
Willelmus, 362, 363, 503, 541, 627, 1064, 1235, 1277, 1574, 1698
Lunguilers, Clemencia de, 869
Johannes de, 1294
Lup, Robertus, 1052
Lupus, Isobella uxor Willelmi, 1927
Stephanus, 629
Willelmus, 1927
Lustehulla, Lusteshulla, Willelmus de, 1360
Luterel, Andreas, 747
Galfridus, 1854
Luuam, Matheus de, 875
Luuel, Petrus, 545
Robertus, 798
Willelmus, 327
Luuell, Willelmus, 1159
Luuenathon', Ricardus de, 1857
Luuethot, Nigellus de, 1297
Luw, Robertus le, 1186

Macun, Hugo le, 816
Mader, Bernardus, 836
Magnus, Johannes, 215
Mahaut *vide* Monte Alto.
Mainers *vide* Mesners.
Makeblithe, Gilebertus, 215
Malebis', Hugo, 1380
Malesmains, Beatricia, 342
Nicholaus, 606, 673
Ragenilda filia Beatricie, 342
Serlo, 342
Malesoures, Cristiana uxor Walteri, 377
Walterus, 351, 377
Malet, Alicia, 1584
Robertus, 593

The numbers refer to the cases not to the pages.

Malherbe, Hugo, 1752
Ricardus, 1410
Mallinges, Willelmus de, 1372
Mallore, Anketillus, 1136, 1233
Malmesbiria, Malmesburia, Maumesbiria, Abbas de, 141, 157, 773, 1615
Malmesburia *vide* Malmesbiria.
Malo Lacu, Isabella uxor Petri de, 525, 1329, 1339, 1444, 1754, 1869
Petrus de, 247, 525, 750, 810, 847, 857, 1066, 1273, 1329, 1339, 1444, 1754, 1869
Malueto, S., Rogerus de, 1487
Mandeuilla, Maundeuilla, Cristiana de, 1128
Galfridus de, 35, 1570
Hawisa uxor Galfridi de, 35
Robertus de, 941
Willelmus de, 8, 29, 176, 297, 301, 375, 1397, 1487
Manekeseya, Robertus de, 1171
Manerio, Robertus de, 764
Manetona, Georgius filius Roberti de, 1591
Ricardus de, 1591
Manquency, Gerrardus de, 74
Mansel, Maunsel, Warinus, 1619
Willelmus, 515
Mant Virun *vide* Monte Vironis.
Mapeldureham, Amabilis uxor Roberti de, 734
Robertus de, 734
Mapertishale *vide* Maupertishale.
Mapertona, Elias de, 923
Mar, Eua uxor Johannis de, 714, 941
Johannes de, 714, 941
Mar' *vide* Mariscallus.
Mara *vide* Mare.
Marc, Mat, Eua uxor Johannis de, 904
Johannes de, 904
Philippus, 1854
Marcel, Rogerus, 1043, 1605
Marcham, Nicholaus de, 314, 1308
Warinus de, 373
Willelmus de, 224
Marcham, Willelmus filius Willelmi de, 224
Marchant, Willelmus, 1900
Marchaunt, Willelmus le, 807
Marck, Alicia uxor Egidii de, 269
Egridius de, 269
Marco, S., Rogerus de, 102
Mare, Mara, Mora, Adam de, 283
Anfridus de la, 40
Emma uxor Osberti de la, 1764
Godwinus de la, 1764
Henricus de la, 1230, 1277
Hugo de la, 1441
Johannes de, 1317, 1679
Mabilia filia Willelmi de, 1883
Matillis uxor Wigani de la, 146, 208
Osbertus de la, 1621
Ricardus de, 1317
Robertus de la, 1621
Thomas de, 854
Wiganus de la, 146, 208, 271
Willelmus de la, 649, 967, 1528, 1691, 1883
Marenny, Johannes de, 103
Mabilia de, 104
Marescallus *vide* Mariscallus.
Marewico, Hugo de, 1700
Marham, Walterus de, 858
Maria, S., Alicia uxor Jordani de, 1458
Jordanus de, 1458
Marinis, Hugo de, 266, 1231
Johannes de, 1264
Marinus, Robertus, 1497
Mariscallus, Mar', Marescallus, Cecilia uxor Gileberti, 1611
Comes, 183, 247, 288, 354, 567, 763, 857, 1113, 1117, 1132, 1213, 1220, 1306, 1309, 1567, 1574
Dauid, 1040
Gilebertus, 1220, 1611
Gunnora uxor Wyoti, 779
Johannes, 247, 382, 1049, 1117, 1220, 1395, 1730
Leticia uxor Roberti, 845
Margareta uxor Unfridi, 475

The numbers refer to the cases not to the pages.

Mariscallus, Matheus filius Willelmi, 1602
Ricardus, 763, 952, 1040, 1087, 1113
Robertus, 845
Stephanus, 475
Unfridus, 475
Walterus filius Willelmi, 71
Willelmus, 71, 102, 108, 297, 567, 854, 1220, 1306, 1349, 1405, 1567, 1574, 1576, 1602, 1611
Willelmus filius Willelmi, 102
Wyotus, 779
Mariscis, Agnes uxor Willelmi de, 266
Ricardus de, 25
Thomas de, 25
Willelmus de, 266
Marisco, Marsa, Adam de, 1228
Henricus de, 1666
Radulfus de, 1819
Ricardus de, 1238, 1445
Rogerus de, 1132
Thomas de, 1170, 1281
Willelmus de, 285, 1132
Mariseie, Rogerus de, 627
Mark, Hugo filius Philippi, 51
Philippus, 51
Marke, Philippus, 1969
Markeby, Prior de, 409, 660, 1418
Markele, Robertus de, 555
Marlborough, Thomas, 645
Marmium *vide* Marmiun.
Marmiun, Marmium, Albreda de, 94, 95
Johannes de, 164
Robertus, 986, 987, 1882
Marsa *vide* Marisco.
Marsc', Willelmus le, 906
Marteiny, Nicholaus de, 139
Martel, Gilebertus, 372, 434
Radulfus, 519
Martini de Messendena, Abbas, 368
S., de Londonia, Decanus, 1555
Martino, S. *vide* Danmartin.
Martiwall', Willelmus de, 430
Martona, Arnaldus de, 118
Matillis de, 1751
Martona, Walterus de, 118
Massay, Johannes de, 1350
Massendena, Abbas de, 524
Mastak, Willelmus de, 1106
Mat *vide* Marc.
Matresdona, Alicia uxor Johannis de, 1265
Johannes de, 1265
Matun *vide* Motun.
Maubant, Lucia uxor Willelmi, 1348
Willelmus, 1348
Maubaut, Willelmus, 709
Mauberant, Robertus, 10
Mauclerc, Hugo, 766
Willelmus, 1794
Maudona, Maria de, 717
Maudut, Agnes filia Johannis, 74
Auicia filia Johannis, 74
Flandr' filia Johannis, 74
Johannes, 74
Ricardus, 427, 1914
Robertus, 530, 1324
Thomas, 530, 1354
Willelmus, 579, 635
Maufe, Reginaldus filius Willelmi, 932
Thomas, 1945
Willelmus, 932
Mauleurer, Johannes, 1877
Maulhuges *vide* Maulinges.
Maulinges, Maulhuges, Matheus de, 871
Thomas de, 871
Maumesbiria *vide* Malmesbiria.
Mauncel, Matheus, 640, 1617
Maundeuilla *vide* Mandeuilla.
Maunsel *vide* Mansel.
Maunselle, Walterus, 1571
Maupertesale, Johannes de, 283
Maupertishale, Mapertishale, Gilebertus de, 915
Johannes de, 915
Maureward', Galfridus, 425
Mauro, S., Simon de, 51
Mausleuerer, Henricus filius Radulfi, 905
Radulfus, 905

The numbers refer to the cases not to the pages.

Mautona, Constabularius de, 637
Mautrauers, Alicia uxor Johannis, 77, 191
Hawisia uxor Johannis, 191
Johannes, 77, 191, 532, 1437, 1534
Thomas, 77
Willelmus, 77, 191, 1534
Mauuaysin *vide* Mauueysin.
Mauuerne, Cristina uxor Roberti de, 232
Robertus de, 232
Mauuesin *vide* Mauueysin.
Mauuey *vide* Mauueysin.
Mauueyseyn *vide* Mauueysin.
Mauueysin, Mauuaysin, Mauuesin, Mauuey, Mauueyseyn, Walterus, 511, 592
Willelmus, 592
Willelmus filius Walteri, 511
Mawertin, Philippus de, 787
Mawrthin, Walterus de, 1471
May, Willelmus, 436
Maydewella, Hugo de, 725
Meaudona, Meudon, Abbas de, 58, 89
Meaudre, Isolda uxor Reginaldi de, 1163
Reginaldus de, 1163
Meautona, Edwinus de, 51
Galfridus de, 51
Muriel uxor Willelmi de, 1503
Petrus de, 1503, 1829
Robertus de, 1829
Willelmus de, 1503
Medham, Alicia de, 119
Medicus, Henricus, 215
Willelmus, 542
Mednil, Stephanus de, 1197
Meinne, Walterus de, 671
Meiunild Garin, Willelmus de, 762
Meisuilla, Willelmus de, 1096
Meklegh' *vide* Melkeleia.
Melecumba, Henricus de, 223
Melepais, Jordanus de, 1536
Melepas, Melepeis, Johannes, 168
Jordanus, 168
Melepeis *vide* Melepas.
Melkeleia, Meklegh', Ricardus de, 200
Ricardus filius Ricardi de, 200
Melreie, Henricus de, 1619
Melsa, Abbas de, 1096
Hugo de, 1551
Mazelina uxor Thome de, 1096
Petrus de, 1880
Thomas de, 1096
Memuilla, Moneuilla, Petrus de, 1604
Mendham, Nicholaus de, 639, 945
Mentemora, Emma de, 180
Matillis filia Willelmi de, 180
Willelmus de, 180
Merc, Egidius, 1746
Egidius de, 1920
Simon del, 139
Mercator, Johannes, 1034
Simon, 1029
Mercennarius, Alexander, 790
Mercer, Anxellus le, 1774
Mercke, Egidius de, 286
Mereflet, Adam de, 859
Merel, Egidia de, 1047
Galfridus de, 1047
Meretona, Prior de, 1353
Merkwrthe, Thomas de, 914
Merlawe, Ricardus de, 646
Merlay, Ricardus de, 1452
Rogerus de, 764
Merle, Willelmus de, 1540
Merlefordia, Adam de, 972
Alicia uxor Ade de, 972
Merse, Willelmus de la, 18
Merstona, Galfridus de, 1462
Hugo de, 1462
Susanna filia Galfridi de, 1462
Merstuna, Isabella filia Willelmi de, 485
Johanna filia Willelmi de, 485
Margeria filia Willelmi de, 485
Margeria uxor Willelmi de, 485
Matillis filia Willelmi de, 485
Willelmus de, 485
Mertona, Prior de, 34, 359, 654, 1284, 1317, 1594, 1661
Willelmus de, 469

The numbers refer to the cases not to the pages.

Mesendena, Messendena, Abbas de, 368, 626, 1064
Mesners, Mainers, Ricardus de, 194
Messendena *vide* Mesendena.
Messer, Thomas le, 291
Metingeham, Willelmus de, 600
Meudon *vide* Meaudona.
Meudona, Emma uxor Stephani de, 1138
  Stephanus de, 1138
Meysi, Grecia de, 1177
Michaut, Rogerus de, 1940, 1941
Middeford, Rogerus de, 20
Middeldona, Abbas de, 1312
Middelhope, Amicia uxor Ricardi de, 1740
  Ricardus de, 1740
Middeltona, Abbas de, 328
Midhop, Johannes de, 1844
Midhope, Johannes de, 1864
Mikelfeud, Galfridus de, 1868
  Walterus de, 1868
Miles, Robertus, 125
Milicie Templi, Fratres, 821
Millers, Agnes de, 1839
Minketona, Priorissa de, 23
Mirabel, Aurabel, Rogerus, 422, 862
Mitlefeld, Galfridus de, 120
  Walterus de, 120
Mobray, Mubray, Nigellus de, 1845
  Willelmus de, 1845
Moeles, Hugo de, 1708
Mohun, Alicia de, 699
Moinge *vide* Moyne.
Moiz, Morz, Moyz, Henricus filius Willelmi de, 379
  Isilia uxor Willelmi de, 315, 379
  Ricardus de, 379
  Ricardus filius Willelmi de, 379
  Robertus de, 315, 379, 604
  Robertus filius Willelmi de, 379
  Willelmus de, 315, 379
Molbraio, Rogerus de, 1352
Molendinarius, Hugo, 136
  Johannes, 773
  Jordanus, 825
  Petrus, 1431
Molendinarius, Radulfus, 581, 801
  Robertus, 1683
  Robertus filius Jordani, 825
Molendino, Petrus de, 1584, 1645
Moletona, Thomas de, 978
Molintona, Iuo de, 205
Monasterio, Robertus de, 1167
Monemue, Rogerus de, 1471
Moneuilla *vide* Memeuilla.
Monte, Montibus, Anna uxor Gileberti de, 436
  Gilebertus de, 436
  Umfridus filius Gileberti de, 436
Monte Acuto, Drogo de, 660, 1635
  Drogo filius Willelmi de, 1722
  Johannes de, 70, 88, 247, 318, 354, 902, 1325, 1761
  Katerina filia Johannis de, 247, 354
  Lucia uxor Johannis de, 247, 1761
  Ricardus de, 121, 1722
  Robertus de, 1722
  Willelmus de, 121, 247, 1635, 1722, 1761
  Willelmus filius Drogonis de, 1635
  Willelmus filius Ricardi de, 1722
  Willelmus filius Roberti de, 1722
  Willelmus filius Willelmi de, 121, 1722
Monte Alto, Mahaut, Mohaut, Leuca filia Rogeri de, 260
  Radulfus de, 1558
  Randulfus de, 260
  Robertus filius Radulfi de, 1558
  Rogerus de, 260, 505, 1558, 1626
Montebecon' *vide* Monte Begonis.
Monte Begon' *vide* Monte Begonis.
Monte Begonis, Montebecon', Monte Begon', Jerlo de, 761
  Oliua de, 403, 549
  Rogerus de, 234, 947, 1294
  Thomas de, 234
Monte Canisio, Monte Caniso, Montechanesy, Alicia uxor Hugonis de, 1922
  Hubertus de, 1443
  Hugo de, 442, 1922, 1960, App. 4

The numbers refer to the cases not to the pages.

Monte Canisio, Monte Caniso, Montechanesy, Stephanus de, 1443
Warinus de, 373, 618, 671, 743, 890, 893, 983, 1072
Willelmus de, 387, 893
Monte Caniso *vide* Monte Canisio.
Montechanesy *vide* Monte Canisio.
Monte Ficheti, Radulfus de, 346, 1764
Ricardus de, 1764
Monte Ficheto, Ricardus de, 1044
Monte Forti, Simon de, 1117, 1137, 1164, 1188
Monte Kaneso, Warinus de, 275
Monte Pinzun, Egidius de, 1253
Monte Sorelli, Jacobus de, 1543
Montesorelli, Hugo de, 1371
Monte Vironis, Mant Virun, Johannes de, 1522
Montibus *vide* Monte.
Mora *vide* Mare.
More, Alexander de la, 1119
Gilebertus de la, 470
Henricus de la, 824
Robertus de la, 95, 560, 573
Walterus de la, 579
Willelmus de la, 858
Morel, Matheus, 85
Walterus, 316
Moreslegha, Simon de, 821
Moretoign, Willelmus de, 853
Moretoign', Comes, 561
Moretoinie, Comes, 994
Moretuna, Comes de, 992
Morewico, Hugo de, 361
Morewyc *vide* Moruyc.
Morin, Agnes uxor Roberti, 74
Radulfus, 1699
Reginaldus, 238, 957, 1034
Robertus, 74, 1388
Morlee, Morlegh, Matheus de, 185
Morlegh *vide* Morlee.
Mortoin', Mortom, Comes, 425
Mortom *vide* Mortoin'.
Morton', Willelmus, 853
Mortona, Radulfus de, 1963
Rogerus filius Radulfi de, 1963
Walterus de, 1189, 1461
Mortona, Willelmus de, 26
Mortum, Eustachius de, 51
Mortuo Mari, Radulfus de, 251
Robertus de, 21
Willelmus de, 21, 1243
Moruico, Hugo de, 712
Moruilla, Elena filia Eudonis de, 1267
Eudo de, 1267
Moruyc, Morewyc, Hugo de, 814
Morwico, Hugo de, 1744
Morz *vide* Moiz.
Motun, Matun, Amistera uxor Bonemie, 918
Benedictus filius Bonemie, 918
Bonemie, 918
Josephus filius Bonemie, 918
Moubray, Nigellus filius Willelmi de, 1090
Willelmus de, 1090
Moullent, Comes de, 71
Matillis uxor Comitis de, 71
Moun, Alicia de, 796
Reginaldus de, 363
Mowine, Willelmus de, 1540
Mown, Mowun, Willelmus de, 630
Mowun *vide* Mown.
Moyn, Willelmus, 954
Moyne, Moinge, Moynge, Moynne, Adam le, 833
Agnes uxor Ade le, 833
Galfridus le, 402
Henricus le, 1209
Hugo le, 826
Johanna uxor Hugonis le, 826
Oliuerus le, 954, 1191
Petrus le, 1938
Radulfus le, 402, 1651, 1702
Reginaldus le, 1836
Ricardus le, 1950
Rogerus le, 826
Moynge *vide* Moyne.
Moynne *vide* Moyne.
Mubray *vide* Mobray.
Muc *vide* Much.
Mucegros, Musegros, Alicia uxor Roberti de, 184

The numbers refer to the cases not to the pages.

Mucegros, Musegros, Milo de, 1168, 1231
Robertus de, 184, 1117
Walterus de, 1168, 1231
Much, Muc, Hugo, 702
Willelmus, 702
Muchelnye, Abbas de, 1370
Prior de, 1370
Muchet, Muschet, Henricus, 299
Ricardus, 299
Willelmus, 299
Mudefeldia, Laurencius de, 1598
Muhaut, Alicia uxor Willelmi de, 1872
Rogerus de, 1910, 1911
Simon, 1872
Willelmus de, 1872
Mukelintona, Germanus de, 1383
Iuetta uxor Germani de, 1383
Nicholaus filius Germani de, 1383
Walterus de, 1383
Walterus filius Walteri de, 1383
Muletona, Lambertus de, 13
Thomas de, 541, 627, 986, 1155, 1186
Munceaus, Robertus de, 37
Muncy, Radulfus de, 564
Mundeby, Alanus de, 1418
Eudo de, 1418
Mundeford', Simon de, 1805
Mundeuilla, Johannes de, 230
Nigellus de, 230, 1297
Ricardus de, 230
Mundham, Alanus de, 1618
Munekin', Simon, 215
Munewedena, Henricus de, 1294
Muntefordia, Thurstanus de, 1366
Muntfichet, Ricardus de, 1044, 1332
Muntmertin *vide* Danmartin.
Munt Pinzun, Radulfus de, 1838
Murdac, Matillis uxor Rogeri, 1592
Rogerus, 1592
Thomas, 1592
Muriweder, Robertus, 215
Muro, sub, Isabella uxor Ricardi, 861
Ricardus, 861
Musache, Ricardus de, 333
Musard, Isabella, 462, 597
Radulfus, 1446
Ricardus, 363, 462, 597
Robertus, 1134
Willelmus, 462, 597
Muscampo, Willelmus de, 51
Muschat, Rogerus, 484
Muschaump, Robertus de, 480
Muschaunt, Willelmus de, 480
Muschet *vide* Muchet.
Musegros *vide* Mucegros.
Mustel, Thomas, 1460

Naffordia, Henricus de, 180, 829, 1377, 1625
Matillis uxor Henrici de, 180, 829, 1377
Walterus de, 1342
Napertona, Adam de, 1052
Naptona, Adam de, 1186
Agnes uxor Ade de, 1186
Neffineumild, Willelmus de, 882
Neir, Walterus le, 1966
Nel, Robertus, 900
Neoto, S., Prior de, 1028, 1332, 1720
Neouilla *vide* Neuilla.
Neowilla, Willelmus de, 1496
Nerefordia, Hubertus de, 193
Petrus de, 1091, 1502, 1938
Willelmus de, 1091, 1440
Nesse, Godwinus de, 595
Nessefeud, Petrus filius Stephani de, 1860
Stephanus de, 1860
Nessendona, Alicia uxor Thome de, 1644
Thomas de, 1644
Netebech *vide* Netebeht.
Netebeht, Netebech, Willelmus, 824
Neubet, Neubod, Abbas de, 1831
Neubod *vide* Neubet.
Neuburg, Galfridus de, 1736
Matillis uxor Galfridi de, 1736
Radulfus filius Galfridi de, 1736
Neucumba, Robertus de, 670
Neuilla, Neouilla, Albertus de, 27, 56

The numbers refer to the cases not to the pages.

Neuilla, Neouilla, Alexander de, 1187
Alicia de, 534
Beatricia uxor Hugonis de, 525, 679, 800
Clemencia filia Alexandri de, 1187
Ernisius de, 1174
Floria filia Hugonis de, 517
G. de, 1517
Galfridus de, 102, 534, 810, 869, 1883
Galfridus filius Galfridi de, 1883
Henricus de, 534, 1875
Hugo de, 251, 517, 525, 679, 800, 1087, 1174, 1365, 1670, 1679
Johannes de, 516, 1273
Jollanus de, 821, 947, 1280
Mabilia uxor Galfridi de, 869, 1883
Nicholaus de, 1125
Radulfus de, 33, 494
Robertus de, 25, 1045
Rogerus de, 398
Neuou *vide* Neuu.
Neuport, Prior de, 1387
Painel, Prior de, 412
Neutona, Homines de, 463
Picatus de, 735
Sewale de, 731
Neuu, Neuou, Nouu, Willelmus le, 136, 243
Neweham, Ricardus de, 185
Neweman, Aelesia uxor Ricardi le, 124
Ricardus le, 124
Rogerus le, 124
Willelmus le, 124
Newenham, Nywenam, Nywenham, Johannes de, 185
Petrus de, 1886
Prior de, 1133, 1607
Ricardus de, 400, 551
Niche, Hiche, Ailwardus de, 1508
Idonea filia Ailwardi de, 1508
Nicholai, S., Danecastrie, Magister, 1169
Exonie, Prior, 5, 6
Nicholaus Legatus, 412
Niger, Hugo, 186
Niwetona, Walterus de, 286
Nodariis, Nuers, Almarus de, 202, 302
Milo de, 1762
Simon de, 793, 1762, 1836
Noel, Ricardus, 17
Noke, Rogerus, 433
Norel, Alicia filia Siwati, 1823
Hughelina filia Siwati, 1823
Mabilia filia Siwati, 1823
Siwatus, 1823
Norenuit, Radulfus, 32
Noreys, Galfridus le, 220
Hamo le, 1778
Robertus le, 1778
Willelmus le, 1778
Norf' *vide* Norfolcia.
Norfeu, Homines de, 1721
Norfokia, Willelmus de, 1226
Norfolcia, Norf', Agnes uxor Roberti de, 291
Alicia uxor Radulfi de, 159
Gilebertus de, 261
Radulfus de, 159
Robertus de, 5, 291
Robertus filius Radulfi de, 159
Norfolcie, Comes, 695, 1036
Norfolkia, Alicia uxor Radulfi de, 1525
Radulfus de, 1525
Robertus filius Radulfi de, 1525
Norham, Agnes de, 749
Norhamtona, Abraham filius Samuelis de, 581
Samuel de, 581
S. Jacobi de, Abbas, 344
S. Johannis de, Hospitalis, Magister, 1032
Norhamtone, Archidiaconus, 72, 820
Decanus, 351
Major, 351
Norm', Radulfus de, 846
Roysia uxor Radulfi de, 846
Normanie, Senescallus, 752
Normanuilla, Margeria uxor Nicholai de, 483
Nicholaus de, 483

The numbers refer to the cases not to the pages.

Normanuilla, Radulfus de, 661, 955
Normaunt, Willelmus le, 677
Northburgo, Eadmundus de, 1659
Northie, Thomas de, 1409
Northilada, Henricus de, 1170
Northona, Nortona, Alicia uxor Radulfi de, 1728
Eustachius de, 215
Philippus de, 289
Radulfus de, 1728
Radulfus filius Radulfi de, 1728
Robertus de, 215
Willelmus de, 1436
Nortona *vide* Northona.
Norwicenses Episcopi, 39, 100, 310, 355, 391, 424, 427, 490, 548, 578, 642, 722, 793, 801, 865, 883, 942, 1064, 1095, 1117, 1238, 1273, 1618, 1928
Norwicensis Archidiaconus, 1762
Prior, 632, 721
Norwico, Isaac de, 1376
Norwude, Feidena uxor Stephani de, App. 7
Stephanus de, App. 7
Norwyc, Willelmus de, 835
Notingeham, Prepositus de, 459
Nouo Loco, Prior de, 416, 769, 928
Nouo Mercato, Adam de, 248, 1685
Dionisia uxor Henrici de, 1685
Henricus de, 1685
Willelmus de, 583
Nouu *vide* Neuu.
Nuers *vide* Nodariis.
Numet, Mabilia de, 1145
Nunancurt, Mabilia de, 1393
Nunant, Hugo de, 1416
Nunchamp *vide* Longo Campo.
Nuny, Henricus de, 1360
Nutach, Nutebec, Nutebet, Willelmus, 824
Nutebec *vide* Nutach.
Nutebet *vide* Nutach.
Nutelegha, Abbas de, 1500, 1535
Nutrix, Matillis, 343
Nyweburgo, Edmundus de, 237
Nywelande, Walterus de la, 1338
Nywenam *vide* Newenham.
Nywenham *vide* Newenham.
Nywentona, Petrus de, 1053
Nyweport, Prior de, 940
Nywetona, Johanna filia Petri de, 924
Petrus de, 924

Ocham *vide* Hocham.
Odewilla, Margareta uxor Simonis de, 1890
Simon de, 1890
Offaton *vide* Uffinton'.
Offrecherche, Decanus de, 1683
Oilly *vide* Oylli.
Okeburnia, Prior de, 273, 274, 326
Oldierde, Simon, 1084
Olestorp', Robertus de, 313
Simon filius Roberti de, 313
Oligant, Rogerus, 1024
Oliuer, Jordanus, 1436
Olíueri, Jordanus, 1172
Oliuerus, Petrus, 1189
Omnibus, Alanus de, 409
Omnibus Sanctis, Alexander de, 1815
Optona, Willelmus de, 29
Orbatur, Agnes uxor Thome le, 1888
Thomas le, 1888
Orchertona, Alina uxor Johannis de, 965
Johannes de, 965
Ore, Abbas de, 1078
Ormesby, Prior de, 1035, 1211
Orreby, Philippus de, 260
Orry, Alanus, 1275
Magister, 1275
Osen' *vide* Oseneia.
Oseneia, Osen', Abbas de, 823, 1189
Oseuilla, Willelmus de, 519
Ossoriensis Episcopus, 1173
Osuluestona, Abbas de, 79, 1219
Otheringebiria, Gilebertus de, 262
Ottel', Thomas de, 871
Ouerlande, Vitalis de, 1745
Ouertona, Acelinus de, 286
Reginaldus filius Acelini de, 286
Ouinges, Johannes de, 1104

The numbers refer to the cases not to the pages.

Ouinges, Willelmus de, 1104
Oure, Prior de, 914
Ouuinges, Willelmus de, 774
Oxeaye, Nicholaus de, 1178
Oxecumba, Sarra de, 1751
Oxn' *vide* Hoxne.
Oxonia, Johannes de, 1238
Oxonie, Archidiaconus, 817
Comes, 790, 848, 1117, 1485
Comitissa, 619, 633, 827, 881, 1919
Oye, Joscelinus de, 1766
Oylli, Oilly, Henricus de, 1589, 1688
Henricus filius Henrici de, 1688
Matillis de, 1688
Robertus de, 1688
Oylly, Henricus de, 105, 855, 1363
Margeria filia Roberti de, 855
Matillis filia Henrici de, 105
Matillis uxor Henrici de, 855

Pabbeham, Hugo de, 819
Pacheshulla, Simon de, 758
Packere, Robertus le, 470
Pagaham, Osbertus, 1348
Paganellus *vide* Paynel.
Paganus, Alanus, 503
Page, Willelmus, 135
Pagraue, Cecilia uxor Henrici de, 1824
Henricus de, 1824
Paide, Ricardus, 1898
Painel *vide* Paynel.
Pakeham, Alicia de, 461, 527
Thomas filius Willelmi de, 461
Willelmus de, 461
Pakintona, Ricardus de, 919, 1105
Pamforer, Geruasius filius Henrici, 1780
Henricus, 1780
Ricardus, 1780
Rogerus, 1780
Pancracii, S., de Lewes, Prior, 350
Pandulfus Legatus, 1760
Pantolfus, Hugo, 1224
Papa, Dominus, 536, 1039, 1095, 1339, 1387, 1388, 1599, 1928
Papedi, Matillis, 1184
Papillu', Alnathus, 820
Parcarius, Hugo, 940
Reinerus, 343
Parchimener, Alicia uxor Galfridi le, 1293, App. 1
Galfridus le, 1293, 1394, 1529, App. 1
Matillis uxor Galfridi le, 1394, 1529
Parco, Petrus de, 507
Parent, Alexander, 1119
Isabella uxor Alexandri, 1119
Parker, Gerardus le, 984
Ricardus le, 984
Robertus filius Gerardi le, 984
Warinus filius Gerardi le, 984
Parleben', Gilebertus, 293
Parles, Perles, Henricus de, 1982
Willelmus de, 1052
Parlet, Margareta uxor Walteri, 1821
Walterus, 1821
Parmarius, Johannes, 36
Parmentarius, Adam, 1801
Henricus filius Roberti, 568
Robertus, 568
Parmenter, Odierna uxor Radulfi le, 389
Radulfus le, 389
Paruus, Elias, 248
Simon, 1712
Passelewe *vide* Passelwe.
Passelwe, Passelewe, Gilebertus, 506, 924, 1053
Robertus, 106, 1108, 1904
Sarra uxor Gileberti, 924, 1053
Simon, 506
Patay, Hugo de, 926
Pateriz, Comes, 363
Ela uxor Comitis, 363
Willelmus, 615
Pateshill' *vide* Pateshulla.
Patesholl' *vide* Pateshulla.
Pateshulla, Pateshill', Patesholl', Patteshulla, Henricus de, 1286
Martinus de, 278, 583, 983, 1030, 1084, 1279, 1294, 1618, 1691, 1827, 1884
Simon de, 1358, 1411, App. 8

The numbers refer to the cases not to the pages.

Patheshulla, Martinus de, 669, 870
Patric, Auelina uxor Radulfi, 1764
Radulfus, 1764
Patricius, Comes, 1235
Willelmus filius Comitis, 1235
Patteshulla *vide* Pateshulla.
Pattona, Robertus de, 279
Pauilly, Johannes de, 143
Radulfus de, 1253
Rogerus filius Walteri de, 732
Walterus de, 530, 732, 1110
Pauleshost, Ricardus de, 791
Pauli, S., de Londonia, Decanus, 78, 481, 493, 654, 692, 886
Thesaurarius, 599
Paulo, S., Thomas de, 1194
Paumer, Auicia filia Johannis le, 1954
Berta filia Johannis le, 1954
Elyas le, 1514
Gunnilda filia Johannis le, 1954
Herbertus le, 342
Isabella filia Ricardi le, 342
Johannes le, 1954
Matillis uxor Johannis le, 1954
Ricardus le, 215, 342, 1177
Thomas le, 16
Pauncefot, Ricardus, 365, 507, 946
Paunte, Godign', 20
Pauntona, Baldewinus de, 810, 1117
Pauntulf, Isolda, 1295
Willelmus, 1295
Willelmus filius Willelmi, 1295
Paynel, Paganellus, Painel, Paynelle, Alexander, 97
Ascuillus, 97
Dionysia, 19
Frechesanta filia Willelmi, 97
Fulco, 97, 565, 1415
Hugo, 97, 747, 1415
Radulfus, 97, 747
Willelmus, 97, 166, 1575, 1589, 1642
Paynelle *vide* Paynel.
Pebbenesse, Randulfus filius Willelmi de, 243
Willelmus de, 243
Pecche, Beche, Agnes, 1130
Bartholomeus, 1168, 1178, 1231, 1368
Pecham, Jacobus de, 960
Johannes filius Monasseri de, 1144
Mar' uxor Monasseri de, 1048
Monasserus de, 1048, 1144
Peche, Hamon, 427
Ricardus, 353
Peck, Isabella filia Ricardi de, 820
Matillis uxor Ricardi de, 820
Ricardus de, 820
Pecoc *vide* Pecock.
Pecock, Pecoc, Isabella uxor Willelmi, 1018
N. uxor Ricardi, 1018
Ricardus, 1018
Willelmus, 1018
Pecot, Rogerus, 20
Peirer, Thomas le, 1568
Peiteuin, Johannes le, 1257
Peiure, Piure, Paulinus, 296, 399
Petrus, 607
Rogerus, 296, 399
Pele, Willelmus de, 542
Pelton', Piautona, Rogerus de, 1379
Pembrocio, Pembrok, Penbrochio, Comes de, 102, 297, 1164, 1405, 1611
Comitissa de, 854, 1164
Pembrok *vide* Pembrocio.
Pempol, Serlo de, 1015
Warinus de, 1015
Penbrigge, Penebrigg', Henricus de, 250, 304
Penbrochio *vide* Pembrocio.
Pendeford, Robertus de, 95
Penebrigg' *vide* Penbrigge.
Penhert *vide* Penhurst.
Penhurst, Penhert, Maria uxor Willelmi de, 1770
Willelmus de, 1770
Penitenciarius, Godardus, 1463
Penna, Walterus de la, 1064
Willelmus de la, 1064
Penne, Colinus de la, 1600
Nicholaus de la, 1600

The numbers refer to the cases not to the pages.

Pentecoste, Willelmus, 1650
Peppewella, Abbas de, 665
Percehale, Galfridus de, 1632
Percehaye *vide* Perchaye.
Perchaye, Percehaye, Cristina filia Willelmi, 1717
Willelmus, 1717
Perchie, Comes, 666
Percy, Cristiana uxor Galfridi de, 1414
Galfridus de, 1414
Ricardus de, 660, 907, 1083, 1090, 1395
Robertus de, 1061, 1081
Simon de, 1414
Walterus de, 22, 23, 60
Willelmus de, 23, 158, 660, 796, 1081, 1881
Perer, Thomas, 1544
Perham, Thomas de, 1115
Perles *vide* Parles.
Perpund, Johannes de, 279
Persona, Petrus, 1768
Persore, Abbas de, 1628
Pert, Nicholaus de, 606, 673
Pertehale, Galfridus de, 1369
Ricardus de, 1369
Pertock, Radulfus, 652
Pertona, Robertus de, 401
Pesehale, Radulfus de, 533, 593, 598, 698
Pesinges, Felicia de, 1048
Pestelega, Willelmus de, 40
Pestur, Henricus le, 237
Petit, Isolda uxor Willelmi, 83
Radulfus le, 51
Willelmus, 83
Petraponte, Simon de, 1272
Petro, S., Johannes de, 692
Petyt, Willelmus le, 1552
Peuerel, Andreas, 258, 268
Galfridus, 1058
Hugo, 946, 1190, 1331, 1967
Petrus, 1970
Robertus, 1013, 1967
Thomas, 758, 1013
Peuerelle, Willelmus, 1236
Peyure, Rogerus, 1398
Philiberto, S., Willelmus de, 1405
Piautona *vide* Pelton'.
Pichard, Milo, 1232
Pichelesthorna, Galfridus de, 1614
Picher, Robertus, 798
Thomas, 784
Picoc, Pikoc, Willelmus, 1269
Picot, Hugo, 1341
Juliana uxor Willelmi, 1026
Willelmus, 1026
Pictauensis, Radulfus, 1259
Pictauia, Willelmus de, 671
Pictauie, Comes, 765, 883, 1117, 1121, 1172
Isabella uxor Comitis, 765, 883
Pictor, Robertus, 1879
Willelmus, 1696
Pigun, Johannes, 886
Willelmus, 1226
Pikeman, Robertus, 1969
Simon filius Roberti, 1969
Pikeringe, Hugo de, 186
Pikerun, Robertus, 889
Pikeuot, Willelmus, 211
Pikewella, Lodowicus de, 814
Pikoc *vide* Picoc.
Pilesdona, Sampson de, 1732
Simon de, 1732
Werresius de, 1732
Pilkintona, Rogerus de, 130
Pin, Dionisia uxor Johannis, 1089, 1701
Johannes, 1089, 1701
Pincerna, Auelina uxor Elie, 1760
Elias, 1760
Ricardus, 1169
Pincerne, Cristina filia Jordani, 1606
Jordanus, 1606
Pinkeneye, Radulfus de, 1437
Willelmus de, 1809
Pinkeniny, Simon de, 938
Pinkeny *vide* Pinkingny.
Pinkingny, Pinkeny, Robertus de, 868
Pino, Herbertus de, 214
Pinu, Herbertus de, 367

The numbers refer to the cases not to the pages.

Pinzebek, Thomas de, 1207
Pinzun, Munt, Radulfus de, 1838
Piou *vide* Priou.
Pipant, Willelmus, 1969
Pipard, Henricus, 657
  Ricardus, 657
  Robertus, 259, 618
  Rogerus, 657
  Willelmus, 657
Pipaund, Jacobus filius Willelmi, 1948
  Willelmus, 1948
Pipere, Walterus, 1311
Pipestran, Iuo, 523
Pirar', Michael de, 1718
  Stephanus de, 1718
Pirie, Johannes de, 1337
  Philippus de, 1660
  Ricardus de, 1707
  Robertus de, 1427, 1499
  Sarra uxor Ricardi de, 1707
Piritona, Johannes de, 250
Piro, Alicia uxor Willelmi de, 1557
  Willelmus de, 1557
Pirot, Radulfus, 870, 1572
  Ricardus, 870, 1572
Piselegha, Amabilis uxor Nicholai de, 782
  Nicholaus de, 782
Pistor, Cristiana uxor Nicholai, 265
  Dionisia uxor Ricardi, 435
  Galfridus, 1029
  Matheus, 1897
  Nicholaus, 265
  Ricardus, 435, 1479
  Thomas, 767
  Willelmus, 411, 1711
Pitona, Adam de, 1192
Pitte, Leticia uxor Roberti de la, 1425
  Robertus de la, 1425
Piuere *vide* Peiure.
Planez, Johannes de, 298
Plantefolie, Johannes, 1724
  Robertus, 1692
Pleministro, Prior de, 1370
Plesetto, Johannes de, 1666
Plesingeham, Eustachius de, 964
Plessetto, Johannes de, 1028
Plugeneye, Hugo de, 513
Plugenhay, Alanus de, 116
Plumberge, Cristiana uxor Thome de, 1279
  Thomas de, 1279
Poddehale, Petrus de, 36
Poer, Alexander le, 1619
  Walterus le, 1184
Poher, Hugo le, 136
  Johannes le, 847
  Otewicus le, 40
Pointona, Alexander de, 182, 986, 1049, 1207
Pokehulla, Julianus de, 6
Pokeslegha, Elias de, 1649
  Matillis uxor Elie de, 1649
Pokintona, Willelmus de, 250
Polfeld', Michael de, 1287
Pollard, Rogerus, 907
  Willelmus, 1665
Polsted', Hugo de, 1287
  Michael de, 1287
Polstede, Hugo de, 295, 871
Poltona, Nicholaus de, 1785
  Orabla uxor Nicholai de, 1785
  Robertus de, 401
Pomerar, Godefridus de la, 367
Pomeray, Galfridus de la, 894, 1148
  Henricus de la, 1148
  Willelmus de la, 1148
Ponte, Robertus de, 1343
Pontefracto, Henricus de, 1764
Ponte Fracto, Prior de, 1169, 1850
Ponte Roberti, Abbas de, 1566
Pontis de Bello, Abbas, 6
Popham, Gilebertus de, 1268
Port, Adam de, 1136
Porta, Alicia uxor Reginaldi de, 1259
  Nicholaus de, 1147
  Reginaldus de, 1259
Porter, Auelina uxor Reginaldi le, 1705
  Celestria uxor Henrici le, 343
  Henricus le, 343
  Reginaldus le, 1705
Portes, Walterus de, 1266

The numbers refer to the cases not to the pages.

Porteseia, Adam de, 15
Portu, Adam de, 1684
Johannes de, 326
Sibilla uxor Ade de, 1684
Thomas de, 326
Poterna, Jacobus de, 201
Pottot, Ermengarda de, 1513
Johannes filius Ermengarde de, 1513
Pouere, Herbertus le, 488
Pounesse, Henricus de, 1283
Philippus de, 1282
Pour' *vide* Poure.
Poure, Daur', Pour', Alina uxor Egidii le, 1142, 1158
Egidius le, 1142, 1158
Herbertus le, 1653
Isabella uxor Walhamet le, 203
Johannes le, 1809
Rogerus le, 49
Walhamet le, 203
Poydras, Willelmus, 316
Prat, Galfridus, 1818
Margeria uxor Galfridi, 1818
Pratellis, Engelardus de, 1601
Engelramus de, 35, 101
Sibilla uxor Engelardi de, 1601
Sibilla uxor Engelrami de, 101
Pratis, Prior de, 272, 393
S. Marie de, Custos, 870
Prato, Godefridus de, 816
Muriella uxor Godefridi de, 816
S. Marie de, monachi, 272, 393
Praule, Alina uxor Willelmi de, 965
Willelmus de, 965
Predes, Willelmus de, 1906
Prepositus, Adam, 446
Almerus, 85
Hubertus, 418
Hugo, 1169
Rogerus, 85
Roysa uxor Huberti, 418
Presbyter, Philippus filius Radulfi, 1964
Radulfus, 1964
Prest, Hughelina uxor Willelmi, 1823
Willelmus, 1823
Prestelegha, Unfridus de, 544
Prestona, Albredus de, 1916
Hawisia uxor Albredi de, 1916
Johannes de, 859
Walterus de, 440
Prestwude, Henricus de, 388
Margeria uxor Henrici de, 388
Pridias, Beatricia uxor Reginaldi de, 965
Galfridus de, 965
Isabella uxor Galfridi de, 965
Reginaldus de, 965
Robertus de, 596, 991
Prion, Piou, Hugo, 1412
Prior, Simon, 832
Produmme, Johannes, 843
Promus, Promys, Alicia filia Willelmi de, 1563
Emma filia Willelmi de, 1563
Sarra filia Willelmi de, 1563
Willelmus de, 1563
Promys *vide* Promus.
Prute, Thomas le, 1348
Pruz, Willelmus le, 1285
Pucot *vide* Putot.
Puddindene, Gilebertus de, 20
Pudding, Margeria, 852
Pudindena, Gilebertus de, 1490
Pudlesdona, Custancia filia Willelmi de, 195
Margeria uxor Willelmi de, 195
Willelmus de, 195
Puketorpe, Stephanus de, 1195
Pulein, Galfridus, 705
Pulham, Ricardus de, 1931
Punchard, Fray, 688
Punchardun, Reginaldus de, 1642
Punninges, Margeria de, 672
Puntfeldia, Willelmus de, 1779
Purcel, Eustachius, 156, 1519
Radulfus, 156, 1519
Robertus, 156, 1519
Purcele, Isabella, 863
Purle, Nicholaus de, 113
Willelmus de, 356
Purtepol, Jordanus de, 825
Rogerus filius Jordani de, 825

The numbers refer to the cases not to the pages.

Puteo, Reginaldus filius Willelmi de, 1678
Ricardus de, 1678
Ricardus filius Willelmi de, 1678
Robertus de, 1678
Rogerus filius Willelmi de, 1678
Puteum, Augustinus ad, 1773
Emma uxor Augustini ad, 1773
Putot, Pucot, Willelmus de, 556, 1772
Putrel, Henricus, 1942
Pyn, Herbertus de, 1285
Pyrefrict, Petrus de, 40
Pyrho, Willelmus de, 695

Quency, Hawisia de, 999, 1127
Rogerus de, 1126
Querendona, Galfridus de, 29, 340, 845, 1487
Radulfus filius Galfridi de, 845
Quneyfe, Hamon le, 27
Matillis uxor Hamonis le, 27

Raddona, Radduna, Baldewinus de, 143, 856
Radduna *vide* Raddona.
Radecliue, Eugenia de, 1846
Radefordia, Simon de, 594
Radelegha *vide* Ralegha.
Radham, Prior de, 1624
Radinges, Abbas de, 842
Radinges *vide* Bendenges.
Raffyn, Kafin, Bertram, 251
Willelmus, 251
Raggeholf, Willelmus, 1788
Raghtona, Alexander de, 1155
Reginaldus de, 1155
Rakelf', Ricardus, 282
Rakingtona, Bartholomeus, 579
Matillis, 579
Ral', Robertus de, 1189
Ralegha, Radelegha, Elyas de, 1581
Willelmus de, 348, 401, 427, 471, 503, 514, 755, 886, 1143, 1146, 1186, 1193, 1215, 1482
Rallesby *vide* Rollesby.
Rameseia, Abbas de, 322, 360, 1716
Rameseia, Alicia de, 1701
Editha de, 1646
Juliana uxor Thome de, 1701
Stephanus de, 1701
Thomas de, 1701
Rannulfus Comes, 272, 1102, 1127, 1213, 1224, 1273, 1721
Rappendona, Prior de, 51
Ressele, Gerardus, 1344
Katerina uxor Gerardi, 1344
Rauelingham, Mabilia uxor Thome de, 1171
Thomas de, 1171
Rayndona, Galfridus de, 1836
Raytulphage, Alicia filia Edithe de, 1839
Auicia filia Edithe de, 1839
Editha de, 1839
Gunnilda filia Edithe de, 1839
Rec, Walterus, 445
Reddenhale, Galfridus de, 1805
Willelmus de, 1805
Redham, Willelmus de, 1841
Regetona, Hegetona, Ricardus filius Roberti de, 185
Robertus de, 185
Reginaldus Comes, 1666
Regny, Reygni, Reygny, Thomas de, 407
Walterus de, 1146
Willelmus de, 1147
Reing' *vide* Roing'.
Reiugnes, Willelmus de, 1760
Reingny, Johannes de, 280
Robertus de, 280
Thomas de, 610
Reinig', Johannes filius Ricardi, 474
Ricardus, 474
Remg', Ricardus, 427
Renard, Willelmus, 1118
Rengny, Reygn, Johannes de, 908
Renham, Gilebertus de, 834
Johannes de, 834
Renigny, Thomas de, 547
Reples', Johannes de, 237, 1659
Rerefordia, Abbas de, 526
Rerham, Berham, Mauricius de, 929

The numbers refer to the cases not to the pages.

Reuel, Hugo, 311
Robertus, 311
Rewel, Henricus de, 1977
Reygat, Johannes de, 1025
Reygn' *vide* Rengny.
Reygni *vide* Regny.
Reygny *vide* Begny.
Ricardus, Comes, 354, 702, 734, 857, 883, 1117, 1121, 1172, 1220, 1238, 1280
Ricardus Rex Anglie, 16, 17, 75, 78, 102, 173, 199, 283, 663, 821, 915, 994, 1123, 1129, 1148, 1188, 1219, 1234, 1236, 1306, 1487, 1651, 1720
Richerfeuldia, Willelmus de, 1887
Rideware, Matillis uxor Walteri de, 353
Walterus de, 353, 1758
Riggeby, Prior de, 909
Rikingehale, Hugo de, 917
Rikot', Robertus de, 815
Rindham, Tristram de, 1240
Ringesdona, Hugo de, 1416
Ringestede, Cecilia uxor Gileberti de, 721
Gilebertus de, 721
Matillis de, 721
Ripariis, Hawisia de, 979
Margeria de, 362, 727, 849, 1283
Ripple, Matillis uxor Thome de, 1333
Thomas de, 1333
Riptona, Ricardus de, 360
Risele, Robertus filius Walteri de, 1741
Walterus de, 1741
Rising', Robertus de, 1257
Rogerus de, 1257
Risinges, Fisinges, Fissinges, Rogerus de, 1663
Walterus de, 1663
Rissepe *vide* Rissleppe.
Rissleppe, Rissepe, Stiffleppe, Prior de, 272
Risus, Agnes uxor Roberti, 1937
Robertus, 1937
Riuallibus, Abbas de, 749
Riuallis, Petrus de, 776
Riuere, Walterus de la, 1307
Riueres, Willelmus de, 1172
Ro, Margeria, 907
Robertus, Comes, 71, 765, 1769, 1953
Isabella uxor Comitis, 765
Matillis uxor Comitis, 71
Roc, Johannes filius Roberti, 1772
Robertus, 1772
Willelmus filius Roberti, 1772
Rochagh', Alanus de, 369
Roche, Abbas de la, 1708
Radulfus de la, 1708
Rochefordia, Matillis de, 1072
Robertus de, 1438
Rodes, Radulfus de, 770
Roffa, Prior de, 1644
Ricardus de, 74, 1388
Roffenses Episcopi, 88, 262, 350, 376, 474, 481, 616, 983, 1117, 1163, 1283, 1644
Rogerus, Comes, 314, 695, 754, 835, 1242, 1271, 1426, 1520, 1813
Roin, Henricus de, 932
Roing', Reing', Quenilda uxor Ricardi de, 748
Ricardus, 748
Roinges, Johannes de, 1025
Robertus filius Simonis de, 727, 809
Simon de, 727, 809
Rokeshale, Hamon de, 715
Rokewyla, Willelmus de, 251
Rollesby, Rallesby, Agnes uxor Warini de, 373
Warinus de, 373
Romanus, Johannes, 1463
Romenel, Dauid, 302
Romesye, Abbatissa, 775
Walterus de, 775
Roppelegha, Simon de, 1209
Ros, Hugo de, 382
Muriella uxor Willelmi de, 382
Robertus de, 82, 685
Sibilla de, 685
Wakelinus de, 1835
Willelmus de, 134, 376, 382, 474, 685, 894, 1199, 1898

The numbers refer to the cases not to the pages.

Ros, Willelmus filius Willelmi de, 1898
Rossell, Willelmus, 881
Rothomagi, Decanus, 357
Rothomago, Willelmus de, 367
Roullestona, Henricus de, 1454
Rowe, Aluredus, 1893
Roxheuede, Hamon de, 715
Rozholm, Roysia uxor Thome de, 1858
  Thomas de, 1858
  Thomas filius Thome de, 1858
Rude, Juliana uxor Warini, 863
  Warinus, 863
Rudingtona, Ricardus de, 433, 628
Rue, Elizabeth uxor Willelmi de, 1470
  Willelmus de, 1470
Ruffordia, Galfridus de, 1184
Ruffus, Adam, 1778
  Amabilis uxor Roberti, 331
  Augustinus, 1533
  Henricus, 304
  Herbertus, 1733
  Hugo, 578, 695
  Isabella uxor Willelmi, 329
  Robertus, 331
  Thomas, 102
  Willelmus, 329, 1383
Ruffyn, Philippus, 262
Rufus, Willelmus, 1733
Rugham, Walterus filius Willelmi de, 461
  Willelmus de, 461
Rughedona, Johanna uxor Willelmi de, 219
  Willelmus de, 219
Rughenheye, Priorissa de, 200
Rughenora, Radulfus de, 179
Ruilla, Alexander filius Rogeri de, 252
  Rogerus de, 252
Ruines, Walterus de, 530
Rullond, Adam, 1222
  Ricardus, 1222
Rullos, Isabella uxor Willelmi de, 503
Rumbalde, Henricus, 1691
Rumely, Alanus filius Mathei de, 1173
  Matheus de, 1173
Rumenal, Thomas filius Umfridi de, 1140
Rumeseia, Abbatissa de, 395, 1110
Rumesye, Abbatissa de, 1346
Rumully, Emma uxor Henrici de, 1748
  Henricus de, 1748
Runeray, Willelmus de, 74
Runingham, Runingheham, Capellanus de, 237
  Johannes de, 237
  Simon de, 237
Rupe, Supe, Abbas de, 1876
  Agnes de, 231, 954
  Radulfus de, 1339
  Thomas de, 1664
Rupella, Godefridus de, 841
Ruphus, Ricardus, 924
Rus, Adam le, 1778
  Alicia uxor Galfridi le, 981
  Galfridus le, 981
Ruscel, Robertus, 893
Russel, Alicia uxor Willelmi, 365
  Hugo, 436
  Isabella uxor Roberti, 1774
  Ricardus, 1256, 1925
  Robertus, 1774
  Thomas, 1866
  Walterus, 367
  Willelmus, 365, 593
Russell', Petrus de, 264
Russok, Alicia de, 1266
Rusteng', Willelmus, 777
Ruswrthe, Adam de, 1826
Ruuenhache, Ricardus de, 179
Ruwedona, Willelmus de, 1109
Rye, Capellanus de, 132
Rytona, Regin' filia Ricardi de, 1548
  Ricardus de, 1548

Sabestona, Willelmus de, 1805
Sachecroft, Adam de, 1856
Safeid', Henricus de, 304

The numbers refer to the cases not to the pages.

Safeubse, Saffuble, Safuble, Georgius, 252
Gregorius de, 252
Willelmus de, 252
Saffuble *vide* Safeubse.
Safuble *vide* Safeubse.
Saham, Robertus filius Simonis de, 644
Simon de, 644
Sahre, Eborardus de, 369
Salceto *vide* Sauceye.
Salefordia, Rogerus de, 1607
Salfleteby, Ricardus de, 1751
Salicibus, Willelmus de, 186
Salle, Agnes de, 1798
Saln' *vide* Salne.
Salne, Saln', Willelmus de, 411
Salopesbirie, Abbas, 305
Comes, 305
Salopesira, Editha de, 1725
Salseto *vide* Sauceye.
Sanctichesmar', Galfridus de, 173
Sanctis, Omnibus, Alexander de, 1815
Sanctona, Robertus de, 47
Sanctus, Johannes, 1474
Sandwico, Henricus de, 237, 711, 1333, 1659
Johannes filius Henrici de, 1333
Juliana uxor Simonis de, 1771
Simon de, 1771
Simon filius Henrici de, 711
Sanfordia, Cecilia de, 1617
Hugo de, 1664
Johannes de, 1617
Osbertus de, 1389
Rogerus de, 1389
Santesmar' *vide* Santichesmar.
Santichemar' *vide* Santichesmar.
Santichesmar, Santesmar', Santichemar', Galfridus de, 1417
Sanum, Willelmus, 15
Sanzau', Hugo, 119
Sapertona, Matillis de, 851
Sar' *vide* Sarrisbirie.
Sarisbiria, Edwardus de, 1698
Sarisbirie *vide* Sarrisbirie.
Sarrisbirie, Sarisbirie, Sar', Sur', Comes, 3, 906, 1235, 1574, 1698
Comitissa, 363, 463, 532, 775, 1110, 1277
Ela uxor Comitis, 3, 1235, 1698
Precentor, 1388
Thesaurarius, 1388
Sarrisbirienses Episcopi, 109, 114, 173, 242, 256, 636, 800, 906, 1115, 1282, 1312, 1354, 1698, 1731
Satcote, Galfridus de, 256
Sauage, Galfridus le, 1003, 1605
Mabilia uxor Galfridi le, 1003
Walterus le, 1878
Sauceye, Salceto, Sauseye, Radulfus de, 624, 688
Robertus de, 1186
Walterus de la, 739
Sauila, Grauel, Hugo de, 248
Saunc, Walterus, 1084
Saundale, Matillis uxor Thome de, 1708
Thomas de, 1708
Saunderlande, Radulfus de, 723
Saunders, Andreas de, 151
Saunford, Saunfordia, Hugo de, 178, 183
Johanna uxor Hugonis de, 178
Ricardus de, 183
Robertus de, 183
Thomas de, 183
Warinus filius Thome de, 183
Saunfordia *vide* Saunford.
Saunreuilla, Dauid de, 1099
Sauser, Aluredus le, 1425
Athelina uxor Aluredi le, 1425
Sautemareys, Petrus de, 536, 609
Sautereye, Abbas de, 948
Sauuage, Auicia uxor Thome le, 74
Henricus le, 116
Jacobus le, 983, 1653
Robertus le, 483, 1310
Thomas le, 74, 1388
Willelmus le, 450
Saxlingeham, Robertus filius Rogeri de, 793
Rogerus de, 793

The numbers refer to the cases not to the pages.

Saxlingeham, Richerus de, 1836
Saxtona, Johannes de, 1259
Say, Beatricia de, 1765
Beatricia filia Willelmi de, 8
Galfridus de, 506, 574, 1765, 1782
Gilebertus de, 1635, 1722
Margeria uxor Galfridi de, 574
Matillis de, 8, 12
Matillis filia Willelmi de, 8
Matillis uxor Gileberti de, 1635, 1722
Willelmus de, 8, 806, 1117, 1165
Scaccario, Henricus de, 229, 318, 431, 1465, 1616
Rogerus de, 1276
Willelmus filius Henrici de, 1616
Scalariis, Ala uxor Hugonis de, 4
Henricus de, 4
Hugo de, 4
Scales, Ricardus de, 1422
Scallariis, Andreas de, 765
Scardecliue, Hubertus filius Radulfi de, 930
Radulfus de, 930
Schauelingewrthe, Willelmus de, 1325
Schengham *vide* Suenham.
Schuche *vide* Suche.
Scileduna, Skeleduna, Dauid de, 862
Robertus de, 862
Rogerus filius Dauid de, 862
Scissor, Durandus, 1598
Emma uxor Humeden, 577
Humeden, 577
Petrus, 1261
Willelmus, 1131, 1814
Scoctegni, Thomas de, 947
Scode, Scotere, Emma uxor Walteri de, 888
Walterus de, 888
Scolde, Walterus, 1539
Sconard, Rosanna uxor Willelmi, 1960
Willelmus, 1960
Scot, Dunecanus, 328, 400
Johannes le, 955
Radulfus, 291
Ricardus le, 1461
Scot, Robertus le, 1487
Scoteny, Thomas de, 234
Scotere *vide* Scode.
Scotie Rex, 97, 648, 695, 904, 1221
Scottus, Ricardus, 1402
Scotus, Johannes, 588
Osbertus, 575
Screppe, Galfridus, 821
Scriptor, Robertus, 962
Seculer, Nicholaus le, 7, 1328
Sedham, Nicholaus de, 68
Segraue, Emma uxor Johannis de, 331
Gilebertus de, 1113
Johannes de, 331
Stephanus de, 4, 10, 307, 351, 372, 465, 750, 778, 983, 1087, 1113, 1124, 1273, 1309, 1592, 1950
Seinges, Matillis uxor Ricardi de, 1618
Ricardus de, 1618
Willelmus filius Ricardi de, 1618
Seingnes, Ricardus de, 1069
Seingues, Henricus de, 1896
Seinte, Robertus le, 140
Sekole, Robertus, 861
Seleby, Hugo de, 1889
Seler, Geruasius le, 1080
Seleuin, Willelmus, 1326
Sellar, Gilebertus, 1179
Isabella filius Gileberti, 1179
Seltona, Alexander de, 1807
Robertus filius Alexandri de, 1807
Selue, Radulfus filius Willelmi de, 1786
Willelmus de, 1786
Senecurt, Willelmus de, 1616
Senescal, Willelmus le, 37, 906
Senescallus, Oliuerus, 1237
Willelmus, 37
Senewalle, Walterus de, 166
Senewella, Willelmus de, 699
Senzauer, Eua uxor Hugonis, 828
Hugo, 828
Sepeshee, Robertus, 20
Septem Fontibus, Willelmus de, 389
Seriant, Willelmus le, 1207

The numbers refer to the cases not to the pages.

Seriaunt, Lucas le, 699
Sessons *vide* Sexan'.
Set, Robertus, 259
Seuero, S., Abbas de, 1710
Seuilla, Johannes de, 1169
Sexan', Sessons, Riolfus de, 75
Seyle *vide* Seyles.
Seyles, Seyle, Radulfus de, 1758
Shanke, Radulfus, 1416
Sharpetoft, Nicholaus filius Roberti de, 1855
Robertus de, 1855
Sharsted', Harested', Simon de, 262
Shatingdona, Thomas de, 1773
Shelfordia, Johannes de, 394
Reimundus de, 1404
Sheltona, Rannulfus de, 1407, 1618
Sheneffeldia, Thomas de, 1742
Shepele, Matheus de, 26
Shepen', Alicia uxor Vincensii de, 1185
Vincensius de, 1185
Sherdelawe, Ricardus de, 466
Sherlecota, Rogerus de, 1
Shiclingtona, Robertus filius Thome de, 1844
Thomas de, 1844
Shifrewast, Ricardus, 1621
Robertus de, 1621
Shin, Johannes filius Rogeri, 340
Rogerus, 340
Shinelesho, Holdiarda uxor Willelmi de, 1033
Willelmus de, 1033
Shireburnia, Shyreburnia, Abbas de, 923, 927
Prior de, 416, 769
Shirefordia, Thomas de, 412
Shirewode, Cecilia uxor Willelmi, 1586
Willelmus, 1586
Shiringtona, Geruasius de, 867
Shirlok, Willelmus, 1287
Shoffordia, Willelmus de, 481
Shoghebiria, Ricardus de, 1226
Shoreuilla, Shorewella, Willelmus de, 906
Shorewella *vide* Shoreuilla.
Shudham, Studham, Robertus de, 858
Shupestona, Margeria uxor Ricardi de, 934
Ricardus de, 934
Shurefordia, Robertus de, 1305
Shyreburnia *vide* Shireburnia.
Siffrawall' *vide* Siffrewall'.
Siffrewall', Siffrawall', Sufrewall', Suffrewast, Galfridus de, 773
Ricardus de, 773
Willelmus de, 431, 512, 612
Sike, Beatricia uxor Hugonis de la, 1046, 1069
Hugo de la, 1046, 1069
Siluetona, Matillis uxor Radulfi de, 1313
Radulfus de, 1313
Simill' *vide* Similly.
Similly, Simill', Willelmus de, 1734
Simily *vide* Symilly.
Simplingeham, Prior de, 182, 211
Simplinges, Agnes uxor Elie de, 1795
Elias de, 1795
Walterus filius Elie de, 1795
Simplingham, Magister de, 1356
Siwac, Galfridus, 1167
Siward, Ricardus, 1117
Sixle, Prior de, 720, 909
Skeleduna *vide* Scileduna.
Skeringe, Simon de, 1006
Skotere, Alicia uxor Simonis, 888
Simon, 888
Smalbere, Clemens de, 154
Henricus de, 154
Johannes de, 154
Matillis uxor Henrici de, 154
Robertus de, 154
Snane, Johannes de, 1140
Snones, Robertus de, 14
Solariis, Jacobus de, 1229
Johanna uxor Rogeri de, 1229
Margeria uxor Jacobi de, 1229
Rogerus de, 1229
Solers, Roeysia uxor Rogeri de, 1439
Rogerus de, 1439

The numbers refer to the cases not to the pages.

Solers, Willelmus de, 1439
Sonewella, Robertus de, 1575
Robertus filius Walteri de, 1642
Walterus de, 1575, 1642
Sorel, Robertus, 1137
Sotfordia, Thomas de, 723
Sowy, Willelmus de, 1689
Sparham, Stephanus de, 193
Willelmus de, 193, 1120
Spark, Robertus, 265
Spaudling', Prior de, 1209
Spigurnel, Isabella uxor Roberti, 1946
Robertus, 1946
Spixtona, Alicia uxor Johannis de, 1700
Johannes de, 1700, 1744
Sproxtona, Johannes de, 708, 712
Johannes filius Johannis de, 708
Robertus de, 749
Simon de, 749
Squieler, Robertus le, 1483
Stactona *vide* Stoctona.
Staffordia, Henricus de, 1692
Milisanta de, 1043
Staffordiensis Archidiaconus, 187
Stagno, Adam de, 784
Stainwath *vide* Steinwath.
Stake, Willelmus, 1955
Stampes, Cressant de, 1179
Stane, Robertus de, 530
Rogerus de la, App. 5
Stanedisse, Alexander filius Radulfi de, 1386
Radulfus de, 1386
Stanelesby, Johannes de, 1563
Stanes, Elias de, 670
Johannes de, 282
Willelmus de, 1012, 1702
Stanewella, Margeria uxor Thome de, 342
Thomas de, 342
Stanfordia, Hugo de, 1596
Johanna uxor Hugonis de, 1596
Stanham, Ascelina uxor Johannis de, 317
Johannes de, 317
Stanham, Osbertus de, 1931
Stanho, Herueus de, 506, 844, 1812, 1836
Stanhus, Johelus de, 1759
Margeria uxor Joheli de, 1759
Stanlegha, Abbas de, 1063
Stanmere, Johannes filius Roberti de, 1554
Marsilia uxor Roberti de, 1554
Radulfus filius Roberti de, 1554
Robertus de, 1554
Robertus filius Serlonis de, 1554
Serlo de, 1554
Simon filius Roberti de, 1554
Willelmus filius Serlonis de, 1554
Stanwico, Abbas de, 971
Stapelford' *vide* Stapelfordia.
Stapelfordia, Stapelford', Ricardus de, 758, 1898
Willelmus de, 1949
Stapeltona, Nicholaus de, 220
Robertus de, 1169
Stapinges, Stepinges, Albinus de, 1563
Elena uxor Roberti de, 944
Eliena uxor Walteri de, 1657
Emma uxor Albini de, 1563
Gila uxor Johannis de, 716, 936
Helena de, 1102
Helena uxor Walteri de, 956
Johannes de, 716, 936
Robertus de, 944
Walterus de, 944, 956, 1657
Starki, Elena uxor Rannulfi, 1901
Rannulfus, 1901
Starky, Randulfus, 876
Ricardus filius Randulfi, 876
Stauella, Stiuella, Adam de, 1845
Alanus de, 1845
Alicia filia Ade de, 1845
Stauely, Adam de, 1865
Alicia filia Ade de, 1865
Stauertona, Galfridus de, 1063
Staundfordia, Adam de, 332
Matillis uxor Ade de, 332
Stauntona, Gilebertus de, 316
Robertus de, 316

The numbers refer to the cases not to the pages.

Steinwath, Stainwath', Johannes de, 213
Stella, Agatha uxor Ricardi de, 547
Ricardus de, 547
Stepinges *vide* Stapinges.
Stialey *vide* Straley.
Stibbeheie *vide* Stibbeheye.
Stibbeheye, Stibbeheie, Bricius de, 150
Daniel de, 150
Stiffleppe *vide* Rissleppe.
Stifordia *vide* Stortfordia.
Stikeman, Warinus, 1935
Stillard, Rogerus le, 705
Salomon filius Rogeri le, 705
Stinekeya, Bartholomeus de, 188
Stiteuilla, Sturteuilla, Stuteuilla, Eidm' uxor Nicholai de, 39
Johannes de, 745, 746
Margeria uxor Willelmi de, 195, 1606
Nicholaus de, 39
Willelmus de, 195, 1606
Stitteuilla, Willelmus de, 16
Stiueheya, Adelstanus de, 1830
Robertus filius Adelstani de, 1830
Stiuekeye, Bartholomeus de, 1817, 1836
Stiuella *vide* Stauella.
Stiuingtona, Miranda filius Walteri de, 680
Prior de, 272
Walterus de, 680
Stiward, Gote, 1041
Stocke, Henricus de, 1810
Willelmus de, 1810
Stockeby, Reginaldus de, 595
Stoctona, Stactona, Eborardus de, 629
Herbertus de, 1561
Petrus filius Willelmi de, 151
Ricardus de, 10
Rogerus de, 423
Willelmus de, 151
Stoges, Hugo de, 950
Stoke, Adam de la, 845
Cristina de la, 446
Stoke, Henricus de, 524
Johannes de la, 446
Radulfus de, 1156
Ricardus de, 868
Robertus de, 286, 446
Stephanus de, 858
Walkelinus de, 1115
Stokeby, Alanus de, 595, 1794
Alexander de, 1841
Stokely, Robertus de, 1257
Stokes, Johanna de, 1683
Johannes de, 554
Petrus de, 1898
Radulfus de, 1339, 1800
Thomas de, 1683
Walkelinus de, 10
Willelmus de, 173
Stokesby, Robertus de, 731
Stolke *vide* Stowe.
Stonbrug', Robertus de, 795
Stonore, Radulfus de, 36
Stopham, Brianus de, 1183
Radulfus de, 1484
Radulfus filius Briani de, 1183
Stortfordia, Stifordia, Michael de, 275
Stotefordia, Johannes de, App. 7
Stowe, Stolke, Johannes de, 194
Stracy, Walterus, 45
Stradena, Hugo de, 1786
Stradeset', Cecilia de, 836
Straffordia, Abbas de, 284
Stralegha, Estradlegha, Stradlegha, Isilia uxor Walteri de, 315, 379
Robertus de, 379, 604
Robertus filius Walteri de, 315, 379
Rogerus filius Walteri de, 315, 379
Sampson filius Walteri de, 315, 379
Walterus de, 315, 379
Straley, Stialey, Philippus de, 315
Strange, Galfridus, 678
Johannes le, 21, 1309
Stratfordia, Strattfordia, Abbas de, 270, 586, 963, 998, 1323, 1477
Willelmus de, 1477
Strathefelde, Thomas de, 19
Stratte, Alwinus de, 1018

The numbers refer to the cases not to the pages.

Strattfordia *vide* Stratfordia.
Strattona, Beatricia uxor Richeri de, 1843
  Richerus de, 1843
  Stephanus de, 19
Strettona, Hugo de, 433
Strode, Jowetta de la, 545
Strumeshale, Willelmus de, 637
Studham *vide* Shudham.
Stuetona, Walterus de, 1460
Stunhus, Joel de, 958
  Margeria uxor Joelis de, 958
Sturmuwe, Willelmus de, 1768
Sturteuilla *vide* Stiteuilla.
Stuteuilla *vide* Stiteuilla.
Suche, Schuche, Rogerus de la, 53, 305, 1043, 1149
  Rogerus le, 247
Suckele, Johannes de, 352
Suenham, Schengham, Walterus de, 858
Suerde, Simon, 10
Sueuenetona, Philippus de, 1358
Suffolchie, Archidiaconus, 788
Suffordia, Reginaldus de, 1814
  Willelmus de, 1814
Sufrewall' *vide* Siffrewall'.
Suilguarin, Willelmus de, 1489
Suinestorpe, Cecilia de, 1708
Suleby, Abbas de, 820
  Hugo de, 633
Sulenny, Aluredus de, 51
Sulingny, Randulfus de, 265
Sulplicii, S. Abbatissa, 1163
Sumerdeby, Thomas de, 1210
Sumertona, Brianus de, 1816
  Jacobus de, 595, 1816
  Mauricius de, 1095
Sumeruilla, Margeria de, 1861
Sumery, Agnes filia Rogeri de, 550
  Elias de, 10
  Margeria de, 40
  Milo de, 1001
  Rogerus de, 550
  Simon de, 718
  Thomas filius Rogeri de, 550
Sumine, Summie, Martinus de, 439
Sunbiria, Thomas de, 1317
Sunderlande, Johanna uxor Radulfi de, 642
  Radulfus de, 642
Sundernisse, Galfridus de, 1505
Sunninges, Serlo de, 1583
Suore, Radulfus de la, 1938
Supe *vide* Rupe.
Sur' *vide* Sarrisbirie.
Surdendale, Elizabetha uxor Willelmi de, 1470
  Willelmus de, 1470
Surningezheyt, Priorissa de, 22
Surreie, Comes, 1243
Suthamptone, Maior, 1123
Suthurste, Willelmus de, 10
Suthwerk, Johannes de, 1027
  Matillis uxor Johannis de, 1027
Sutingtona, Henricus de, 250
Suttona, Gilebertus de, 756
  Mabilia uxor Willelmi de, 566
  Margeria filia Roberti de, 1279
  Robertus de, 58, 89, 1279, 1641
  Saherus de, 256
  Willelmus de, 256, 566
Suward, Ricardus, 513
Suwella *vide* Suwilla.
Suwerc, Johannes de, 90
  Prior de, 983
Suwic, Prior de, 1570
Suwilla, Suwella, Hugo de, 554
Suworc', Decanus de, 550
Suwyc, Willelmus de, 1286
Swafham, Odo de, 623
Swalecliue, Galfridus de, 1202
Swanetona, Bowy de, 1316
Swaringes, Galfridus de, 1836
  Philippus de, 1836
Swayn, Cristiana uxor Johannis, 1139
  Johannes, 1139
Swift, Nicholaus, 639
Swin', Moniales de, 256
Swinuertona, Robertus de, 1300
Swithuni, S., Prior, 114, 1237, 1495
Swynecumba, Randulfus de, 274
  Wymundus de, 274
Syffrewast *vide* Siffrewall'.

The numbers refer to the cases not to the pages.

Symilly, Simily, Emma uxor Henrici de, 594
Galfridus de, 594
Henricus de, 594
Willelmus de, 594
Sywarde, Ricardus, 857

Taidene *vide* Taydene.
Taillur, Beatricia uxor Radulfi le, 59
Lucia uxor Willelmi le, 87
Radulfus le, 59
Robertus le, 478
Willelmus le, 87
Tainlande, Radulfus de, 1218
Willelmus filius Radulfi de, 1218
Talebat, Emma filia Willelmi, 270
Willelmus, 270
Talebot, Adam, 1947
Galfridus, 54
Willelmus, 752
Talemasch, Hugo, 13, 871
Talemasche, Alicia uxor Willelmi, 1100
Petrus, 137
Willelmus, 1100
Talewrthe, Petrus de, 1594
Tallewurth, Maria de, 115
Tamlande, Robertus de, 1140
Tancre, Johannes, 1787
Rogerus, 1787
Taner, Alicia uxor Galfridi le, 418
Galfridus le, 418
Tann, Radulfus de, 51
Tannator, le Tanur, Gregorius, 1131
Tanur *vide* Tannator.
Tarente, Fromundus de, 1622
Tart Curteys, Adam, 709
Tateshale, Robertus de, 1248
Tauncar, Prior de, 143
Taunkaruilla, Radulfus de, 750
Taydene, Henricus de, 126
Tayllur, Beatricia uxor Radulfi le, 1559
Radulfus le, 1559
Techewella, Hamon de, 500
Herlewinus de, 500
Matillis uxor Hamonis de, 500
Teffordia, Gilebertus de, 650
Isabella uxor Gileberti de, 650
Margareta filia Gileberti de, 650
Prior de, 210
Tegula, Gilebertus de, 824
Teintona, Drago de, 902
Teler, Reginaldus le, 1080
Templarius, Galfridus, 1124
Templer, Petronilla uxor Roberti le, 1960
Robertus le, 1960
Templi Milites, 486, 821, 852, 1022, 1101, 1160, 1752
Tenderugge, Decanus de, 766
Terri *vide* Terry.
Terry, Willelmus, 411
Testard, Willelmus, 1347
Testepin, Thomas, 508
Willelmus, 1902
Willelmus filius Willelmi, 1902
Tethelby, Robertus de, 689
Teutonicus, Baldewinus, 1169
Eborardus, 1228
Henricus, 1121
Tewere *vide* Thewyere.
Textor, Jordanus, 821
Teyl, Galfridus de, 41
Thama, Adam filius Eue de, 1142, 1158
Eua de, 1142, 1158
Theggewella, Willelmus de, 584
Thein, Alicia filia Thome le, 159
Thomas le, 159
Thelnetham, Matillis uxor Petri de, 1920
Petrus de, 1920
Theofordia, Prior de, 222
Theokesburia, Abbas de, 112
Thewyere, Tewere, Twere, Alanus filius Osberti de la, 771
Osbertus de la, 771
Petrus de la, 771
Saxinus filius Osberti de la, 771
Thirn', Basilia uxor Thome de, 557
Thomas de, 557
Thoine, Willelmus de, 1482
Tholy, Dionisia uxor Roberti, 1712

The numbers refer to the cases not to the pages.

Tholy, Robertus, 1712
Robertus filius Roberti, 1712
Thomas, Comes, 855, 1117
Thoringtona, Wymerus de, 848
Thorintona, Wyemarus de, 1380
Thorneia, Matillis uxor Ricardi de, 128
Ricardus de, 128
Thornholmia, Prior de, 1364
Thornoure, Adam de, 515
Willelmus de, 515
Thorpe, Alexander de, App. 3
Andreas filius Radulfi de, 1548
Radulfus de, 1548
Willelmus de, 117
Thorpelle, Rogerus de, 1736
Thudeham, Edmundus de, 1931
Thurgantona *vide* Thurgarstona.
Thurgarstona, Thurgantona, Prior de, 1851
Thurneham, Mabilia filia Stephani de, 1765
Stephanus de, 1765
Thurstona, Matheus de, 1939
Matillis uxor Mathei de, 1939
Thwec, Rogerus de, 423
Tibbetet *vide* Tybbeat.
Tibbetot *vide* Tybbeat.
Tibetoft, Henricus de, 1959
Tichewella, Thomas de, 500
Tideham, Hugo de, 763
Tidelementona, Eleonora uxor Thome de, 934
Thomas de, 934
Tilemanestona, Gilebertus de, 1596
Johanna uxor Gileberti de, 1596
Tillebiria, Jacobus de, 2
Tillebroc, Willelmus de, 1460
Tinctor, Hugo, 1908
Mabilia uxor Hugonis, 1908
Radulfus, 555
Willelmus filius Hugonis, 1908
Tinemino *vide* Tynemue.
Tinemue *vide* Tynemue.
Tintagel, Turtegol', Geruasius filius Roberti de, 1660
Nicholaus de, 243
Tintagel, Turtegol', Robertus de, 1660
Tisho, Johannes de, 1052
Tiuilla, Tyuilla, Matillis uxor Radulfi de, 1376, 1445
Radulfus de, 385, 829, 1376, 1445, 1825
Ricardus de, 61
Robertus de, 899
Toche, Walterus, 1195
Toftes, Simon de, 784
Willelmus de, 1827
Toggesd' *vide* Togyesden.
Togyesden, Toggesd', Gilebertus de, 1385
Tohulla, Alditha uxor Jacobi de, 1627
Jacobus de, 1627
Toke, Robertus de, 818
Toky, Stephanus, 686
Tony, Radulfus de, 1006, 1071, 1117
Torel, Robertus, 47
Willelmus, 47, 1299
Toreny, Radulfus de, 922
Torintona, Toritona, Abbas de, 1236
Auicia uxor Willelmi de, 1065
Matheus de, 1065
Willelmus de, 1065
Toritona *vide* Torintona.
Torpel, Rogerus, 406
Rogerus de, 1042, 1045
Torpoll', Ricardus de, 217
Tothulla, Walterus de, 1287
Tottone, Archidiaconus, 678, 1143
Tournestona, Robertus de, 1704
Tracy, Henricus de, 1146, 1732
Willelmus de, 1732
Traffordia, Galfridus de, 72
Trally, Nicholaus de, 1085
Trassel *vide* Trussel.
Trauers, Robertus, 270
Willelmus, 1116
Traxtona, Johannes de, 1502
Traylli, Johannes de, 1133
Tregoz, Tresgoz, Galfridus de, 1372, 1738
Willelmus de, 1440, 1738
Tremehale, Tremeshale, Prior de, 442
Tremeshale *vide* Tremehale.

The numbers refer to the cases not to the pages.

Tresgot, Henricus de, 169
Johannes de, 169
Tresgoz *vide* Tregoz.
Trespas, Andreas, 270
Treuerby, Walterus de, 596, 991
Treuergan, Jordanus de, 214
Treuet, Thomas, 431, 512, 612
Tribot, Margeria uxor Roberti, 1357
Robertus, 1357
Trigesyre, Decanus de, 143
Trillegha, Willelmus de, 773
Trilly, Osbertus, 962
Trinitatis, S., de Bosco, Prior, 1319
de Eboraco, Prior, 19, 97, 515, 1415
de Gapewico, Prior, 945
de Gipewico, Prior, 1239
Prior, 711
Trocard, Johannes, 684
Mabilia uxor Johannis, 684
Trocmaretona, Adam de, 250, 609
Trokemereton, Henricus de, 1222
Trono, S., Matheus de, 1323
Roeysia uxor Mathei de, 1323
Tropinel, Hawisia uxor Willelmi, 1916
Willelmus, 1916
Trotecliue, Willelmus de, 1047
Trubleuilla, Alicia de, 799
Alicia uxor Radulfi de, 799
Radulfus de, 874
Trumpintona, Agnes uxor Johannis de, 966, 1559
Johannes de, 966, 1559
Trumpitona, Eborardus de, 57
Trune, Rogerus filius Willelmi, 662
Willelmus, 662
Trussel, Trassel, Matillis, 146, 208
Willelmus, 196
Tryl, Johannes, 1148
Tudeham, Tudenham, Eadmundus de, 521, 603
Osbertus de, 1891
Reinerus de, 478
Ricardus de, 478, 1891
Rogerus filius Osberti de, 1891
Sarra de, 1264
Tudenham *vide* Tudeham.
Tueng', Marmaduc de, 1883
Tumbestona, Durandus de, 1072
Robertus de, 1072
Tunberhangre, Walterus de, 220
Tunder, Ascelinus, 398
Tuneire *vide* Tunere.
Tunere, Tuneire, Tuneyre, Henricus, 223
Tunestona, Robertus de, 710
Tuneyre *vide* Tunere.
Tungelonde, Thomas de, 958
Tupeholm *vide* Tupholm.
Tupholm, Tupeholm, Tupholme, Tuppeholm, Abbas de, 445, 535, 810
Tupholme *vide* Tupholm.
Tuppeholm *vide* Tupholm.
Tur, Jordanus de la, 1397
Turberuilla, Alicia uxor Walteri de, 77
Johannes de, 1414
Walterus de, 77, 1401, 1599
Turet, Bartholomeus, 1458
Tureuilla, Thureuilla, Arnaldus de, 368
Ernaldus de, 524
Isabella uxor Willelmi de, 203, 1355
Matillis uxor Willelmi de, 203, 1355
Radulfus de, 524
Ricardus de, 524
Simon de, 368
Willelmus de, 203, 1355
Turgarton' *vide* Turgeretona.
Turgeretona, Turgarton', Prior de, 1454
Turgis, Alina uxor Roberti, 460
Robertus, 460
Turneham, Isabella filia Roberti de, 1869
Robertus de, 1869
Turnham, Edelina uxor Stephani de, 1410
Johanna uxor Roberti de, 1754
Robertus de, 1339, 1754

The numbers refer to the cases not to the pages.

Turnham, Stephanus de, 1410
Turold *vide* Turolde.
Turolde, Turold, Claricia uxor Ricardi, 1351
Hugo, 1351
Ricardus, 1351
Turreuilla, Henricus de, 879
Johannes filius Ricardi de, 879
Petronilla uxor Simonis de, 879
Ricardus de, 879
Simon de, 879
Turri, Emma uxor Gregorii de, 702
Gregorius de, 702
Jordanus de, 1306
Ricardus de, 274
Turry, Robertus de, 1610
Turs, Agnes uxor Ricardi de, 137
Auicia filia Ricardi de, 137
Beatricia uxor Roberti de, 1418
Ricardus de, 137
Robertus de, 1418
Turtegol' *vide* Tintagel.
Tus, Beatricia uxor Roberti le, 409
Robertus le, 409
Tuscat, Thomas, 914
Tuschet, Henricus, 279
Thomas, 974, 1712
Tuzseinz, Rogerus de, 45
Willelmus de, 45
Tweinge, Marmaduc de, 661
Twere *vide* Thewyere.
Twyfordia, Alina uxor Henrici de, 970
Henricus de, 970
Willelmus filius Henrici de, 970
Tybbeat, Tibbetet, Tibbetot, Henricus, 864
Tyd, Adam de, 134
Reginaldus filius Ade de, 134
Tydeby, Dionisia de, 324
Tye, Robertus, 285
Tyes, Henricus le, 1532
Isabella uxor Henrici le, 1532
Waleranus le, 1406
Tyeys, Waleranus le, 1310
Tykambreche, Isabella filia Radulfi de, 1151
Tykambreche, Radulfus de, 1151
Tylle *vide* Tylli.
Tylli, Tylle, Mabilia de, 1685
Odo de, 1685
Willelmus, 1032
Willelmus de, 1056
Tyllol, Petrus de, 249
Tynemue, Tinemino, Tinemue, Prior de, 226, 1715
Tynemuwe, Johannes de, 817
Tyrel, Rogerus, 570
Tyrelle, Clemencia uxor Radulfi, 1320
Dionisia uxor Ricardi, 1101
Radulfus, 1320
Ricardus, 1101
Tysun, Adam, 1375
Alicia uxor Ade, 1375
Tyuilla *vide* Tiuilla.
Tywarday, Prior de, 1070
Tywe, Walterus de, 1107

Uffinton', Offaton, Johannes de, 1416
Ulmis, Johanna uxor Stephani de, 178, 1596
Stephanus de, 178, 1596
Ulmo, Rogerus de, 1041
Uluescroft, Prior de, 1957
Umframuilla, Humframuilla, Ricardus de, 1044
Umfrauilla, Ricardus de, 1764
Undel', Decanus de, 625
Underdun, Mauricius, 507
Uniet, Ricardus, 1965
Uparhide, Geruasius, 1718
Mabilia uxor Geruasii, 1718
Uppetona, Galfridus de, 259
Upsale, Galfridus de, 759
Uptona, Florida uxor Nicholai de 1052
Nicholaus de, 1052
Stephanus de, 1052
Uptone, Adam filius Galfridi de, 185
Galfridus de, 185
Utdeners, Agnes filia Philippi, 804
Alicia filia Philippi, 804
Philippus, 804, 1633
Utewrthe, Helyas de, 928

The numbers refer to the cases not to the pages.

Utewrthe, Walterus de, 928
Utlaghe, Simon, 907
Utscistona, Sibilla uxor Willelmi de, 163
Willelmus de, 163
Uxor—
Ade, Alicia, 1334
Juliana, 1120, 1176
Alani, Alicia, 1510
Matania, 1972
Alexandri, Matillis, 622
Andree, Lucia, 198
Anselmi, Margeria, 1382
Auenelli, Mabilia, 566
Baldrici, Isabella, 345
Briani, Grecia, 1205
Brienni, Matillis, 1688
Clementis, Cristiana, 1830
Dauid, Galiena, 1299
Edmeri, Emma, 1783
Elie, Mabilia, 751
Ernulfi, Alienora, 496
Eustachii, Johanna, 605
Galfridi, Agnes, 1654
Editha, 1840
Emma, 1858
Odierna, 389
Petronilla, 921
Georgii, Sibilla, 1887
Geruasii, Alicia, 976
Gileberti, Agnes, 1000
Albreda, 41
Guidonis, Beatricia, 1877
Haldeni, Goda, 1729
Hamonis, Agnes, 550
Helye, Beatricia, 225
Henrici, Celestria, 1011
Gunilla, 1455
Hawisia, 611
Johanna, 994
Herlewini, Edina, 228
Heruici, Eua, 1800
Theda, 1837
Huberti, Matillis, 1507, App. 4
Hugonis, Alicia, 1853
Emma, 1842
Ilde, Alicia, 335
Uxor—
Johannis, Albreda, 591
Alicia, 1638
Auicia, 833
Cristiana, 1603
Editha, 1839
Feidina, App. 7
Gunnora, 1120, 1573, 1649
Matillis, 694, 1120, 1954
Jollani, Alicia, 531
Jordani, Alicia, 1034, 1851
Eua, 1229
Martini, Barbota, 1279
Mathei, Johanna, 54
Mauricii, Alicia, 1105
Michaelis, Katerina, 1151
Nicholai, Agnes, 502
Osberti, Anna, 638
Mabilia, 1891
Petri, Alicia, 181, 994
Auicia, 833
Editha, 1041
Gundreda, 1128
Ingeleysia, 1258
Juetta, 1074
Margareta, 30
Matillis, 1676
Phillippi, Alicia, 730, 1033
Johanna, 392
Radulfi, Alicia, 939, 1865, 1866
Alienora, 116, 1410
Margeria, 467
Maria, 1278
Orenga, 227
Rannulfi, Alicia, 1866
Reginaldi, Alexandria, 91
Beatricia, 86, 96, 1832
Leticia, 1899
Reymeri, Theda, 1837
Ricardi, Alicia, 365
Amabilis, 331
Elena, 878
Emma, 1981
Geua, 1024
Iuetta, 1792
Lucia, 66
Mabilia, 331

The numbers refer to the cases not to the pages.

Uxor—
Ricardi, Roysa, 476
Roysia, 1764
Sarra, 1639
Siritha, 220
Roberti, Cecilia, 1919
Juliana, 778, 885
Margeria, 752, 1565
Matillis, 1477, 1569, 1673, 1674
Oliua, 1811
Rogeri, Alicia, 1394
Auelina, 1241
Cristiana, 1784
Hawisia, 1565
Matillis, 1936
Simonis, Juliana, 1563
Sarra, 1093
Stephani, Juliana, 1459
Swani, Geua, 1690
Thome, Alicia, 938
Amicia, 1958
Dionisia, 1974
Floria, 517
Isabella, 1703
Margeria, 1590, 1952
Matillis, 1759
Toraldi, Eua, 1142, 1158
Unfridi, Maria, 736
Wakelini, Alicia, 994
Walteri, Alicia, 1004
Cauleya, 92
Emma, 937
Isilia, 315
Matillis, 351, 1764
Warini, Benedicta, 425
Custancia, 129
Willelmi, Agnes, 1181, 1182, 1241, 1608
Albreda, 696
Alina, 459
Alpesia, 740
Amicia, 319
Clemencia, 1187
Constancia, 1870
Emma, 1798
Eua, 1360
Ida, 1834

Uxor—
Willelmi, Isilia, 315
Isolda, 83
Iuoria, 726
Johanna, 54
Lecia, 614, 632
Mabilia, 566, 1792, 1890
Margareta, 156
Margeria, 840, 1279, 1351
Maria, 1390
Matillis, 59, 905
Rosanna, 1960
Susanna, 1017
Yuonis, Matillis, 951

Vabadun, Ricardus de, 1077
Valaines *vide* Valeines.
Valeines, Valaines, Valenn', Walenn', Thomas de, 1378, 1381
Alicia uxor Willelmi de, 1100
Willelmus de, 1100
Valenn' *vide* Valeines.
Valerico *vide* Walerico.
Valeynes, Matheus de, 1912
Valle, Willelmus de, 1923
Valle Dei, Abbas de, 414, 1737
Valle Torta, Vautort, Walletorta, Johanna uxor Reginaldi de, 1584, 1601
Johannes de, 400
Johel de, 521, 603
Reginaldus de, 965, 1584, 1601
Ricardus filius Johannis de, 400
Vallibus, Adam de, 1900
Alexander de, 1843
Alicia de, 190
Hugo de, 532, 893
Oliuerus de, 1824
Robertus filius Hugonis de, 893
Willelmus de, 1843
Valoines, Robertus de, 318
Valoinis, Hamon de, 550
Maria de, 380
Valoniis, Rogerus de, 1238
Valoynes, Willelmus de, 1128
Vasto, Prior de, 262
Vauasur, Robertus le, 1855, 1856

The numbers refer to the cases not to the pages.

Vautort *vide* Valle Torta.
Vautre *vide* Veutre.
Veigeley *vide* Vergelay.
Veill', Willelmus le, 1693
Veilly, Robertus de, 1194
Venator, Johanna uxor Willelmi, 1432
Willelmus, 440, 1432
Willelmus filius Willelmi, 1432
Venuz, Bartholomeus de, 337
Constancia de, 1433
Johannes de, 1433
Johannes filius Roberti de, 1494
Robertus de, 1494
Ver, Albricus de, 633, 848, 881, 1488
Baldewinus de, 286
Gilebertus filius Widonis de, 1364
Henricus de, 925, 1117, 1597
Hugo de, 633, 848, 1916, 1919
Isabella uxor Albrici de, 633
Isabella uxor Roberti de, 1919
Robertus de, 790, 1485, 1769, 1919
Simon de, 1364
Walterus de, 1364
Wido de, 1364
Wido filius Widonis de, 1364
Verby, Radulfus de, 1041
Verdum *vide* Verdun.
Verdun, Verdum, Werdun, Wordum, Alicia uxor Widonis de, 1100
Amabilis uxor Milonis de, 1979
Bertramus de, 601
Gwydo de, 473
Hawisia uxor Henrici de, 1747
Henricus de, 1747
Milo de, 1979
Nicholaus de, 209, 601
Thomas de, 601
Walterus de, 58, 89
Wido de, 1100
Willelmus de, 261
Vergelay, Veigeley, Willelmus de, 464
Verly, Hugo de, 782
Vernay *vide* Wernay.
Vernon, Vernun, Galfridus de, 236
Henricus filius Radulfi de, 236
Vernon, Vernun, Heuerardus de, 236
Katerina uxor Galfridi de, 236
Radulfus de, 236
Radulfus filius Galfridi de, 236
Walterus de, 1126
Willelmus de, 505
Vernun *vide* Vernon.
Veronny, Radulfus de, 72
Vescy, Warinus de, 129
Warinus filius Warini de, 129
Willelmus de, 1184
Veteri Ponte, Johanna uxor Roberti de, 1085
Robertus de, 127, 1085
Ydonea uxor Roberti de, 127
Veutre, Vautre, Willelmus le, 1836
Viane, Cecilia uxor Radulfi de, 1756
Radulfus de, 1756
Victona, Hubertus de, 1815
Vileis, Rogerus de, 760
Vilers, Rogerus de, 264
Villy, Rannulfus de, 1739
Vinaz, Ricardus de, 624
Willelmus de, 624
Vincent, Dawe, 1067
Vinetarius, Baldewinus, 1705
Matheus, 1711
Vineter, Alicia uxor Arnulfi le, 1027
Arnulfus le, 1027
Vinitarius, Amma uxor Henrici, 787
Henricus, 787
Vinun, Hugo de, 897
Vitdeners, Philippus, App. 6

Wac, Cristiana, 1605
Hugo, 488
Johannes, 1555
Wac', Cristina, 87
Wace, Agnes, 1500
Willelmus, 512
Wacelin, Johannes, 1316
Willelmus, 1316
Wachefordia, Edita de, 824
Wack, Hugo, 796, 1106
Wydo, 406
Wadberg' *vide* Waldelegh.
Wadefordia, Ricardus de, 466

The numbers refer to the cases not to the pages.

Wadehulla, Warinus filius Willelmi de, 1668
Willelmus de, 1668
Wadenhale, Johannes de, 1338
Thomas de, 1338, 1776
Wadingefeuldia, Alicia uxor Willelmi de, 490
Willelmus de, 490
Wadingefordia, **Wadingfel**, Willelmus de, 404
Wadingfel *vide* Wadingefordia.
Waggehastr', Robertus, 496
Wahulla, Agnes de, 1182
Henricus de, 1608
Johannes de, 1408, 1608
Johannes filius Agnetis de, 1182
Margeria filia Michaelis de, 1107
Michael de, 765, 1107
Simon de, 765, 920, 960, 1767
Wainflet, Cristianus de, 733, 822
Edelina uxor Cristiani de, 733, 822
Willelmus filius Cristiani de, 822
Wakeruilla, Jordanus de, 468
Waldelegh, **Wadberg'**, Homines de, 1588
Walebreus, Willelmus de, 1151
Waledena, Hawisia uxor Reginaldi de, 343
Idonea uxor Simonis de, 1007
Reginaldus de, 343
Simon de, 1007
Waledona, Willelmus de, 65
Waleis, Rannulfus le, 1392
Walemund, Abbas de, 39
Walenn' *vide* Valeines.
Walensis *vide* Waleys.
Walepol, Alexander de, 1668
Grecia uxor Alexandri de, 1668
Johannes de, 1258
Walerico, **S.**, **Valerico**, **S.**, Albreda uxor Widonis de, 1607
Henricus de, 1587
Reginaldus de, 1108
Wido de, 1607
Walerand', Johannes, 716, 936
Walerande, Johannes, 1697
Waleranen, Willelmus, 699
Waleshale, Eua filia Warini de, 1733
Warinus de, 1733
Willelmus de, 1733
Walesham, Prior de, 1840
Radulfus de, 1159, 1626
Willelmus de, 639
Waletona, Agnes de, 1849
Paganus de, 922
Ricardus filius Agnetis de, 1849
Waleys, **le**, **Walensis**, Adam, 834
Agnes uxor Ade, 834
Johannes, 450, 1311
Mabilia uxor Walteri, 1130
Nicholaus, 644
Reinerus, 80
Robertus filius Willelmi, 1630
Rogerus, 644
Walterus, 1130, 1940
Willelmus, 136, 1630
Walletorta *vide* Valle Torta.
Wallingfordia, Prior de, 1126
Wallinham, Prior de, 785
Wallop', Matheus de, 1315
Walsingeham, Prior de, 1238
Waltham *vide* Watham.
Wanetinge, Nicholaus filius Roberti de, 491
Robertus de, 491
Wantona, Johannes de, 39, 126
Wara, Alicia uxor Ricardi de, 528
Ricardus de, 528
Waranna, Gundreda de, 1128
Waranne, Comes, 1272
Warchilla, Margareta uxor Roberti de, 1040
Robertus de, 1040
Warda, Willelmus de, 1962
Wardena, Abbas de, 144, 1085
Warderoba, Joscelinus de la, 29, 1487
Wardona, Abbas de, 981
Walterus de, 1606
Ware, Dauid la, 425
Johannes la, 425
Jordanus la, 425
Rogerus de, 492
Waredona, Abbas de, 1258
Warenna, Beatricia filia Willelmi de, 1686

The numbers refer to the cases not to the pages.

Warenna, Reginaldus de, 1686
Willelmus de, 1243, 1686
Warenne, Comes, 10, 26, 194, 222, 244, 245, 248, 261, 694, 695, 806, 1025, 1117, 1220, 1561, 1685, 1686, 1755, 1838
Matillis uxor Comitis, 261, 694, 695, 1755
Warennie, Comes, 1395
Warewici, Warewyc, Warrewici, Warwici, Comes, 152, 212, 855, 1117, 1584
Comitissa, 513, 1324
Philippa uxor Comitis, 1584
Warewyc *vide* Warewici.
Warham, Eustachia uxor Huberti de, 1058
Hubertus de, 1058
Thomas de, 1312
Warnecham, Thomas de, 1385
Warrewici *vide* Warewici.
Warwel, Fulky, 34
Hugo filius de Fulky, 34
Warwici *vide* Warewici.
Wascelin, Rogerus, 395
Waspail, Henricus, 530
Osbertus, 530
Robertus, 530
Wassinglegha, Robertus de, 1079
Wastineis, Johannes de, 1636
Waswilla, Robertus de, 1460
Waterdena, Bartholomeus de, 1803
Rogerus filius Bartholomei de, 1803
Waterletere, Galfridus de, 383
Waterlotere, Alexander, 713
Wateruilla, Hamon de, 1077
Hugo de, 820
Johannes filius Roberti de, 1788
Ricardus de, 772, 820
Robertus de, 1788
Wido de, 117, 286
Willelmus de, 1077
Watfordia, Hugo de, 857
Watham, Waltham, Wautham, Abbas de, 78, 107, 122, 1681
Henricus de, 19
Prior de, 144
Watham, Waltham, Wautham, Simon de, 366
Wattona, Galfridus de, 256
Johannes de, 821
Prior de, 995
Waude, Ricardus de, 641
Robertus de la, 646
Wauerleia, Abbas de, 1484
Waur', Godefridus de, 20
Waure, Godefridus de, 1706
Wauteby, Philippus de, 546
Wautham *vide* Watham.
Wautona, Geruasius de, 1324
Willelmus de, 126, 633
Waxtonesham, Alanus de, 1106
Warnerius de, 373
Willelmus de, 1793
Waybrigia, Simon de, 99
Wayte, Jacobus la, 713
Waytescath', Willelmus, 514
Wecheham, Egidius de, 1212
Wedona, Radulfus de, 8, 44
Weduna, Laurencia uxor Radulfi de, 579, 635
Radulfus de, 579, 635
Wehitlegha, Gilebertus de, 242
Weice, Cecilia uxor Ricardi le, 1419
Ricardus le, 1419
Well', Petrus de, 1345
Wellenses Archidiaconi, 407, 411
Wellensis Decanus, 1170
Welles, Robertus de, 243
Walterus de, 1475, 1508
Welletona, Conanus de, 1035
Weltona, Isabella uxor Johannis de, 1076
Johannes de, 1076
Wemge, Eua uxor Ricardi de, 729
Ricardus de, 729
Wendouere, Decanus de, 576
Wendoure, Decanus de, 626
Wenelok *vide* Wenloc.
Wenhale, Wlenhale, Galfridus de, 1683
Galfridus filius Galfridi de, 1683
Ricardus de, 1683
Wenlac, Prior de, 1758

The numbers refer to the cases not to the pages.

Wenloc, Wenelok, Prior de, 504, 509, 590
Wepstede, Whepstede, Johannes de, 1648
Maria filia Johannis de, 1648
Salomon de, 1648
Werdun *vide* Verdun.
Wermale, Ricardus de, 1435
Werministre, Ricardus de, 742
Wernay, Vernay, Johannes de, 734
Westacra, Prior de, 100
Westacre, Prior de, 83
Westlande, Sibilla de la, 1610
Westmanecota, Walterus de, 970
Westmonasterio, Abbas de, 1628
Westmund, Willelmus de, 279
Westona, Westuna, Alicia uxor Willelmi de, 1519
Emma filia Swani de, 257
Emma uxor Philippi de, 371
Grecia filia Swani de, 257
Gunilda filia Swani de, 257
Johannes de, 1365
Juliana de, 1149
Matillis filia Swani de, 257
Petrus filius Willelmi de, 1519
Philippus de, 371
Swanus de, 257
Willelmus de, 1519
Westuna *vide* Westona.
Westwico, Serlo de, 309
Westwike, Isabella uxor Serlonis de, 1875
Serlo de, 1875
Westwyke, Johannes de, 243
Wetherefeuld, Gilebertus de, 387
Whepstede *vide* Wepstede.
Whichington *vide* Hillingtona.
Whilkingtona, Rogerus de, 801
Whitamora, Radulfus de, 95
Whitewurthe, Baldewinus de, 510
Lucia uxor Baldewini de, 510
Whitheued, Johannes, 79
Whithulla, Hugo de, 69
Isabella uxor Hugonis de, 69
Whytestona, Petrus de, 755
Wibitona, Nicholaus de, 901
Wicham, Wikham, Emma de, 90
Wichacra, Jordanus de, 1319
Wiche *vide* Wick.
Wichfordia, Theodorus de, 1546
Wichtona, Hugo de, 1726
Sarra uxor Hugonis de, 1726
Wick, Wiche, Cristina uxor Petri de, 233
Johannes de, 233
Petrus de, 233
Widewurthe, Willelmus de, 367
Wifaude, Robertus de la, 1230
Wigaunt, Alditha uxor Willelmi, 647
Willelmus, 647
Wigehale, Johannes de, 162
Wigenhale, Adam de, 1181
Agnes de, 1181
Alanus de, 1181
Nicholaus filius Alani de, 1181
Willelmus de, 1175
Wigentona, Odardus de, 1270
Wigge, Randulfus, 428
Wiggemore, Abbas de, 675
Wigornenses Episcopi, 209, 412, 813, 1027, 1273, 1337, 1402
Wigornensis Archidiaconus, 39
Wigornia, Willelmus de, 542
Wika *vide* Wyka.
Wike *vide* Wyka.
Wikelawe, Ricardus de, 20
Wikes, Robertus de, 1920
Wikham *vide* Wicham.
Wilde, Ricardus le, 616
Wildeker, Walterus de, 685
Wileford, Ricardus de, 202
Wileghby, Radulfus de, 62
Wilegheby, Maria uxor Rogeri de, 1418
Rogerus de, 1418
Wilelegha, Cristiana de, 1413
Wiletona, Wyletona, Nicholaus de, 51
Wililegha, Amicia uxor Willelmi de, 1413
Willelmus de, 1413
Wilintona, Radulfus de, 142, 969
Willefordia, Emelina de, 742

The numbers refer to the cases not to the pages.

Willefordia, Johannes de, 742
Willega, Willeye, Wylegha, Johanna de, 392
Johanna uxor Roberti de, 224
Ricardus de, 392
Robertus de, 224
Willelmus, Comes, 363, 375, 567, 752, 854, 964, 1127, 1235, 1273, 1306, 1349, 1405, 1561, 1567, 1574, 1685, 1686, 1755
Ela uxor Comitis, 363, 1235
Willelmus, Rex Anglie, 102, 145
Willeye *vide* Willegha.
Willingtona, Radulfus de, 857
Robertus de, 1766
Wilmecota, Galfridus de, 1324
Radulfus de, 1324
Willelmus filius Radulfi de, 1324
Wilne, Alanus filius Thome de, 25
Thomas de, 25
Wilt', Walterus de, 1145
Wiltona, Abbatissa de, 906, 1698
Wimbeldona, Cristina uxor Ricardi de, 73
Ricardus de, 73
Wimberuilla, Eustachia uxor Rogeri de, 450
Rogerus de, 450, 856
Winchelese, Paulinus de, 397
Wimundeleia, Prior de, 1665
Winde, Adam, App. 3
Alicia uxor Ade, App. 3
Windesore, Willelmus de, 161
Windesores, Wyndesores, Andreas filius Johannis de, 868
Constabularius de, 824
Hugo de, 106, 437
Johannes de, 868
Ricardus de, 294
Willelmus de, 528, 1038
Winelegha, Walterus de, 1314
Winellega, Johannes de, 338
Wingham, Hugo de, 151
Winterburnia, Gilebertus de, 372, 434
Jacobus de, 1434
Nicholaa uxor Gileberti de, 372, 434
Wintercumba, Beatricia uxor Willelmi de, 586
Willelmus de, 586
Wintereshulla, Henricus de, 679
Winteringeham, Galfridus de, 1785
Wintertona, Beatricia uxor Willelmi de, 640
Willelmus de, 640
Winteshulle, Henricus de, 256
Wintewurthe, Robertus de, 26
Wintonia, Walterus de, 136
Wintonie, Comitissa, 492, 529
Wintonienses Episcopi, 15, 92, 247, 276, 324, 395, 647, 699, 715, 928, 1570, 1594, 1689, 1761, 1764
Wintoniensis Archidiaconus, 276
Wintringborn' *vide* Wyteringburn.
Wirmecota, Willelmus de, 1324
Wircsop, Prior de, 552
Wischarde, Hugo, 1442
Wistenestona, Johannes de, 526
Witeby, Abbas de, 1676
Witefeldia, Henricus de, 1303
Witelegha, Radulfus de, 1715
Witenesse, Amicia uxor Henrici de, 1629
Henricus de, 1629
Witherdale, Alanus de, 698
Withewrthe *vide* Wythewrthe.
Withrenwike, Amicia de, 1879
Nicholaus filius Amicie de, 1879
Witintona, Henricus de, 915
Wittona, Prior de, 1
Wlenhale *vide* Wenhale.
Wlfrid', Amicia uxor Ricardi de, 934
Ricardus de, 934
Wlie, Philippus de, 1665
Wlsintona, Felicia uxor Ricardi de, 1002
Ricardus de, 1002
Simon de, 1002
Wlurichestona, Stephanus de, 1587
Wlwico, Dauid de, 1776
Wod, Hobbe, 514
Wodefordia, Thomas de, 1681
Wodetona, Galfridus de, 1473

The numbers refer to the cases not to the pages.

Wolauitona, Cecilia uxor Walteri de, 934
Walterus de, 934
Wordum *vide* Verdun.
Wrachesham, Osbertus de, 207
Wridewella, Alexander de, 851
Wrockeshale, Priorissa de, 594
Wroteham, Willelmus de, 931, 1339
Wrthe, Henricus de, 957, 1034
Wuburnia, Abbas de, 633
Wudeburg, Prior de, 578
Wudecota, Henricus de, 15
Wudetona, Robertus de, 675
Wulewardia, Wulward, Robertus de, 1052
Wultertona, Walterus de, 1818
Willelmus de, 1818
Wuluelegha, Isabella de, 1863, 1864
Wulward *vide* Wulewardia.
Wuttona, Amicabilis de, 648
Wyauilla, Godehut uxor Ricardi de, 452
Ricardus de, 452
Wydiho, Agnes uxor Ricardi de, 466
Ricardus de, 466
Wydingdona, Radulfus de, 285
Wygge, Robertus, 478
Wyk, Agnes filia Nicholai de la, 643
Matillis uxor Nicholai de la, 643
Muriella filia Nicholai de la, 643
Nicholaus de la, 643
Wyka, Wika, Wike, Alicia filia Ricardi de, 1070
Johannes de la, 1749
Petronilla uxor Johannis de la, 1749
Ricardus de, 1070, 1229
Robertus de la, 662
Thomas de, 1749
Wyka, Wika, Wike, Walterus de, 1070
Wyke, Willelmus de, 769
Wykenhale, Adam de, 1175
Wylburham, Robertus de, 1672
Wyleby, Robertus de, 1416
Wylegha *vide* Willegha.
Wyletona *vide* Wiletona.
Wylingtona, Nicholaus de, 680
Wymbisse, Robertus de, 243
Wymer, Willelmus, 479
Wymund', Petrus, 755
Wymundeham, Wymundesham, Prior de, 321, 997
Wymundesham *vide* Wymundeham.
Wyndeby, Philippus de, 273
Wyndeham, Adam de, 843
Wyndeshores, Willelmus de, 1287
Wyndesores *vide* Windesores.
Wynelegha, Petronilla de, 664
Wyredebyria, Alicia uxor Willelmi de, 528
Willelmus de, 528
Wyrham, Walterus de, 985
Wyteby, Abbas de, 1886
Wyteri, Rogerus, 514
Wyteringburn', Wintringborn', Willelmus de, 262
Wythewrthe, Withewrthe, Fulco de, 1234
Willelmus de, 1234

Yellestede, Robertus, 476
Yep, Alicia filia Roberti, 1956
Robertus, 1956

Zuche, Rogerus la, 1183
Zusche, Rogerus de la, 664

END OF VOL. I.

CAMBRIDGE:
PRINTED BY C. J. CLAY, M.A. AND SONS,
AT THE UNIVERSITY PRESS.

19

17